A Study of Han **Yong UnYong-un's**

서식 있음

"A Thesis on On Reforming Korean Buddhism" the Reform of Korean Buddhism"

Kim Kwang Sik (Bucheon College)

Introduction

Han Yong-un is a — A-major figure in the-modern Korean Buddhism. Until now, he has been of Korea and a-widely known as a as a poet, independence fighteractivist, and Zen Seon master, thus being Han Yong Un has been the subject of some 700 seven hundred research studies from studies representing and researches of diverse perspectives. With a Though While the majority of these studies have focused on Han Yong Un have focused primarily on the literary figure; however, with recent scholarly attention has turned to Han's his other achievements and activities. Thus, the scope of the studies on Han . Thus, the studies on Han haves expanded in their scope.

The objective of this study is to offer an analysis of Han's "Hanguk bulgyo gaehyeogan" (A Thesis on On the Reform of Reforming Korean Buddhism), an editorial published in the October 1931 issue of Bulgyo (Buddhism), a major Buddhist journal printed during the Japanese colonial rule. However, the editorial it has received little attention from scholars. With Through a close examination of the editorial this writing, I hope to gain offer a greater understanding of Han Yong Un Yong-un as a reformer of Buddhism. -The studies on Han's thoughts on reforming Buddhism have often usually focused on his book Joseon bulgyo yusillon (On the Revitalization of Korean Buddhism). Written in 1910 and published in 1913, this writing book is a good illustration of Han's perception of the world at the time in the 1910s.

서식 있음 서식 있음 서식 있음 서식 있음 서식 있음 The rReformationeform of Korean Buddhism was a passionquestion that dominated Han Yong UnYong-un's life, but it was also a passion that was a product of the state of Buddhism at the time. His thoughts on Buddhist reform not only passively reflected the state-conditions of Buddhism under the Japanese colonial rule but also undergo changes changed in response to the changing realitiestimes. While Han continued to assert the main ideas from his On the Revitalization of Korean Buddhism on the Revitalization of Korean Buddhism, some parts had been were revised and reinforced supplemented. Moreover, there were some additions as well as deletions in to the content. [footnote 1] Such changes may have been due to a strong tension between Han's reform ideas for reform and the current state of Buddhism at the time; therefore, it is important to find the causes of such changes. To this end, it is important necessary to examine Han's editorial writing, "A Thesis on Reforming Korean BuddhismOn the Reform of Korean Buddhism,"

Therefore, thhis study will first analyse "On the Reform of Korean Buddhism" and then examine any the changescontents or and characteristics shifts of the changes between "A Thesis on Reforming Korean Buddhism" this writing and his earlier work On the Revitalization of Korean Buddhism, by comparing the two texts. The main goal of this study is to offer a fresh look at Han Yong UnYong-un's reform ideas and to deliver a better understanding of the realities of Buddhism during the early 1900s.

Analysis of Detailed Look at "On the Reform of Korean Buddhism A Thesis on Reforming Korean Buddhism"

Han's editorial work, "Joseon bulgyo gaehyeogan" (OA Thesis on the Reform of Reforming Kore Kore an Buddhism), " (henceforth Thesis), published in the October 1931 issue of Buddhis Buddhismm, was composed of the following eight headings:

- 1. PrefaceIntroduction
- 2. Establishment of a Central Organization izing Force
- 3. Reorganization of Temples
- 4. Guaranteeing of the Livelihood of Believers

서식 있음

- 5. Translation of Buddhist Scriptures
- 6. Establishment of Popular Buddhism
- 7. Advancement-Promotion of the Zen and non Zen SectsSeon and Gyo
- 8. Conclusion

This worke Thesis, written by mixingin a mixed Korean and Chinese Chinese characters characters characters end to the up only nine pages (pp._2—_10) of the 88th issue of Buddhism. At the time, Han Yong UnYong-un was the owner of the Buddhist Publishing Company, the publisher of Buddhism. It seems This fact indicates that the editorialit was written as an in immediate response to the rapid changes in Korean Buddhism. Considering that his On the Revitalization of Korean Buddhism (henceforth RKB), [footnote 2]- was an Soeighty-pages booklong, composed of 17 chapters; and written in Chinese characters, was published in book form, the editorial the writing appears to be a relatively short treatise on reforming Buddhism.

Given these premises, let us now examine the contents of Han's reform plans On the Reform of Korean Buddhism." In the first chapter, or PprefaceIntroduction, he explains explained why Buddhism at the time needed to be reformed, while providing an overview of the state of Korean Buddhism of at the time. In the prologue preface, Han states strongly asserted that Korean Buddhism has had reached a historical point at which reform is was inevitable.

Leaving behind fanciful ineffectual theories, reform of Korean Buddhism has entered a period in which it must carry out what is historically inevitable. However, some obstinate monks who do not understand the changing times because they live deep in mountains, or even those who claim to understand the urgency of the period, continue to defend their conservatism while waiting for a natural progression to happen naturallytowards betterment. However, considering the convulsive and frantic state of affairs, reform movements of Korean Buddhism will be blown upwill take place sooner or later in one form or another. [footnote 3]

However, Han judged that monks who did not understand the times and conservative monks prevented reform, because they preferred making only small changes. He predicted that, despite their resistance, the Buddhist reform movement would definitely erupt in some form or another.

Han also pointed outhighly evaluated the great influence of Buddhism on Korean history and culture. Declaring that one could not talk about Korean culture without also talking aboutconsidering—Buddhism, he was quick to point out that Buddhist influence is found in everything Korean, such as including architecture, painting, sculpture, popular literature, customs, convention, language, and geographical names. Moreover, many internationally known Korean historical figures (such as Wonhyo, Uisang, Uicheoun, Jinul, Seosan, and SamyungSamyeong) known internationally—were Buddhist monks. Great Cherished Korean cultural treasures Korean cultural assets also share have some links to Buddhism, such as the *Tripitaka Koreana* in of Haein-sa Templetemple, Bulguksa Templetemple, and Sokkuram—Sokguram Grottogrotto, and as well as Korean writing woodblock printing, painting, and architecture. Moreover, he believed consiered that since Buddhism was introduced to Korea, all aspects of Korean culture and even its natural landscape have been heavily—consciously and unconsciously shaped by it. Therefore, according to Han, the spirit and faithspirituality of Korean people are—is Buddhist.

Accordingly, he believed that the reform of Buddhism was necessary not only for Buddhism, but also, more importantly, for the improvement of Korean national spirit and standard of livingwas necessary for the amelioration of Korean national spirit and mode of life, rather than for Buddism itself conditions:

Therefore, Buddhism cannot be separated from Korea and the overall-Korean life in general. Consequently, to improve ameliorate or reform the spiritual direction or standard-mode of lifeof living for conditions of Korean people, Buddhism, which that has played a leading role in Korean history, guided influenced every aspect of Korea, must first undergo reform. In other words, in order to improve the spirit and life of Korean people, reformation of Buddhism, the metaphysical midwife of all aspects of life in Korea(hem(한국인의 정신과 생활), is prerequisite to improving the sprit and living conditions of Korean people. (이 부분을 자세히 읽어주세요)|footnote 4|

To Han, Buddhism was amidst in a state of crisis, both internally and externally. Internally, corruption and decay characterized Buddhism in his own time, a In stark contrast to the heydays of Buddhism in during the Silla and Goryeo dynastiesperiods, the Buddhism of Han's era was characterized by internal corruption and decay. -In particular, there was a lack of great leadership of great masters within Korean Buddhism. Most leadership positions were occupied by those who were with stuck in archaic modes of

thought, the obsolete way of thinking or those who were pro Japanese collaborators, and they strongly opposed the reform movement those who did not know the urgent task of that time, and those who strongly opposed the reform movement with pro-Japanese collaborative activities. -Externally, the country was colonized, and the Laws of Temple Ordinance s-issued by the Japanese Government-Government-General imposed heavy control over Korean Buddhism. Han considered the popularity of socialism, anti-religionthe socialists' anti-religious movements, materialism, anarchism, and nihilism as a threat to Buddhism. As he admitstateds in the introduction of early on in the his writing, the internal and external circumstances were such that that he felt compelled to propose a reform plan that would rescue and revive Korean Buddhism.

The second sectionchapter, "Establishment of a Centralizing ForceCentral Organization," focuses on justifying the movement to consolidate different Buddhist sectscentralize the Buddhist temples in the early 1930s. Han proposed that In any organization, wWhether a religious unification be needed for both religious and non-religious orders to one or not, Han writes, is necessary for an organization must to function well. Certain guiding principles and action plans are what drive an organization forward; therefore, he argues, without a centralizing force to organize collective action, it will be difficult for an organization to achieve its goals.

At the time, Tthere was no central power organization that managed all the temples of Korean Buddhistm temples at the time. Although central organizations such as Jon hoiCentral Council (Jonghoe) and Headquarters of the Order (Gyeomuwon) were established, following the General Meeting Meeting of Buddhist Monks in January 1929, [footnote 5] they existed in name only and lacked any real authority over the Korean Buddhist community. Han also recognized the a lack of authority in the presumed central organs. Han attributed this situation to the monks' lack of awareness and the lack of a centralizing function in the above-mentioned organizations. At the time ecording to Han, these presumed umbrella organizations—did not have any real power to lead the needed the "authority to guide 31 main head temples; only vague and idealized statutes remained. In regard to real authority, Han asserted:

What does it take to lead the 31 head temples? To put it simply, a central organization must have the power to appoint and dismiss abbots of the 31 head temples and the responsibility of making Buddhist temples obey their orders by revising the temple regulations. **[footnote 6]**

Specifically, they [needed] the authority to appoint head monks[,]. In addition, consolidate all private rules or laws of temples and different sect and subjugate them under the central authority." [footnote 6]—As for the obligations or duties of temples, they awere to submit to and comply with the orders issued by the central authority. [footnote 7]

However, those who curried favor with the Japanese colonial government to maintain their temple abbot positions after the issuance of the Temple Ordinance opposed giving the power of appointment and dismissal to the Buddhist orderthose who curried favor with the Japanese colonial administrative offices to maintain their temple head priest positions after the Laws of Temples were issued opposed to giving the power of appointment to the umbrella organizations. Calling it anomalous power, they fought desperately toganist this oppose the system because, according to Han, they were certain that once it is was implemented, they would be ousted and replaced by more deserving monks. Criticizing their attitudes Han criticized those who opposed giving the power of appointment to umbrella organizations, Han arguedarguing that the power to make appointment and dismissals is an inherent authority of a Buddhist organization.

Just as nothing can conceal the sun in the sky or the warmth of the spring, no ignoble person can stop Korean Buddhism in its glorious path. It is above all only natural for a religious organization to appoint and dismiss people to its official posts. Indeed, it is perfectly proper for a Buddhist organization to set up a central headquarters organization with the authority to appoint and dismiss temple abbotshead monks of temples and supervise the general religious affairs. [footnote 8]

Based on thise argument, Han Yong Un Yong-un called for the establishment of temple headquarters Headquarters of Head Temples/Temple Headquarters 중에서 어느 것이 더 좋은지요? and Officean office of Generalgeneral Anffairs. Regarding the Temple HeadquartersIn the Under this plan, Han proposeds that a head temple should assume the a centralizing role of centralizing force and take charge of the appointments and dismissals of appointing temple head priestsabbots and the supervision of supervising generalgeneral affairs. Under the proposal to establish an office of religious affairs As for

the Office of General Affairs, the existing system of head temples would remain unchanged while a separate supervising organ would be in charge of appointing and dismissing abbotshead priests, managing general affairsbelievers, and supervising the other religious activities of other religious orders. Of the two, Han saw the proposal for Office of General Affairs office of general affairs as having a greater appeal to the Buddhists establishments. Regardless of the plan, he considered the authority to appoint and dismiss abbots head priests as to be a key function of the umbrellag central organization and called for the comprehensive amendment of statutes of head temples, making them subordinate to the umbrellag central organization.

In the third chapter, "Reorganization of Temples," he stresses the importance of abolishing and consolidating temples for the advancement development of Buddhism in Korea. At the height of In the heydays of Buddhism in-during the Silla and Goryeo dynastiesperiods, Buddhist temples were mostly located in towns and small villages. With the rise of Confucianism during the \(\frac{\text{\frac{4}}}{\text{Joseon}}\) dynasty, Buddhism became subjected to social and political persecution pressure, and the temples were removed from cities and relocated to mountains. As a result, temples gradually disappeared from towns and villages, and the number of believers-adherents waned. Buddhist monks, were socially expelled and from the society, became confined primarily to the mountain temples in mountains. -Consequently, Buddhism became completely isolated from the society. Over time, both Buddhists and the general public became accustomed to the segregation and even came to believe it natural for temples to be in mountains and for Buddhist monks to should remain aloof from social the affairs of the society. -Hence, when Buddhists began proselytizing in towns and engaging in public services during the colonial period, some people saw these activities as violating the basic principles of Buddhism. In the following, Han discussed some of the reasons why mountain temples in mountains have are of little use:

Temples in the mountains are places to practice religious austerities monastic life apart from the evil world; therefore, it is right-possible to place numerous monasteries in the areas where comfort eomes can be drawn from silence and nature. —To practice Buddhismm wholly in seclusion, however, is to defy Buddhist teaching, which says is to show guide others to enlightenment and benefit all things in the world. [footnote 9]

While he has no objection to some temples <u>being located</u> in mountains, considering the tranquility and sobriety required of monastic life, he strongly opposed confinement of all temples in mountains because it went against the basic <u>tenet-responsibility</u> of Buddhist monks to guide people to enlightenment.

As temples were <u>increasingly</u> built <u>more and more</u> in remote areas and <u>in smaller</u> their sizes <u>getting smaller</u>, [footnote 10] the life <u>within</u> the temples became more and more wretched. With no source of revenue, many temples were abandoned. If a temple was fortunate enough to generate some income, it <u>usually</u> was <u>typically</u> used to support the <u>lifestyle private life</u> of the <u>head monkabbot</u> who lived the <u>an in-between life as "of half-monk, and half-layman."</u> Under such conditions, Buddhism was on the verge of sharing the <u>same</u> fate <u>as of</u> the Buddha statues <u>and or</u> altar fittings found amidst the debris of dilapidated temples. This image, of course, did not contribute to the advancement of Buddhism in Korea.

Such a-hermitage is needed not used for the sake of Buddhism, but of for individuals who detest avoid the world. Thus, it is adverse to the prosperity of Korean Buddhism. –It only obstructs the unity of Korean Buddhism and gives the public cause for criticism to the public from the public. [footnote 11]

Observing that <u>consolidated_mountain</u> temple assets—the land, forest, and buildings—will_would be of a substantial value, Han thought that the revenue from reorganizingation of temples <u>can_could</u> be used to fund <u>the_efforts</u> for the <u>advancement_development</u> of Buddhism.

A mountain hermitages that in mountain is are useless as a places for Seon practice and in for revitalizing the revitalization of Korean Buddhism and as a place for practice. All hermitages therefore should be abolished or, if necessary, not econsolidated.—Therefore, if the properties sporatically scattered are collected and used for When used collectively and properly for the benefit of Korean Buddhism, they become the once wasteful and useless place becomes so something very useful. [footnote 12]

Had the <u>mountain</u> temples <u>in mountains</u> remained as they were, monks would have only committed more bad karma by wasting offerings given by believers and promoting <u>believers'a wretched pessimistic lifestyle</u>, and temple fortunes would be exhausted. Reorganization of temples would, therefore, <u>be effective to solve these problemsserve a double dual purpose of saving monks from accruing bad karma and stemming further waste of resources.</u>

Thus, the fourth chapter addressed guaranteeing Proving for the livelihood of believers is the main argument in heading four. Han e-acknowledged the difficulty in defining who would be included whose in the category of believers livelihood should be guaranteed and the impossibility of guaranteeing the livelihood of the entire all Buddhist population believers. However, he also recognized that the conditions were such that for Buddhism to flourish, it needed to provide some measure of security for in the livelihood of its believers.

According to the theory of materialism, which was flourishing in the world at the time, aA Sstable and secure livelihood iswas living was of the foremost consideration in life for most people. He Han believed that focusing only on spiritualism idealism or theism, while ignoring people's struggle to survive, was not the true aim of religion. Because Especially since Buddhism in particular places a great deal of much emphasis on guiding its believers to enlightenment in with ways skillful means that are appropriate to the specific time, place, and the people, he Han felt strongly thought that Buddhism should address the needs of the society. As long as there is maintaining one's livelihood is a person's of foremost priority to people, disregarding it and only focusing on proselytizing is analogous to looking for personal paradise while turning one's with the back on reality. In order As a means to guarantee the livelihood of believers, Han proposed the following solution:

If Convert-temples²² fixed assets <u>are to-liquidized and assets</u>, consolidated the assets of each temple, and have the a central organization manages those assets to <u>create production works</u>, not consumption works build instruments of production. If such instruments can and guarantee the livelihood of believers, it would reap fine fruits there would be a positive outcome. [footnote 13]

In other words, consolidate all temple assets, manage production lines with the central organ as the financial base, and employee people to work in the production lines. According to Han, e-Even if the management of thee production facilities lines alone dodoes not guarantee the livelihood of all Buddhists, such practical the efforts to guarantee the livelihood of people alone will would help the with proselytization of Buddhisming efforts. When Buddhists insisted on maintaining the old ways, HanHe was quick to point out that if Buddhism did not change from the old lines, then it would not

be following its basic principles.such the old ways did not ultimately represent the basic Buddhist tenets.

Fawning upon the wealthy believers and temple benefactors and, employing all means to collect donations and wealth in the name of Buddhism, while ignoring the impoverished public, goes against not only the Buddhist tenet of guiding all sentient beings to enlightenment but also renders Buddhism defenseless against the movement against religion led by the red proletariats. Moreover, who among those in wretched conditions would come to light the incense before the altar and recite a sutra, and who among the young leaders could face the public without shame. [footnote 14]If the Buddhist order prays only for wealthy believers and those who give money to the temple, and employs all means to collect donations and wealth in the name of Buddhism, while ignoring the impoverished public, how would this not go against the Buddhist tenet of guiding all sentient beings to enlightenment and render Buddhism defenseless against the anti-religious movement led by the red proletariats? Moreover, who among those in wretched conditions would come to light the incense before the altar and recite a sutra, and who among the young Buddhist leaders could carry out the reform movement? [footnote 14]

In other words, if Buddhism does not <u>work make the efforts</u> to <u>save help</u> those <u>living</u> in poverty, it will be difficult to defend the challenges of <u>the anti-religious</u> movement or <u>to</u> find many believers in temples. He also <u>did not thinkconsidered</u> that <u>in such desperate conditions</u> much <u>can could not</u> be expected from the leaders of the Buddhist youth movement, <u>given such desperate conditions</u>. Therefore, he argued that guaranteeing of the livelihood of Buddhists <u>will would</u> ultimately help to <u>proclaim promote</u> the guiding principles of Buddhism.

The fifth section chapter proposes proposed translating translation of Buddhist scriptures as a way of to popularizing e-Buddhism. For Han, language and writing are necessary and valuable because they facilitate communication between people. However, the complete canon collection of Buddhist scriptures, or the Tripitaka Koreana, is voluminous and because it is written in Chinese characters; it is difficult for the general public to understand because it is written in Chinese characters. Direct communication is one alternative method of proselytizing, but this is effective only in special facilities. Therefore, he declared that translation of the scriptures into the common language is a universal and lasting method of for transmitting the scriptures to the public.

At the time, the *Tripitaka Koreana* was incomprehensible to all <u>but except for a</u> few specialists.__, and Han attributed thisis e-inaccessibility to its abstruse Chinese characters and its being held in a remote <u>location in a-mountain</u> temple in the mountain.

Hence, he emphasized the need to translate, edit, and write the Buddhist scriptures <u>in</u> <u>order</u> to make them <u>widely</u> accessible to the publicand reach more people.

Even in translation, iIt is premature to translate the *Tripitaka Koreana* in its entirety in Korea. First, Sutras sutras that is are simple and easy to understand should be translated into plain language and distributed to the public. Or valuable adages or sayings of great masters in each sutra that are worthy of being golden rules should be translated and printed on pamphlets or published in pamphlets or book form. Above all, the passages that are relevant to the concerns of the public and that illustrate the vastness and profundity of the Buddhist teachings should be translated for promulgation, showing Buddhism as a guiding principle to lead all sentient beings to enlightenment by illustrating the key elements appropriate to the trend of the present among the vast and profound principles of Buddhism should be introduced to the public. [footnote 15]

More specifically, he proposed translation translating of passages in scriptures that were appropriate for mass distribution, or at least the essential passages in each scripture. In terms of writing, he emphasized placed importance on focusing on the fact that Buddhist ereed principles are helpful inof guiding the sentient beings to enlightenment. Moreover, he stated that the central authority organization [footnote 16] should finance the necessary expenses for such projects and should have the highest priority for funding. [footnote 17]

Section—The sixth chapter Six—deals with the importance of the establishment of popular Buddhism. Han emphasized that Buddhism concerns itself with sentient beings, all of whom have a Buddha-nature. This The view that all people have Buddha nature is one of the key tenets of Buddhism; and—therefore, Korean Buddhists should remember were to remember that Buddhism is the religion of all people. Similarly, Buddhism is not a religion only for the mountain temples in mountains or for the monks. As a religion of deliverance, Buddhism should be prepared to "submerge in mud or enter water" if necessary in order to guide all sentient beings to enlightenment. However, at the time Korean Buddhism degenerated into a religion practiced by monks in temples who had turned their backs on society With Influenced by the changing times and political climate, Korean Buddhism degenerated into a religion of practiced by monks in temples, with its back to on people and society. Seeing the decline of Buddhism as a temporary phase and not a reflection of the fate-principle of Buddhism, Han argued that Korean Buddhism should oppose this situation urged Buddhists to rise up above and revitalize Buddhism in Korea, stating:

that "Since Buddhism has no choice but to be resolute and end such a phenomenon, it must take come down from the mountain temples in mountains to the streets and call for the monks to join the populace." [footnote 18]

Buddhism cannot exist without the people, and people cannot be guided to enlightenment without Buddhism. Then, what is popular Buddhism? Han explains explained his idea conceptidea of popular Buddhism as follows:

Popular Buddhism means to become practice Buddhism publicly for the people. Therefore, Buddhism does not refer to a practice in estrangement from society, leaving behind one's beloved and friends; rather, one achieves enlightenment amidst the anguish and agony of life, without losing sight of all the vicissitudes of human realities, and enters nirvana in the state between life and death, without losing sight of all the vicissitudes of human realities. Establishment of popular Buddhism is this the translation of this understanding of Buddhism and translating it into action. [footnote 19]

Since—Seeing that enlightenment is something—achieved in this world, and nirvana between life and death, he states—stated that sharing this idea with the people and understanding and practicing it Buddhism with this understanding of enlightenment and nirvana are is the basis for building popular Buddhism. For this, As soon as one comes to understand the basis of popular Buddhism, then the next step is to build facilities and to put the this understanding into practice. By facilities, Han is was referring to educational facilities to promulgate Buddhist teachings [footnote 20] and practices of the Buddhists; by practice, he means proselytizing through living one stheir life according to the Buddhist teachings; and playing a role [footnote 21] in establishing the establishment of popular Buddhismsociety. For Han, if a Buddhist may practices Buddhism—in the mountains but—without any public interaction—with the public, he is merely a Hinayana Buddhist. He suggests suggested the following as the first step towards establishing popular Buddhism.

In order to build popular Buddhism, it is necessary to break Break away from the hermit-like life, from living hermit-like lifein purity and self sufficiency in some remote grotto in the mountains, and promote the happiness of all sentient beings through the Buddhist teachings by practicing live the life of Bodhisattva to save them, promoting the happiness of all sentient beings through the promulgation of Buddhism. This is the way to build popular Buddhism. [footnote 22]

서식 있음

In the seventh chapter "Advancement of the Zen and non Zen sects," Han stresses the importance of striking a balance between Zen—Gyo (doctrinal teaching) and doctrine Seon (Zen). Comparing the two schools to the wings of a bird, he says said the rise and fall of Buddhism depend upon the fate of Gyo and Seon Zen and doctrine. He points pointed out that, historically, Korean Buddhism has always placed much emphasis on doctrine Gyo and little on Zen Seon. There are were many Gyo Buddhist scholars as well as of Buddhist doctrine, many texts explaining Buddhist scriptures doctrines, and study of doctrine is encouraged by the Buddhist establishments also encouraged the study of Gyo. However, as he was critical of the doctrine Gyo school, particularly its heavy emphasis on the exegetical studies of scriptures, Han believed that [footnote 23] a crisis will be would inevitably develope if there was no reformation of without reforming the institutes of scriptural studies lecture system located in temples under the circumstances of the time. [footnote 23] Therefore, he stressed This is why he places much emphasis on reforming the educational system of studying Buddhist scriptures. [footnote 24]

He premised that Aalthough Zen-Seon masters have been around since the Silla dynastykingdom, Han believed that the promotion and promulgation of the Zen-Seon school was not very active in promoting or promulgating Zen meditation. Consequently, there were only few systematic writings on ZenSeon, and it further declined to near extinction in the late Yi-Joseon ddynasty. It was only after the end of the isolationist policythe opening of the ports that Zen-Seon reemerged, and temples, out of formality, actively encouraged Zen-Seon practice and produced some Zen-Seon masters. However, sincewithout theory or teaching methodology of Seon was not systematic, the regulations of Seon were not in order. Sit lacked order and system. Since Zen is a practice that rejects words, ince Seon functions by "not establishing words and letters" and communicating "from one mind to mind," teaching methodology theory may not be important may not be as important as in other schools. Nevertheless, Han believed in the importance of systematizing theory for promulgating the practice to the populace, so he proposed the following summary of reform:

As for doctrine, edit the textbooks, and revise the pedagogy, and unify the guiding theory, and build_a disciplined system for Zen to facilitate the promulgation of Buddhist doctrineAs for Gyo, it is necessary to edit textbooks and revise teaching methodology, while as for Seon, it is necessary to unify the guiding theory and build a disciplinary system in order to facilitate the teaching and promulgation of Buddhist

doctrine. To separately establish Establish separate places for Zen lecture halls and meditation hallseenters in proper places of the entire Korea and to make a central organization manage them with a uniform system within educational institutions and build a uniform system with a centralized management system, which were isboth a the keys to promoting the Zen seet Seon and Gyo. [footnote 25]

No new ideas are introduced in the <u>"CConclusion conclusion." of the Thesisthesis</u>. Rather, hHe acknowledges acknowledged that his reform plans can only be <u>"a-transitional" one in light of the state of Korean Buddhism at the time</u>. **[footnote 26]** He concludes concluded with the statement that implementation of his reform ideas entirely depended wholly on Buddhists themselves.

Comparing <u>Han Yong-un's Works the Thesis</u> "On the Reform of Korean Buddhism" to-and

On the Revitalization of Korean Buddhism

The main object of this section is to compare, chapter by chapter, the contents of Han Yong UnYong-un's "On the Reform of Korean Buddhism" A Thesis on Reforming Korean Buddhism, (hereafter referred to as the "Gaehyeogan"), written in the early 1930s, to his early work, On the Revitalization of Korean Buddhism ((hereafter referred to as the Yusillon) (RKB), written in 1910.

The first section chapter of the "Gaehyeogan" Thesis is corresponds to part included in of the preface and the introduction of the Yusillonto of RKB. In the **RKB Yusillon**, Han clearly stateds his impatience with the conditions that **makesmade* it impossible for him to achieve his dream of revitalizing Korean Buddhism. Han deplored the fact that although there is was a cry for revitalization in every area corner of society, Korean Buddhists remain turned a deaf ear to this e-cry, and that he has not found He was also dismayed that he could not find anyone committed to revitalizing Korean Buddhism. In response, Han took it upon himself to write the **Yusillon** and to promulgate a thesis it to monkson revitalizing Korean Buddhism addressed to other Buddhists.

However, in the <u>Tthesis</u> <u>"Gaehyeogan</u>," he <u>writes wrote</u> that the theoretical phase <u>of for</u> revitalization efforts hads already passed <u>and</u>, that Korean Buddhism was entering

서식 있음

서식 있음

서식 있음

서식 있음

서식 있음

the implementation phase, and that this which would be followed by there would be an explosion of Buddhist reform movements in the next phase. If While he saw a gloomy prospects in the reform efforts in the 1910s, he saw confirmed these efforts, however feeble, in progress to be progressing in the 1930s. If While he deplored a total absence of the movements of reform movements in Korean Buddhism in the 1910s, he concretly targeted his criticism to the Japanese Government Government-General, the Temple Laws Ordinance of Temples, and pro-Japanese head monks abbots of temples as obstructing preventing reform in the 1930s. He also expresses expressed strong pride in the Buddhist influence in on Korean history and culture, a sentimentan aspect absent in the his earlier writing. While it is difficult to give a definitive explanation for the his changed views and attitudes in the two writings, it is important to note that the scope and depth of Han's understanding of the history of Korean Buddhism has expanded during that timeover the period.

The second section in the thesis, "Establishment of a Centralizing Force," The idea of "Establishment of Central Organization," the second chapter in the "Gaehyeogan," in the Thesis appeareds in the RKB under the heading, "Management-of the Temples-" in the *Yusillon*. In the *this section in *RKBYusillon*, Han the main point is to pointsed out the lack of leadership due to lack of control in Korean Buddhism, which, in turn, which resulted in discord and overall stagnation in Korean Buddhism. *As-In* an effort to revive Buddhism, he proposed *imposing* plans for both comprehensive/compound control(혼합통일이라는 개념이 없는 것 같아요 mixed/comprehensive/compound control 은 어떤지요?) control and limited divided control autonomy(divided control 로하면 이상한가요?). The former places would place the entire all of Korean Buddhism under centralized control, while the latter *would divide it proposes that Korean Buddhism be divided* into two or more divisions and exercise separate control over each. Though *Aacknowledging the merits and demerits of in-both types, he, nevertheless, placed greater importance on "comprehensivecentralized" control. [footnote 27]

While acknowledging recognizing the need for centralization of Buddhist orders in the Thesis, he criticized the central organization that existed in the early 1930s of failing having failed to secure or exercise any real authority. In other words, it had all the appearance of a all the appearance of a central organ power but there were many

서식 있음

problems with its actual function and activities showed many problems. The absence of the right of personnel management, the authority to lead all head temples, —was one of them. He traced the root of the problem to the Laws of Temple Ordinance issued by the Japanese colonial government and the pro-Japanese head monksabbots,—who benefited from these lawsthem. As a solution, he proposed the establishment of headquarters Headquarters and an office Office of religious—Administrative Aaffairs but, given the circumstances, leaned more towards the latterestablishment of the office of religious affairs.

If RKBthe _Yusillon expounded_endorsed the centralized management of all Korean Buddhist orders with the premise of justification of consolidation of temples, the Thesis thesis Gachyeogan points pointed out the potential problems in the implementation of a centralized organization and possible solutions to these problems. In short, the Yusillon RKB lays out only the basic principles, while the "Gachyeogan" Thesis analyzes practical problems and proposes solutions. Therefore, we can see that Consistent in both is Han's reform idea based on a unifieded_Buddhist ordersorders was consistent in two works, and materialized in the reform efforts.

The main argument in the third heading sectionchapter, "Reorganization of Temples," of the Thesis appears in under the heading "Location of Temples" in RKBthe Yusillon. In the latter, Han offers offered a detailed explanation of the correlation between the weakened influence of Buddhism and monks and the location of temples. He attributes attributed the absence of progressive ideas and, adventurous spirit, and the lack of commitment to delivering the populace to enlightenment and competitive thoughts to the location of temples in mountains, thus bringing about and as well as the weakened commitment to social justice and welfare in Korean Buddhism to the location of temples. Moreover, the remote location of mountain temples in mountains made it difficult for proponents of Buddhism to conduct carry out educational and proselytizing efforts, to interact and ion, communicate with the public, or to be involved participate in collective activities. All these drawbacks drained finances and dried up sources of revenue, ultimately resulting in a complete absence of Buddhist contributions to society. Based on the this observation, he Han proposeds relocating relocation of mountain temples from

서식 있음

mountains in mountains to towns centers. At the same time, he called for the abolishment and consolidation of some existing temples. Han proposed three possible plans in the following order of preference: 1). His most preferred plan is to keep only those temples with some historical value and abolish the rest and relocate them into towns; 2). The second best plan is to maintain large and beautiful temples and relocate small and dilapidated ones into towns; 3). The least preferred plan is to abolish only small temples and consolidate them with main_larger_temples, while maintaining some branch officescenters in important places jointly established by some temples for proselytizationing and education purposes. He also recognized possible difficulties in implementing these plans and did not choose a particular plan for implementation.

In the Thesis "Gaehyeogan," thesis he wrote in the 1930s, however, he advocated the abolishment and consolidation of mountain temples in mountains and suggested relocating them to towns locations as a way to rejuvenate develop Korean Buddhism. This is was an extension of the argument he presented in RKBthe Yusillon. UnlikeIn contrast to in the RKBYusillon, in which he does did not choose one particular plan, Han chooseschose the third plan proposed in the Yusillon presents his position in the Thesis, choosing the least preferred plan in RKB as as his basic position in the "Gaehyeogan." Thesis. Proposing that the use of remaining mountain temples be used in mountains as meditation centers, he also pointed out that the assets of from the consolidated temples can could be utilized used to fund Buddhist projects to advance Buddhist causes. Thus, the "Gaehyeogan" Tthesis goes a little further, presenting more concrete plans than those proposed in RKBthe Yusillon.

Although the content of the "Guarantee of the Livelihood of Believers" in the Tthesis "Gaehyeogan" does not appear under a similar heading in RKBthe Yusillon, the heading "the view his argument that Pproductivity/Production/Labor is Is a necessary Necessary prerequisite Prerequisite for Buddhist mMonks to regain Regain entry to the societytheir Human Rights" ("승려의 인권회복은 반드시 생산에"라는 번역입니다) is similar in content. In RKthe YusillonB, he places emphasizesd on labor as a way for monks to protect their eivil human rights (승려의 인권이라고 할 때 civil rights 로 쓸 수 있나요?) and to prevent persecution exclusion from society. In the "Gaehyeogan," Tthesis, however, Han ealls called for a certain level of support from temples or Buddhist orders

서식 있음

서식 있음

서식 있음

서식 있음

서식 있음

to guarantee the livelihood of believers. It is unclear whether the "believers" include monks. In the overall context, Han does did not use the word "believers" to refer to monks. The problem re-remains, however, the problem of whether to consider define the Buddhists youth as monks, as Han regards them as falling who, according to Han, fall under the an in-between ("half monk, half layman") category as monks. From today's perspective, they would not be considered as monks, but considering the situation in the 1930s, they could be. In some cases, those in the in-between category were married [footnote 28], and some worked in Buddhist organizations and schools. In RKBthe Yusillon, much emphasis is was given to recovering civil human rights for Buddhist monks, but such talk of reinstating civil human rights is was absent in the Tthesis "Gachycogan." There is no definitive explanation for the change, but it seems that the issue of civil human rights for monks was not as serious in the 1930s as as it was in the 1910s.

The same argument in the "Translations of Buddhist Scriptures" section appears in the section chapter of "Proselytization/Propagation(어느 것이 더 좋은지요?)" on proselytizing in RKBthe Yusillon. RKB-The Yusillon attributes attributed the fall of Buddhism to the stagnation of its influence, which in turn is was attributed to the absence of its proselytizing efforts. Therefore, proselytization is was emphasized as a key force factor in the continued existence of Buddhism. According to Han, since proselytization benefits the monks themselves well as the general public ("스스로를 이롭게하다"의 뜻임) and leads sentient beings to enlightenment, and Buddhists should be actively involved in this effort. Public speech, newspaper and journals, translations of scriptures, and charity/charitable(?) work (자신사업) are were some of the ways to be involved in proselytization effort.

Han deplored the fact that there was a complete absence of these proselytization efforts in the 1910s. In the "Gaehyeogan," however, emphasizing translation of scriptures as a shortcut to popularizing Buddhism, he even offered suggestions for how to translate them and how to promote those efforts. That he offered more concrete suggestions for translating Buddhist scriptures in the "Gaehyeogan" than in the *Yusillon* could be understood as an indication that some translation efforts were made since the 1910s.

서식 있음

서식 있음

Based on these observations, it may be safe to assume that his reform efforts made progress in that respect.

There is no chapter in the *Yusillon* that corresponds to the chapter, "Establishment of Popular Buddhism" in the "Gaehyeogan." The only similar arguments are found in the chapters "Proselytization" and "Location of Temples" in the Yusillon. What led Han to place such strong emphasis on establishing in the 1930s popular Buddhism that was hardly mentioned in the 1910s? It seems that he felt compelled to raise the issue, because proponents of Korean Buddhism failed to establish the kind of popular Buddhism he had in mind. Then, what is the popular Buddhism he had in mind? He expressed his idea of popular Buddhism by succinctly stating that Buddhism cannot exist without the masses. Korean Buddhism at the time, however, was the Buddhism of mountain temples and of monks/mountain temples-centered and monks-centered Buddhism(?).

What is noteworthy is that, in the "Gaehyeogan," he placed much emphasis on establishing popular Buddhism. A possible explanation is that, at the time the *Yusillon* was written, Buddhism in Korea was in such a dismal state, and then there was no room to discuss its popularization. Later, when he wrote the "Gaehyeogan," Buddhism had emerged from its dismal state but still remained in the state of centering on monks. Moreover, the fact that Han left his celibate life as a monk and lived the in-between life of monk and layman in the 1930s when he wrote the "Gaehyeogan" probably influenced his views. **[footnote 29]**

The chapter, "Promotion of Seon and Gyo," corresponds to the "Seon Meditation" chapter in the Yusillon. In the Yusillon, problems in Seon meditation were sharply criticized, while no criticism was directed at Gyo (doctrinal teaching). One of the problems mentioned regarding the Seon meditation is that meditation practiced in most temples at the time existed in name only. In most of the meditation halls, lay people gathered under the pretext of practicing meditation but actually used the facility for room and board. Therefore, Han proposed consolidating meditation centers and creating one or two Seon Learning Institute(선학관) where a few true Seon masters would be invited to guide others in practicing meditation. More specifically, he proposed that Seon Learning Institute be open to both monks and laymen, that a test be used to admit practitioners, that practice be accompanied by some regulation and

discipline, that lecture and discussion be made, that another test be used to check the result of meditation practice, and that the wisdom and benefit gained from practice be shared and made known to the public through the publication of books or writings.

In the "Gaehyeogan," he also pointed out problems in the Seon, calling for the alternative guiding theories and teaching methodology of Seon. This is a similar suggestion offered in the *Yusillon*. That the "Gaehyeogan" focused on rules and discipline for practitioners, instead of criticizing those who went to Seon centers for room and board instead of meditation in the *Yusillon*, seems to suggest that the efforts to reform the method and the course (line? 上台) of meditation practice had already begun. In sum, Han's reform efforts continued at the level of finding measures to address issues in the Seon. The issue of the Gyo, not mentioned in the *Yusillon*, was newly raised in the "Gaehyeon." This arose from Han's recognition that Korean Buddhism is based on the balance between Gyo and Seon. The changes show that his understanding expanded both in scope and depth since the *Yusillon*.

The "Conclusion" in the eighth chapter corresponds to the "Conclusion" in the Yusillon. In the latter, he explicitly expressed his desire that Buddhist monks should understand, adopt and carry out his reform ideas. In the "Gaehyeogan," however, he characterized his reform plans as transitional and made the assessment that their implementation depended upon the awareness of Buddhists. There seems to be more flexibility in the reform plan he presented in the "Gaehyeogan," one that seems more realistic than that offered in the Yusillon.

Han deplored the fact that there was a complete absence of proselytization efforts in 1910. In the 1930s, however, stressing translation of scriptures as a shortcut to popularizing Buddhism, Han urges <u>urged</u> Buddhists to focus on translation <u>as a shortcut</u> to popularizing Buddhismof scriptures in the Thesis. He even offered suggestions for goes on to propose how to translate the scriptures and how to promote the effort. That he offers more concrete suggestions for translating Buddhist scriptures in the Tthesis than he did in RKB could be read as an indication that there have been some translation efforts

were made in Korean Buddhism since 1910. Based on these observations, it may be safe to assume that his reform efforts made a huge progress in that respect.

There is no section in RKB that corresponds to the thesis section, on "Establishment of Popular Buddhism," in the Thesis. Only The only similar arguments for establishing popular Buddhism are found in the section on proselytizationing and the location of temples in RKB. What led Han to place such a strong emphasis on establishing popular Buddhism in the 1930s when it was hardly mentioned in RKB? It seems that he felt compelled to urge press the issue when proponents of Korean Buddhism failed to establish the kind of popular Buddhism he had in mind. Then, what is the popular Buddhism he had in mind? He expresseds his idea of popular Buddhism by succinctly stating that Buddhism cannot exist without the masses. Buddhism in Korea, as he pointeds out, was the Buddhism of remote mountain temples in remote mountains and of the monks.

What is noteworthy is that, in the thesis, he places much emphasis on establishing popular Buddhism in the Thesis. A possible explanation is that, at the time when RKB was written, Buddhism in Korea was in such a dismal state, that there was no room to discuss popularization of Buddhism. Later, when he wrote the Tthesis, Buddhism had emerged from the its_dismal state, but it still remained reclusive with its back on to the masses. Moreover, at the time when he wrote the Thesis, Han had left his celibate life as a monk and lived in the in between state life of monk and layman, and the this change in his life probably had influenced his views. [footnote 29]

The section, on "Advancement of the Zen and non Zen Sects," corresponds to the Zen meditation section in RKB. In RKB, problems in Zen meditation are sharply criticized while no criticism is directed at the doctrinal school. One of the problems mentioned regarding theof_Zen school mentioned is how Zen centers at the time existed in name only. In most of the centers, people gathered under the pretext of practicing Zen but actually used the facility for room and board. Given the corruption of Zen centers, Han proposed consolidatingion of Zen and non Zen sects and creation of creating one or two Zen centers where a few true Zen masters are would be invited to guide others in practicing meditation. More specifically, he proposed that Zen centers be open to both monks and laymen; that a test be used to admit practitioners; that

practice be accompanied by some regulation and discipline as well as lecture and discussion; that another test be used to check progress; and that the wisdom and benefit gained from practice be shared and made known through the publication of books or writings.

In the Tthesis, he also points out problems in the Zen seet, calling for the establishment of alternative guiding theories and teaching methodology. A similar suggestion is also offered in the Tthesis. That the Tthesis focuses on the rules and discipline for Buddhists, instead of criticizing those who come went to Zen centers not for meditation but for room and board instead of meditation, seems to suggest that the efforts to reform the method and the course of practice had already started begun. In sum, Han's reform efforts continued at the level of finding measures to address issues in the Zen sect. Doctrinal school, not mentioned in RKB, is discussed in the Tthesis. The appearance inclusion of the Doctrinal school in the Tthesis is comes arose from Han's recognition that Buddhism is based on the balance between doctrine and meditation. The changes also show that his understanding has expanded both in scope and depth since RKB.

The conclusion in section eight corresponds to the Conclusion in RKB. In the latter, he explicitly states that Buddhist monks wshould understand, adopt and carry out his reform ideas. In the Tthesis, however, he characterizes his reform plans as transitional and makes the assessment that their implementation dependeds upon the awareness of Buddhist practitioners believers in Buddhism. There seems to be more flexibility in the reform plan he presents in the Tthesis, one that seems more realistic than that offered in RKB, better accounts from the actual reality than the one in RKB.

Conclusion

In this section, I will summarize briefly the comparative analysis of Han Yong-un's two writings and examine their implication/significance.

<u>First, a-As</u> seen in the <u>above-preceding</u> comparative analysis of Han-<u>Yong Un</u>'s two writings, his reform ideas for Korean Buddhism remained constant from <u>the 1910s</u> to <u>the 1930s</u>. It <u>also</u>-showed that, <u>throughout his life</u>, <u>his ideas of for reform endured through time and that he never ceased to be passionate about reforming Korean Buddhism throughout his life</u>.

MoreoverSecond, Han's "Gaehyeogan" his reform ideas proposals addressed issues that actually challenged Korean Buddhism at the time and were based on a precise analysis of the rapidly changing realities of Buddhism: His proposals for the establishment of establishing a central authorityorganization, guarantee ofing of the livelihood of believers, and building of popular establishment of popular popularizing Buddhism address issues that are both controversial and urgent in Korean Buddhism, and were informed by his deep concern for the state of Korean Buddhism. All these show that Han's ideas for reform did were not derived from some abstract ideals but from his direct experience and empirical observations of realities.

Third, In many ways, t_the "Gaehyeogan" Tthesis supplements and reinforces the key ideas in the Yusillon RKB, which that was written two decades beforecarlier. Many of the plans and ideas presented in the Tthesis are a continuation and reinforcement of those already presented and explained in RKB. For example, proposals such as for the establishment of a central organization, consolidation of temples, translation of Buddhist scriptures, and promotion of Seon and Gyoadvancement of the Zen and non Zen sects were ideas presented already in the Yusillon RKB but reinforced in the "Gaehyeogan." Tthesis.—The ideas are were reinforced and supplemented [나무 반복됨] in the "Gaehyeogan" Tthesis in such ways that they would be able to take root in the changed realityies in of the 1930s.

Fourth, in _____ In- the T<u>"Gaehyeogan," thesis</u>, Han <u>included has newly added</u> his perspective on Buddhism as <u>a national religion</u>, a view absent in <u>RKBthe Yusillon</u>. In <u>RKBthe Yusillon</u>, he <u>talks talked</u> about the characteristics of Buddhism and <u>the Buddhist</u> worldview. In the <u>T"Gaehyeogan</u>," <u>thesis</u>, however, he <u>stresses stressed</u> the influence of Buddhism on Korean culture, ideology, and <u>ethosspirit/spirituality(정신?</u>). His argument in <u>the 1930s</u> that reform of Korean Buddhism <u>is was</u> prerequisite to reforming the <u>spirit</u>

서식 있음

서식 있음

of Korean peoplemind in the 1930s is wasis quite uniquenotable. This is the perspective of nationalistic Buddhism.

Fifth, Moreover, the "Gaehyeogan" Tthesis—focusesd on finding the foundation of Buddhism. It shows that through his the efforts to reform Buddhism, Han attempteds to reinforce the foundation of Buddhism and to establish the Buddhist spirit as the indigenous spirit(to indiginize the Buddhist spirit 불교정신을 토착화하려는). Furthermore On the one hand, he insisteds on the promotion of Seon and Gyo advancement of the Zen and non Zen sects and the establishment of popular Buddhism, while advocating a renewed understanding of rediscovering the foundation of Buddhism and proclaiming that reform of Buddhism should be carried out through the such renewed understanding.

Sixth, cConsolidation of assets of all Buddhist orders is was also discussed in lengthemphasized in the Tthesis Gaehyeogan. This ideae proposal for asset consolidation is was not just a way to finance reform efforts, but was also a condition prerequisite to Han's vision of a central authority organization in charge of funding translation of scriptures and guaranteeing the livelihood of believers. The His argument that the revenue from the consolidation of temples should be managed by a central authority organization is was also part of this vision.

So far, we have this paper has examined in detail Han Yong UnYong-un's reform ideas through his work "On the Reform of A Thesis on Reforming Korean Buddhism." At the same time, this study compared the Tthesiswork to his earlier writing, On the Revitalization of Korean Buddhism, and traced the changes in Han's reform ideas and vision. By Through these efforts, this paper has we have offered a new image of Han Yong UnYong-un as a reformer of Buddhism.— It is hoped that this new approach to studying Han Yong Un will be useful for future studies.

Footnotes

- 1) Permitting monks to marry was the most controversial issue in the RKB. By <u>the</u> late 1920s, however, more than half of <u>all</u> Buddhist monks were married, making it unnecessary to include the issue in the reform plan discussed in Han's "A Thesis on Reforming Korean Buddhism."
- 2) The following is the table of contents of for On the Revitalization of Korean Buddhism consists of the following: 1): Introduction; 2): What is Buddhism?; 3): Principal Ideology of Buddhism; 4): Revitalization of Buddhism Begins from Dismantling; 5): Education of Monks; 6): Meditation; 7): Abolishment of Prayer House; 8): Proselytization; 9): Location of Temples; 10): Icons and Statues Worshiped by Buddhists; 11): Various Ceremonies in Buddhism; 12): Productivity as a Way to Regain Civil Rights; 13): The Future of Buddhism and the Issue of Marriage for Monks; 14): Rules for Electing Temples Heads; 15): Unity among Monks; 16): General Management of Temples; 17): Conclusion
- 3) The original text for the Thesis has been translated into modern orthography, replacing simple Chinese characters with Korean counterparts. All quotations from the thesis will follow the same form hereafter. *Buddhism*, No. 88 (October 1931), p.2.
- 4) Buddhism, no. 88, p.3.
- 5) For <u>a</u> detailed account of the meeting, see my other publication, "The Commencement and Nature of the General Assembly of Korean Buddhist Monks" in *A Study on the Modern History of Korean Buddhism* (Minjoksa, 1996).
 - 6) Buddhism, No. 88, p.4
 - 7) Han Yong Un Yong-un's "Unite Korean Buddhism" appeared in the double issue of *Buddhism* No. 84/85 (July 1931). The article also discusseds the legitimacy of centralizing Korean Buddhism.
 - 8) Buddhism, No. 88, p.4.
 - 9) Buddhism, No. 88, p.5.
 - 10) Han Yong Un Yong-un watched large temples being replaced by smaller temples, hermitage by a grotto [the meaning is unclear: "and hermitages being replaced by grottos"?].
 - 11) Buddhism, No. 88, p.5.
 - 12) Buddhism, No. 88, p.5-6.
 - 13) Buddhism, No. 88, p.6-7.

- 14) Buddhism, No. 88, p.7.
- 15) Buddhism, No. 88, p.8.
- 16) Han used the expression "General Administrative Agency" in the original text; however, considering the overall context of the **Ty**hesis, I have changed it to "a central authority."
- 17) He regarded prioritizing other business over translation was as tantamount equal to abandoning the root and holding on to the branch of a tree.
 - 18) Buddhism, No. 88, p.8-9.
 - 19) Buddhism, No. 88, p.9.
- 20) For Han, creative writing, photography, films, flyers, pamphlets, and Buddhist librariesy were examples of public service facilities for laborers and farmers.
- 21) My This is my interpretation of Han's expression "character/role" as meaning the subject or agent of an action or event.
- 22) Buddhism, No. 88, p.9.
- 23) By According to the prevalent or popular ideology of the times, Han is was referring to the emergence of dialectic materialism, ideological conflict of atheisms, anti-religion movements, and criticisms from other religious groups, etc.
 - 24) He proposed some simple ways to reform professional training for Buddhists, such as editing the textbooks to facilitate teaching and learning and adopting <u>a</u> teaching methodology similar to that of other educational institutions.
 - 25) Buddhism, No. 88, p.10.
 - 26) He stated that his reform plan did not entail fundamental reform. However, he insisted that the plan was neither impractical nor useful. Meanwhile, it would be worthwhile to make an inquiry as to why Han Yong-un did not present a plan for fundamental reform.
 - 27) He admitted that, given the state of Korean Buddhism, implementation of this plan was implausible. At the same time, he was worried that divided control would create more division among Buddhists.
 - 28) They were usually called "Dae chu seung" (or married Buddhist monks).

29) Although he was not married until 1933, he began to live outside of the temple and dress in the traditional Korean outfit clothing instead of the monks' clothing outfit from the late 1920s.

