Change of Ideological Terrain and Political Consciousness in South Korea

Kim Ho-Ki-Kim¹

Kim Ho-Ki (Kim, Ho-gi) is Professor of Sociology at Yonsei University. He received his Ph. D. from Bielefeld University in Germany in 1990. His major research interests include sociology of state and civil society in East Asia. He is author of *Hanguk-ui Inyeondaeseong-gwa sahoe byeondong* (Modernity and Social Change in Korea) (1999). E-mail: kimhoki@yonsei.ac.kr

서식 있음

Abstract

서식 있음

This paper aims to examine the ideological terrain and the change in political ideology and consciousness since the <u>economic crisis of 1997late 1990s</u> in South Korea. The ideological terrain in South Korea changed <u>from thea</u> Conservative-led <u>one</u> to <u>that of a competition of among</u> the Conservative, the <u>Moderate iddle</u>, and the Progressive, <u>and—while the impact of globalization</u> each of these three patterns is divided into two categories—by <u>the impact of globalization</u>. Region and generation have played a more critical role than class in the formation of political consciousness in South Korea. Regionalism or regionalistic sentiment has emerged as the most significant criterion since the June Democratization Movement of 1987 while generation factor has gained more influence with globalization and the coming of information society since the late 1990s. Recent changes in the ideological terrain of South Korea can be interpreted not as <u>'"</u>the end of ideology—" or <u>'"</u>the renaissance of ideology—", but as the coexistence of the politicization and depoliticization of civil and political societies.

Key words: 10개정도 뿔아주시기 바랍니다.political ideology, political consciousness, the Conservative, the Moderate, the Progressive, regionalism, generational difference, globalization, the information society

¹ Ho Ki Kim is Professor of Sociology at Yonsei University. He received his Ph. D. from Bielefeld University in Germany in 1990. His major research interests include <u>the sociology of state and civil society in East Asia. He is the author of Hankukŭi Hyŏndaesŏnggwa-Sahoepyŏndong (Modernity and Social Change in Korea) (1999).</u>

1. Introduction 서식 있음

This paper aims to examine the changes in ideological terrain and political consciousness in South Korea since the economic crisis of 1997late 1990s. In general, political consciousness means can be defined as the way people understand their society, and is usually expressed in the form of political ideologies such as "the Conservative", "the Moderate Middle" [how about "moderate?"], and "the Progressive". Political ideology is the complex plex [confluence?] of various ideas, including which includes beliefs and hopes, as well as the understandingsways in which individuals, parties, classes, and states understand -and prospects about domestic and foreign affairs. which individuals, parties, classes, and states have. From the perspective of political sociology, -political ideology, which is usually acquired in the process of political socialization, not only exerts great influence on the political consciousness of social actors in civil society, but also provides political parties with an overall and fundamental direction for policies. In this paper, political ideologies and their closely tied-linked political consciousness in South Korea since the economic crisis of 1997 late 1990s will be sociologically analyzed given a sociological analysis.

This paper is comprised of three parts. In the second section, the ideological terrain in South Korea, using understood in terms of the tripartite model of the Conservative, the MiddleModerate, and the Progressive, is examined and their history and characteristics are briefly explained. The modern history of South Korea since 1945 worked as favorable conditions for the development of the a Conservative faction; however, the ideological terrain in South Korea, led by the Conservative faction, began to change after the June Democratization Movement of 1987, and began to take on the trichotomy which that stands for the competition between the Conservative, the Moderateiddle, and the Progressive. [huh?]—In the third section, political ideologies related to the ideological terrain in South Korea since the late 1990s is are discussed. The characteristics of the ideological terrain in South Korea is are analyzed based on empirical data, generational factors influencing that influence political ideologies, and the problematic concepts of "the end of ideology" and "the renaissance of ideology". In the final section, a brief

summary and discussion on of the future of political ideologies in South Korea are provided.

2. The Ideological Terrain in South Korea

서식 있음

(1)-Overview of the Ideological Terrain in South Korea

서식 있음

In general, the paradigm of political ideology is classified into a bipartite model of the Conservative versus the Progressive, or into a tripartite model of the Conservative, the MiddleModerate, and the Progressive. The bipartite model had been more influentionalinfluentional than the tripartite model the as the more dominant framework for interpreting the ideological terrain of Korea than the tripartite model—until the 1980s. However, the tripartite model started to gain comparative advantage as a consequence of the decline in ideological and political opposition and the gradual growth of the MiddleModerate. The classic way to classify political ideology is by choosing whether to impose more importance on the state or the market, or on growth or distribution, but this has been recently challenged by the impact of globalization. Each of these three features of ideology (the Conservative, the MiddleModerate, and the Progressive) is again divided into two categories, according to the political attitudes toward globalization. The choices between nationalism and globalism now play a critical role in classifying ideologies.

	<u>♣</u>			
	the Conservative	the Middle Moderate	the Progressive	
Nationalism	the National	the National	the National	
	-Conservative	Middle Moderate	Progressive	
	(Theory of the	(Theory of the	(National Liberation	
	Development al	Coalition of the Left	Faction)	
	State)	–and the Right)		
Globalism	the Global	the Global	The GGlobal	
	Conservative	<u>Middle</u> <u>Moderate</u>	Progressive	
	(Neo-liberalism)	(South Korean	(global Left)	
		Version		
		-of the		
		Third Way)		

 ←Figure Table 1> shows the ideological terrain in South Korea, reflecting the the ideological terrain in South Korea, reflecting the terrain the terrain in South Korea, reflecting the terrain the te changechanges brought about by globalization. First, the Progressive is arranged into two groups, "the National Left" and "the Global Left". The former focuses on national liberation while the latter focuses on global solidarity. Second, the MiddleModerate is classified into "the National Middle Moderate " and "the Global Middle Moderate". The coalition of the Left and the Right after Korea's liberation from Japanese colonial rule in 1945 represents the National Middle Moderate, and the Korean version of "the Third Way-" is regarded as the Global Middle Moderate. Third, the Conservative is divided into "the National Right" and "the Global Right". The theory of the developmental state under the Park Chung-hHee Regimeregime -in the 1960s is the an example of the former, while recent neo-liberal policies represent the latter.

(2) The Conservative

The Conservative group in South Korea had exerted great influence on the both intellectual society and the society as a whole since from the Korean War. The development of conservatism in South Korea is attributed not only to the political strategy of the ruling class, but also to the support from the grassroots. As a result, the Conservatives continued to gain considerable support from the citizens until quite recently.2 The dominance of conservatism in South Korea can be explained by two reasons.

서식 있음

First, the establishment of separate regimes in on the Korean peninsula after the liberation of 1945, and along with the experience of the Korean War (1950~1953), both reorganized South K9 orean society into an "anti-communist regimented society" led by the Right-Wings, as Cho HiHee Yeon-yon pointed

4

² The conservative characteristic of the civil society in South Korea began to decline after the June Democratization Movement of 1987, and a series of social changes in 2002 including "candle-light demonstrations" and the presidential election contributed to weakening the conservative civil society. For the a discussion about the change of civil society in South Korea, see Kim Ho-ki-gi (1999),, Kim (2001), Koo (2002).

out (Cho Hi-yŏn Cho, 1997:, 70).3 Anti-communism had been one of the most dominant ideologies in South Korea, and not even a hint of discussion of the potentials of socialism or social democracy, the main political ideologies of the Left-Wing is was tolerated. This situation enabled conservatism to take precedence over any other ideologyies and greatly influenced the public sphere which is-was closely tied to both civil and political societies. Second, the system of traditional Confucian ethics served as one of thea firm bases for the development of conservatism. While traditional thoughts are were generally denied and rejected in the process of modernization, Confucian ethics were often evaluated as beneficial to the development of South Korea. "Confucian capitalism-" and -"the Korean version of democracy-" (the political ideology which that emphasizeds Korean traditions of democracy under the Park Chung HeePark Chung-hee Regimeregime) are representative discourses which that show demonstrate the influence of Confucianism on the Conservative. The main idea of those discourses is that Confucianism, marked by favoritism and hierarchism, contributed to rapid industrialization and played the role of offered a theoretical and political framework in within which to adapting Western democratic systems and institutions into a South Korean style. Traditionalism and communitarianism communalism, which Confucian ethics emphasized, had a selective affinity with faspects of? Western conservatism.

It is interesting that some scholars raised the question of whether conservatism really exists in South Korea, even though many politicians and intellectuals publicly advocate the Conservative ideas. For example, Kang Chlŏeong-in classifies conservatism into the categories of "dispositional conservatism", and "conditional conservatism", and "political-philosophical conservatism", and argueswhile arguing that there is only a "conditional conservatism" [no quotation marks? Is this your phrase? Or his?] in South Korea, led by the mainstream, which wants to protect its vested interests, or "the conservatives without philosophy" (Kang Chŏng in, 1997:—, 9-62). He claims that liberal democracy has never been fully realized in South Korea and

³ According to Cho Hee-Yyeon Cho (Cho Hi yŏn) and Kim Eun-Mmee Kim(1998÷, 133), "the anticommunist ideology was thus voluntarily accepted by the populace as well as the state, and provided a social condition in which labor discipline and political repression were possible in the name of "the fight against Communism."

that there are no traditions and practices of liberal democracy in South Korea, even though the mainstream appoints has appointed itself as the its safeguardguardian of liberal democracy. Kim Yong-min also points out that conservatism in South Korea has no philosophical or ethical foundation and that it serves only as a politically dominant ideology for the ruling class (Kim Yong min, 1999: 11-52). He analyzes argues that conservatism in South Korea had somewhat vague meaning and just worked functioned for the integration of the mainstream, the die-hards, and the conservative middle middle class. In short, he argues that there exist conservative forces or conservative parties exist in South Korea, but no without a philosophy of conservatism in South Korea.

To explain the Conservative in South Korea clearly, it is necessary to distinguish the conservative forces from conservatism. If the conservative forces indicates are made up of a group of people who want to conserve traditions and to sustain communities, conservatism is not the only choice left to them. They could choose the die-hards or the reactionaries as the source of their own ideology, and and even sometimes they prefershow a preference for dictatorship to democracy, as the cases of other countries showdemonstrates. In this context, it can might be true that conservatism has never existed in South Korea. Although the conservative forces adopted conservatism as its main political ideology under the Yushin Regimeregime in the 1970s and the Chun Doo-Hhwan Regime regime in the 1980s, when liberal democracy was suppressed, conservatism in South Korea is far from the ideal conservatism of Western societies [this is an ideal? Just because the ruling classes happen to possess a particular ideological agenda? What makes this necessarily better than a conservativism out of pure self interest, which is a prime motivation still shared by so called "Western" conservatism. What "West" are we talking about? France? Germany? The US? Turkey? Peru? Brazil?]. This is because the ideology of either regimes cannot be defined as conservatism, considering if we assume that conservatism is also one of the modern democracies.

The Conservative in South Korea is the ideology of dominant political forces and the intellectuals of the Park Chung-hee rRegime in the 1960s, the Rob Tae-woo and the Kim Young-Sam rRegimes of the late 1980s and the-1990s. As mentioned above, conservatism in South Korea is classified into "the Robal

Conservative", and with the theory of the developmental development state under the Park Chung HeePark Chung-hee Regime regime in the 1960s standing as is a typical ideology of the former, while neo-liberal policies under the Kim Young-sam Young Sam Rregime since the mid-1990s come to represent the latter. In a strict sense, the conservatives in South Korea tend to be regarded as being identical to both the die-hards and the reactionaries, and without theirwho exist without a own philosophical background. Furthermore, the Progressive has taken the initiative in setting the agenda of social reform in the democratization process since 1987, and while the Conservative consequently began to lose its intellectual and political hegemony over society.

(3) The Moderate iddle

It is difficult to clearly define the <u>political</u> Moderateiddle in South Korea. While the literal meaning of the Moderateiddle is the that of an ideology which islying between the Conservative and the Progressive, the word "moderateiddle" does not simply mean thedenote a theoretical center of an ideological spectrum. In a positive sense, the Moderateiddle can be considered as an attempt to combine the advantages of both the Conservative and the Progressive, but it is hard to assert that it this position is a productive reconstruction because it also can be seen as a simple rearrangement of various policies.

In South Korea, there are have been ups and downs in the history of the Moderateiddle. Even if there were middle Moderate-oriented leaders including Yŏeo Un-hHyŏeong and Kim Kyu-Gyu-sSik, who tried to establish a coalition of the left and the right after the 1945 liberation, and Jo Bong-amCho Pong Am, who tried to promote social democratic policies against the Rhee-Syngmng Man Rhee Regime regime in the late 1950s, it was difficult for the Moderateiddle to attract wide attention from the public in during the Cold War era. The Moderateiddle began to gain critical momentum after the June Democratization Movement of 1987, which changed the ideological terrain in South Korea from that of an oppositional relationship between democracy and anti-democracy to a multiplicitouse relationship among the Conservative, the Moderateiddle, and the Progressive. Lively discussions and heated debates among intellectuals since the 1990s over the nature of Western social democracy, including democratic corporatism and 'the "-Third Way'", among the intellectuals since

서식 있음

the 1990s reflect the this increased attention given to the Moderateiddle.

The Moderateiddle in South Korea seems to cover a wide range of ideologies, from spanning from the position of the liberals all the way to the Keynesian right. The Moderateiddle actively emphasizes the introduction of competition mechanisms rooted in the market system and at the same time asks the government to effectively intervene in solving the problems of social inequality that the market system brings about. As shown in Table 1, the Moderateiddle in South Korea is divided into "the National Moderateiddle" and "the Global Moderateiddle", while the latter, represented by the Korean version of the Third Way, influenced the policies that the Kim Dae-jung regime promoted. As shown in <Figure 1>, the Middle in South Korea is divided into 'the National Middle' and 'the Global Middle', and while the latter represented by the Korean version of the Third Way influenced the policies that the Kim Dae Jung Regime promoted. [can't understand the last part ofn this sentence maybe I just need a second look later From a sociological perspective, the Kim Dae-i Jung Regime regime is a typical example of the a middle Moderateoriented government which that pursued both economic neo-liberal policies and social democratic welfare policies (Choe Chang jip, 1998; Im Hyŏk paek, 2000). The emergence of the a moderate iddle-led regime such as the Kim Dae-j Jung government has politically important implications in South Korea in the sense that it marsks the fact that the hegemony of the Conservative has ended and that the Moderateiddle's emergence allowed for competition between among the Conservative, the Moderateiddle and the Progressive began with its emergenceto begin.

The Moderateiddle in South Korea now must now face tasks in the both theoretical context and in the political contexts. The Moderateiddle in South Korea does not seem to go beyond than simply arrangingthe mere rearrangement of the strategies of the Progressive and the Conservative, even though it tries to suggest various economic and social policies. From the view of the Progressive, the Moderateiddle failed to provide both programs for the socially weak and in addition to strategies to address the social inequalitiesy which that the market system causes in capitalist society. In addition, there has not been a tradition of liberalism in South Korea that

usually provides from which to provide an epistemological base for the this Moderate iddle (Kim Dong-ch-un, 1999). From the view of the Conservative, the Moderate iddle applied imported and applied theories from the West without considering the given existing conditions of within the Korean society. [but simplistically setting up "Western conservatism" as the "ideal" without any explantion is any different?] Even though the Moderate iddle does not necessarily have to accept criticism from the Conservative and the Progressive, it is undeniable that limitations for there exist limitations of the Middle undeniable that there are some limitations for the Moderate iddle.

In spite of these limitations, it is hard to assert that the<u>re is no optimistic outlook for the Moderateiddle has no optimistic prospects</u>. The primary advantage of the Moderateiddle is that it seeks for sustainable and feasible alternatives, and has possesses great potential to draw broad attention from civil society, where both <u>progressive Progressive and eConservative trends interests</u> are mingled. The This increase in flexibility and autonomy <u>- t</u>, the main principle of social changes triggered by the globalization and the coming advent of the information society <u>- makes</u> the boundary between the Conservative and the Progressive more unclear, and consequently prompts a forecast of "the end of ideology". The future of the Moderateiddle in South Korea depends on how to respond to the impact of globalization and the coming of the information society. <u>these changes in a global dimension. [I'm still not really sure what that means. Too vague]</u>

(4) The Progressive

서식 있음

The history of the Progressive in South Korea is dramatic. The Progressive erupted in both the political and civil societies after the liberation from Japanese colonial rule in 1945, but it rapidly shrank under the American military occupation (1945~1948). Although there were some progressive studies in academic circles, they were mostly conducted by minority groups and were nationalist-oriented. The Progressive rapidly declined after the Korean War, but came grew to a turning pointcritical mass in the mid-1980s as a consequence of the growth of student and labor movements in the late 1970s and early 1980s. "The debate on social formation" within the progressives deeply influenced both the intellectual and civil societies and is regarded as having opened the

"age of social science". Even though the debate seems to have been overheated from the a present-day perspective, its significance lies in the Progressive having attained academic civil rights. This debate contributed to resurrecting the Marxist political economy which that was severed after the Korean War.

1

The heated heat of the debate suddenly cooled down in the 1990s, for. There are both external and internal reasons. The collapse of Eastern European socialism and the introduction of a procedural democracy after the June Democratization Movement of 1987 eroded the meaning of revolutionary movements in South Korea which that the debate aimed to encourage. Instead of the a debate on social formation, the Progressive went entered into new debates on post-Marxism, civil society, and the making construction of a working—class party. Compared to the 1980s, the influence of the progressives on the intellectuals declined in the 1990s, while their influence on civil society and social movements increased. This changed altered atmosphere resulted in the establishment of various social groups, such as Korean Federation of Environmental Movement (KFEM) in 1993, People's Solidarity for Participatory Democracy (PSPD) in 1994, the Korean Confederation of Trade Unions (KCTU) in 1995, and the Democratic Labor Party (DLP) in 2000.

In <u>a</u> theoretical perspective, the Progressive in South Korea <u>covers spans</u> <u>from the Keynesian Left</u> to orthodox Marxism. According to Yun <u>KGŏeo</u>n-ch'a, fractions of progressive intellectuals in South Korea are composed of <u>"</u>old-left Marxism<u>"</u>, <u>"</u>new-left Marxism<u>"</u>, <u>"</u>theory of marxism<u>"</u>, <u>"</u>theory of civil society<u>"</u>, <u>"</u>" theory of radical democracy<u>"</u>, and <u>the "</u>theory of progressive nationalism" (Y<u>ooun Kŏn-ch'a, 2000-, 18</u>). Concerning <u>the its</u> relation with political society, the Progressive can be classified <u>into as a group supporting that supports</u> the Democratic Labor Party (DLP), a group <u>focusing that focuses</u> on radical civil movements<u>, and as an independent group supporting that supports the left [do you mean "remaining" or the "Left?"</u>] alternatives.

Although the radical social theories of Western Europe were not introduced until the late 1970s, those theories took firm root in South Korea

only in the past 20 years, while it took 200 years for Western Europe to build up the theories develop them. Cynical criticism regarding it them as being academically dependent of on European radical thoughts is aired by nationalist intellectuals[by whom?] and this criticism is somewhat reasonable.__[this whole sentence would benefit indicating who is doing the airing.] However, the Progressive of South Korea considered Western theories as a "reference book". not as a "text book", and tried to construct theoretical frameworks as well as to carry out political practices which that can could be applied well to the South Korean case. The advantage of the progressive alternatives in South Korea is that they actively set the agenda of social reform during the democratization process after the June Democratization Movement of 1987. The advantage of the progressive alternatives in South Korea is actively setting agenda of social reform during the democratization process after the June Democratization Movement of 1987. [I also don't understand this sentence.] This endeavor of the Progressive contributed to gaining support from the working class and the younger generation.

The Progressive of South Korea consists of "the National Progressive" and "the Global Progressive" as can be seen in Figure Table 1. The National Progressive has been theoretically influenced by the "national liberation faction", Marxism, and the social democratic left; it also shows the several tendencies as that Yun KGĕeon-ch'a examines. Compared to the Conservative and the Moderateiddle, the global version of the Progressive, which can be called "the Global Left", is still underdeveloped, which can be called 'the Global Left', to actively tackle contemporary issues such as globalization and the coming of the information society. [where does this clause belong? To where is it connected?] The underdevelopment of the Global Left resulted from the strong orientation of the South Korean progressive towards the anationalism which is deeply influenced by anti-imperialist struggles. However, the role of the Global Left is becoming more and more important in the course of globalization. The most important task for the Progressive lies in

⁴ In this respect, anti-war movements regarding protest in response to the Iraqi War became the turning point to gain a global dimension beyond the national boundaries in the social movements of South Korea. For a discussion about the response of social movements to globalization, see Castells (1998), Kingsnorth (2003).

strengthening not only the capacity of the Democratic Labor Party_z but also the global solidarity of the Progressive in the realm of social movements.

3. Changes in Political Ideology and Political Consciousness

서식 있음

(1) The Coexistence of the Conservative, the Moderate iddle, and the Progressive

서식 있음

서식 있음

While the Conservative highly values growth, the market, and the community, the Progressive values distribution, the state, and the individual. The MiddleModerate emphasizes the balance between growth and distribution, market and state, and as well as community and the individual. In many societies, political ideologies influence political consciousness and provide criteria for political choices including that include voting. What is important is It is important that South Korean people choose political ideology based on different criteria than from those in the West. In Western societies, political ideology is divided according to the way people understand the relationship between state and market, and growth and distribution. However, the main criteria for ideological choice in South Korea are the viewpoints about related to South Korea's relationship with America the United States and North Korea. The difference in criteria is attributed to the distinctive historical and geopolitical conditions of South Korea, that is, the establishment of separate regimes since 1945 and the experience of the Korean War-since 1945. While the Conservative generally holds a favorable attitude toward America and anticommunism, the Progressive supports socialism (or socialist democracy) and anti-Americanism, and while the Middle Moderate tries to reconcile these two opposing ideological positions.

However, this ideological terrain hass started to change recently. The Western criteria regarding the choice between state and market, and growth and distribution, began to gain influential power as well as the existing criteria of South Korea; [huh?]. that is, South Korea's relationship with America and North Korea. This change is due to two causes. First, the_external_political_environment changed the way South Korean people see-viewed_worth Korea. Since the early 1990s, the reconciliatory atmosphere between South Korea and North Korea and the rise of anti-American movements have weakened the

influential power of the Conservative within civil society. The successful promotion of the '"Sunshine Policy'" introduced by the Kim Dae-Jung regime (the government's conciliatory policy toward North Korea), owes much to the changes in political consciousness in South Korea. At the same time, the Sunshine Policy directly contributed to the-civil society's alleviating shrinking hostility toward North Korea. Second, the financial crisis of South Korea in the late 1990s raised the question of how the relationship between state and market. The-That the-crisis changed the economic model of South Korea from the-That the-crisis changed the economic model of South Korea from the deepening of social polarization, which includeding the deterioration of income distribution, contributed to the growth of the Progressive and the MiddleModerate.

*≤*Table <u>2</u>+>. *Ideological Terrain of South Korea*

(Unit: %)

				<u>OTHE.</u> 70)
	<u>Feb.</u> 2002	<u>Feb.</u> 2003	<u>Sep.</u> 2004	<u>Jan.</u> 2005
	Feb	Feb	Sep	Jan
The Progressive	21.4	34.0	31.6	26.8
The Middle Moderat	49.5	34.8	32.2	46.2
<u>e</u>	15.0	01.0	32.2	10.2
The	28.5	31.3	28.4	25.4
Conservative	20.5	31.3	20.4	20.4
Others	0.6	-	7.8	1.6

Sources: <u>Feb.</u> 2002<u>Feb</u>, <u>Feb.</u> 2003<u>Feb</u>: <u>JoongangJoongAng-_Ilbo</u>, 2. 1-_<u>-February</u> 2003. (2 월 1 일 1 월 2 일 2)

Sep. _____2004 Sep, <u>Jan.</u> 2005 Jan: *Dong-a- Ilbo*, 1 <u>- 1. January</u> 2005.

∠Table 1→2 —shows the recent change in ideological terrain of South Korea. Even though the numbers are slightly different, the Conservative, the Moderateiddle, and the Progressive are in overall equilibrium. What is remarkable in ∠Table 1→2 —is that the Moderateiddle is winning more support from the public than the rest. The emergence of the Moderateiddle can be explained by the impact of globalization. By emphasizing globalism against nationalism, globalization prompts the end of an ideology that means the convergence of and transcendence over existing oppositional relationship of between the Conservative and the Progressive. Even though the growth of the

서식 있음 서식 있음 서식 있음 서식 있음

서식 있음

서식 있음

Moderateiddle cannot be regarded as the meaning the same as the end of ideology itself, a number of issues is increasing, which that cannot can no longer be handled dealt with any more with through the existing ideological confrontation. For example, how to respond to the call for opening the domestic market to foreign investors, whether to develop utilize nuclear energy or not, or how to keep the balance of centralization and decentralization nationwide these are the typical issues which that cannot be easily addressed by the ideological dichotomy of the Conservative versus the Progressive. The rise of the MiddleModerate, as shown in Table 21>, however, can be interpreted as marking an the increase in people's passive and even indifferent attitudes toward political issues. It also reflects the disappointment and helplessness of the people who are exhausted with overheated confrontation between the Conservative and the Progressive.

(2)-Region and Generation

Class, region, and generation are three major factors that influence the choice of political ideologies in South Korea. In Western societies, class plays the most critical role in political choices, [REALLY? How about race in the US?], but region and age range have been more influential factors than class in South Korea. [and even the most recent election wasn't split along class lines? Most of the rich and established voted for Lee Hoi Chang Lee Hoi chang while the more disenfranshised went for Noh. Yes, that occurred along age and regional lines, but isn't money and establishment correlated with age and region? In the same way, money and power is correlated with race in the US, one might argue. This argument is paper thin.]. The reason class has not been taken into account can be explained by two facts. First, anti-communism has been so dominant in the modern history of South Korea that deep cleavages between the classes and their closely tied ideological differences have been concealed. The prevalence of anti-communism in South Korea resulted in paving the way for the development of the Conservative. Concerning the present situation of politics in South Korea, the middle-oriented Uri Party and the conservative-oriented Grand National Party are taking the lead in the National Assembly, while the progressive-oriented Democratic Labor Party is relatively lower in status.⁵

⁵ What is remarkable is that Democratic Labor Party won 13.1% of votes in the National Assembly election of 2004 while 20-30% of people identified themselves as supporters of the

Second, regionalism or regionalistic sentiment prevented and replaced the role of the class factor in South Korea. The influence of regionalism in political choices cannot be emphasized enough. Because of its great influence upon political and civil society, regionalism has also been one of the most important factors in reproducing the system of party politics in South Korea.

This form of regionalism, which began to appear under the Park Chung HeePark Chung-hee regime, was characterized by the phenomenon of the power base of a given party being focused on a particular geographical region (Kim, 2001:, 234). Even though there are various forms of regionalism in many countries, there is probably no country in which regionalism has had as crucial an influence upon politics as in South Korea. For example, in the presidential election of 1997, Kim Dae-Dae-jung, the candidate of the New Millennium Democratic Party, received 40.3-% percent of the vote nationwide but received over 90-percent-% in the ChJŏeolla-do Provinceprovinces, while Lee Hoi-Hoi-c←hang, the candidate of Grand National Party, gained 38.7-% percent of the vote nationwide, but gained over 50—% percent in the KGyŏeongsang-do Provinceprovinces, and over 60-% percent in Taegu Daegu and KGyŏeongsangbuk-tdo province in particular. This tendency did not changed in the presidential election of 2002. Roh Moo-Moo-hHyun, the then presidential candidate of the New Millennium Democratic Party, won the overwhelming support in of ChJŏeolla-do Provinceprovinces, as opposed to Lee Hoi-Hoi-cChang, who gained the majority of support in-of KGyŏeongsang-do Province provinces.

The negative effect of regionalism is that it misleads and distorts the political consciousness and choice of people. From an economic perspective, voting based on regionalism can be considered rational. From a social perspective, however, regionalism appeals to regional exclusivism and antagonism, and therefore distorts the political judgment of people. It has interrupted active competition among the Conservative, the Middle Moderate, and the Progressive, as well as in addition to disrupting the processes of positive interaction between political society and civil society. In addition, this regionalism is closely connected to both school ties—and family ties, and

Progressive. There exists an asymmetrical correspondence between political and civil societies in South Korea.

thesewhich have been connections that have exercised a decisive influence upon presidential and National Assembly elections. One of the main causes of political underdevelopment in South Korea has been that the regional cleavages combined with class cleavages has promoted to promote political splits. Political parties exacerbated this political situation by continually attempting to capitalize on regional biases.

Recently, age range—has emerged as one of the most important factors affecting political ideology and consciousness. The generational difference in political consciousness is reflected in voting and has influenced result of votingvoting results. In the 1997 presidential election, this difference could be seen readily apparent. As shown in Table 2>3, Kim Dae JungKim Dae-jung attracted more support from those in their 20s and 30s, while Lee Hoi Chang Lee Hoi-chang gained support from the relatively older generations over 40. This tendency continued in the 2002 presidential election, as Table 3>4 illustrates. Roh Moo HyunRoh Moo-hyun won nearly 60 percent of the support from the voters in their 20s and 30s, while Lee Hoi Chang Lee Hoi-chang drew almost the same amount of support from those over 50.

←Table 2→3. Voting by Age Range in the Presidential Election of 1997

Init: %)

서식 있음

				(OIIII. /o)
	Lee Hoi- <u>-</u> <u>c</u> Chang	Kim Dae- JungKim Dae- jung	<u>Rhee In-je</u> Lee In Je	Others
The 20s	27.9	43.2	24.6	4.3
The 30s	34.8	43.7	20.7	0.9
The 40s	44.1	37.2	17.8	1.0
Over the 50s	51.0	34.2	14.8	-

Resource: ChJŏeong Tae hwa, (1998:, 65).

(Unit: %)

			(
Roh N Moo-hyun	Aoo Hyun Roh	Lee Choi	<u>Choi-c</u> Chang
MBC-KRC*	KBS-Gallup	MBC-KRC	KBS-Gallup

20s	59.0	62	34.9	31
30s	59.3	59	34.2	34
40s	48.1	47	47.9	49
50s	40.1	40	57.9	FO
over 60s	34.9	40	63.5	58

* KRC: Korea Research Center

Resource: Kang (Wŏn t'aek, 2003:. 290).

What is remarkable fis this so remarkable? Is not the younger generation often more progressive than the older, established generation in many other similar societies? Perhaps the Korean case is more pronounced, but it isn't actually very surprising.] is the consistent general characteristics of the younger generation. In general, the younger generation is more inclined to the Middle Moderate and the Progressive reform than to the Conservative, and therefore, holds favorable attitude toward the candidate who pursues social reforms. In the case of Western societies [again, are they really all the same? I guess then we should compare the US to "Eastern societies" and treat the cases of China, Japan, and Korea as all the same.], the young in their 20s are said to be more conservative by [due to] the introduction of neo-liberalism but actually became more individualized and depoliticized, not conservatized.6 The situation in South Korea is not that different from the West-fugh - this is the argument's most problematic hole. Is such a fundamental logical error acceptable in an academic paper?]. While those in their 30s, represented by "the 386 generation," 7 (referring to those who were born in the 1960s and actively participated in the pro-democracy movements while attending universities in the 1980s), are still reform-oriented, those in their 20s are relatively depoliticized. One difference from the West is that conservatism has not appealed to those in their 20s, because due to the fact that conservatism in South Korea has no philosophical foundation. In addition, the 18 years of "historical learning process" of democratization since the June Democratization Movement has helped to maintain the attention of the younger generation toward democratic reform.

-

⁶ Ulrich Beck_(1998) calls the younger generations as "Freedom's Children" and emphasizes the individualization as a distinctive trend of reflexive modernization.

⁷ The 386 generation refers to those in their 30s who were born in the 1960s and actively participated in the pro-democracy movements while attending universities in the 1980s.

One more important issue is the gap between the generations. Globalization and the coming advent of an information society accelerate social change and consequently widen the gap in worldview between the generations because younger generations adapt themselves to those changes more easily than older generations.⁸ It should be noted that this generational difference is demonstrated in political choices. Individualism, open-mindedness, and favorable attitudes toward change are main central values inherent in to globalization and the coming of an information society. Therefore, the political force which that pursues those values receives more support from the younger generations. [No mention of the speed of industrialization, urbanization, and modernization in general as factors?]—Although there is variation within each generation and each country, it is undeniable that the generation gap in political orientation will become wider. [this line of argument is completely uncompelling.]

(3) The End of Ideology or an Ideological Renaissance of Ideology

It is difficult to predict the future of the ideological terrain of South Korea. However, two possibilities can be suggested based on past experiences. First, globalization will serve as a double-edged sword. It offers favorable conditions to the Conservative in that it strengthens the market mechanism against the state, but it also helps the Progressive to receive wide support from the public because of the deepening of the social polarization caused by globalization. This is one of the primary issues of the Progressive. [where does this go?] However, this confused and complicated situation which globalization has generated will also give the Middle Moderate a chance to expand its influence. Taking all these conditions into account, there is a possibility that the ideological terrain in South Korea will remain as is, and the end of ideology may not appear easily be so readily apparent.

For the <u>a</u> discussion about the gap of generationsgeneration gap in South Korea, see Song Hokun (2003).

Second, differences in political ideology from due to the generation gap will be considered more important than ever. If globalization and the coming of an information society are irresistible trends in contemporary historysociety, the emergence of "generation politics" is an inevitable by-product of those two changes. However, the generation factor does not necessarily make generation politics the most leading political paradigm in the 21th 21st century because generational interests are less tangible than those of regional region interests or class interests. In addition, the contradictory characteristics of the younger generations should be taken into account when discussing generation politics. Younger people actively express their political opinions on politics especially through the internet Internet, but at the same time, are more indifferent to politics than older people. Given this situation, a renaissance of ideology will not happen in the near future, and ideological debates and the tendency of towards depoliticization will continue to confusingly coexist for the time being.

4. Conclusion

In this This paper examined, the ideological terrain of South Korea and the changes in political ideology and consciousness since the late 1990s have been examined. First, the ideological terrain in South Korea changed from the Conservative-led to competition of the Conservative, the Middle Moderate, and the Progressive, and each of these three patterns is split into two fractions by the impact of globalization. Second, empirical data show that the Conservative, the Middle Moderate, and the Progressive are kept in balance in South Korea. Third, region and generation have played a more critical role than class in the formation of political consciousness. Regionalism has emerged as the most significant criterion in politics since the June Democratization Movement of 1987, and the generational factor is gaining more influence with globalization and the coming advent of an information society. Fourth, recent changes in the ideological terrain of South Korea cannot be interpreted as the end of ideology or the a renaissance of ideology. Continuation of ideological debates and the trend of depoliticization will coexist for the time being.

The debates <u>On-over</u> political ideologies exist in every society. Political ideology provides a basic framework for political consciousness, and political consciousness has much to do with the growth of democracy. If democracy

matures through productive competition among various political forces, ideological debate serves as the starting point for policy competition. However, recent ideological debates in South Korea seem to be somewhat excessive and overheated. Considering the relatively shorter history of democracy in South Korea, this phenomenon is quite understandable. However, excessive debates are not desirable because they increase the social cost in solving ideological conflicts. One of the essences of democracy lies in the coexistence of differences and similarities. Considering that political ideology is a kind of framework for expressing difference and similarity among competing social groups, the political society in South Korea should also has to focus on similarities instead of differences.

<References>

Beck, Ulrich. 1992. Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity._London: Sage.

-----. 1998. Democracy without Enemies. Cambridge: Polity.

Castells, Manuel. 1998. *The Power of Identity*—Oxford: Blackwell.

Cho, Hee Yeon (Jo, Hui-yeon). 1997. "Dongasia seongjangnoni geomto" (Review of Developmental Theory of East Asia). *Gyeongje-wa sahoe* (Economy and Society) (winter).

Cho, Hee Yeon, and Eun Mee Kim. 1998. "State Autonomy and Its Social Conditions for Economic Development in South Korea and Taiwan," in In Eun Mee Kim (ed.), The Four Asian Tigers: Economic Development and the Global Political Economy, edited by Eun Mee Kim. San Diego: Academic Press.

Cho, Hi yŏn. 1997. "Tongasia Sŏngjangnonŭi Kŏmt'o (Review on of Developmental Theory of East Asia)," Kyŏngjewasahoe, Winter.

서식 있음

ChoeChoe, ChangJang-jip. 1998. Minjujchŏeok sSijchanggyŏeongje-ŭui hHan'gukjchŏeok jogeon-gwa geu hamui Chokŏn'gwa Kŭ Hamŭi (Conditions of the Democratic Market Economy and Its Implications in

Spring.	
JChŏeong, Tae-hwa. 1998. "Ch]e15dae dTaetongnyŏeong sSŏeon'-gŏeo gKyŏeolgwa-eë Tdaehan sSilchŭeungjŏeok yYŏeon'gu" (Analytic Study onAn Analysis of the 15th Presidential Election).;" DTonghyang-gwa_j 서식 있음 Chŏeonmang, Vol. (Trends and Prospects) 37.	
Im, Hyŏeok-b-paekek 2000. Segkyehwa sidae-ui mijujuui Sitaeŭi Minjuchuŭi (Democracy in the Era of Globalization). Seoul: Nanam.	
Kang, <u>IChŏeo</u> ng-in. 1997. <u>"B"P</u> osuwa-wa <u>iCh</u> inbo" (The Conservative and The Progressive)". <u>in-In</u> R. Nisbet et al., <u>Edmund Burke-Edŭmŏndŭ-Pŏkŭ</u> wa	
<u>bosujuui</u> Posuchuŭi (Edmund Burke and Conservatism),). Seoul: 서식 있음 Munhakkwachisŏngsa.Moonhak Kwa Jisung Sa. 서식 있음	
Kang, W <u>ŏo</u> n-t'aek. 2003. <i>Han'gu<u>k-kŭu</u>i <u>seongeo jeongchi Şŏn'gŏ Chŏngch'i</u> (Electoral Politics in South Korea), Seoul: P'-ur<u>ŭeu</u>n'gil. 서식 있음</i>	
Kim, Dong-ch ' un. 1999. "Retori <mark>kg<u>ŭeu</u>ro <u>Nn</u>am<u>ŭeu</u>n <u>hanguk-ui</u> <u>jayujuui Han'gukŭi Chayuchuŭi"</u> (Korea's Liberalism Remained as a</mark>	
Rhetoric) <mark>", In</mark> Kim Dong-ch'-un et al., <u>[Ch</u> ayuran <u>Heu</u> n <u>hwadu</u> Hwatu (Liberty 서식 있음 as a Topic)), . Seoul: Samin.	
Kim, Ho-gi (Kim, Ho-ki). 1999. Hanguk-uikukŭi hHyŏeondaesŏeong-gwa sahoe byeondong 서식 있음 Sahoepyŏndong (Modernity and Social Change in Korea), Seoul: 서식 있음	
Nanam.	
2001. "The State and Civil Society in South Korea, 1987-1999 _{7.} " Asian Perspective, Vol 25. No. 1	
Kim, Yong-min. 1999. "S <mark>ŏeo</mark> gu <u>b</u> Posuj <u>uchuŭiŭu</u> i <u>g</u> Kiw <u>ŏo</u> n′-gwa <u>b</u> Palj <u>chŏeo</u> n″ (Origins and Development of Western Conservatism) ," . <u>I</u> —in Kim	
PByŏeong-guk et al., Han'guk-нัші Pbosuehjuнші (Conservatism in South 서식 있음 Korea), Seoul: Ingansarang In'gansarang.	

Kingsnorth, Paul. 2003. *One No, Many Yeses—: A Journey to the Heart of Global Resistance Movement—.* New York: Simon and Shuster.

Koo, Hagen. 2002. "Civil Society and Democracy in South Korea_{7.}" The Good Society, Vol.__11-No. 2.-

Song, Ho-k<u>ueu</u>n. 2003. <u>Hankuk Hanguk</u>, <u>Mmusteun Illi-illi Iillöeonakgo Inna-inna</u> (Korea, What <u>hashas been Been happened Happening</u>), . Seoul: <u>Samsung Economic Research Institute.</u>Samsonggyongcheyon'guso.

서식 있음 서식 있음

Yooun, K<u>Gŏeo</u>n-ch'a. 2000. *Hyŏeondae <u>h</u>Han'guk_sasang <u>h</u>Hŭeurŭeum* (Currents in Thoughts in on Contemporary Korea), .__ Seoul: <u>TangdaeDangdae</u>.

서식 있음 서식 있음