



Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery

Suyun Seon*, Hyun-Woo Lee*, Bong-Jin Jeong, Baek-Soo Lee, Yong-Dae Kwon, Joo-Young Ohe
Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, School of Dentistry, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea

Abstract (J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2020;46:385-392)

Objectives: This study evaluates soft tissue changes of the upper lip and nose after maxillary setback with orthognathic surgery such as Le Fort I or anterior segmental osteotomy.

Materials and Methods: All 50 patients with bimaxillary protrusion and skeletal Class II malocclusion underwent Le Fort I or anterior segmental osteotomy with backward movement. Soft and hard tissue changes were analyzed using cephalograms collected preoperatively and 6 months postoperatively.

Results: Cluster analysis on the ratios shows that 2 lines intersected at 4 mm point. Based on this point, we divided the subjects into 2 groups: Group A (less than 4 mm, 27 subjects) and Group B (more than 4 mm, 23 subjects). Also, each group was divided according to changes of upper incisor angle ($\geq 4^\circ$ =A1, B1 or $< 4^\circ$ =A2, B2). The correlation between A and B groups for A'/ANS and Ls/Is ($P < 0.001$) was significant; A'/A ($P = 0.002$), PRN/A ($P = 0.043$), PRN/ANS ($P = 0.032$), and St/Is ($P = 0.010$). Variation of nasolabial angle between the two groups was not significant. There was no significant correlation of vertical movement and angle variation.

Conclusion: The ratio of soft tissue to hard tissue movement depends on the amount of posterior movement in the maxilla, showing approximately two times higher rates in most of the midface when posterior movement was greater than 4 mm. The soft tissue changes caused by posterior movement of the maxilla were little affected by angular changes of upper incisors. Interestingly, nasolabial angle showed a different tendency between A and B groups and was more affected by incisal angular changes when horizontal posterior movement was less than 4 mm.

Key words: Orthognathic surgery, Soft tissue change, Maxillary setback, Cephalogram

[paper submitted 2020. 8. 3 / revised 2020. 9. 14 / accepted 2020. 9. 24]

I. Introduction

Correction of facial deformities by orthognathic surgery aims to restore normal functions and appearance of the oral and maxillofacial region. Facial profile changes after surgery are the first visual indicator of successful results and, thus, one of the critical determinants of patient satisfaction. Therefore, accurate prediction of changes in soft tissue in response

to hard tissue movement is essential in order to achieve patient satisfaction with aesthetic outcomes.

The nasolabial region of the midface is essential in determining facial aesthetics, and it can be significantly affected by skeletal movement related to maxillary surgery such as Le Fort I or segmental osteotomy¹. Nevertheless, it is difficult to predict soft tissue movement after orthognathic surgery due to the various factors involved in soft tissue movement².

Research on soft tissue changes after orthognathic surgery has been increasing with the need for a more accurate prediction of postoperative outcomes. Hack et al.³ showed that the ratio of soft tissue to hard tissue movement following Le Fort I surgery approached 1:1 over a long-term period. However, Betts et al.² argued that soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nasolabial area are directly affected by adjacent soft tissue and skeletal muscles, and concluded that it is difficult to determine the ratio of movement due to the various factors involved.

There have been numerous studies on soft tissue changes

Joo-Young Ohe

Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Kyung Hee University Dental Hospital, School of Dentistry, Kyung Hee University, 23 Kyungheedaero, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Korea
TEL: +82-2-958-9440 FAX: +82-2-958-4572
E-mail: ojyoung81@khu.ac.kr
ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4411-7871

*These authors contributed equally to this work as first authors.

© This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2020 The Korean Association of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgeons. All rights reserved.

after maxillary surgical movement, reporting soft to hard tissue ratios ranging from 0.33:1 to 0.9:1²⁻⁷. Moreover, another study mainly focused on soft-tissue changes in the upper lip and nose responding to anterior or superior movement of the maxilla^{3,8}. However, posterior movement of the maxilla through orthognathic surgery has been steadily increasing to optimize facial aesthetics. Thus, soft tissue changes related to posterior movement of the maxilla should be further investigated⁹.

Several research methods have been introduced to predict soft tissue changes associated with facial bone movement^{4,10,11}. Lateral cephalometric radiography, cephalometric prediction with video images, and three-dimensional computerized tomography are commonly used in clinical settings. Lateral cephalometric radiography is generally considered a reliable tool for evaluating pre- and postsurgical measurements and is widely utilized for treatment planning and evaluation¹². Yitschaky et al.¹³ demonstrated that the difference in 2D and 3D images for linear or ratio measurement was not significant.

In this study, we sought to analyze postoperative changes in soft tissue by lateral cephalometric radiography among patients, measuring the ratio of soft tissue change to the corresponding maxillary posterior movement. Moreover, we investigated correlations between the soft tissue changes and influencing factors that could increase the accuracy of predicted outcomes of orthognathic surgery.

II. Materials and Methods

1. Subjects

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyung Hee University Dental Hospital (No. KHD IRB 1311-1), and the informed consent was waived.

The subjects comprised 50 patients (10 males, 40 females) diagnosed with bimaxillary protrusion or skeletal class II malocclusion who underwent orthognathic surgery such as Le Fort I osteotomy or maxillary anterior segmental osteotomy between February 2010 and October 2013 at Kyung Hee University Dental Hospital. The age of patients ranged from 19 to 33 years at the time of surgery. We only included patients who underwent maxillary orthognathic surgery with backward movement of the maxilla parallel to the horizontal reference line based on the A point. Other surgical techniques such as rotation and impaction were included as long as the overall direction of maxilla was posterior. Changes in mid-facial soft tissue after maxillary rotational movement could be evaluated by measuring the vertical and horizontal linear movement of bone and angular changes of incisors. Postoperative follow-up was conducted over a period of at least six months and confirmed that no complications or relapses occurred that required surgical intervention. The patients in this study underwent postoperative orthodontic treatment and we measured linear and angular movement of various landmarks on the anterior maxillary teeth and bone including orthodontic movement 6 months postoperative.

Table 1. Two-dimensional landmarks for orthognathic surgery

	Abbreviation	Landmark	Definition
Hard tissue reference	ANS	Anterior nasal spine	Protrusion of the maxilla at the base of the nose
	N	Nasion	The midpoint of the frontonasal suture
	S	Sella	
	A	A point	Position of deepest concavity on the anterior profile of the maxilla
	Ia	Incisor anterior	The most prominent point on the maxillary incisor
Soft tissue reference	Is	Incisor superius	The incisal tip of the most anterior maxillary central incisor
	PRN	Pronasale	Most anterior point of the nose tip
	Sn	Subnasale	Point at which the nasal septum merges with the upper cutaneous lip in the midsagittal plane
Measurement	A'	Soft tissue A point	Deepest point on the upper lip
	Ls	Labrale superius	Most anterior point of the upper lip
	St	Stomion superius	Most inferior point of the upper lip
	Upper incisor angle		Angles between HRL and the upper central incisor axis
	Nasolabial angle		Angle formed by a line tangent to the columella through the subnasale and by a line tangent to the upper lip
	Distance from VRL		Horizontal changes of the hard and soft tissue according to movement of the maxilla
	Distance from HRL		Vertical changes of the hard and soft tissue according to movement of the maxilla

(VRL: vertical reference line, HRL: horizontal reference line)

Suyun Seon et al: Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2020

2. Methods

1) Lateral cephalometric radiographs and measurements

Lateral cephalometric radiographs were taken within one month preoperatively (T0) and six months postoperatively (T1) using CX 90SP (Asahi, Tokyo, Japan) in the natural head position with centric occlusion and centric relation. Lateral cephalometric radiography was obtained by a single operator who performed measurement using the V-ceph program (ver. 4.0; Cybermed, Seoul, Korea). The analysis of lateral cephalometric radiographs was performed by an oral and maxillofacial surgeon and a third-year resident using the Legan and Burstone cephalometric analysis introduced by Burstone et al.¹⁴ and Moore¹⁵ in late 1970s. For consistency between examiners, the two examiners gathered and consulted in advance and this analysis was conducted once every two weeks for 4 weeks. A horizontal line drawn 7° clockwise from the Sella-Nasion (SN) plane through the sella was used as the horizontal reference line (HRL), and a vertical line perpendicularly drawn from the sella to the HRL was used as the vertical reference line. After soft and hard tissue landmarks in the maxilla were identified, horizontal and vertical distances and angles from the reference line were measured. The reference lines and landmarks used in this study are listed below. (Table 1, Fig. 1, 2)




Fig. 1. Cephalometric hard tissue landmarks and reference planes. (HRL: horizontal reference line, S: sella, N: nasion, ANS: anterior nasal spine, A: A point, Ia: incisor anterior, Is: incisor superior, Upper incisor angle: upper incisor to HRL, VRL: vertical reference line)

Suyun Seon et al: Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2020

2) Statistical analysis

Data analyses were performed with independent t-test and Mann–Whitney U test using IBM SPSS (ver. 20; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Cluster analysis was also conducted by measuring the amount of hard tissue movement from landmark A to A' and by evaluating the ratio of soft tissue movement in response to hard tissue movement. A normality test was performed to determine parametric and non-parametric methods. Mann–Whitney U test and independent t-test were used as the non-parametric and parametric tests in this study to determine the correlation between groups, respectively. The significance level was set to $P < 0.05$.

III. Results

1. Cluster analysis of soft and hard tissue changes

We performed cluster analysis based on horizontal changes of A' on soft tissue according to the amount of horizontal, posterior movement of reference point A on hard tissue, and the amount of soft tissue movement to hard tissue movement ratio. We found two lines with different slopes intersected near 4 mm of hard tissue movement (x-axis), and therefore additionally divided subjects into two groups: Less than 4 mm (Group A, 27 subjects) and more than 4 mm (Group B, 23 subjects).(Fig. 3) Each group was further divided into two




Fig. 2. Cephalometric soft tissue landmarks and reference planes. (HRL: horizontal reference line, S: sella, PRN: pronasale, Sn: subnasale, A': soft tissue A point, Ls: labrale superius, St: stomion superius, VRL: vertical reference line)

Suyun Seon et al: Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2020




Fig. 3. Relationship between the movement of hard tissue and soft tissue. Ratio of soft tissue on the hard tissue. Two different gradient lines crossing near the 4 mm (x-axis) point.

Suyun Seon et al: Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2020

subgroups to evaluate the effects of incisal angular changes on the soft tissue: a subgroup with changes in upper incisor angle ($\geq 4^\circ$, Group A1: 12 subjects, 5.38 ± 5.31 ; Group B1: 8 subjects, 9.38 ± 3.93) and a subgroup with few changes ($< 4^\circ$, Group A2: 15 subjects; B2: 15 subjects).

2. A comparison of soft tissue change to hard tissue movement ratios in Group A and Group B

1) Horizontal movement

Our results show that there were statistically significant soft tissue changes in A' according to hard tissue changes in A, with a mean ratio of 0.2:1 in Group A and 0.4:1 in Group B with a P-value of 0.002.(Table 2) The ratio of PRN to A changes was 0.3:1 in Group A and 0.3:1 in Group B with a P-value of 0.043. The mean ratio of A' to ANS was also statistically significant at 0.3:1 in Group A and 0.5:1 in Group

Table 2. Ratios of soft tissue (ST) to hard tissue (HT) horizontal movement in groups A and B

Ratio (ST/HT)	Group A		Group B		P-value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
$\Delta A'/\Delta A$	0.226	0.304	0.420	0.133	0.002*
$\Delta SN/\Delta A$	0.298	0.318	0.331	0.127	0.369
$\Delta PRN/\Delta A$	0.298	0.597	0.312	0.126	0.043*
$\Delta A'/\Delta ANS$	0.288	0.474	0.469	0.109	0.001**
$\Delta Sn/\Delta ANS$	0.398	0.565	0.367	0.110	0.097
$\Delta PRN/\Delta ANS$	0.348	0.571	0.354	0.138	0.032*
$\Delta Ls/\Delta Ia$	0.729	0.559	0.895	0.214	0.156
$\Delta St/\Delta Ia$	1.169	0.733	1.119	0.263	0.510
$\Delta Ls/\Delta Is$	0.516	0.354	0.972	0.376	0.000**
$\Delta St/\Delta Is$	0.868	0.450	1.196	0.397	0.010*
$\Delta Nasolabial\ angle$	-8.500	6.090	-6.636	2.887	0.346

(Δ : change between pre and postoperative measurements, SD: standard deviation)

* $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.001$ on independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U test, respectively.

Refer to Table 1 for the definition of landmarks.

Suyun Seon et al: Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2020

Table 3. Ratios of soft tissue (ST) to hard tissue (HT) vertical movement in groups A and B

Ratio (ST/HT)	Group A		Group B		P-value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
$\Delta A'/\Delta A$	0.994	4.140	0.111	0.559	0.360
$\Delta Sn/\Delta A$	-0.540	2.742	0.450	1.232	0.622
$\Delta PRN/\Delta A$	0.647	1.901	0.122	0.583	0.390
$\Delta A'/\Delta ANS$	0.3125	1.68838	-0.5545	2.37750	0.211
$\Delta Sn/\Delta ANS$	-0.2143	2.46951	-0.9833	3.63306	0.805
$\Delta PRN/\Delta ANS$	0.3881	3.32369	0.3333	1.16013	0.767
$\Delta Ls/\Delta Ia$	0.1720	1.26585	-0.1360	1.20700	0.182
$\Delta St/\Delta Ia$	0.2042	1.58862	0.2408	0.69078	0.852
$\Delta Ls/\Delta Is$	0.0641	1.22180	-1.0618	5.45496	0.914
$\Delta St/\Delta Is$	0.2235	0.99356	0.1291	2.83792	0.307

(Δ : change between pre and postoperative measurements, SD: standard deviation)

P-values were calculated using independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U test according to normality of the data.

Refer to Table 1 for the definition of landmarks.

Suyun Seon et al: Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2020

B with a P -value of 0.001. The ratio of labrale superius (Ls) to incisor superius (Is) changes was approximately 0.5:1 in Group A and 1.0:1 in Group B with a P -value of less than 0.001. The mean ratio for stomion superius (St) to Is change was 0.9:1 and 1.2:1 in groups A and B, respectively ($P=0.010$). There were no significant changes in nasolabial angle in relation to the horizontal movement of hard tissue in the maxilla. On the other hand, the ratio of Sn to A change, Sn to ANS change, Ls to incisor antierius (Ia) changes, and St to Ia changes showed no statistical significance with a P -value of more than 0.05.

2) Vertical movement

There was no significant difference in vertical movement of soft tissue in response to posterior movement of hard tissue.(Table 3)

3. A comparison between subgroups according to upper incisor angle change

The nasolabial angle in subgroup A1 with upper incisor angle changes increased 6.2° on mean and 11.5° in subgroup A2 with no upper incisor angle changes showing statistical significance (P -value of 0.017). However, the results of Group B subgroups were similar in terms of nasolabial angle except the ratio of Ls to Is change ($P<0.05$). (Tables 4, 5)

IV. Discussion

Predicting accurate treatment outcomes considering adjacent soft tissue movement is important, particularly when planning of orthognathic surgery for patients with skeletal malocclusion. However, facial soft tissue is a complex structure interconnected with facial bones through a continually

Table 4. Ratio of soft tissue (ST) to hard tissue (HT) horizontal movement according to incisal angle variation in Group A

Ratio (ST/HT) (<4 mm)	Subgroup A1		Subgroup A2		P -value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
$\Delta A'/\Delta A$	0.182	0.314	0.285	0.294	0.188
$\Delta Sn/\Delta A$	0.286	0.331	0.313	0.314	0.770
$\Delta PRN/\Delta A$	0.354	0.747	0.222	0.320	0.938
$\Delta A'/\Delta ANS$	0.184	0.368	0.426	0.575	0.086
$\Delta Sn/\Delta ANS$	0.403	0.590	0.392	0.554	0.716
$\Delta PRN/\Delta ANS$	0.318	0.539	0.389	0.633	0.918
$\Delta Ls/\Delta Ia$	0.799	0.618	0.635	0.479	0.451
$\Delta St/\Delta Ia$	1.313	0.867	0.976	0.473	0.261
$\Delta Ls/\Delta Is$	0.490	0.373	0.551	0.340	0.658
$\Delta St/\Delta Is$	0.907	0.536	0.816	0.316	0.606
Δ Nasolabial angle	-6.188	3.674	-11.583	7.391	0.017*

(Δ : change between pre and postoperative measurements, SD: standard deviation)

* $P<0.05$ on independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U test according to normality of the data.

Refer to Table 1 for the definition of landmarks.

Suyun Seon et al: Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2020

Table 5. Ratios of soft tissue (ST) to hard tissue (HT) horizontal movement according to incisal angle variation on Group B

Ratio (ST/HT) (≥ 4 mm)	Subgroup B1		Subgroup B2		P -value
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
$\Delta A'/\Delta A$	0.432	0.158	0.400	0.078	0.593
$\Delta Sn/\Delta A$	0.348	0.146	0.302	0.087	0.428
$\Delta PRN/\Delta A$	0.320	0.139	0.300	0.105	0.731
$\Delta A'/\Delta ANS$	0.474	0.126	0.459	0.077	0.486
$\Delta Sn/\Delta ANS$	0.377	0.118	0.348	0.101	0.564
$\Delta PRN/\Delta ANS$	0.361	0.158	0.343	0.103	0.973
$\Delta Ls/\Delta Ia$	0.971	0.140	0.763	0.263	0.066
$\Delta St/\Delta Ia$	1.147	0.137	1.071	0.410	0.336
$\Delta Ls/\Delta Is$	1.127	0.335	0.701	0.290	0.007*
$\Delta St/\Delta Is$	1.315	0.328	0.988	0.442	0.115
Δ Nasolabial angle	-7.429	2.533	-5.250	3.105	0.089

(Δ : change between pre and postoperative measurements, SD: standard deviation)

* $P<0.05$ on independent t-test or Mann-Whitney U test according to normality of the data.

Refer to Table 1 for the definition of landmarks.

Suyun Seon et al: Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery. J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg 2020

changing interplay, making it difficult to accurately predict surgery outcomes. Moreover, soft tissues adjust to repositioned facial bones as time goes on, taking from 6 months up to several years to stabilize^{11,16}. Dann et al.⁶ and Stella et al.¹¹ reported that the soft tissues stabilized after six months, showing consistent results with other studies^{5,6,11,17,18}. Therefore, we measured and analyzed lateral cephalometric radiographs taken at baseline and at six months postoperative.

Previous studies found that soft tissue changes following Le Fort I occur mainly in the upper lip and nose and investigated soft tissue changes responding to maxillary movement at different angles^{8,19-22}. Although posterior movement of the anterior maxilla using Le Fort I is well established^{23,24}, Le Fort I involving movement of the entire maxilla is poorly understood, as the majority of studies only focused on the measurement of soft tissue distances responding to maxillary movement^{5,10,25}. Chew⁵ reported a ratio of ANS horizontal movement to nasal tip of 1:0.35, and an upper central incisor to upper lip ratio of 1:0.84. Park and Hwang²⁶ described an upper incisor to upper lip ratio in posterior movement of the maxilla by anterior segmental osteotomy of 1:0.67. Several studies reported various rates to soft tissue changes to maxilla movement^{23,27,28}. Hence, our study focused on changes in the nasolabial soft tissue, especially after backward movement of the maxilla, and also analyzed soft to hard tissue ratios according to the amount of posterior movement of the maxilla.

We evaluated the nasolabial soft tissue responses to hard tissue changes by measuring changes on lateral cephalometric radiographs. The results showed that significant differences in soft tissue change corresponded to hard tissue movement. Cluster analyses were carried out on soft tissue changes in A' and ratios responding to the changes in the A point, dividing the data into two groups with significant differences in post-surgical outcomes. The data of these two groups were plotted on the xy-plane relative to hard tissue movement (x-axis) and soft tissue changes (y-axis). The linear regression lines of the two sets of data intersected in near 4 mm, representing hard tissue movement, that led us to classify two groups into less than 4 mm (Group A) and more than 4 mm (Group B) of movement.

The ratio of postsurgical changes in Ls to Is and St to Is changes indicates significant soft tissue change differences between the two groups in reference to the maxillary central incisor. The ratio of A point to A' point in Group A and Group B are consistent with previous studies on ratios of soft tissue changes responding to hard tissue movement in the anterior or superior directions, which ranged from 0.33:1 to 0.9:1^{3,6,7,29}.

Dann et al.⁶ reported ratios of Δ Ls to Δ Is after anterior movement of the maxilla of 0.5:1, while Mansour et al.⁸ reported a value of 0.62:1, which are both consistent with the current results for Group A. Soft tissue changes after anterior maxillary movement mainly occur in the nasal area, showing a similar pattern after posterior movement. The upper lip region could be rotated and horizontally advanced, responding to the amount of maxillary incisal movement. Alar cinch suture and V-Y vestibular closure are used to prevent widening of the nasal base and thinning of the upper lip and could improve surgery outcomes after maxillary movement^{30,31}. However, there is controversy over this finding in posterior movement of the maxilla, and many studies excluded the Alar cinch suture and V-Y vestibular closure as an influencing factor³². In this study, we did not consider nasolabial suture methods as an influencing factor.

In this study, patients were divided into groups according to the amount of hard tissue movement. We found that soft tissue responses varied between groups. The change rate of the soft tissue of the nasal tip and upper lip region in Group B was approximately twice the rate in Group A, suggesting this finding as a guideline when considering the amount of posterior movement of the maxilla to obtain the desired soft tissue changes during orthognathic surgery.

Movement of the maxilla affects the nasolabial tissue according to the direction and the amount of maxillary movement³³. Previous studies reported an increase in nasal tip protrusion and a decrease in nasolabial angle, particularly after anterior movement³⁴, while posterior maxillary movement caused a decrease in nasal tip protrusion and an increase in nasolabial angle⁹. Schouman et al.⁹ reported an 8.9° to 18° increase among bimaxillary protrusion patients treated with posterior maxillary movement. In the present study, nasolabial angle changes were 6.6° to 8.5° on mean, showing less change compared with previous studies, and no significant differences were observed between the two groups.

There are influencing factors that affect nasolabial soft tissue changes, including vertical maxillary movement, posterior-superior rotation, and incisal angle variations¹. Excluding these factors, we measured postsurgical changes considering posterior movement of the hard tissue reference point (point A) and carried out analyses. In order to identify other factors involved in soft tissue changes, we measured vertical changes in each landmark along the horizontal plane. Moreover, the two groups were further divided into subgroups according to incisal angle variation. There were no significant differences in vertical movement between the two groups, indicating no

bias due to vertical movement in soft tissue.

The two groups were divided into subgroups (A1, A2, B1, B2) based on incisal angle changes in order to identify the effect of incisal angle variation on the nasolabial soft tissue. Statistical analyses revealed that nasolabial angle changes were increased with incisal angle variations in Group A. The ratio of upper lip to incisal tip ($\Delta Ls/\Delta Is$) in Group B showed statistical significance. However, there were no significant differences found in other regions. These results suggested that incisal angle variation might have no significant impact on the soft to hard tissue ratio. Mean changes in nasolabial angle appear more affected by incisal angle changes with a small amount of horizontal posterior movement than incisal angle changes with a large amount of posterior movement.

We were able to predict soft tissue changes after posterior movement of the maxilla in this study and found that soft tissue changes are significantly affected by the amount of posterior movement of the maxilla. This result is clinically relevant and will be invaluable for predicting soft tissue responses to orthognathic surgery, especially when posterior movement of the maxilla is expected, improving outcomes and satisfaction among orthognathic surgery patients.

V. Conclusion

We analyzed landmark measurements on lateral cephalometric radiography taken preoperative and 6 months postoperative. We also analyzed soft tissue changes of the upper lip and nose among study participants, who were divided into two groups according to horizontal posterior movement of the A point (Group A: <4 mm; Group B: ≥ 4 mm).

1. There were significant differences in the ratio of soft-to-hard tissue movement between the A and B groups, with approximately two-fold higher rates in most of the midface region when posterior movement was ≥ 4 mm.

2. When comparing subgroups divided based on incisal angle variation in the maxilla, we found no statistically significant changes in response to backward movement of the maxilla except nasolabial angle in Group A and $\Delta Ls/\Delta Is$ change ratio in Group B. This result indicates that incisal angle variation has little influence on the soft tissue change ratio according to the amount of hard tissue movement of the maxilla.

3. Statistical analyses revealed that nasolabial angle did not correspond with the inclination of the upper incisor in Group B (≥ 4 mm) and that nasolabial angle was more affected by incisal angle changes when the amount of horizontal poste-

rior movement was relatively small.

Collectively, these results indicate that the nasolabial soft tissue changes following posterior movement in maxillary surgery vary according to the amount of posterior hard tissue movement (<4 mm or ≥ 4 mm), which should be taken into consideration in pre-surgical planning for successful orthognathic procedures.

ORCID

Suyun Seon, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2870-2747>

Hyun-Woo Lee, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8144-3633>

Bong-Jin Jeong, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3532-0886>

Baek-Soo Lee, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9145-8062>

Yong-Dae Kwon, <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9620-4814>

Joo-Young Ohe, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4411-7871>

Authors' Contributions

S.S. participated in data collection and wrote the manuscript. H.W.L. participated in data collection and edit the manuscript. B.J.J. participated in investigation and the statistical analysis. B.S.L. and Y.D.K. participated in the conceptualization and the study design. J.Y.O. participated in the study design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript for final approval.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Kyung Hee University Dental Hospital (No. KHD IRB 1311-1), and the informed consent was waived.

Conflict of Interest

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

References

1. O'Ryan F, Schendel S. Nasal anatomy and maxillary surgery. I. esthetic and anatomic principles. *Int J Adult Orthodon Orthognath Surg* 1989;4:27-37.
2. Betts NJ, Vig KW, Vig P, Spalding P, Fonseca RJ. Changes in the nasal and labial soft tissues after surgical repositioning of the maxilla. *Int J Adult Orthodon Orthognath Surg* 1993;8:7-23.
3. Hack GA, de Mol van Otterloo JJ, Nanda R. Long-term stability and prediction of soft tissue changes after LeFort I surgery. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1993;104:544-55. <https://doi.org/>

- org/10.1016/s0889-5406(05)80438-x
4. Schendel SA, Eisenfeld JH, Bell WH, Epker BN. Superior repositioning of the maxilla: stability and soft tissue osseous relations. *Am J Orthod* 1976;70:663-74. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416\(76\)90226-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416(76)90226-8)
 5. Chew MT. Soft and hard tissue changes after bimaxillary surgery in Chinese Class III patients. *Angle Orthod* 2005;75:959-63. [https://doi.org/10.1043/0003-3219\(2005\)75\[959:SAHTCA\]2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1043/0003-3219(2005)75[959:SAHTCA]2.0.CO;2)
 6. Dann JJ 3rd, Fonseca RJ, Bell WH. Soft tissue changes associated with total maxillary advancement: a preliminary study. *J Oral Surg* 1976;34:19-23.
 7. Ewing M, Ross RB. Soft tissue response to orthognathic surgery in persons with unilateral cleft lip and palate. *Cleft Palate Craniofac J* 1993;30:320-7. https://doi.org/10.1597/1545-1569_1993_030_0320_sttrts_2.3.co_2
 8. Mansour S, Burstone C, Legan H. An evaluation of soft-tissue changes resulting from Le Fort I maxillary surgery. *Am J Orthod* 1983;84:37-47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416\(83\)90146-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416(83)90146-x)
 9. Schouman T, Baralle MM, Ferri J. Facial morphology changes after total maxillary setback osteotomy. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2010;68:1504-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2009.09.095>
 10. Radney LJ, Jacobs JD. Soft-tissue changes associated with surgical total maxillary intrusion. *Am J Orthod* 1981;80:191-212. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416\(81\)90218-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416(81)90218-9)
 11. Stella JP, Streater MR, Epker BN, Sinn DP. Predictability of upper lip soft tissue changes with maxillary advancement. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1989;47:697-703. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0278-2391\(89\)80008-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0278-2391(89)80008-4)
 12. Eggenesperger NM, Lieger O, Thüer U, Iizuka T. Soft tissue profile changes following mandibular advancement and setback surgery an average of 12 years postoperatively. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;65:2301-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2007.06.644>
 13. Yitschaky O, Redlich M, Abed Y, Faerman M, Casap N, Hiller N. Comparison of common hard tissue cephalometric measurements between computed tomography 3D reconstruction and conventional 2D cephalometric images. *Angle Orthod* 2011;81:11-6. <https://doi.org/10.2319/031710-157.1>
 14. Burstone CJ, James RB, Legan H, Murphy GA, Norton LA. Cephalometrics for orthognathic surgery. *J Oral Surg* 1978;36:269-77.
 15. Moore JW. Variation of the sella-nasion plane and its effect on SNA and SNB. *J Oral Surg* 1976;34:24-6.
 16. Quast DC, Biggerstaff RH, Haley JV. The short-term and long-term soft-tissue profile changes accompanying mandibular advancement surgery. *Am J Orthod* 1983;84:29-36. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416\(83\)90145-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9416(83)90145-8)
 17. Koh CH, Chew MT. Predictability of soft tissue profile changes following bimaxillary surgery in skeletal class III Chinese patients. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2004;62:1505-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2004.04.022>
 18. Mommaerts MY, Lippens F, Abeloos JV, Neyt LF. Nasal profile changes after maxillary impaction and advancement surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2000;58:470-5; discussion 475-6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0278-2391\(00\)90002-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0278-2391(00)90002-8)
 19. Brooks BW, Buschang PH, Bates JD, Adams TB, English JD. Predicting upper lip response to 4-piece maxillary LeFort I osteotomy. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2001;120:124-33. <https://doi.org/10.1067/mod.2001.115614>
 20. Gassmann CJ, Nishioka GJ, Van Sickels JE, Thrash WJ. A lateral cephalometric analysis of nasal morphology following Le Fort I osteotomy applying photometric analysis techniques. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1989;47:926-30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-2391\(89\)90375-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-2391(89)90375-3)
 21. Rosen HM. Lip-nasal aesthetics following Le Fort I osteotomy. *Plast Reconstr Surg* 1988;81:171-82. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006534-198802000-00005>
 22. Westermark AH, Bystedt H, Von Konow L, Sällström KO. Nasolabial morphology after Le Fort I osteotomies. Effect of alar base suture. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1991;20:25-30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0901-5027\(05\)80690-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0901-5027(05)80690-3)
 23. Jayaratne YS, Zwahlen RA, Lo J, Cheung LK. Facial soft tissue response to anterior segmental osteotomies: a systematic review. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2010;39:1050-8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2010.07.002>
 24. Okudaira M, Kawamoto T, Ono T, Moriyama K. Soft-tissue changes in association with anterior maxillary osteotomy: a pilot study. *Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008;12:131-8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10006-008-0121-9>
 25. Jacobson R, Sarver DM. The predictability of maxillary repositioning in LeFort I orthognathic surgery. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2002;122:142-54. <https://doi.org/10.1067/mod.2002.125576>
 26. Park JU, Hwang YS. Evaluation of the soft and hard tissue changes after anterior segmental osteotomy on the maxilla and mandible. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2008;66:98-103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2005.09.007>
 27. Lew K. Profile changes following orthodontic treatment of bimaxillary protrusion in adults with the Begg appliance. *Eur J Orthod* 1989;11:375-81. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.ejo.a036009>
 28. Nadkarni PG. Soft tissue profile changes associated with orthognathic surgery for bimaxillary protrusion. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 1986;44:851-4. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-2391\(86\)90220-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-2391(86)90220-x)
 29. Jensen AC, Sinclair PM, Wolford LM. Soft tissue changes associated with double jaw surgery. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 1992;101:266-75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-5406\(92\)70096-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-5406(92)70096-S)
 30. Muradin MS, Rosenberg A, van der Bilt A, Stoeltinga PJ, Koole R. The effect of alar cinch sutures and V-Y closure on soft tissue dynamics after Le Fort I intrusion osteotomies. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2009;37:334-40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcms.2009.03.004>
 31. Peled M, Ardekian L, Krausz AA, Aizenbud D. Comparing the effects of V-Y advancement versus simple closure on upper lip aesthetics after Le Fort I advancement. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2004;62:315-9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2003.08.015>
 32. Khamashta-Ledezma L, Naini FB. Systematic review of changes in maxillary incisor exposure and upper lip position with Le Fort I type osteotomies with or without cinch sutures and/or VY closures. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2014;43:46-61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijom.2013.08.005>
 33. O'Ryan F, Carlotti A. Nasal anatomy and maxillary surgery. III. surgical techniques for correction of nasal deformities in patients undergoing maxillary surgery. *Int J Adult Orthodon Orthognath Surg* 1989;4:157-74.
 34. Vasudavan S, Jayaratne YS, Padwa BL. Nasolabial soft tissue changes after Le Fort I advancement. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2012;70:e270-7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2011.11.022>

How to cite this article: Seon S, Lee HW, Jeong BJ, Lee BS, Kwon YD, Ohe JY. Study of soft tissue changes in the upper lip and nose after backward movement of the maxilla in orthognathic surgery. *J Korean Assoc Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2020;46:385-392. <https://doi.org/10.5125/jkaoms.2020.46.6.385>