### The 16th Presidential Election and Media Politics

#### Hwang Kuhn

Hwang Kuhn (Hwang, Geun) is Associate Professor of Mass Communication and Journalism at Sunmoon University. He obtained his Ph.D. of in Mass Communication from Korea University (1993). His articles—publications include "The Representation of the Local Politics in Television Election News" (2002). E-mail: hkuhn@chollian.net.

Abstract (150 단어 내외) 작성과 원고 keywords (10개 정도) 뽑아 주시기 바랍니다.

#### Preface

Korean society has evidently entered the era of media politics in earnest. During an election period, political parties and candidates formulate and enforce their electoral strategies in such a way as they match upin \_withkeeping with the mechanisms of the mass media. This means that political processes may be subjected to the mediamedia scrutiny, and that the the media, rather than supplementing complementing representative democracy, \_may dominate and even distort political processes. Media politics is often thought to be capable of complimenting the problems of indirect democracy and producing the a\_so-called "well-informed public." But media politics may also distort the very essence of politics \_political essence\_by making\_reducing politics ato a spectacle \_show and fostering voters' indifference to politics.

The 16th 16th presidential election exhibited both the bright and dark sides of media politics. A case in point is areis the televised sion debates held, by the political parties held from the beginning of 2002, by the political parties in the course of nominating their presidential candidates. In particular, the nNationwide televising of the ruling Millenium Democratic Party's semi-presidential primaries, dubbed "the weekend drama," in particular, brought home the power of media politics to the public. With reference to the various networks' competitive televising of the debates, however, some called into question its practical effectiveness.

서식 있음

As to whether the broadcasting stations' competitive sponsoring of the semi-primaries was beneficial to voters, however, some even accused the televising of being useless

Media politics, the domain in which free speechmass media and politics reciprocally intersect, mutual intersection takes place between the mass media and political phenomena, should benefit both the parties involved.<sup>2</sup> But media politics in Korea still-remain highly problematic, in so muchinsofar as it sustains legal and systematic difficulties, coupled within addition to the immaturity exhibited by its practitioners. has lots of problems to solve because of the players' immature understanding of it, coupled with legal and institutional deficiencies. Features of media politics as exhibited in the The 16th presidential election repeated the problems which appeared in -media politics '-problems found-in the 15th presidential election,-3 though while it displayed some new phenomena like such as fallen the decline of the influence of newspapers and the increased soared power of the incr in the future In this context, a general review of media politics in the 2002 presidential election will be able to provide a guide to assessing the status of media politics in Korea, both in the present and the future.

서식 있음

서식 있음

## Characteristics of the 16th Presidential Election

<u>In d</u>Discussing media politics, it is necessary first to review summarize the characteristics of the 16th presidential election. Most significantly, it was the Its most outstanding feature is that it was the first presidential election held in the absence of the three Kims--Kim Young-sam, Kim Dae-jung and Kim Jong-pil--who had dominated the nation's politics for decades—Kim Young sam, Kim Dae jung and Kim Jong pil. Though candidates Lee Hoi-chang of the opposition Grand National Party and the splinter Democratic Labor Party candidate, Kwon Young-ghil, ran in the election for the second time respectivelyhad appeared in the previous election as well, the other candidates of

<sup>2</sup> 

the governing Millenium Democratic Party, Roh Moo hyun, was were alla new faces. That the election had no iconized The fact that none of the pre-fixed image candidates were running meant that—candidates meant that short-term information could play a substantial role in determining voters' ballots, and thus that mass media could function as a major variable in the elections.

Second, with the influence of political parties fading rapidly, the locus of confrontation shifted to the candidates themselves. Despite low approval ratings of the ruling partyruling party, Roh won in the election on the strength of his personal support; candidate Lee Hoi-chang of the Grand National Party (GNP), a party enjoying that benefits from a relatively solid support base, garnered, also garnered more votes from his personal support than from that of his party. Unlike original nature of party identification, this was a deviation from the Korean voter's traditional disposition consistently supporting either the ruling party or the opposition party, depending on their political affiliation.

Third, with the sharp decline in partyies' support and the withdrawal of fixed-image politicians, the influence of long-term forces were reduced sharply in favor of short-term forces, in which heated personal attacks and counterattacks on candidates were more prevalent. due to plunged support of parties and the withdrawal of politicians with fixed images, chances were that attacks and counterattacks on candidates' personal matters, one of short-term forces, would prevail. As alndeed, matter of fact, indiscriminate ing personal attacks were indeed made involving Lee's son's alleged draft dodging by Lee's son and Roh's image of instability disputed instability qualities. During the election campaign period, however, personal attacks were minimized greatly softened in the face of such dominant policy issues such as the North Korean nuclear crisis, the alleged remittance of money to the North, the death of two schoolgirls under the wheels of a U.S. military armored vehicle and a campaign demanding the revision of SOFA, the Korea-U.S. Status of Forces Agreement.

Fourth, aggressive and abstract political slogans, such as "democratization" and "down with dictatorship" gave way to practical election issues. 5 Of course, there were no small number of instances in which policy issues developed into

<sup>4</sup> 

political issues. Because the two candidates of the ruling and opposition parties were compared with each other differentiated as reformative progressive and conservative, furthermore, there appeared a strong tendency of interpreting and evaluating all policy issues under within the conservative vs. reformative progressive spectrum.

Lastly, a structural change of the nation's media can be cited. The media's election coverage biased in favor of the ruling party has long been controversial.<sup>8</sup> In the 16th presidential election, however, there existed a clear dividea clear divide existed between conservative newspapers supporting Lee and those standing behindadvocating for RhoRoh; broadcasting stations too also showed some different inclinations differences among themselves in covering the election. The aftermath of the Kim Dae-jung administration's unfinished press reform and the interests of media companies seem to have caused the divide and differences precipitated the divisions.

Such an environment, simply put, offeredenvironment offered conditions conducive to boosting the influence of such short-term forces as images the image of individual candidates and election issues in place of receding decliningwaning long-term forces that used to had greatly influenced voters! balloting greatly in the past. The Environment was ripe for the media to play an active and positive political role on the one hand, but the possibility still loomed on the other that negative media politics would prevail.

#### The 16th Presidential Election and Media Politics

Low Balloting Rate and Media Coverage of Election

The theory <u>of-that</u> parliamentary politics <u>is</u> facing a crisis is buttressed by a rapid decline in\_—voting rate and public participation in politics. Balloting rates in the most recent presidential election plainly <u>shows an aspect of reflect</u> such a crisis. As shown in Table 1, the 16th presidential election recorded the lowest voting rate since the 1987 race in which the president was directly elected by the electorate following a lengthy

<sup>7</sup> 

dictatorship. The <u>89.2 percent</u> voting rate of <u>89.2 percent</u> recorded in 1987 plunged to 70.8 percent in 2002, after <u>maintaining</u> the <u>consistent</u> 80 percent level<u>s recorded</u> in 1992 and 1997.

Table 1. Balloting Rate Trends in Presidential Elections

Term	Year	Balloting Rate	Features
13th	1987	89.2%	Quadripartite
14th	1992	81.9%	Quadripartite
15th	1997	80.7%	Tripartite
16th	2002	70.8%	Duel

Denton (2000, 1-24) points out that falling balloting rates, waning political parties, declining public participation in politics, disappearance of issues, increasing political symbols and hiked distrust in and cynicism over politics are not unrelated with television. Norris (2000) maintains that <u>by making voters outsiders or spectators</u>, the mass media, making voters outsiders or spectators, have <u>has</u> fostered public indifference to and distrust in politics, thus making voters outsiders or spectators. According to his media malaise theory, the mass media and political communications activities hinder the public's civic engagement, namely, the public's learningpublic's learningpublic awareness about public issues, its trust in the government and its political activities.

Such concerns have been persistently been expressed in our countrySouth Korea as well. The "16th Presidential Election Coverage Guidelines," adopted by the Korean Society for Journalism and Communication Studies\_(KSJCS) lists eight categories of undesirable election reporting: 1) qualitative partiality; 2) playing the role of a spokesman for sponsoring negative electioneeringcampaign sponsorshiping; 3) strategic reportcoverage and reporting; s covering mainly strategic efforts; 4) over issuing of excessive reporting of groundless speculative stories; 5) including embellishingembellishment of quotes thatto reflect a making quotations to back up reporters' subjective feelings; 6) promoting aiding and abetting an irregular and corrupt electioneering campaigning —and campaigns prompting public indifference to elections; 7) offering unscientific explanations; and 8) reports fostering regional emotion. Of these

categories, only qualitative impartiality is related to fairness, with all the remainder negatively affecting hampering voters in making discerning rest working to hamper voters' their making discerning political decisions and participating participation in politics (Yi Jun-ung Lee Jun-wung, 2003b).

According to Yi Jun-ungLee Jun-wung's survey, in the coverage of the 16th presidential election by the nation's major dailies, "stories focusinged on candidates' strategic activities" accounted for the largest proportion of 26.1 percent. Following them\_those\_were "speculative stories" with 22.9 percent; "stories conveying intact negative campaigns by candidates or parties" with 13.6 percent; and "stories adorning the contents in a subjective manner" with 11.9 percent. Desirable coverage, on the other hand, consisted of 5.6 percent of "stories comparing candidates' policies," 5.6 percent; 4.8 percent of "stories exploringstories exploring and presenting issues deserving voters' attention," and 4.8 percent; and 1.7 percent of "stories encouraging public participation by stressing the significance of the election," 1.7 percent. "Stories verifying candidates' public pledges" accounted for no more than 1.4 percent of the total.

Quantitative Abundance and Qualitative Poverty in Election Coverage

Media coverage of the 16th presidential election was by no means small\_limited\_in quantity. This may be ascribable due to the absence of any predominant national issue overwhelming\_in\_the election, such as the financial crisis that gripped the country in 1997 when it held the 15th presidential election (Hwang 2003)Kuhn, According to a survey done by the Korea Broadcasting Committee, airwave coverage rate of the 14th presidential election in 1992 stood at 39.3 percent, and this.. The \_rate\_plummeted to 19.2 percent in the 15th presidential election held in 1997 (Hwang Kuhn, 1998a). But the rate nearly recovered in the 16th presidential election, direct coverage by the three major broadcasting stations accounting for 27.8 percent and indirect coverage 9.8 percent (Hwang Kuhyn, 2003), a rate higher than those recorded in both the 1998 local elections and the 2000 general elections.

The <u>It is generally held that this</u> quantitative increase, it is thought, is ascribable <u>directly linked</u> to heightened public interest in the 16th presidential election, which created <u>by</u> the <u>duel between Roh\_ and Lee duel</u>, and a succession <u>of important</u> political

issues, such as the Millenium Millennium Democratic Party's semi- primaries and negotiations for unifying candidacy betweenthe Roh and Chung Mong-joon candidacies. It is especially noticeable that The broadcast rate for "stories involving election issues and public pledges" soared conspicuously to 36.6 percent. In these increases, there are several reasons. First, the eruption in early October of prominent issues like North Korea's admission of its nuclear development program and the not-guilty verdict of handed down to the American soldiers charged with the death of two Korean schoolgirls prompted political parties and candidates to try to make best use of themuse these issues to their advantage in for their respective electoral strategies. Second, exposures the major two parties made during the parliamentary inspection of the administration in September added fuel to their attacks and counterattacks. Third, the media accepted the call made by civic organizations and scholars that media-coverage of elections should be focused focus on issues taking policy confrontation into account policy issues and confrontations was accepted by the media (Hwang Kuhn, 2002b).

But it is problematic The fact that sketchy stories were dominant The dominance of sketchy reporting, however, accounting for as much as—\_37.3 percent of the election coverage, as shown in Table 2, is proves problematic problematic. Such stories set out to amuse, focusing on the candidates' personal feuding between the candidates rather than the elections at hand, most involving stumping speeches, are amusement oriented, using candidates' feuding debates and use brief sound bites rather than electoral essences (Hwang Kuhn, 2002c). Sketchy reporting is also a formula broadcasting stations utilize as an expedience forto maintaining superficial fairness impartiality. Sketchy Eelectoral coverage: made up of sound bites, interviews and reporters' evaluations, appears to be of depth in form gives the illusion of depth, but is often superficial in terms of quality. Although a survey found that the airwave coverage of the most recent presidential election consisted of "news presenting facts and containing reporters' evaluation and interviews," most of it—remained in the level of merely enumerated ing-simple facts, and; few stories were accompanied by thorough evaluation and alternatives counter-proposals.

Table 2. –Comparison by Theme of Election Coverage by Broadcasting Firms-Stations

## (% in parenthesis)

Theme	KBS	MBC	SBS	Total
1. Election-related administrative and general matters	51(12.6)	28(9.3)	27(7.7)	106(10.1)
2. Sketchy eElectioneering and sketchy reportage news	136(33.6	146(48.9	111(31.8 )	393(37.3)
3. Election issues and pledges	153(37.8)	72(24.1)	160(46.0)	385(36.6)
4. Election result projection	31(7.7)	24(8.0)	28(8.0)	83(8.0)
5. Illicit electioneering and election monitoring	12( 2.9)	15( 5.0)	8( 2.3)	35( 3.4)
6. TV debates	50(12.3)	33(11.0)	43(12.4)	126(12.0)
7. Public opinion trends and others	21( 5.1)	14( 4.7)	6( 1.8)	41( 4.0)
Total <u>*</u>	405(112)	299(111)	348(110)	1,052(100.0)

<sup>\*</sup> Figures in excess of 100% are caused by duplicating responses.

Sketchy news coverage is closely related to "horse-race reporting". Because an election is predicated—essentially presented essentially on as a competition between good and bad, election news coverage can hardly deviate from the framework of horse-race reportage, which, in itself, has an aspect benefiting voters (Yi Jun-ung-Lee Jun-wung, 2002a2003b; 20-24). Horse-Horse-race reporting, however, is strongly negative in that it tends to make spectators of voters spectators by diverting public attention from electoral the essences of the election.

Paik—Baek SunSeon-ki—gi (2202a2002a;—, 20-24)—cites) cites several reasons for the abundance of horse-horse-race reportage in the most recent presidential election. Firstly, he argues that—because the ruling party did notn't nominated its presidential candidate just until just prior to the election date, he argues, all the media firms had no way choice but to be preoccupied with that matterthe question of the candidate's identity throughout the year. In that process, he says, the media had to engage in "winner-centered election reporting" focused on who would emerge as the governing party's presidential nominee. Secondly, BaekPaik says that numerous TV debates caused antipathy among candidates by delving into their personal lives and serious outstanding weaknesses rather than their views and policies. In pParticular, by BaekPaik maintains that media coverage of TV debates gave greater attention to who won the debates than

to the contents of debates, Paik maintains.<sup>2</sup> Thirdly, he notes a plethora of opinion surveys and near blind reliance on the survey results. Opinion polls can yield nothing more than rough ideas of the public approval ratings of individual candidates and public attitudes on various issues. In the most recent presidential election, however, the media covered the event as if they were doing a live coverage of horse racingcovering a horse race - based on the findings through each's other'stheir own own opinion polls. This style of media coverage had an undue impact on the selection of nominees by fanning speculation and controversies about "the viability" of specific contenders to an unusual exaggerated extent.

\_

Lastly, the media gave only superficial coverage of the policy issues involved, being obsessed solely with trying to predicting who was going to be the winner. In view of the inherent nature of elections, it is, of course, next to impossible to completely eliminate the elements of horse-race reportage from election coverage. Nevertheless, the excessive media predilection for treating a presidential election as a kind of horse-raceing must be discarded immediately, because such sensationalism is bound to pervert the country's electoral culture, leading to a topsy-turvy voting behavior of the electorate.

## 3. Defective Flawed Media Coverage of the Issues

As the contest took place between <u>uniconized\_new\_candidates\_with unfixed\_images</u>, candidates and <u>parties\_desperately parties\_desperately</u> tried to mount issue-creating events in an effort to <u>arouseprovoke</u> electoral issues <u>favorable\_tofavorable\_to</u> them. To begin with, the ruling party's semi-primaries held <u>at every weekend in April and May, dubbed "the weekend drama<sub>E</sub>" <u>was\_were\_quite sensational and contributed to creating "the\_\_\_Roh\_phenomenon" during the first half of 2002. Culmination of the negotiations unifying <u>candidacy\_betweenthe</u> Roh and Chung <u>candidacies</u>, achieved just two days prior to the expiry of candidacy registration, was an <u>election\_event\_playing\_that\_played\_adecisive\_role\_in\_bringing\_about\_Roh's victory.</u></u></u>

Issue coverage centered onthat springs from an media events is susceptible to athe criticism that the issue has been intentionally raised by the particulara

9

party-particular party has deliberately created the issue-purposefully. It-Such coverage falls under "electioneering strategies," "event-centered reportage" and "episode-centered reportage" all of which are declining in relevance in election coverage as suggested by the Poynter Institute (01999) cites as election coverage the weight of which is declining. It is far removed from what the institute term describes as "citizen-centered election reportage helping which helps the citizens grasp the situation accurately and make atheir decisions by focusing on agenda the citizens should know be familiar with and therefore be able to makinge an in-depth analysis of various issues."

Issue coverage of the 16th presidential election is characterized first by a sharp drop in candidates' individual issues and a drastic rise in political issues. As shown in Table 3,10 the total number of stories done on individual candidates' issues stood at 61 instances or 5.2%—percent, while reports related to policy issues numbered 623 instances or 53.4—percent—% and coverage of political issues, 483 instances or 41.4—% percent. Most frequently reported were "attacks and counterattacks on the North Korean nuclear issue,2" totaling 253 instances, followed by 172 instances of "candidacy unification between Roh and Chung" and 132 instances of "the crushing to death of two schoolgirls by a U.S. military armored vehicle and the drive to amend the SOFA." These three issues, accounting for 47.7%—percent—or nearly half the 11,167 instances of broadcasting on the election, appears to have had a nabsolute critical effect on the outcome of the election.

Table 3. Comparison by Major Issue of the 16th Presidential Election Coverage by the Three Major Broadcasting Stations

Issues	KBS	MBC	SBS	Total
Alleged draft dodging	8(2.2)	5(1.3)	6(1.4)	19
Chung Mong-joon's alleged stock price manipulation	6(1.7)	7(0.1)	6(1.4)	19

	<u>issues</u>	Roh M <del>u</del> oo-hyoun's 노무현 후보 alleged real estate 부동산 투기 위혹speculation	1(0.3)	1(0.3)	5(1.2)	7
		Lee Hoe-Hoi-cChang's alleged real estate stock price speculation	2(0.6)	1(0.3)	3(0.7)	6
		Others	2(0.6)	6(1.6)	2(0.5)	10
		<u>Subt</u> Total	19(5.3%)	20( 5.2%)	22(5.1%)	61( 5.2%)
		Quadripartite alliance and candidacy unification	8(2.2)	15(3.9)	8(1.9)	31
		Roh-Chung candidacy unification	56(15.7)	49(12.8)	67(15.6)	172
		MilleniumMillennium Democratic Party's internal strife	12(3.4)	11(2.9)	17(4.0)	40
		Bugging	17(4.8)	10(2.6)	17(4.0)	44
_	Political	Constitutional revision	6(1.7)	_	6(1.4)	12
l	Issues	Fostering of Regionalism	1(0.3)	7(1.8)	7(1.6)	15
		Political reform	17(4.8)	21(5.5)	25(5.8)	63
		Illicit electioneering Fairness in media	7(2.0)	9(2.4)		16
		election coverage	4(1.1)	4(1.0)	-	8
		Outsider drafting	13(3.7)	5(1.3)	7(1.6)	25
		Adjudication on corrupt regimeregime corruption	7(2.0)	5(1.3)	16(3.7)	28
		Others	5(1.4)	11(2.9)	13(3.0)	29
		<u>Subtotal</u> <del>소계</del>	153(43.0%)	147(38.5%)	183(42.7%)	483(41.4%)
		Proposed removal of administrative capital	14(3.9)	22(5.8)	22(5.1)	58
		North Korean nuclear crisis	99(27.8)	85(22.3)	69(16.1)	253
		Sunshine policy	4(1.1)	11(2.9)	24(5.6)	39
		Financial conglomerate policy	-	6(1.6)	6(1.4)	12
	<u>Policy</u> Related	Military service	1(0.3)	1(0.3)	2(0.5)	4
	Issues <mark>정책</mark> 저 재정	Regional development	7(2.0)	6(1.6)	15(3.5)	28
ı	1 0 1	SOFA revision	40(11.2)	53(13.9)	39(9.1)	132
		Economic policies	4(1.1)	12(3.1)	19(4.4)	35
		Market opening	-	-	6(1.4)	6
		Others	15(4.2)	19(5.0)	22(5.1)	56
		<del>T</del> <u>Subt</u> otal	184(51.7%)	215(55.8%)	224(52.2%)	623(53.4%)
		Grand Total	356	382	429	1,167

Secondly, the three dominant issues mentioned above shifted changed periodically to turn the election trendtides. The three dominant issues, mentioned earlier, shifted by periodperiodically to greatly impact the trend (see Table 4). Predominant in In October, the first period, was the North Korean nuclear issuecrisis was the predominant issue, which appears to have given Lee an edge. The alleged draft dodging by Lee's son Lee's son's alleged draft dodging, out of which the governing party attempted to make a dominant issue, fizzled out on 22 October when the prosecution concluded the allegation to be groundless.

Period two The second period began on 3 November when Roh proposed the idea of a unified candidacy to Chungto unify their ied candidacy between them, a move emerging—that emerged as thea new dominant issue, overshadowing—which, overshadoweding—the North Korean nuclear crisis. A basic accord on the candidacy unification, reached on 16 November, completely overwhelmed other election issues. Overall, tThe candidacy unification issue between Roh and Chung, after all, provided Roh with thea momentum for Roh to consistently take the lead over Lee even during into the formal electioneering election period.

Period three covers the official stumping period beginning on 27 November?

(\*\*Period three covers the official stumping period beginning on 27 November?

(\*\*Period this Predominating this period were candlelight vigils for the death of two Korean schoolgirls under the wheels of a U.S. military armored vehicle and a movement demanding SOFA revision, ignited by the not-guilty verdict given to the American servicemen charged with manslaughter on 22 November. This period can be subbe sub-divided into two, the first half of which extendsing from 27 November to 3-6 December, when parties registered their nominees and presented their election issues. The ruling and opposition parties vied-contended with each other, the former raising political reform as an issue and the latter the alleged bugging of politicians by the National Intelligence Service. The opposition party failed in to rekindlingmaintain their focus on theits issues at hand between 1 and 3 December, while the ruling party managed to maintain its lead, however slight, with -sustain-its political reform issue, until the eve of the election.

During the last phase of the election period, tThe issue of removing the administrative capital emerged anew and such issues as the North Korean nuclear development program and the administration's so-called "sunshine policy" of

서식 있음

서식 있음

reconciliation with the North were rekindled-during the last phase of the electioneering period. This was because the Lee camp, having failed to grab the initiative in the first half of the official campaign period, shifted to negative issues in a bid to attack Roh. In the battle of issues, accordingly, the Lee camp was offensive and the Roh camp defensive.

It is tolt should be noted that the dominantting issues were political in nature during the first half of the election campaign period, but shifted to policy-oriented ones during the latter half.: the The two major parties and their candidates, confronted with less than enthusiastic public acceptance of political issues, opted for relatively practical policy issues. In addition, TV debates contributed to invigorating the contest on-over policy matters. In TV-those debates, the candidates exhibited considerable differences in view on policies toward North Korea and the North Korean nuclear development program. The administrative capital removal issue was so contentious that the proposal was made to engage in opening anothera special televised TV debate to discuss the matterwas proposed for its discussion was proposed. NonethelessRegardless of these discussions In spite of these activities, however, the candlelight vigils for the death of schoolgirls and demonstrations demanding a SOFA revision, mobilized mainly by the younger generation through the (I?)Internet, overwhelmed eclipsed the other election issues. As a result, Lee's offensives on the sunshine policy and the North Korean nuclear development program were neutralized, paving the way for Roh's victory.

#### Table 4 needed here

Lastly, the <u>various</u> media\_-<u>covering</u> the election <u>firms</u> suffered criticism over their <u>disputed objectivity in light of</u> in <u>covering the electionthe</u>, <u>exhibited delicate subtle</u> differences <u>exhibited</u> <u>-among themselves in their quality and quantity of their reports.</u> <u>giving rise to a controversy about fairness in their reporting. All In general, e</u>election issues <u>always</u> contain elements beneficial or detrimental to <u>particular</u> individual candidates. Accordingly, all parties are bound to try to <u>boost encourage</u> issues favorable to them and discourage those harmful to them. <u>It isA</u> also important <u>are</u> what issues major networks cover, -how and <u>how oftenwith what frequency</u>. During <u>period the</u>

second period two, mentioned above, the KBS covered the North Korean nuclear crisis 23 times and bugging 13 times, both more frequently at higher rates than the other two broadcasting stations. MBC covered the quadripartite coalition and candidacy unification 8 times dDuring period the first period, and one, the MBC covered quadripartite coalition and candidacy unification 8 times, political reform 9 times,; and SOFA revision 7 times during the second period. , oftener all more frequently than the others channels. The MBC covered the SOFA revision 46 times during the third period three, conspicuously oftener more frequently often than the rest, while not covering completely ignoring outsider drafting at all. In contrast, the SBS covered bugging 8 times and the sunshine policy 12 times during the first period-one, Roh's alleged real estate speculation 5 times, abjudication adjudication of thea corrupt regime 10 times, the sunshine policy 10 times, regional development 9 times, economic policies 12 times and market opening 6 times during period three, all oftener far more frequenty frequently than its two rival firms. In short, the three broadcasting stations exhibited meaningful differences in covering dominant election issues, provoking a controversy over fairness objectivity in their coverage of the election.

#### **Still-Premature Media Politics**

Unchanged, fFaultyFlawed TV Debates, Still Defective

Candidates' televisedionTelevised debates, first implemented in the 15th presidential election, did not fail to draw drew considerable attention in the most recent presidential election as well., too. With While many legal matters involved remaineding unresolved, however, the broadcasting networks from the very beginning of 2002 competed with each other in to sponsoring TV debates among contenders for the party presidential candidacy nomination from the very beginning of 2002. As a consequence their viewing rates Viewing rates for those broadcasts, however, remained in the region of between 2 and 3 percent, with a KBS debate in April among MDP contenders among such contenders alone scoring as much as 7 percent. These results were, all lower than those of ordinary programs aired in the same time frame.

The In Korea, then electorate perceives TV debates as a means of materializing its

right to know be informed and of prompting the broadcasting media to enhance their fairness in election coverage (Lee Hyo sung Yi Hyo-seong, 1995). Accordingly, there is a tendency in the electorate to regard TV debates, despite their problems, as an emblema symbol of media politics, despite their inherent problems. As shown in Table 5, —a total of 145 TV debates were held in the 16th presidential election: 58 debates covered the nomination of contenders for of—presidential candidacy nomination contenders in the ruling MDP and opposition GNP; 83— followeding the nomination of party candidates to the eve of the official election period; and 4, sponsored by the Presidential Election Debate Broadcasting Committee (-3 tripartite ones and 1 participated in by splinter party candidates)—were held during the official campaign period. Of all the debates All in all, and 2 were combined debates—conducted in group—with—38 debates sponsored by the broadcasting stations and 4 by the Presidential Election Debate Broadcasting Committee (Song Jong-gil, 2003).

Table 5. Status of TV Debates Held in Relation to the 16th

Presidential Election

(Figures show the number of debates held)

<u>Occasion</u> Kind	Invitees		Total
TV debates of party	<u>Millenium</u> <u>Millennium</u>	Democratic	58
presidential candidacy	Party 40		(group debates 38)
nomination contenders	Grand National Party	18	Ascertain this figure of 37 since
nomination contenders			38 is given in the text.)
	Lee Hoi-chang	18	
	Roh Moo-hyun	21	
TV debates of presidential	Chung Mong-joon	20	83
candidates	Kwon Young-gil	19	(group debate 1)
Candidates	Lee Han-dong	2	(group debate 1)
	Chang Se-dong	2	
	On unifying candidacy	1	

TV group debates of presidential candidates	4
Grand total	145

The Such indiscriminate televisedion debates held in a half baked manner from the very beginning of 2002, manifested aincurred number of such as the followingcreated a number of problems. First of all, the public broadcasting broadcasting channelsnetworks' particular choice of invitation to debate of specific party contenders to each debate gave rise to araised questions regarding their objectivity fairness controversy. Holding solo (?) debates with Also, debates featuring candidates little knownlargely unknown to the public, and let alone commanding little without much public support, was questionable as to its usefulness—to usefulnesswere of questionable usefulness to the electorate. The Such slapdash debates repeated their previous problems—These debates carried over longstanding flaws—such as controversial questionable objectivity fairness, legal deficiencies, unattractive debate formula and lackluster questions and answers (Hwang Kuhn, 1998b). In a nutshell Ultimately t, the broadcasting networks failed to keep their pledge not to repeat the disorderly common to the televised TV of the debates they held in during the 15th presidential election. 11

In an attempt to cope with the problems, civic organizations like such as the YMCA and numerous scholars proposed a number of steps to remedying inherent legal deficiencies involved. Among them Proposals included were setting up TV debates in accordance with reflecting the political timetable—intimetable in setting up a TV debate period, as well as making the more qualitative performance by the Presidential Election Broadcasting Committee function substantially. They also recommended differentiating between two debate forums—one to be hosted by individual stations, the other being hosted by public broadcasting independent body given the task of administering TV debates. In response, the National Election Commission (NEC) proposed revisions of political laws, calling for, among other things, establishing establishment of an 'electoral speech and debate broadcasting Committee', under the jurisdiction of the Korean Broadcasting—Committee Tasked with administering the

11

broadcasting of joint stumping tours, televised by talks and debates, and policy and policy debates which may be televised by any broadcasting station. The proposed reforms also called for tofor a banning the on political advertising involving mentioning in advertisements public discussions of matters concerning personal histories of a candidate's spouse, lineal ascendants and descendants, brothers and sisters; holding suggested the establishment of joint city and province speech meetings by city and province; abolishing called for the abolishment of stumping speeches on the streets; increasing recommended an increase in the number of authorized joint newspaper advertisements, advertisements screened in cinemas, placed in newspapers or radio programs; and proposed that these measures be subsidized by the subsidizing them out of the national treasury. 12

YMCA Seoul, the National Union of Media Workers (NUMW) and the People's Coalition for Media Reform (PRCMR), among other civic organizations, proposed revisions to the related laws, calling for splitting TV debate sponsorship between broadcasting stations prior to the election period and the 'election broadcasting debate committee' during the election period, abolishing the 120-day limit on the campaign period, and permitting media firms to freely sponsor debates freely outside of the campaign period, provided that their compliance with fairness be legally prescribed legally. Their suggestions also called for establishing a standing 'election debate broadcasting committee' or enabling such a committee to function for a year to coverduring a presidential election, and requiring that the committee be composed of people representing various walks of life, capable of demonstratinge equipped with fairness, independence and speciality professionalism, with their tenure, qualifications and duties clearly stipulated in aby law. They also requested that an 'election debate broadcasting committee' be set up under the jurisdiction of the NEC, that the NEC determine criteria for candidates eligible for being invitayion invitationed to a TV debate in a way befitting the spirit of the law, and social consensus guaranteeing political development and enfranchisement.

<u>NoBut no</u> legal revisions were effected, <u>however</u>; only the <u>Presidential Election</u>

Debate Broadcasting Committee came into being 60 days prior to the election to discuss criteria for candidates eligible to participate in a debate and a debate timetable (<u>Yi Lee</u>

<sup>12</sup> 

Hyo-sungseong -2003). The biggest achievement accomplished by the Presidential Election Debate Broadcasting Committee's most significant achievement made iswas to setting up theestablish the criteria of eligibility scope of candidates participating in the debate. Eligible to take part in the debate under the The newly\_established criteria defined those who are eligible to take part in the debates. They include are the presidential candidates of political parties having floor negotiation groups; candidates who have won 5 percent or more in support ratings on average in opinion surveys conducted and made public by five or more central dailies selected by the debate committee and the three broadcasting stations in a fortnight prior to registration of candidates; and candidates who have garnered 5 percent or more in approval rating in national elections held after the 15th presidential election. According to these criteria, the presidential candidate of the Democratic Labor Party, Kwon Young-ghil, took part in the debate on the strength of his party's winning 5 percent or more in approval rating in the 2002 gubernatorial, mayoral and local council elections, although despite the fact that his party had neither a floor negotiation group nor won an approval rating of 5 percent or more in opinion surveys, on the strength of his party's winning 5 percent or more in approval rating in the 2002 gubernatorial, mayoral and local council elections.

Thus, four group TV group-debates were held in the 16th presidential election, as was the case in the just preceding one election. Due to legal and realistic practical deficiencies in adequacies failings, however, public interest in and viewing rate of the debates were was very low. The tripartite debate formula adopted tended to amuse and (but?) lack inbe entertaining but lacked seriousness despite of the (?check original.) While the format of reciprocal questioning and answering had a its merits, the tripartite debate formula adopted tended to rouse interestamuse and lack in seriousness. Rather Thea \_TV debate on candidacy unification between Roh and Chung The televised Roh-Chung candidacy unification debate, held on 22 November, drew more attention and was generally considered to contain useful information. However, it was accompanied by a controversy over the legality of a separate candidate debate, ending with a compromise that barred other such debates and granted a separate debate for opponents Lee and Kwon. though accompanied by a controversy over its legal fairness equity fairness controversy, and was said to regarded as having

have offered more useful contents in its discussion. A controversy about legal issues involved in relaying the candidacy unification debate—produced\_debate\_produced\_a compromise, limiting the debate to only once only and granting a separate debate to candidates Lee and Kwon. The compromise is similar in nature—tonature to the Aspen rule of the United States under which the problem of fairness is solved by having a civic organization administer an election debate and letting broadcasting networks relay it based on its value as bona\_fide news. The TV debates in the 16th presidential election, nevertheless, left a number of legal and realistic practical tasks unresolved. Among them are questions about the tenure of the debate committee, which institution is authorized to establish the debate committee, what elections the debate committee is authorized to cover, how to appoint debate committee members, how to differentiate talks from debates, and what format to take in debates should take. 15

## Rekindled Resurfacing Fairness Objectivity Controversy

Fairness—Objectivity in Korean media, or lack of it, is a problem facing problem in Korea's media faces a turning point because due to the fact in that causes factors which have threatened objectivity in the past ing fairness are slipping from shifting from political control to the pursuit of commercial profits or into the hands of media firms. themselvesMcQuail (1980) explains surveys the objective side and non-objective sides of media reporting and maintains that no genuinely objective news can exist, and that news is inherently cannot but be biased. Noting that the news coverage process contains both the need of to reporting things as they are and a subjective side at the same time requiresing subjective judgement judgment, he classifies the non-objective side of bias as follows:

Table 6. McQuail's Classification of Biased Reporting by Media

13

14

15

	<u>Disclosed/Undisclosed Bias</u> <del>Whether or Not I</del>			
		<del>Is Made Public</del>		
		Made Public <u>ly</u>	Not Made Public <u>ly</u>	
		Disclosed	<u>Undisclosed</u>	
		Media firms'	Propaganda	
	Intentional	company and editorial policies, etc.	(Camouflaged sometimes	
			as objective news-	
Intentional or			sometimes)	
unintentional		Media firms' internal		
	TT : ( () 1	and external matters,	Idealasias	
	Unintentional	including their	Ideologies	
		ownership structure		

Open unintentional biases have dominated the country's political reporting. Accordingly, some assert that open and intentional biases like media firms' editorial policies should be permitted, only to be overwhelmed by nominative public opinion that emphasizes media fairnessobjectivity. As a result, intentional but unstated biases, a pattern corresponding to propaganda, prevail. Though legally obliged to be fair and not to endorse particular politicians and parties, all media firms tend to endorse specific politicians and parties in accordance with their respective interests.

Broadcasting companies, whose fairness in news coverage is required more strongly than newspapers, also are susceptible to commit entertain intentional and covert biases. As<u>lthough</u> broadcasting stations proclaim to be fair in their news coverage, such intentional and covert biases and the resulting biased stories may serve to further confuse their viewers even more. Biased reporting, arising from media firms' interests, saw a conspicuous increase tended to increase conspicuously in the 16th presidential election. While report adherence to reportage that bows to authority is decreasing, reporting for one's own media company's interestreportage on behalf of network interest is increasing. The Kim Dae-jung administration's unfinished-incomplete press reform, in particular, provided the biggest factor causingplayed a major role in the discords between and among broadcasting and newspaper companies. Sharp discordsconflict, threatening fairness objectivity in election coverage, was exhibited between two groups of newspapers: companies, the nation's three major conservative dailies of (The Chosun Ilbo, Joong Ang Ilbo, and Dong-A-a Ilbo, which are collectively known by the abbreviation as the "Cho Joong Dong,"); and the three minor dailies The Hankyoryeh, Kyung Hayang Shinmun and Korea Daily News, (abbreviated as "Han Kyung

	서식	있음		
//	서식	있음		
	서식	있음		
_	서식	있음		

Dae,"), and also between the "Cho Joong TongDong" trio and trio and broadcasting stations. As a result, some have suggested that newspapers be permitted allowed to endorse specific candidates, in not straight news items, but opinion articles, not in straight news items (Yi Hyo-seongLee Hyo sung, 22022002).

In the most recent presidential election, the media exhibited a trend of unfairness biasThe media exhibited biases in their election coverage, especially in choosing and reporting election issues. Despite their <u>strict</u> quantitative fairness in covering dominant candidates, <u>the broadcasting station</u> exhibited considerable qualitative biases. <u>A case in point is t</u>The so-called "new reporting <u>directive guideline</u>" the opposition GNP made public in late August 2002, with which it requested <u>the that MBC</u> to exercise fairness in covering the election, is a case in point

A comparison of news-headlines the three broadcasting stations used with regard to the alleged draft dodging by Lee's son and related issues, as shown in Table 7,-16 suggests how different they were from each other in covering election issues. The KBS covered the issue mainly from the perspective of a rivaling parties' battle. Some of its news headlines read Among its headlines were the following: "Rivaling Parties Exchange Attacks on Tapes Backing up—\_Alleged Draft Dodging" (October 2); "Rivaling Parties Fuel Attack and Counterattack on Alleged Draft Dodging" (October 3); "Attack and Counterattack on Alleged Draft Dodging and the Kiyang Giyang Slush Fund" (October 12); and "Special Counsel Suggested on Alleged Draft Dodging - Alleged Plot Must be Investigated" (October 25). MBC, on the other hand, implied, that the allegation was not thoroughly probed into Iin a series of news reports on the alleged draft dodging, that the allegations had not been sufficiently investigated.7 the MBC, on the other hand, implied that the allegation was not thoroughly probed into. To cite some of its few news titles: "Conclusion Premature on Investigation about Alleged Draft Dodging" (October 3); "Han In-ok's (Lee's spouse) Alleged Receipt of 50 Million Won (US\$42,000) in Bribe Controversial" (October 15); "Follow-up on Alleged Draft Dodging: Politicians Contacted" (October 2524) and "Suspicion Remain Unresolved" (October 25). Immediately after the prosecution announced on October 25 the outcome of its investigations of the allegation the allegation, the MBC aired a four-part feature series on the matter, pointing out what it called defects and problems in the prosecution investigation, a practice unmatched<u>line</u> of reportage not taken up by its—\_rival networks.<sub>7</sub>

In contrast, the SBS dealt mainly with Kim Dae-yeop, who initiated the draft\_dodging allegation. Some of its news titles were: "Kim Dae-yeop May Have Forged Tapes" (October 16); "Kim Dae-yeopDae-eop May be Summoned on Suspected Tape Forging" (October 16); "Alleged Draft Dodging Groundless, Prosecution Concludes Provisionally" (October 17); "Kim Dae-yeopDae-eop's Punishment Appears Unavoidable" (October 17); "Alleged Draft Dodging Groundless, Prosecution Concludes" (October 25); and "Prosecution Considering Requesting Arrest Warrant against Kim Dae-yeopDae-eop" (October 26). These titles, stressing doubts about the allegation and alluding to Kim Dae-eop's possible—prosecutionpossible prosecution of Kim Dae yeop, are entirely different in substance-content from those of the MBC. Regarding the possible prosecution of Kim Dae-yeop May Resist," while the MBC reported broadcast a report one-entitled -"Kim Dae-yeop May Expose More Facts."

Table 7. News Titles the Three Broadcasting Stations
Used on Alleged Draft Dodging and Related Issues

	KBS	MBC	SBS
	Rivaling parties take	2nd analysis of Kin	ı
Oct. 2	offensive and defensive on	Dae yeop Dae-eop's tapes	s
	alleged draft dodging tapes	hits snags too	

Oct. 3		"Conclusion premature" on alleged draft dodging investigation	
Oct. 4	Rivaling parties fuel offensive and defensive on alleged draft dodging		
Oct. 6			Prosecution considering summoning Lee Hoi-chang's sons
Oct.11			Attacks and counter-attacks on alleged draft dodging and remittances to North Korea in parliamentary questioning of administration
Oct.12	Attacks and counterattacks on alleged draft dodging and KGiyang slush fund		
Oct.15		Han In-ok's alleged payment of 50 million won in bribe controversial_	
		GNP dismisses alleged bribe	
Oct.16	No definite <del>judgement</del> judgment on Kim <del>Dae-yeop</del> <u>Dae-eop</u> 's tapes	Interim investigation report made public on Kim $\mp \underline{D}$ ae- yop's tapes	"Kim <del>Dae yeop</del> Dae-eop may have forged his tapes"
	kim Dae yeopDae-eop to be present at prosecutors' office next week on petitions		Kim Dae yeep Dae-eop to be summoned soon on his suspected tape forging
Oct.17	Investigation snags unavoidable due to defiant Kim <del>Dae yeop</del> <u>Dae-eop</u>		"No evidence in alleged draft dodging," prosecution concludes provisionally "Punishment of Kim Tae yop unavoidable," say prosecutors "Punishment of Kim Daeeop unavoidable," say prosecutors 건찬, "김대업씨 형사처벌
Oct.22		"No evidence" in draft dodging allegation	Prosecution to announce on Oct. 25 outcome of its investigation of draft dodging allegation
Oct.24	strife over outcome of alleged draft dodging investigation	Investigation follow-up, Politicians contacted	

	MDP lawmakers visit Prosecutor General's Office in protest		
Oct.25	•	Feature part 1 (suspicion part 1 on draft dodging ?): "No evidence" Feature	
	Questions remain about Kim	Feature part 2: "Appears to	Controversy remains over
	<del>Dae-yeop</del> <u>Dae-eop</u>	have reduced body weight	alleged draft dodging
		on purpose"	investigation
	Investigation leaves nothing but scars	Feature part 3: Explanations insufficient	GNP demands plot investigation; MDP special counsel
	Rivaling parties demand Introduction of special counsel and plot investigation respectively	reature part 4: "Suspected	
	Suspicions remain unremoved (resolved?)		Prosecution considering requesting arrest warrant
Oct.26			against Kim <del>Dae-yeop</del> <u>Dae-eop</u>

Secondly, the media are placed inface a dilemma regarding formal fairness objectivity and useful reporting. Scholars have cited a number of standards of election coverage.: 

BbBroadly speaking, useful reporting must provide useful information valuable to the electorate in making wise political decisions, and at the same time be fair as part of the overall political process. The two are contradictory, however. The easiest way to realize fairness achieve objectivity in election coverage, frankly, is to mechanically report issues mechanically and compare policies in a manner equal to all parties involved. This may offer fairness objectivity—or, strictly speaking, passive neutrality—but is of little value to the electorate. Aggressive and detailed reporting of specific issues and pledges, on the other hand, is likely to harm fairness objectivity. Desirable election coverage thus appears to boil down down to how to maintaining balance between these two axesextremes.

The particular Which aspects emphasized in of the a media's election coverage

are emphasized often reflects characteristics of a country's political culture or history. Influenced by its Overshadowed by the legacy of its prolonged military dictatorships, Koreans excessively emphasizes fairness in the its median's coverage of elections, even so much so excessively as as to invite inviting a criticism of for making "meaningless election reportage, haunted by the ghost of fairness objectivity." Because a journalistic pattern stressing formal fairness may have a chilling effect on the media's positive constructive role, however, a scholarYi Chang-hyeon, as shown outlined in Table 8, maintains that formal fairness should be diverted translated into material fairness (Yi Lee-Chang-hyeon, 2003).

Table 8.- Two Levels of Fairness in Election Broadcasting

	Formal Fairness	Material Fairness
Media's role	Passive	Positive
Changes in voters	Fosters negative perceptions	Stresses voter's political
	about politics	participation
Relationship of emphasis	Attaches importance to political	Attaches importance to citizen's
	relations	need for political information
Contents of emphasis	Quantitative balance	Qualitative balance
Political changes	Acknowledges political realities	Spurs political changes

Source: Yi Lee-Chang-hyeon, (2003).

The third problem lies in fairness centeredobjectivity in itsof objectivity lies in the media's exclusive focus on the on the two rivaling parties. While maintaining excessively strict quantitative fairness in regard to the candidates of the ruling and major opposition parties, the broadcasting medianetworks almost entirely excluded splinter party candidates in their news coverage, interviews and as their information sources. They Such candidates were seldom invited to televised debates. Such a practice which could have had a winnowing effect (Mun ChulCheol-soosu, 1997).

Fairness in covering election issues should apply to differences on issues as well

as candidates. The fact that the Democratic Labor Party presidential candidate, Kwon Young-ghil, who had distinctly different views on the two prominent issues in the most recent presidential election, the SOFA revision and sunshine policy, the two prominent issues in the most recent presidential election, was seldom interviewed or quoted illustrates the slanted fairness objectivityslanting in favor of the two rivaling parties.

# Declined Influence of Newspapers and Soared Power<u>in favor of of the</u> and Increased Influence of the Internet

Most noticeable in the 16th presidential election were election was the decline newspapers' of the major newspapers' declined—influence and the Internet's soared increase in power. Some have even ascribed Roh's victory to the Internet and netizens. Fallen newspaper influence was evinced by the fact that conservative 3 newspapers emphasis of the North Korean nuclear crisis and its threat on peace on the Korean peninsula during the final weeks of the election failed to have a major impact on the election outcome. According to the priming effect theory, a concentrated coverage by the major dailies should have predominantly played up the security issues for the benefit of the conservative candidate by dissuading progressive and neutral voters. Approval ballots—for the conservative GNP candidate Lee Hoi-chang, nevertheless, failed to jump increase in proportion to the dominant newspaper coverage he had enjoyed.

On the other hand, the public'spublic mourning for the vehicular deaths of two teenage girls and their demand for a revision ng the \_of SOFA, despite their having been played down by the mainstream media, emerged as predominant major issues through the Internet in the closing phase of the election; newspapers' negative agenda-setting was totally ineffective (Yi Jun-ungLee Jun-wung, 2003a). Needless to say, the Internet media are yet to overwhelmis yet to eclipse the printed media in influence in the future. But it is evident that many voters who lacked a political interpretation framework used the Internet as an interpretation interpretive guideline. As a matter of fact, many prominent issues in the 16th presidential election were raised by the Internet media the Internet media raised many prominent issues in the 16th

presidential election. The alleged draft dodging by Lee's son, a dominant election issue during the earlier phase of the election, election, was touched-initiated off by an interview Internet news channel "OhmyNews" conducted with Kim Dae yeop Dae-eop, source of the allegation. Issues involving the deaths of The compelling story of the two schoolgirls and thea SOFA revision calls emerged prominent on account of came to the fore through concentrated intense coverage by "OhmyNews" and "Pressian," another Internet news site.

The increased influence of the Internet media can be attributed ascribed to to a number of factors. Among them are a prompt and flexible delivery of news, election coverage oriented to the citizens, representing representation of voices of minority groups like alienated people and social movement groups, neglected by the established media, and setting up an agenda promoting agendas promoting the their rights and interests of those groups. Also included are is their offering o Anof ther attractive feature of Internet media is that it offers versatile viewpoints on any single identical agendasingle issues and a varied mix of opinions and people concerened concerned of interested people horizontally. Unlike the established media which are limited in space and time, the Internet media are is capable of covering issues persistently consistantly consistently from their raising introduction inception to through maturity and resolution (Bak SunSeon-heehui, 2003). Most importantly, Internet news outlets like OhmyNews and Pressian contributed towards boosting balloting by the young electorate. They also played an affirmative role in offering a near-live-relay coverage of stumping scenes and covering the presidential candidates of such splinter parties as the

But the The Internet media were not unfair, however, when they played up issues unfavorable to Lee like his son's alleged draft dodging, while playing down the North Korean nuclear crisis, sunshine policy and the MDP's internal strifes. Though such bias cannot be assessed by the <u>yardsticksame yardstick</u> applied to the established media, the Internet media evidently also have problems in regard to fairnessobjectivity. In their reporting mode too well, they made produced more horse-race reportage than the established media by emphasizing election projections and confrontations. They indiscriminately used such extreme phrases as "hot pursuit," "clean

서식 있음

서식 있음

서식 있음

서식 있음

서식 있음

Democratic Labor and Social Parties.

sweep," "pivotal battleground," "guerilla warfare," "last-minute reversal" and "submerged operation." Such problems may aggravate-increase in the future, given the attributes of the Internet media, as -external regulating regulation of their contents is impossible, and as the law protects their users users' rights to express themselves freely.

All in all, in the 16th presidential election—the Internet media displayed a considerable degree of possibility—potential in functioning as an alternative to the established media in the 16th presidential election, and, despite their the limited number of their users, wielded considerable influence. They were affirmative The outlets acted positively in their attempts attempting to make—produce in-depth reporting and presenting divergent views, but have to overcome lots many of obstacles in to ensureing quality and fairness objectivity in their reportage.

#### Conclusion

서식 있음

The 16th presidential election marked a turning point in Korean politics in several respects. To begin with, it was an election opening a post-authoritarian era with marked by the passing of the three Kims who had dominated the nation's politics for over three decades gone. Using the slogan "change and reform," Roh's victory in the election, who put up the slogan "change and reform," therefore, is not unrelated to no coincidencewas not coincidental in —such a social atmosphere.

Secondly, the election marked an <u>full-scale</u> earnest entrance <u>initiation</u> into the <u>an</u> <u>era of</u> media politics <u>era</u>. With the retreat of the three Kims, there were no presentations <u>of</u> <u>no</u> candidates with <u>pre-fixed</u> images <u>came forth</u>; furthermore, <u>the</u> fixed support base for the rivaling parties was undermined by support for individual candidates. <u>These All</u> <u>these changes</u> show an increased impact on balloting of short-term factors like candidates images and election issues, rather than long-term factors, as Flanigan and <u>Singale-Zingale</u> note: (Flanigan and <u>& Zingale</u>, <u>1d in 983</u>).

Thirdly, due to the two factors cited above, the election demonstrated that a candidate successful in mounting media-friendly events and issues, rather than in party-based election strategies, can win in an election. Roh's triumph can largely be attributed to his success in raising issues and leading public opinion through such

events as the MDP's semi-primaries, dubbed "the weekend drama," and his candidacy unification negotiation with Chung.

Fourthly, the media exhibited in the election a distinct inclination of towards supporting particular candidates in the election, giving rise to a problem of fairness objectivity in media election coverage. Competition in election coverage between the three major conservative dailies of "Cho Joong Dong," which nakedly openlywho undisguised supporteined Lee, and the pro-Roh dailies of "Han Kyung KoreaDae," reached the a dangerous level, even when taking the newspapers' independence is taken into account. The broadcasting networks invited fairness objectivity controversies by resorting to biases in selecting election issues and covering election campaigns. BeingAs part of ana partial Aaftermath of the Kim Dae-jung administration's unfinished press reform as they are, such practices should be remedied to achieve a mature political culture of democracy, essential to the the current era of media politics era.

Lastly, the election witnessed <u>a\_sharply</u> increased<u>in\_influence of\_the</u>

Such changes in our media politics are affirmative in that they complement complementing representative democracy, but they raise thea possibility that a candidate can win an election based on an electiona strategy making that makes best use of his or her personal characters and "'medialities(Robinson 1976)." befitting the media on the other. In order to The development of desirable media politics and overcomeing such duality of media politics, requires the evolution of elaborate legal and institutional conditions and a matured consciousness on the part of politicians and journalists is essential. In this sense, our Korea's media politics, as seen in the 16th presidential election, has made remarkable technological advances in technology but faces a crisis in

substance content: Because political processes degraded into "medialities" and politicians utilizing entertainment-oriented election strategies meeting media propensities are liable to bring about mobocracy(?). Even An evena more sifnificantsignificant bigger problem lies in the fact that many media politics problems seen inin which surfaced in the most recent presidential election were repetitions of those observed in the 15th presidential election. It is One must ponder wondered how long we will have to repeat this vicious circle may be repeated. Desirable media politics may take roots in the country Korea only when we manage to put an end to this vicious circle will be it is put to an end.

#### Footnotes

- 1. The concept of a "well-informed public" is translated as "well-informed people" by Yi Hyo-seong (2002), and "wise public" by Jang Ho-sun (2002). Both scholars, nonetheless, stress that the media should aggressively convey correct information to voters so that they may comprehend political contents.
- 2. In the same context, there exists a trend of a blindly following of electronic democracy through the Internet. While acknowledging the Internet's technical functionality, success or failure of the utility of electronic democracy depends on how well participating candidates and voters understand it. Immature civic conditions prevailing in the Internet, such as anonymity, irresponsibility and unethical behavior, diminish citizens' expectations of electronic democracy. See Hwang (2001).
- 3. As to the problems of media politics revealed in the 15th presidential election, see "The 15th Presidential Election and Media Politics," 1998 spring issue, Trends and Prospects (consecutive volume No. 37); pp. 352-376, Hwang KuhnGeun (1998b, 352-356).:-
- 4). Flanigan and Zingale classify factors influencing voters' decision-making into long-term forces like demographic attributes and identification with parties, and short-term forces like candidates' personal attributes. They claim that short-term forces

may change during an election campaign, but long-term factors seldom change-(Flanigan and Zingale, 1983: pp., -115-140-).

- 5). The most typical instance was a public pledge to remove the administrative capital from Seoul to Chungcheong region, central South Korea. Roh's advocacytion of this proposal allowed him to win in the Chungcheong region with —relative ease.
- 6). In Korean politics, all policy issues tend to become political. The sunshine policy of reconciliation with North Korea, for example, is a policy matter involving the South-North Korean relations. In the 16th presidential election, however, it was a political issue no less fierce than the issue of remitting a large sum of public funds to PyongyangNorth.
- 7). This appears to have been caused by the fact that reform candidate Roh, from his party's semi-primaries, advocated progressive slogans such as political reform, resulting in a conservative vs. reform ideological confrontation with his conservative rival Lee. Such ideological differences between the two candidates exerted central influence, as well as the nation's generational, regional, and ideological—conflicts. Voters accordingly exhibited a trend of perceiving and evaluating such issues as South-North Korea relations and the nation's relationship with the United States in ideological terms.
- 8) On patterns of partiality and analysis in the media's election coverage and analysis, see Kwon Hyeok-nam (2002<del>; pp.</del>, 41-43).
- 9) Scholars have noted that press coverage on though aTV debates is considered more important than TV debates are important, their press coverage is equally as important (Hellweg, Pfau, and –Brydon, 1992; Lee Jong-su, 1997; Yang Sung-chan, 1998). In addition, published research holds that press interpretation of a TV debate may also influence voters' judgementjudgment (Benoit and Currie, 2001; Kendall, 1997; Pfau and Eveland, 1992).2

An analysis of newspaper coverage of TV debates during the 16th presidential election by Yang Seung-chan (22003b), however, is more affirmative. He Yang notes that

there was an increase in the instances of newspapers' evaluation of the format and contents of TV debates in comparison with that of increased from the coverage of the 15th presidential election in addition to the increased analysis of , with newspapers comparatively analyzed differences among candidates. These changes are considered to have arisen perhaps because Baek Seon-gi's Paik Son-ki's analysis dealt with debates involving party candidates invited prior to September 2000, whereas Yang Seung-chan's study handled debates involving a group of candidates invited by the Presidential Election Debate Broadcasting Committee during the official campaign period.

10). Subjected to this analysis are the main evening news programs of the three major broadcasting stations over a 60-day period prior to the election date, i.e. from 18 October to 18 December.

11). Because— public opinion deteriorated following the presidential candidates' TV debates sponsored— competitively by the three broadcasting networks and newspaper firms in May and June 1997, —the broadcasting presidents put out a statement on —July 3 resolving to "put an end to our individual debates in favor of joint debates to be held under the sponsorship of the Korean Broadcasters Association." The practice continued, however, indicating that the country's media politics, including TV debates, serves media interests, not those of politicians and voters.

12). The National Election Commission's draft revision of election-related laws, however, was rejected in the face of party objections not to clauses related to TV debates, but to a package of political reforms, such as "differentiation in return of deposits in accordance with polling rates based on the total number of valid votes cast," "raise in —candidate's deposit to 2 billion won (US\$1.7 million)," "required —use of account books on political funds, received, dispensed and deposited, and of checks and credit cards," and "reduced scale of political funds authorized."

13). Due to voters' strong selective exposure and perception, TV election debates have a limited impact on balloting rates, when compared with their high viewing rates. Because they often offer a two-way discussion, however, they are bound to attract—a

high degree of public interest and a high viewing rate. The tripartite debates that took place during the 16th presidential election failed to attract great interest because they lacked in seriousness and because of the blatant questions and answers. In contrast, TV campaign speeches commanded greater public interest and appear to have had great impact on the balloting. "Supporting Speech by Jagalchi Fish Market Lady," a campaign program mounted by the Roh camp aimed at the general public, and "Supporting Speech by a Taxi Driver," a response from the Lee camp, attracted considerable public interest despite their stronger selective exposure. This indicates that attracting interest in a television program has precedence over formal fairness in media politics.

14). The most controversial decision regarding –TV election debates is the question of the invitees, because limited invitations are liable to raise questions of —constitutionality. In the United States, for example, –TV presidential debates were not held from the 1960 Nixon-Kennedy debate until 1976 —because splinter party candidates made an issue out of Article 315 of the Communications Law involving equal opportunity. The Aspen Rule resolved the stalemate by ruling: "If a debate is sponsored by a non-profit organization and relayed by broadcasting firms, the principle of equal opportunities is not breached." Thus, from 1976 to 1988, the Woman Voters League sponsored TV presidential debates.

15). For details, see YiLee Hyo-sueong (2003).

16) The use of biased news headlines is cited as a means of examining unfair news coverage, because television viewers tend to browse through headlines, thus getting only a distorted picture.

17) Yi Hyo-seong Lee Hyo-sung (2002), for instance, cites four basic principles applicable to media coverage of elections: -truth, fairness, usefulness and independence.

18). According to Yang Seung-chan (2003a), the greatest incentive to use newspaper media is to report policy pledges during an election period, and newspaper coverage of the 16th presidential election was highly assessed in terms of its "accurate factual reporting" and "fair evaluation of candidates" in comparison with the 15th presidential

election in 1997. But the assessment was lower in terms of "objective reporting," "reflection of divergent views" and "presentation of problems involved in election issues." This appears to indicate that newspapers' election coverage, while still exercising considerable influence in the interpretation of issues, falls behind in "objectivity and diversity" and "raising of issues needed by the electorate." The public's consequent dissatisfaction with newspapers is thought to have encouraged its reliance on the Internet media.

- 1) "Well informed public" is translated as "well informed people" by Lee Hyo sung-(2002) and "wise public" by Chang Ho-sun (2002). Both, nonetheless, stress that themedia should aggressively convey verified information to voters so that they may comprehend things political.
- 2) In the same context, there exists a trend of blindly trusting electronic democracy conducted through the Internet. Though the Internet's technical functionality is acknowledged, success or failure of the utility of electronic democracy depends on how well participating candidates and voters understand it. Immature civic conditions prevailing in the Internet space, such as anonymity, irresponsibleness and unethical nature, diminish our expectations on electronic democracy. (See Hwang Kuhn, 2001).
- 3) As to the problems of media politics revealed in the 15th presidential election, see "The 15th Presidential Election and Media Politics," 1998 spring issue, Trends and Prospects (consecutive volume No. 37); pp. 352-376, Hwang Kuhn.
- 4) Flanigan and Zingale classify factors influencing voters' decision making into long term forces like demographic attributes and identity with parties and short term ones like candidates' personal attributes. Short term forces may change during an election campaign, but long-term factors seldom change, according to them. (Flanigan and Zingale, 1983: pp. 115-140.)
- 5) The most typical instance was a public pledge to remove the administrative capital from Seoul to Daejon in central South Korea, Owning to his advocacy of this, candidate Roh Moo hyun could win in the Chungchong region with a relative ease.
- 6) In Korean politics, all policy issues tend to become political. In fact, the sunshine policy of reconciliation with North Korea is a policy matter involving the South North Korean relations. In the 16th presidential election, however, it was a political issue no less fierce than the issue of remitting a large sum of public funds to Pyongyang.
- 7) This appears to have been caused by the fact reformative candidate Roh, starting from his party's semi-primaries, advocated progressive slogans like political reform, resulting in a conservative vs. reformative ideological confrontation with his conservative rival Lee. Such ideological differences between the two candidates exercised influence, coupled with the nation's generational, regional and ideological conflicts. Voters accordingly

exhibited a trend of ideologically perceiving and evaluating such issues as South North Korea relations and the nation's relationship—with the United States.

- 8) On patterns of partiality in the media's election coverage and the analysis of their instances, see Kwon Hyok nam (2002; pp. 41-43).
- 9) Scholars have noted that though a TV debate itself is important, no less importance should be given to its press coverage (Hellweg, Pfau and Brydon, 1992; Lee Jong su, 1997; Yang Sung chan, 1998). In addition, published researches have it that press interpretation of a TV debate may also influence voters' judgement (Benoit and Currie, 2001; Kendall, 1997; Pfau and Eveland, 1992).
- An analysis of newspaper coverage of TV debates during the 16th presidential election by Yang Sung-chan (2203b), however, is more affirmative, noting that the instances of rewspapers' evaluation of the format and contents of TV debates increased from the coverage of the 15th presidential election, and that newspapers comparatively analyzed differences among candidates. The difference is considered—to have arisen perhaps from the fact that Paik Son-ki's analysis dealt with debates individually participated in by party candidates invited prior to September 2000, whereas Yang Sung-chan's study landled debates participated in by a group of candidates invited by the Presidential Election Broadcasting Debate Committee during the official campaign period.
  - 10) Subjected to this analysis are the main evening news programs of the three major broadcasting stations over 60 days prior to the election date, ie from October 18 to December 18.
  - 11) As harm was done in and the public opinion deteriorated on the TV debates of presidential candidates the three broadcasting networks sponsored in May and June, 1997 in competition, their presidents on July 3 resolved to "put an end to our individual debates in favor of joint debates to be held under the sponsorship of the broadcasters' association." The evil practice is still repeated, however, an indication that the country's media politics including TV debates serves media interests, not those of politicians and voters—
  - 12) The Central Election Management Committee's draft revision of election-related laws, however, was rejected in the face of party objections to not clauses related to TV debates, but a package of political reforms, such as "differentiation in return of deposits in accordance with polling rates based on the total number of valid votes cast," "raise in a candidate's deposit to 2 billion won (US\$1.7 million)," "required—use of account books on political funds, received, dispensed and deposited, and of cheeks and credit cards," and "reduced scale of political funds authorized."
  - 13) Due to voters' strong selective exposure and perceptiveness, TV election debates have a limited impact on balloting rate, when compared with their high viewing rates. Because they often offer a two way discussion, however, they are bound to attract—a high degree of public interest and a high viewing rate. The tripartite debates done in the 16th presidential election failed to attract great interest because they lacked in seriousness

and because of diagrammatic questions and answers exchanged. In contrast, TV campaign speeches commanded greater public interest and appear to have had no small impact on the balloting. "Supporting Speech by Jagalchi Fish Market Lady," a campaign program the Roh camp mounted with plain folks targeted at, and "Supporting Speech by a Taxi Driver," a response from the Lee camp, despite their stronger selective exposure, attracted considerable public interest. This indicates that attracting interest in a television program has precedence over diagrammatic fairness in media politics.

14) Most controversial about a TV election debate is who are invited to take part in it, because limitations in invitation are liable to cause unconstitutionality. The United States saw no TV presidential debates held since the 1960 Nixon-Kennedy debate until 1976 because splinter party candidates made an issue out of Article 315 of the Communications Law involving equal opportunity. The Aspen Rule resolved the stalemate by ruling: "If a debate is sponsored by a non profit making organization and relayed by broadcasting firms, the principle of equal opportunities is not breached." From 1976 to 1988, the Woman Voters League thus sponsored TV presidential debates.

15) For details, see Lee Hyo sung (2003).

16) The use of biased news headlines is cited as a means of examining unfair news coverage, because television viewers tend to browse through headlines only to get a distorted picture.

17) Lee Hyo sung (2002), for instance, cites four basic principles applicable to media coverage of elections; the principles of truth, fairness, usefulness and independence.

18) A survey done by Lee Sung chan (2003a) revealed a higher assessment of newspaper coverage of the 16th presidential election than in the 15th presidential election in 1997 in terms of "accurate factual reporting" and "fair evaluation of candidates." But the assessment was lower in terms of "objective reporting," "reflection of divergent views" and "presentation of problems involved in election issues." This appears to indicate that newspapers' election coverage, while still exercising considerable influence in the interpretation of issues, falls behind in "objectivity and diversity" and "presentation of issues needed by the electorate." The public's consequent dissatisfaction in newspapers is thought to have encouraged its reliance on the Internet media.

References

Baek, Seon-gi. 2002a. "Je 16 dae daetongnyeong seon-geo bangsong bodo bunseok I: TV nyeuseu bodo-e daehan damnon bunseok-eul jungsim-euro" (Analysis I of Broadcasting Coverage of the 16th Presidential Election: Centered on Analysis of Debates on TV Newscast). Peurogram /tekseuteu (Project and Text) 7.

----- 2002b. "Hanguk bangsong-ui daetongnyeong seon-geo bodo yuhyeong-gwa

- ilban wonchik: 2002 daetongnyeong seon-geo bodo junchik-e daehan jeeon-eul junsim-euro" (Patterns and General Principles of Korean Broadcasting Media Coverage of Presidential Elections: Centered on Proposed 2002 Presidential Election Coverage Guidelines). Paper presented at a seminar entitled "For Institution of Election Coverage Guidelines," sponsored by the Korean Society for Journalism and Communication Studies (KSJC).
- Bak, Seon-hui. 2003. "Inteonet eollon-ui 16dae daeseon bodo bunseok: omainyuseu-wa peuresian-eul junsim-euro" (An Analysis of Internet Media Coverage of the 16th Presidential Election: Centered on *OhmyNews* and *Pressian*). Paper presented at a seminar entitled "Changed Media Influence in the 16th Presidential Election and Development Strategies for Each Kind of the Media," cosponsored by the Korea Press Foundation, Journalists Association of Korea, Federation of PD Associations, Korea Press Law Society, Internet News Association.
- Benoit, W. L., and H. Currie. 2001. "Inaccuracies in Media Coverage of the 1996 and 2000 Presidential Debates." *Argumentation and Advocacy* 38.1.
- Chang, Ho -soon 2002. "Seon-geo Bangsong-ui Gongjeongseong-gwa Hyoyulseong-eul

  <u>Uihan Gwaje."</u> (A Task for Settlement of Fairness and Efficiency of Election

  <u>Broadcsating</u>) <u>Paper presented at an experts discussion meeting entitled "a task for settlement of fairness and efficiency of election broadcsating " Korean Broadcasting Commission.</u>
- Denton, R. E. Jr. 2000. "Dangers of 'Teledemocracy': How the Medium Of Television

  Undermines American Democracy." In *Political Communication Ethics*: An

  Oxymoron, edited by R. E. Denton, Jr. London: Praeger.
- Eom, Min-hyeong. 2002. "Seon-geo toron bangsong-ui munje-wa gaeseon banghyang"

  (Problems of Election Debate Broadcasting and Direction of Improving Them).

  Paper presented at a discussion meeting entitled "Problem Involved in Presidential Election TV Debate," Presidential Election National Media Alliance.
- Flanigan, W. H., and N. H. Zingale. 1983. *Political Behavior of the American Electorate*. 5th ed. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Hellweg, S. A., M. Pfau, and S. R. Brydon. 1992. *Televised Presidential Debates: Advocacy in Contemporary America*. N.Y.: Praeger.
- Hwang, Kuhn (Hwang Geun). 1998a. "Je 15 dae daetongnyeong seon-geo-ui pyeongga"

- (An Analysis of the 15th Presidential Election). *Bangsong gyebal* (Broadcasting Development) 16.1.
- -----. 1998b. "Je 15 dae daetongnyeong seon-geo-wa midieo jeongchi" (The 15th Presidential Election and Media Politics). *Donghyang-gwa jeonmang* (Trends and Prospects) 37.
- -----. 2001. "Ssaibeo gonggan-eseoui jeongchi keomyunikeisyeon yangsik bunseok" (An Analysis of Political Communication Patterns in the Cyber Space). Hanguk eollon jeongbo hakbo (Korea Media Information Journal) 16.
- -----. 2002a. "Tellebijeon seon-geo bodo-e bichueojin jiyeok jeongchi-ui teukseong"

  (Characteristics of Regional Politics Reflected in Television Election Coverage).

  Hanguk eollon hakbo (Korean Journal of Journalism and Communication Studies)

  46.4.
- ------. 2002b. "16 dae daetongnyeong seon-geo bangsong bodo bunseok II: TV nyuseu bodo-e daehan yangjeok bunseok-eul jungsimeuro" (Analysis II of Broadcasting Coverage of the 16th Presidential Election; Centered on A Quantitative Analysis of TV News Cast). Program and Text 7.
- ------. 2002c. "Daetongnyeong seon-geo TV toron jedo-ui munjejeom-gwa gaeseon bangan" (Problems in TV Presidential Election Debate System and Suggestions for Improvement). Paper presented at a discussion meeting entitled "How Should the Election Law be Revised Prior to the 16th Presidential Election," organized by YMCA Seoul.
- ------. 2003. "16 dae daeseon bangsong-ui jaengjeom bodo bunseok" (An Analysis of Broadcasting Coverage of Issues in the 16th Presidential Election). Paper presented at a symposium entitled "A General Evaluation of Media Coverage of the 16th Presidential Election," Korean Society for Journalism and Communication Studies.
- Kendall, K. E. 1997. "The 1996 Clinton-Dole Presidential Debates: Through media eyes," in *Rhetorical Studies of National Political Debates* 1996, edited by R. V. Friedenberg. Westport, Conn.: Praeger.
- Kwon, Hyeok-nam. 2002. "Seon-geo hwan-gyeong byeonhwa-e ttareun hyogwajeok seon-geo bangsong unyeong bangan yeongu" (A Study into How to Manage Effective Election Broadcasting Accommodating Changed Election Environment).

- <u>In Gongjeong seon-geo bangsong model yeongu (Model Studies on Fair Election Broadcasting)</u>, edited by Korean Broadcasting Commission. <u>Seoul:</u> Korean Broadcasting Commission.
- McQuail, D. 1980. "From Bias to Objective and Back." In *Studies in Communication*, Vol. 3. edited by T. Comstock. Greewich Connecticut: JAI Press
- Mun, Cheol-su. 1995. "Daetongnyeong seon-geo chwijae-wa bodo" (Presidential Election News Collection and Reporting). Research report published by the Korea Press Institute.
- National Election Commission. 2002. "An Election Reform Program for Realizing Fair Election in the 16th Presidential Election; Centered on Expanded Public Election Management." Paper presented at Meeting Debating Election Reform Plans.
- Norris, P. 2000. A Virtuous Circle. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Pfau, M., and W. Eveland. 1992. "Debates and Other Communication Sources: The

  Pattern of Information and Influence." In *The 1992 Presidential Debates in Focus*edited by D. B. Carlin and M. S. McKinney. Westport, Conn.: Praeger.
- <u>Poynter Institute for media studies.</u> 1999. <u>Poynter Election Handbook: A Guide To Campaign</u>

  <u>Coverage</u>. Florida: The Poynter Institute.
- Robinson, M. J. 1976. "Public Affairs Television and the Growth of Political Malaise: the case of the selling of the pentagon." American Political Science Review. 70.
- Robinson, M. J., and M. A. Sheehan. 1983. *The Wire and on TV: CBS and UPI in Campaign* '80. N.Y.: Russel SAGE Foundation.
- Sim, Sang-yong. 2002. "TV toron ireoke haeya handa: 2002nyeon daeseon TV towon jedo gaeseon-ui banghyang" (Direction of Improving TV Debate System in the 16<sup>th</sup>

  Presidential Election). Paper presented at a debate meeting entitled 
  "How to Conduct Media Coverage of a Publicly-managed Election," sponsored by the Presidential Election National Media Alliance.
- Song, Jong-gil. 2003. "Jae 16 dae daetongnyeong seon-geo hubo chocheong hapdong toron hoeui toron hyeongsik" (Modes of Conducting Group Debates with 16th

  Presidential Election Candidates). Paper presented at "Meeting Evaluating Group Debates with 16th Presidential Election Candidates," cosponsored by

- the 16th Presidential Election Broadcasting Debate Committee and Korean Broadcasting Commission.
- Yang, Seung-chan. 2003a. "16dae daeseon yugwonja uisik josa gyeolgwaro bon eollon"

  (The Media Seen from A Consciousness Survey of the Electorate in the 16th
  Presidential Election). Paper presented at a seminar entitled "Changed Media
  Influence in the 16th Presidential Election and Development Strategies for Each
  Kind of the Media," co-sponsored by the Korea Press Foundation, Journalists
  Association of Korea, Federation of PD Associations, Korea Press Law Society
  and Internet News Association.
- bunseok" (An Analysis of Newspaper Coverage of Opinion Surveys, TV Debates and Editorials Concerning the 16th Presidential Election). Paper presented at a symposium entitled "A General Evaluation of Media Coverage of the 16th Presidential Election," sponsored by the Korean Society for Journalism and Communication Studies.
- Yi, Chang-hyeon. 2002. "Daetongnyeong seon-geo bangsong-ui gongjeongseong silhyeon-ui wihan jedojeok jangchi-ui hyeonhwang-gwa munjejeom" (Present Status of and Problems Involved in Institutional Devices for Realization of Fairness in Presidential Election Broadcasting). Paper presented at "Seminar on the Direction of Broadcasting Coverage of Elections in Media Election Era," sponsored by the Korean Broadcastiong Commission.
- jeeon: hyeongsikjeok 'gongjeongseong'-ui damron-eul neomeoseo" (An Evaluation of and Proposals on Broadcasting Coverage during the 16th Presidential Election Period: Beyond Debate on Formal "Fairness"). Paper presented at a seminar entitled "Changed Media Influence in the 16th Presidential Election and Development Strategies for Each Kind of the Media," cosponsored by the Korea Press Foundation, Journalists Association of Korea, Federation of PD Associations, Korea Press Law Society, Internet News Association.
- Yi, Hyo-seong. 1995. "TV toron: seon-geo bodo bowan, yusikhan yugwonja changjo" (TV Debates: Complementing Election Coverage and Creating Wise Voters).

Sinmun-gwa bangsong (Newspapers and Broadcasting) (August).

- ------. 2002. "Eollon-ui hubo jiji munje: chanbanron-gwa seon-geo beop-eul jungsim-euro" (The Problem of Media Supporting Candidates: Centered on Pro and Con and Election Laws). Paper presented at "Meeting Debating the Problem of Media Firms Openly Supporting Candidates," cosponsored by the New Press Forum and Korea Press Foundation.
- ------. 2003. "Je 16 dae daetongnyeong seon-geo bangsong toron wiwonhoe unyeong-gwa pyeongga" (Management of the 16th Presidential Election Broadcasting Debate Committee and Its Evaluation). Paper presented at "Meeting Evaluating Group Debates with 16th Presidential Election Candidates," cosponsored by the 16th Presidential Election Broadcasting Debate Committee and National Election Commission.
- Yi, Jun-ung. 2003a. "2002 nyeon daetongnyeong seo-geo-wa eollon: sinmun-ui yeonghangnyeok jeoha-e daehan seolmyeong" (The 2002 Presidential Election and the Media: An Explanation of Declined Newspaper Influence). Paper presented at a seminar entitled "Changed Media Influence in the 16th Presidential Election and Development Strategies for Each Kind of the Media."
- ------. 2003b. "2002 nyeon daetongnyeong seo-geo-wa caempein bodo pyeongga" (An

  Evaluation of Media Electioneering Coverage in the 16th Presidential Election).

  Paper presented at a symposium entitled "A General Evaluation of Media

  Coverage of the 16th Presidential Election," Korea Press Law Society.
- Kwon, Hyeok nam. 2002. "Seon geo hwan gyeong byeonhwa e ttareun hygwajeok seon-geo bangsong unyeong bangan yeongu" (A Study into How to Manage Effective Election Broadcasting Accommodating Changed Election Environment).

  In Gongjeong seon-geo bangsong model yeongu (Model Studies on Fair Election Broadcasting), edited by Broadcasting Committee. Seoul: Broadcasting Committee.
- Mun, Cheol su. 1995. "Daetongryeong seon-geo-chwijae wa bodo" (Presidential Election News Collection and Reporting). Research report published by the Korea Press Research Institute. (한국언론연구원)
- Bak, Seon-hui. 2003. "Inteonet eollon-ui 16dae daeseon bodo bunseok : omainyuseu-wa

peuresian cul junsimeuro" (An Analysis of Internet Media Coverage of the 16th Presidential Election: Centered on *OhmyNews* and *Pressian*). Paper presented at a seminar entitled "Changed Media Influence in the 16th Presidential Election and Development Strategies for Each Kind of the Media," co-sponsored by the Korea Press Foundation, Korea Journalists' Association, Federation of PD Associations, Korea Press Law Society, Internet News Association.

- Back, Seon-gi. 2002a. "Jae 16 dae daetongryeong seon-geo bangsong bodo bunseok I: TV

  nyeuseu bodo-e daehan damron bunseok-eul jungsim-euro" (Analysis I of
  Broadcasting Coverage of the 16th Presidential Election: Centered on Analysis of
  Debates on TV Newscast). Peurogram / texseut (Project and Text.) 7.—
- ------. 2002b. "Hanguk bangsong-ui daetongryeong seon-geo bodo yuhyeong-gwa ilban wonchik: 2002 daetongryeong seon-geo bodo junchik e daehan jeeon eul junsim euro" (Patterns and General Principles of Korean Broadcasting Media Coverage of Presidential Elections: Centered on Proposed 2002 Presidential Election Coverage Guidelines). Paper presented at a seminar entitled "For Institution of Election Coverage Guidelines," sponsored by the Korea Journalism Society.
- Song, Jong gil. 2003. "Jae 16 dae daetongryeong seon-geo hubo chocheong hapdong toron hoeui toron hyeongsik" (Modes of Conducting Group Debates with 16th Presidential Election Candidates). Paper presented at "Meeting Evaluating Group Debates with 16th Presidential Election Candidates," co-sponsored by the 16th Presidential Election Broadcasting Debate Committee and Central Election Management Committee.
- Sim, Sang-yong. 2002. "TV toron ireoke haeya handa: 2002nyeon daeseon TV towon jedo gaeseon-ui banghyang" (Direction of Improving TV Debate System in the 16<sup>th</sup> Presidential Election). Paper presented at a debate meeting entitled "How to Conduct Media Coverage of a Publicly-managed Election," sponsored by the Presidential Election National Media Alliance.
- Yang, Seung-chan. 2003a. "16dae daeseon yugwonja uisik josa gyeolgwaro bon eollon" (The Media Seen from A Consciousness Survey of the Electorate in the 16th Presidential Election). Paper presented at a seminar entitled "Changed Media

- Influence in the 16th Presidential Election and Development Strategies for Each Kind of the Media," co-sponsored by the Korea Press Foundation, Korea Journalists' Association, Federation of PD Associations, Korea Press Law Society and Internet News Association.
- Eom, Min-hyeong. 2002. "Seon-geo toron bangsong-ui munje-wa gaeseon banghyang"

  (Problems of Election Debate Broadcasting and Direction of Improving Them). Paper presented at a discussion meeting entitled "Problems Involved in Presidential Election TV Debates," Presidential Election National Media Alliance.
- Yi, Junung. 2003a. "2002nyeon daetongryeong see geo wa collon: sinmun-ui yeonghangryeok jeoha e daehan seolmyeong" (The 2002 Presidential Election and the Media: An Explanation of Declined Newspaper Influence). Paper presented at a seminar entitled "Changed Media Influence in the 16th Presidential Election and Development Strategies for Each Kind of the Media."
  - . 2003b. "2002nyeon daetongryeong seo geo-wa caempein bodo pyeongga" (An Evaluation of Media Electioneering Coverage in the 16th Presidential Election). Paper presented at a symposium entitled "A General Evaluation of Media Coverage of the 16th Presidential Election," Korea Press Law Society.
- Yi, Chang-hyeon. 2003. "16 dae daetongryeong seon-geo sigi bangsong bodo-ui pyeongga-wa jeeon: hyeongsikjeok 'gongjeongseong' ui damron-eul neomeoseo" (An Evaluation of and Proposals on Broadcasting Coverage during the 16th Presidential Election Period: Beyond Debate on Formal "Fairness"). Paper presented at a seminar entitled "Changed Media Influence in the 16th Presidential Election and Development Strategies for Each Kind of the Media."
  - -----. 2002. "Daetongryeong seon-geo bangsong-ui gongjeongseong silhyeon-ui wihan

jedojeok jangchi ui hyeonhwang gwa munjejeom" (Present Status of and Problems Involved in Institutional Devices for Realization of Fairness in Presidential Election Broadcasting). Paper presented at "Seminar on the Direction of Broadcasting Coverage of Elections in Media Election Era," sponsored by the Broadcasting Committee.

- Yi, Hyo-seong. 1995. "TV toron: seon-geo bodo bowan, yusikhan yugwonja changjo" (TV Debates: Complementing Election Coverage and Creating Wise Voters).

  Sinmun-gwa bangsong (Newspapers and Broadcasting) (August).
- -----. 2002. "Eollon-ui hubo jiji munje: chanbanron-gwa seon-geo beop-eul jungsim-euro" (The Problem of Media Supporting Candidates; Centered on Pro and Con and Election Laws). Paper presented at "Meeting Debating the Problem of Media Firms Openly Supporting Candidates," co-sponsored by the New Press Forum and Korea Press Foundation.
- . 2003. "Je 16 dae daetongryeong seon-geo bangsong toron wiwonhoe unyeong gwa pyeongga" (Management of the 16th Presidential Election Broadcasting Debate Committee and Its Evaluation). Paper presented at "Meeting Evaluating Group Debates with 16th Presidential Election Candidates," co-sponsored by the 16th Presidential Election Broadcasting Debate Committee and Central Election Management Committee.
- Central Election Management Committee. 2002. "An Election Reform Program for Realizing Fair Election in the 16th Presidential Election; Centered on Expanded Public Election Management." Paper presented at Meeting Debating Election Reform Plans.
- Hwang, Geun (Hwang Kuhn). 1998a. " Je 15 dae daetongryeong seon-geo-ui pyeongga" (An Analysis of the 15th Presidential Election). Bangsong gyebal (Broadcasting Development) 16. 1.
- -----. 1998b. "Je 15 dae daetongryeong seon-geo-wa midieo jeongchi" (The 15th Presidential Election and Media Politics). *Donghyang-gwa jeonmang* (Trends and Prospects) 37.
- -----. 2001. "Ssaibeo gonggan-eseoui jeongchi keomyunikeisyeon yangsik bunseok" (An Analysis of Political Communication Patterns in the Cyber Space). Korea Media Information Journal 16.

2002a. "Tellebijeon seon-geo bodo-e bichueojin joyeok jeongchi-ui teukseong"	
(Characteristics of Regional Politics Reflected in Television Election Coverage).	
Korea Journalism Review 46.4.	
2002b. "16 dae daetongryeong seon geo bangsong bodo bunseok II: TV nyuseu	
bodo-e dachan yangjeok bunseok-eul jungsimeuro" (Analysis II of Broadcasting	
Coverage of the 16th Presidential Election; Centered on A Quantitative Analysis	
of TV News Cast), Program and Text 7.	서식 있음
2002c. "Daetongryeong seon-geo TV toron jedo-ui munjejeom-gwa gaeseon	
bangan" (Problems in TV Presidential Election Debate System and	
Suggestions for Improvement). Paper presented at a discussion meeting	
entitled "How Should the Election Law be Revised Prior to the 16th Presidential	
Election," YMCA Seoul.	
2003. "16 dae daeseon bangsong-ui jaengjeom bodo bunseok" (An Analysis of	
Broadcasting Coverage of Issues in the 16th Presidential Election). Paper	
presented at a symposium entitled "A General Evaluation of Media Coverage of	
the 16th Presidential Election," Korea Journalism Society.	
Benoit, W. L., and Currie, H. 2001. "Inaccuracies in Media Coverage of the 1996 and 2000	
Presidential Debates," Argumentation and Advocacy, 38 (1).	서식 있음
Denton, R. E. Jr. 2000. "Dangers of 'Teledemocracy': How the Medium Of Television	
Undermines American Democracy," in R. E. Denton, Jr. (ed.), Political	
Communication Ethics - An oxymoron, London: Praeger.	
Flanigan, W. H., and N. H. Zingale. 1983. Political Behavior of the American Electorate,	
(5th ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.	
Hellweg, S. A., Pfau, M., and S. R. Brydon. 1992. Televised Presidential Debates:	서식 있음
Advocacy in contemporary America, N. Y.: Praeger.	
Kendall, K. E. 1997. "The 1996 Clinton-Dole Presidential Debates: Through media	
eyes," in R. V. Friedenberg (ed.), Rhetorical Studies of National Political Debates	서식 있음
1996, Westport, C. T.: Praeger.	
McQuail, D. 1980. "From Bias to Objective and Back," in Studies in Communication, Vol. 3.	
edited by T. Comstock.	
Norris, P. 2000. A Virtuous Circle. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.	
Pfau, M., and W. Eveland. 1992. "Debates and Other Communication Sources: The	

Pattern of Information and Influence," in *The 1992 Presidential Debates in Focus* edited by D. B. Carlin and M. S. McKinney. Westport, C.T.: Praeger.

Poynter Institute. 1999. Poynter Handbook: A Guide To Campaign Coverage.

Robinson, M. J., and M. A. Sheehan. 1983. The Wire and on TV: CBS and UPI in Campaign '80. N. Y.: Russel SAGE Foundation.