



Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study

Kyung-Pil Park^{1,2}, Seong-Un Lim¹, Jeong-Hwan Kim¹, Won-Bae Chun^{1,2},
Dong-Whan Shin^{1,2}, Jun-Young Kim^{1,3}, Ho Lee^{1,2}

¹Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Section of Dentistry, SMG-SNU Boramae Medical Center,

²Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, School of Dentistry, Seoul National University, ³Grand Dental Clinic, Seoul, Korea

Abstract (J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2015;41:306-316)

Objectives: The facial bones are the most noticeable area in the human body, and facial injuries can cause significant functional, aesthetic, and psychological complications. Continuous study of the patterns of facial bone fractures and changes in trends is helpful in the prevention and treatment of maxillofacial fractures. The purpose of the current clinico-statistical study is to investigate the pattern of facial fractures over a 4-year period.

Materials and Methods: A retrospective analysis of 1,824 fracture sites was carried out in 1,284 patients admitted to SMG-SNU Boramae Medical Center for facial bone fracture from January 2010 to December 2013. We evaluated the distributions of age/gender/season, fracture site, cause of injury, duration from injury to treatment, hospitalization period, and postoperative complications.

Results: The ratio of men to women was 3.2:1. Most fractures occurred in individuals aged between teens to 40s and were most prevalent at the middle and end of the month. Fractures occurred in the nasal bone (65.0%), orbital wall (29.2%), maxillary wall (15.3%), zygomatic arch (13.2%), zygomaticomaxillary complex (9.8%), mandibular symphysis (6.5%), mandibular angle (5.9%), mandibular condyle (4.9%), and mandibular body (1.9%). The most common etiologies were fall (32.5%) and assault (26.0%). The average duration of injury to treatment was 6 days, and the average hospitalization period was 5 days. Eighteen postoperative complications were observed in 17 patients, mainly infection and malocclusion in the mandible.

Conclusion: This study reflects the tendency for trauma in the Seoul metropolitan region because it analyzes all facial fracture patients who visited our hospital regardless of the specific department. Distinctively, in this study, midfacial fractures had a much higher incidence than mandible fractures.

Key words: Maxillofacial injuries, Facial bones, Fractures, Bone, Retrospective studies

[paper submitted 2015. 9. 6 / revised 2015. 10. 31 / accepted 2015. 11. 5]

I. Introduction

The face is aesthetically crucial, as it is the most visible area in the human body. It also controls important functions such as mastication and pronunciation. Fracture of the facial bone, if severe, can lead to emergency situations such as difficulty in maintaining a clear airway. Depending on the characteristics and treatment outcomes, facial bone fractures can cause aesthetic and functional disabilities. These can have a

significant negative impact on social activities¹. Therefore, as an oral and maxillofacial surgeon who commonly encounters facial injury, one must be able to effectively manage emergencies and achieve adequate aesthetic and functional restoration in order to minimize post-fracture sequelae. Analysis of the causes and types of facial bone fractures provides some important guidelines for the prevention and treatment of fractures in the future. Therefore, there have been multiple studies on this issue².

In many studies on facial fracture, the fracture type has shifted from simple to compound due to increases in traffic and population as well as diversification of industry. The most common causes of facial fractures are car accidents or assaults³⁻⁵. However, due to varying research methods employed by each researcher and sociological/geological/seasonal differences in subjects, existing studies have shown conflicting results^{6,7}. Therefore, consistent informational updates according to seasonal and regional characteristics are

Ho Lee

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Section of Dentistry, SMG-SNU Boramae Medical Center, 20 Boramae-ro 5-gil, Dongjak-gu, Seoul 07061, Korea
TEL: +82-2-870-2496 FAX: +82-2-831-0714
E-mail: neo0224@gmail.com
ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0413-2954>

©This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2015 The Korean Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. All rights reserved.

necessary.

We conducted a clinico-statistical study on fracture treatment from the time of incident to surgery using patients admitted for facial fracture over the last 4 years.

II. Materials and Methods

We analyzed 1,824 fractures in 1,284 patients who were diagnosed with facial bone fractures and received closed reduction or open reduction and internal fixation at SMG-SNU Boramae Medical Center (Seoul, Korea) over 4 years, from January 2010 to December 2013. Fracture areas were limited to the midfacial area of the nasal bone, the orbital wall, zygomatic arch, maxillary wall, and lower facial area of the mandible. The upper facial area of the frontal bone, supraorbital wall, and cranium were excluded. This study was reviewed by the Institutional Review Board of SMG-SNU Boramae Medical Center (IRB No. 26-2015-106).

We evaluated the gender, age, and seasonal distribution for facial fractures; we then investigated the fracture area, cause of fracture in different ages and areas, time from injury to treatment, hospitalization periods, and post-operative complications. To study the seasonal distribution, the day of admission was used. In the analysis of fracture area, if a patient had multiple fractures, the number of fractures was counted. Maxillary fracture was limited to fracture of the maxillary wall. A zygomaticomaxillary complex (ZMC) fracture refers to simultaneous fracture in the ipsilateral orbital wall, the zygomatic arch, and the maxillary wall, which means ZMC fracture is not strictly an isolated fracture. Therefore, it should not be listed in the area category. However, the percentage of this type of fracture was relatively high, so we added a separate category for it to facilitate practical understanding. Using the IBM SPSS Statistics version 22.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA), we evaluated the statistical significance of seasonal incidence with ANOVA or the Kruskal-Wallis test. We also analyzed the left-right distribution of the fracture area with the Friedmann's test or the Wilcoxon signed rank test, and the correlation of cause with age and fracture area with the Pearson's chi-square test followed by multiple comparison using the Bonferroni method.

III. Results

1. Age and sexual distribution

In the 1,284 patients studied, fractures occurred mostly in

men, with 981 men (76.4%) and 303 women (23.6%), for a ratio of 3.2 to 1. As for age distribution, fractures occurred mostly in individuals in their 20s (25.5%), followed by those in their 30s (18.9%), teens (17.1%), and 40s (15.0%). The youngest patient was a 2-year-old boy and the oldest was a 95-year-old woman, with an average age of 35.(Fig. 1)

2. Seasonal distribution

1) Annual incidence

The incidence of fractures showed an annual increase with 263 patients (20.5%) in 2010 and 358 patients (27.9%) in 2011, followed by a slight decline with 340 patients (26.5%) in 2012 and 323 patients (25.2%) in 2013. However, the variation was minimal.(Fig. 2. A)

2) Monthly incidence

May (9.9%), March (9.7%), and August (9.7%) had the highest incidence of fractures, with February (5.7%) being the lowest.(Fig. 2. B) When compared to the monthly average, no statistical significance was found. When the months were divided into 3 periods of 10 days: beginning, middle, and end, the middle (35.3%) and end (36.2%) periods showed higher incidence than the beginning (28.5%) ($P<0.05$). (Fig. 2. C, 2. D)

3. Fracture area distribution

Of the 1,284 patients studied, 695 patients (61.7%) had simple fractures in the nasal bone, which was the most common.(Table 1) Furthermore, when nasal bone fractures that




Fig. 1. Sex and age distribution of maxillofacial fracture patients. Kyung-Pil Park et al: Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2015




Fig. 2. Seasonal distribution. A. Annual incidence of maxillofacial fracture patients. B. Monthly incidence of maxillofacial fracture patients. C. Intra-monthly distribution of maxillofacial fracture patients. D. Mean patient number of each period of a month. *Statistical significance, $P<0.05$.

Kyung-Pil Park et al: Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2015

Table 1. Site distribution of midfacial fractures (n=1,127)

Fracture site	Number of patients
Nasal bone only	695 (61.7)
Orbital wall only	140 (12.4)
Zygomatic arch only	17 (1.5)
Maxillary wall only	11 (1.0)
N+O	66 (5.9)
N+Z	9 (0.8)
N+M	15 (1.3)
O+Z	2 (0.2)
O+M	12 (1.1)
Z+M	5 (0.4)
N+O+Z	2 (0.2)
N+O+M	18 (1.6)
O+Z+M	105 (9.3)
N+O+Z+M	30 (2.7)

(N: nasal bone, O: orbital wall, Z: zygomatic arch, M: maxillary wall)
Values are presented as number (%).

The sum of the percent does not equal 100% because of rounding.

Kyung-Pil Park et al: Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2015

occurred simultaneously with fractures in other areas were evaluated, nasal bone fractures occurred with a high incidence compared to other fracture areas (835 patients, 65.0%). This was followed by fractures in the orbital wall (29.2%), maxillary wall (15.3%), and zygomatic arch (13.2%). ZMC fractures had an incidence of 9.8%, followed by the mandibular symphysis (symphysis) (6.5%), mandibular angle (angle) (5.9%), mandibular condyle (condyle) (4.9%), and mandibular body (body) (1.9%).(Fig. 3. A)

When the midface and mandible are separately considered, midfacial fractures had a much higher ratio than mandibular fractures, with 86.2% of patients showing midfacial-only fractures and 12.2% with mandible-only fractures. Patients with simultaneous fractures of the midface and mandible were much rarer at 1.6%.(Fig. 3. B)




Fig. 3. Fracture area distribution. A. Site distribution of maxillofacial fractures in each site. B. Site distribution of maxillofacial fracture patients in midfacial and mandibular region. C. Left and right distribution of midfacial fracture. D. Left and right distribution of mandibular fracture. E. Percentage of single and multiple site fracture. (ZMC: zygomaticomaxillary complex, Symphysis: mandibular symphysis, Body: mandibular body, Angle: mandibular angle, Condyle: mandibular condyle)

Kyung-Pil Park et al: Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2015

1) Left and right distribution

Fractures on the left side of the face were more common than the right side. Particularly in the midface, left side fractures showed a higher incidence in all areas, including the orbital wall (left:right=1.5:1), zygomatic arch (left:right=1.4:1), maxillary wall (left:right=1.4:1), and ZMC (left:right=1.3:1). (Fig. 3. C) Though left side fractures were more frequent in the mandible, this disparity was not as significant in the body and condyle. In the angle area, fractures occurred twice as common on the left side compared to the right. Unlike other areas that showed unilateral fractures on the left or right side, the condyle showed a relatively higher incidence of bilateral fractures at 27.0%. (Fig. 3. D)

2) Ratio of single site fractures

For nasal bone fractures, out of 835 total cases, the number of single site fracture cases was 692 (61.7%), which was the highest single site fracture incidence. This was followed by fractures at the angle (48.7%), condyle (47.6%), orbital wall (37.3%), symphysis (31.0%), and body (20.0%), with rare incidences of single site fractures in the zygomatic arch and maxillary wall, at 9.4% and 4.1%, respectively. When ZMC fracture is considered a single site fracture, the single site fracture in ZMC was the most frequent (76.2%). Overall, single site fractures were 4.5 times more common than multiple site fractures in one patient. (Fig. 3. E)

3) Specific fracture incidence in midface/mandible

With regard to the type of fracture in the midface, fractures occurred in the following areas ranked by decreasing incidence: nasal bone-only (61.7%), orbital wall-only (12.4%), simultaneous orbital wall, zygomatic arch and maxillary wall (9.3%), simultaneous nasal bone and orbital wall (5.9%), followed by other less common sites. (Table 1) Regarding the types of fractures in the mandible, fractures occurred in the following areas ranked by decreasing incidence: angle-only (22.6%), symphysis-only (18.1%), condyle-only (18.1%), simultaneous symphysis and angle (15.3%), and simultaneous symphysis and condyle (10.7%). (Table 2)

4. Cause of injury

The causes of facial fracture were as follows: fall (32.5%), assault (26.0%), other (unintentional injury) (18.0%), sports (11.7%), and traffic accident (11.4%). Among all reasons for fractures, falls and assaults accounted for over half. (Fig. 4. A)

1) Causes in different age groups

In patients in their teens, 20s, and 30s, assault was the most frequent cause, accounting for 25.6%, 28.2%, and 31.7%, respectively. The other age groups showed a high fracture incidence due to falls, with a high percentage of patients in their 60s and 70s (59.1% and 65.3%, respectively). (Fig. 4. B) Cases in which the patients were intoxicated at the time of fall or assault were common. (Fig. 4. C) The correlation analysis revealed that fractures caused by sports occurred more in patients under the age of 39 (89.3% vs 10.7%; $P < 0.05$). (Table 3)

2) Causes in different fracture areas

In most cases, the most common cause of fracture was a fall, especially for condyle fractures (71.4%). In the zygomatic arch, maxillary wall, ZMC, and body, the second most common cause of fracture was traffic accident (31.8%, 28.1%, 34.9%, and 20.0%, respectively). In the angle, assault caused the highest percentage of fractures (31.6%), while assault was the second most common cause of orbital wall and nasal bone fractures (30.4% and 27.8%, respectively). (Fig. 4. D) The correlation analysis revealed that nasal bone fractures had a greater causal relationship with other causes and sports than the other site fractures. Zygomatic arch fractures were more related to falls and traffic accidents than other site fractures ($P < 0.05$). (Table 4)

Table 2. Site distribution of mandibular fractures (n=177)

Fracture site	Number of patients
Mandibular symphysis only	32 (18.1)
Mandibular body only	8 (4.5)
Mandibular angle only	40 (22.6)
Mandibular condyle only	32 (18.1)
S+B	1 (0.6)
S+A	27 (15.3)
S+C	19 (10.7)
B+A	4 (2.3)
B+C	8 (4.5)
A+C	1 (0.6)
S+B+A	2 (1.1)
S+B+C	1 (0.6)
S+A+C	1 (0.6)
S+B+A+C	1 (0.6)

(S: mandibular symphysis, B: mandibular body, A: mandibular angle, C: mandibular condyle)

Values are presented as number (%).

The sum of the percent does not equal 100% because of rounding.

Kyung-Pil Park et al: Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2015




Fig. 4. Cause distribution. A. Cause of injury. B. Cause of injury according to the age group. C. Percentage of alcohol intoxication in each cause according to the age group. D. Cause of injury according to the fracture site. (TA: traffic accident, Others: hit by something or person unintentionally, ZMC: zygomaticomaxillary complex, Symphysis: mandibular symphysis, Body: mandibular body, Angle: mandibular angle, Condyle: mandibular condyle)

Kyung-Pil Park et al: Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2015

5. Time lapse

1) Time from injury to treatment

Time from injury to treatment showed a regular distribution from 1 to 7 days, with 5 days being the most frequent in 11.8% of cases; the average time was 6.0 days. The necessary treatment was mostly performed within 2 weeks, but 4.5% of patients received treatment after 15 days or more.(Fig. 5. A)

2) Hospitalization period

The most common hospitalization period was 3 days at 18.9%, and the average period was 5.8 days. Five point six percentages of patients were hospitalized for more than 15 days, usually for long-term observation and to receive either pre- or postoperative treatment for non-facial trauma.(Fig. 5. B)

6. Complications after surgery

Among 1,284 patients, 17 patients experienced postoperative complications (0.013%). Postoperative infection was the most common with 10 cases, followed by malocclusion in 3

Table 3. Correlation of cause with age

Etiology	Age (yr)		Total
	≤ 39	≥ 40	
Fall	202 (48.4)	215 (51.6)	417
Assault	226 (67.7)	108 (32.3)	334
Other	167 (72.3)	64 (27.7)	231
Sports	134 (89.3)*	16 (10.7)*	150
TA	89 (61.0)	57 (39.0)	146
Total	818 (64.0)	460 (36.0)	1,278

(TA: traffic accident)

*Statistical significance, $P < 0.05$.

Values are presented as number (%).

Six patients with fractures of unknown etiology were excluded.

Kyung-Pil Park et al: Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2015

cases. Postoperative infection occurred in 3 cases in the maxillary wall and in 7 cases in the mandible. All 3 cases of malocclusion occurred in the mandible, with 1 case of mandible fracture showing simultaneous malocclusion and postoperative infection. Additionally, one case of wound dehiscence and one case of unknown headache occurred in patients with maxillary wall fractures. One hematoma and two reports of granuloma formation occurred in patients with orbital wall fractures.(Table 5)

IV. Discussion

In this study, patients with facial fractures who visited the emergency, dentistry, ophthalmology, otorhinolaryngology, and plastic surgery departments in SMG-SNU Boramae Hospital within the last 4 years were retrospectively analyzed based on the patients' medical records and radiological imaging. We attempted to update the most recent knowledge on

Table 4. Correlation of cause with fracture area

Etiology	Type of fracture								Total
	Nasal bone	Orbital wall	Zygomatic arch	Maxillary wall	Symphysis	Body	Angle	Condyle	
Fall	235 (37.4)	120 (19.1)	81 (12.9)*	80 (12.7)	36 (5.7)	11 (1.8)	20 (3.2)	45 (7.2)	628
Assault	232 (51.1)	114 (25.1)	14 (3.1)	39 (8.6)	19 (4.2)	5 (1.1)	24 (5.3)	7 (1.5)	454
Other	170 (63.0)*	45 (16.7)	12 (4.4)	12 (4.4)	9 (3.3)	3 (1.1)	18 (6.7)	1 (0.4)	270
Sports	121 (68.8)*	21 (11.9)	9 (5.1)	9 (5.1)	5 (2.8)	1 (0.6)	9 (5.1)	1 (0.6)	176
TA	74 (25.8)	74 (25.8)	54 (18.8)*	55 (19.2)	13 (4.5)	5 (1.7)	5 (1.7)	7 (2.4)	287
Total	832 (45.8)	374 (20.6)	170 (9.3)	195 (10.7)	82 (4.6)	25 (1.4)	76 (4.2)	61 (3.5)	1,815

(Symphysis: mandibular symphysis, Body: mandibular body, Angle: mandibular angle, Condyle: mandibular condyle, TA: traffic accident)

*Statistical significance, $P < 0.05$.

Values are presented as number (%) or number only.

The sum of the percent does not equal 100% because of rounding.

Nine fracture sites of unknown etiology were excluded.

Kyung-Pil Park et al: Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2015




Fig. 5. Time lapse. A. Time from injury to treatment. B. Hospitalization period.

Kyung-Pil Park et al: Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2015

Table 5. Postoperative complications according to fracture site

Complication	Nasal bone	Orbital wall	Zygomatic arch	Maxillary wall	Mandible	Total
Infection	-	-	-	3	7	10
Malocclusion	-	-	-	-	3	3
Wound dehiscence	-	-	-	1	-	1
Hematoma	-	1	-	-	-	1
Caruncular granuloma	-	1	-	-	-	1
Foreign body granuloma	-	1	-	-	-	1
Secondary headache	-	-	-	1	-	1
Total	0	3	0	5	10	18

Values are presented as number.

Kyung-Pil Park et al: Fracture patterns in the maxillofacial region: a four-year retrospective study. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2015

facial fractures in the Seoul metropolitan region of Korea and to utilize the information as a guide for treatment and policy-making in the future without leaning on one perspective from a specific department.

With the exception of pre-teens, men experienced the most fractures across all age groups. The average ratio of males to females was 3.2:1. This can be explained by the fact that men have more exposure to public behaviors such as drinking, driving, and assault than women. This finding is in accordance with other studies on fracture occurrence. Morris et al.⁸ and Greathouse et al.⁹ reported a 5:1 and a 2.7:1 ratio in their studies, respectively. In particular, Al Ahmed et al.¹⁰ reported a huge bias against men with an 11:1 ratio, which may be due to ethnic characteristics in the UAE where social freedom for women is more restrictive than in other countries. On the other hand, in countries with more social freedom for women such as Greenland, Finland, and Austria, the sexual ratio remains 2.1:1¹¹.

Individuals in their 20s showed the highest incidence (25.5%) of fractures, and most patients were concentrated in the 10s to 40s (76.5%) age group. Likewise, Lee et al.¹² and Kim et al.² reported the highest occurrence in patients in their 20s. High physical and social activity in this age group may increase their chances of being exposed to trauma with a resultant high fracture incidence. On the other hand, there is also research that the rate of fractures in the elderly over 50 years old is increasing due to an aging society¹¹.

There was no significant difference in the number of patients over the 4 years studied from 2010 to 2013. In contrast, Jeon et al.¹¹ reported that the number of fractures approximately doubled each trimester in their analysis on facial trauma trends during 3 periods between 1981 and 2012. Kim et al.² reported an increase in the number of fractures over time. Additional study is necessary to determine whether this trend is due to an actual increase in the number of fracture patients or an increase in the diagnosis of fractures due to the

availability of emergency facilities and increasing demand of attention by patients.

Fractures were most frequent in March, May, and August, but it was difficult to identify a trend. Lee et al.¹² reported that fractures occur in times of social activity or during vacations. Kwon et al.¹³ reported fracture rates of 46.5% in June, July, and August, and 11.2% in December, January, and February, which implies that fractures occur in the hottest periods. When the month is divided into three periods, the middle and end of the month showed the highest incidence as social gatherings are more frequent during these periods. Though not addressed by this study, Sundays and the hours from 6 p.m. to midnight have been reported to be the most common times for accidents^{12,13}.

In 1970, Schultz¹⁴ reported the incidence of fractures in different facial areas as follows: nasal bone (37.0%), zygoma and zygomatic arch (15.4%), mandible (10.9%), and maxilla (8.1%). In 1983, Brook and Wood¹⁵ reported the order to be ZMC, mandible and maxilla, with midfacial fractures being more common than lower facial fractures. However, in 2006, Brasileiro and Passeri¹⁶ reported facial fractures with the following incidence: mandible (41.3%), ZMC (38.9%), nasal bone (22.2%), maxilla (6%), with lower facial fractures occurring more frequently than midfacial fractures. In stark contrast, our study showed much more frequent fractures in the midface, with 86.2% in the midface, 12.2% in the mandible, and 1.6% simultaneously occurring in the midface and mandible. The nasal bone (65.0%) was the most frequent fracture area, followed by the orbital wall (29.2%), maxillary wall (15.3%), zygomatic arch (13.2%), ZMC (9.8%), and mandible (9.1%). In other words, fractures were more frequent in the nasal bone and orbital wall because the low mechanical strength and thinness increase the likelihood of a small force inducing fracture, compared to areas where greater impact must be applied to cause a fracture such as the ZMC or mandible. Furthermore, these results are in accor-

dance with other research showing that nasal bone fractures are the most common, as the nose is the most exposed facial area¹⁷. In mandible fractures, fracture in the symphysis was the most frequent (33.9% of mandible fractures), followed by the angle (30.6% of mandible fractures), condyle (25.4% of mandible fractures), and body (10.1% of mandible fractures). This is also quite different from the results of other studies. Iida et al.¹⁸ reported the incidence of fractures as the condyle (33.6%), angle (21.7%), and symphysis (16.7%), while Morris et al.⁸ and James et al.¹⁹ reported that fractures were more common in the angle. In other words, all papers showed somewhat different results, which is attributed to social, economic, conventional, cultural, and regional differences. In addition, different distributions were observed in different clinical departments. That is, in studies performed in plastic surgery department, midfacial fractures were the most common whereas mandible fractures were the most common in studies conducted in dentistry departments¹¹.

Fractures on the left side are slightly more common than on the right. Excluding the nasal bone and symphysis areas (where it is difficult to distinguish left from right), out of 1,031 cases, 568 fractures occurred on the left side, 396 fractures on the right side, and 67 fractures occurred bilaterally. The incidence of fractures on the left side was 1.4 times higher. This is likely related to the fact that there are more right-handed than left-handed people. In the condyle, left side fracture was more frequent, but the difference was very small. The condyle also showed a higher ratio of bilateral fracture than other areas. This indicates that bilateral fracture should be considered when examining patients with condylar fractures.

Single site fracture was approximately 4.5 times higher than fractures in 2 or more areas. However, this figure might be exaggerated because 692 patients with single site fractures had nasal bone fractures, which was much higher than any other type of fracture. Therefore, excluding single site nasal bone fractures, the percentage of single site fractures was approximately 60.5%. Though fracture in only one area was still more frequent, 2 out of 5 patients were injured in two or more areas. Furthermore, after excluding the ZMC area, which had the highest single site fracture incidence after the nasal bone, the percentage of single site fractures was approximately 50%, which indicates that 1 out of 2 patients had injuries in 2 or more areas. In fact, ZMC fracture is a multiple fracture occurring in four areas. If we take this into account, it is expected that multiple fractures in 2 or more areas is more frequent than single site fractures other than nasal bone fractures. That is, because the face has bones clustered in a

relatively small area, multiple fractures are likely to occur frequently. This implies that consideration of multiple areas is often necessary in treating facial bone fractures.

Many previous studies on the cause of fractures, including the study by Morris et al.⁸, van Beek and Merckx²⁰, Ugboko et al.²¹, Lee et al.¹², reported traffic accidents as the most common cause. Other studies reported assault as the major cause of facial bone fractures^{15,22}. There is also a report indicating that the major cause of fractures has changed from traffic accidents to falls¹¹. In this study, a fall was the most common cause of fracture at 32.5%, followed by assault. Therefore, 1 out of 2 patients in this study were injured due to a fall or assault. Injury due to traffic accidents was comparatively uncommon (11.4%). This is likely related to our hospital's setup as we do not specialize in traffic accidents. Assault was the most common cause in individuals in their 30s and younger, whereas in those 60 years and over, a fall was the most common cause. Kwon et al.¹³ also reported that assault was the main cause of facial bone fracture in young adults and middle-aged adults, while falls or traffic accidents were the main causes in the elderly. In addition, patients under 39 years had relatively more injuries related to sports than patients over 40 years in our study. It is the natural outcome associated with the relative inactivity of the elderly. All these results imply that behaviors related to each age group affect the cause of fracture. Jeon et al.¹¹ argued that insurance coverage must be carefully considered when analyzing the cause of bone fractures. This suggests that since insurance does not cover assault in Korea, it should be taken into account that patients may list other causes such as falls and so on as the primary cause of injury. A third of patients who had fractures due to assault or falls were found to be intoxicated at the time of injury, which suggests a connection between facial bone fracture and alcohol consumption. Although there have been few studies regarding the correlation of cause and site of injury, specific causes may appear to be focused in different areas. In this study, once injuries related to other causes or sports occurred, they resulted in nasal bone fracture more easily. The same applied to zygomatic arch fractures due to fall or traffic accident.

During the secondary healing process after bone fracture, a hard callus starts to form after approximately 3 weeks²³. Therefore, it is optimal to treat or perform surgery within 2 weeks after the injury for adequate reduction without intentional osteotomy. Also, when nerves or muscles are injured or pressured by fracture fragments, it is preferable to treat the injury as soon as possible¹³. The average time between

injury and treatment was 6 days in this study. Three out of 4 patients received the necessary treatment within 1 week and 95% received the necessary treatment within 2 weeks, showing that treatment was performed within the appropriate time period. In previous studies, treatment was performed within 2 weeks¹². Meanwhile, in cases postponed over 15 days, nasal bone fracture and orbital wall fracture were most common, accounting for 28 cases (49.1%) and 21 cases (36.8%), respectively. The most common cause of delay was non-medical reasons, such as late presentation to the hospital due to few symptoms after injury or postponement of surgery by the patient for personal reasons, accounting for 28 cases (49.1%). The second most common cause was a late decision regarding the necessity of treatment due to detection of changes in the nasal tip or abrupt diplopia during the follow-up period, occurring in 17 cases (29.8%). That is, the decision to perform surgery following nasal bone or orbital wall fractures with no serious symptoms occasionally takes some time. Additionally, there were cases in which treatment was postponed due to systemic problems (10 cases) or where primary organ surgery was first performed due to multiple traumas in addition to facial bone fractures (2 cases).

The incidence of complications after facial bone fracture surgery is reported to vary from 8% to 11%. Complications include infection; malocclusion; malunion; exposure of the metal plate; decreased sensation; scar formation; and ophthalmologic complications such as diplopia, enophthalmosis, ectropion, limitation of extraocular muscle action, and loss or decrease in vision. Some studies reported that ophthalmologic complications account for almost half of all complications^{12,13}. The causes of complications are unskilled practitioners or drinking and smoking by patients^{24,25}. In this study, the occurrence of complications was very small, with a total of 17 patients (0.013%). This seems to be due to the fact that almost all surgeries were performed by a specialist with proper clinical experience. The most common complications were postoperative infection and malocclusion as in other studies; these were most frequently found in the mandible. As patients with an injury to treatment interval of over 3 weeks did not show any complications, the relationship between postponement of treatment and occurrence of complications could not be established. This also requires further study.

Since preceding studies mostly analyzed facial bone fractures in patients that visited specific departments, the occurrence of injuries was focused on a particular area, which caused difficulties in the objective analysis of facial bone fractures¹¹. However, this study focused on all facial fracture

patients who visited our hospital regardless of the department, which enables a more precise analysis. However, as it uses data from only one hospital, the generalizability may be reduced. Therefore, further epidemiological studies that integrate all data from nearby hospitals are required.

V. Conclusion

The results of this study on facial bone fractures are summarized as follows.

1. Fractures occurred approximately 3.2 times more often in men, and 76.4% of the patients were within the 10s to 40s age group.
2. Fractures were more prevalent in the middle and end of a month than the beginning.
3. Midfacial fractures were 6.4 times more frequent than mandible fractures. When counting multiple fractures separately, the order of incidence was the nasal bone (65.0%), orbital wall (29.2%), maxillary wall (15.3%), zygomatic arch (13.2%), ZMC (9.8%), symphysis (6.5%), angle (5.9%), condyle (4.9%), and body (1.9%).
4. Fractures occurred 1.5 times more often on the left side than on the right.
5. Single site fractures were 4.5 times more common, but this figure includes single site nasal bone fractures. When nasal bone fractures are excluded, more than half of the patients had multiple fractures in 2 or more areas.
6. The main causes of fractures were a fall (32.5%) and assault (26.0%), and one third of these fractures were related to alcohol use.
7. Patients injured by other causes or sports had a high probability of nasal bone fracture. Falls and traffic accident were likely to cause zygomatic arch fractures.
8. Seven out of 10 patients received necessary treatment within a week and 95.5% were discharged within 2 weeks of treatment.
9. The incidence of complications was very low (0.013%). Postoperative infection and malocclusion were the most common complications, and they occurred more frequently in mandible fractures.

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

ORCID

Kyung-Pil Park, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0240-1145>
Seong-Un Lim, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6112-1768>
Jeong-Hwan Kim, <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1424-5802>
Won-Bae Chun, <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7303-1746>
Dong-Whan Shin, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1792-2023>
Jun-Young Kim, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1960-1848>
Ho Lee, <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0413-2954>

References

1. Miloro M, Ghali GE, Larsen PE, Waite P. Peterson's principles of oral and maxillofacial surgery. 3rd ed. Shelton: People's Medical Publishing House-USA; 2012.
2. Kim HG, Son YH, Chung IK. Facial bone fracture patients visiting Pusan national university hospital in Busan and Yangsan: trends and risks. *Maxillofac Plast Reconstr Surg* 2014;36:140-5.
3. Gassner R, Tuli T, Hächl O, Rudisch A, Ulmer H. Cranio-maxillofacial trauma: a 10 year review of 9,543 cases with 21,067 injuries. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2003;31:51-61.
4. Giroto JA, MacKenzie E, Fowler C, Redett R, Robertson B, Manson PN. Long-term physical impairment and functional outcomes after complex facial fractures. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 2001;108:312-27.
5. Motamedi MH. An assessment of maxillofacial fractures: a 5-year study of 237 patients. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2003;61:61-4.
6. Down KE, Boot DA, Gorman DF. Maxillofacial and associated injuries in severely traumatized patients: implications of a regional survey. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1995;24:409-12.
7. Haug RH, Prather J, Indresano AT. An epidemiologic survey of facial fractures and concomitant injuries. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1990;48:926-32.
8. Morris C, Bebeau NP, Brockhoff H, Tandon R, Tiwana P. Mandibular fractures: an analysis of the epidemiology and patterns of injury in 4,143 fractures. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2015;73:951.e1-12.
9. Greathouse ST, Adkinson JM, Garza R 3rd, Gilstrap J, Miller NF, Eid SM, et al. Impact of injury mechanisms on patterns and management of facial fractures. *J Craniofac Surg* 2015;26:1529-33.
10. Al Ahmed HE, Jaber MA, Abu Fanas SH, Karas M. The pattern of maxillofacial fractures in Sharjah, United Arab Emirates: a review of 230 cases. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2004;98:166-70.
11. Jeon EG, Jung DY, Lee JS, Seol GJ, Choi SY, Paeng JY, et al. Maxillofacial trauma trends at a tertiary care hospital: a retrospective study. *Maxillofac Plast Reconstr Surg* 2014;36:253-8.
12. Lee JH, Mun GH, Bang SI. A clinical & statistical analysis of the facial bone fractures: 7 years survey. *J Korean Soc Plast Reconstr Surg* 1998;25:1046-52.
13. Kwon HJ, Han J, Kim JH, Jung HY, Kim JY, Yoon SH, et al. Clinical epidemiologic study of facial bone fractures in Daegu. *J Korean Soc Plast Reconstr Surg* 2007;34:365-70.
14. Schultz RC. One thousand consecutive cases of major facial injury. *Rev Surg* 1970;27:394-410.
15. Brook IM, Wood N. Aetiology and incidence of facial fractures in adults. *Int J Oral Surg* 1983;12:293-8.
16. Brasileiro BF, Passeri LA. Epidemiological analysis of maxillofacial fractures in Brazil: a 5-year prospective study. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2006;102:28-34.
17. Fonseca RJ, Marciani RD, Turvey TA, Carlson ER, Braun TW. Oral and maxillofacial surgery: trauma, surgical pathology, temporomandibular disorders. 2nd ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Saunders; 2009.
18. Iida S, Kogo M, Sugiura T, Mima T, Matsuya T. Retrospective analysis of 1502 patients with facial fractures. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2001;30:286-90.
19. James RB, Fredrickson C, Kent JN. Prospective study of mandibular fractures. *J Oral Surg* 1981;39:275-81.
20. van Beek GJ, Merckx CA. Changes in the pattern of fractures of the maxillofacial skeleton. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1999;28:424-8.
21. Ugboko VI, Odusanya SA, Fagade OO. Maxillofacial fractures in a semi-urban Nigerian teaching hospital. A review of 442 cases. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1998;27:286-9.
22. Ellis E 3rd, el-Attar A, Moos KF. An analysis of 2,067 cases of zygomatico-orbital fracture. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1985;43:417-28.
23. Fonseca RJ, Walker RV. Oral and maxillofacial trauma. 3rd ed. St. Louis: Elsevier Saunders; 2005.
24. Kelly DE, Harrigan WF. A survey of facial fractures: Bellevue Hospital, 1948-1974. *J Oral Surg* 1975;33:146-9.
25. Torgersen S, Tornes K. Maxillofacial fractures in a Norwegian district. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1992;21:335-8.