

## SCORE SEQUENCES OF HYPERTOURNAMENT MATRICES

YOUNGMEE KOH AND SANGWOOK REE

ABSTRACT. A  $k$ -hypertournament is a complete  $k$ -hypergraph with all  $k$ -edges endowed with orientations, i.e., orderings of the vertices in the edges. The incidence matrix associated with a  $k$ -hypertournament is called a  $k$ -hypertournament matrix, where each row stands for a vertex of the hypertournament. Some properties of the hypertournament matrices are investigated.

The sequences of the numbers of 1's and  $-1$ 's of rows of a  $k$ -hypertournament matrix are respectively called the score sequence (resp. losing score sequence) of the matrix and so of the corresponding hypertournament. A necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence to be the score sequence (resp. the losing score sequence) of a  $k$ -hypertournament is proved.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A tournament is a complete directed graph. It is well known (cf. Reid [6]) that every tournament contains a hamilton path and that a tournament is strongly connected if and only if every vertex is contained in cycles of all possible lengths. Together with these facts, one of the fundamental and well-known facts on tournaments is Landau's theorem. When we call the outdegree of a vertex of a tournament the *score* of the vertex, Landau's theorem shows a condition for the existence of a tournament whose vertices have the scores as given ones.

Hypergraphs are a generalization of graphs. While edges of a graph are pairs of vertices of the graph, edges of a hypergraph are subsets of the vertex set, consisting of at least two vertices. We call hypergraphs only having edges consisting of  $k$  vertices  $k$ -hypergraphs. A  $k$ -hypertournament is a complete oriented  $k$ -hypergraph.

Instead of scores of vertices in a tournament, Zhou, Yao and Zhang [7] considered *scores* and *losing scores* of vertices in a  $k$ -hypertournament, and derived a result

---

Received by the editors September 26, 2001, and in revised form November 27, 2001.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C50.

*Key words and phrases.* hypertournament, score sequence, hypertournament matrices.

This work was supported by grant No. 2001-1-10200-002-2 from the Basic Research Program of the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation.

analogous to Landau's theorem. Landau's theorem has attracted quite a bit of attention providing a dozen of different proofs. Included among these proofs are Fulkerson's, Ryser's, Brauer, Gentry and Shaw's, Landau's, and Bang-Sharp's. The proof of Bang and Sharp [2] might be more noteworthy than others, as Erdős called it *the proof in the Book*.

In this paper, we prove the result of Zhou, Yau and Zhang [7] in the same way as Bang and Sharp's proof. Also, we define  $k$ -hypertournament matrices as the incidence matrices of  $k$ -hypertournaments and obtain some basic properties of such matrices.

## 2. HYPERTOURNAMENT MATRICES

Let  $V$  be the set of  $n$  vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ . For fixed  $k, 2 \leq k \leq n - 1$ , a subset of  $k$  vertices is called a  $k$ -edge. The set of the vertices with  $k$ -edges defines a  $k$ -hypergraph (cf. Berge [3]). Especially, the  $k$ -hypergraph containing all of the  $k$ -edges on  $V$  is said to be complete.

A  $k$ -edge endowed with an orientation is called a  $k$ -arc. A  $k$ -hypertournament  $H$  is defined as the pair of the vertex set  $V$  and a set  $A_k$  of  $k$ -arcs on  $V$ , where there is exactly one  $k$ -arc for every possible  $k$ -edge, i.e.,  $H = (V, A_k)$ . So, a  $k$ -hypertournament is the complete  $k$ -hypergraph with all  $k$ -edges given orientations, i.e., orderings of  $k$  vertices in  $k$ -edges. Since a  $k$ -arc consists of  $k$  vertices, the number of  $k$ -arcs in  $A_k$  is  $\binom{n}{k}$ , and so it is written as  $A_k = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{\binom{n}{k}}\}$ . Now we define an  $n \times \binom{n}{k}$  matrix  $M = (m_{ij})$  by

$$m_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_i \text{ is in } e_j \text{ and } v_i \text{ is not the last element of } e_j, \\ -1 & \text{if } v_i \text{ is in } e_j \text{ and } v_i \text{ is the last element of } e_j, \\ 0 & \text{if } v_i \text{ is not in } e_j. \end{cases}$$

The matrix  $M$  is the incidence matrix of a  $k$ -hypertournament  $H$ , and is called a  $k$ -hypertournament matrix. Each row and column of  $M$  corresponds to a vertex and a  $k$ -arc of  $H$ , respectively. Note that our  $k$ -hypertournament matrices are defined in transposed form of the incidence matrices introduced in Bang and Sharp [4].

In a  $k$ -hypertournament on the vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , the *score*  $s_i$  of a vertex  $v_i$  is defined to be the number of  $k$ -arcs which contain  $v_i$  not as the last element and the *losing score*  $r_i$  of  $v_i$  to be the number of  $k$ -arcs containing  $v_i$  as the last element. The incidence matrix of a  $k$ -hypertournament defined in this way, though

not telling the orientation of  $k$ -arcs, distinguishes the last element of each arc and provides the information on the score and the losing score of every vertex. Note that a  $k$ -hypertournament matrix corresponds to  $\binom{n}{k}(k-1)!$   $k$ -hypertournaments. The following are some properties of  $k$ -hypertournament matrices.

Let  $H$  be a  $k$ -hypertournament on vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  and  $M$  the corresponding  $k$ -hypertournament matrix.

- (1) The matrix  $M$  is a  $(1, 0, -1)$ -matrix, and each row and column respectively corresponds to each vertex and  $k$ -arc of  $H$ .
- (2) Since each column of  $M$  stands for a  $k$ -arc, it contains exactly  $k-1$  1's, one  $-1$ , and  $n-k$  0's.
- (3) Let  $s_i$  be the score of vertex  $v_i$  of  $H$ . Then  $s_i$  is the number of 1's in row  $i$  of  $M$ . Similarly, let  $r_i$  be the losing score of  $v_i$ . Then it is the number of  $-1$ 's in the  $i$ th row of  $M$ . Also, for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $s_i + r_i = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$  is the number of  $k$ -arcs containing  $v_i$ , that is, the number of nonzeros in the  $i$ th row.
- (4)  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i$  is the sum of the losing scores of all vertices. This is equal to the total number of  $-1$ 's in the matrix  $M$ , which is equal to the number of columns  $\binom{n}{k}$  of  $M$  since each column contains one and only one  $-1$ .
- (5) The score sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n s_i$  is the total number of 1's in the matrix  $M$ , which is  $(k-1)$  times the number of columns of  $M$ , and so  $(k-1)\binom{n}{k}$ . And  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i + \sum_{i=1}^n s_i = k\binom{n}{k}$  standing for the number of nonzero entries of  $M$ .
- (6) The column sum vector of  $M$  is given as the transpose of

$$(1, 1, \dots, 1)M = (k-2, k-2, \dots, k-2),$$

i.e.,  $M^T \mathbf{1} = (k-2) \mathbf{1}$ , where  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$ .

$$(7) M\mathbf{1} = \begin{bmatrix} s_1 - r_1 \\ s_2 - r_2 \\ \vdots \\ s_n - r_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ is the row sum vector of } M.$$

### 3. SCORE SEQUENCES

In this section, we employ the idea of Bang and Sharp [2] in their proof of Landau's theorem to prove a necessary and sufficient condition for a nonnegative sequence to

be a score or a losing score sequence for a  $k$ -hypertournament matrix, and hence for a  $k$ -hypertournament.

**Proposition 1** (Landau's Theorem [6]). *Given a sequence of nonnegative integers,  $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \dots \leq s_n$ , there exists a tournament matrix  $M$  such that  $s = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)^T = M\mathbf{1}$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{i=1}^l s_i \geq \binom{l}{2} \quad \text{for } l = 1, \dots, n,$$

and the equality holds when  $l = n$ .

Bang and Sharp [2] used Hall's theorem about systems of distinct representatives for a collection of sets. Given a collection of sets  $A_1, \dots, A_r$ , a system of distinct representatives of the collection is defined as a system of distinct elements  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i$  such that  $a_i \in A_i$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

**Lemma 2** (Hall's Theorem [6]). *The set  $A_1, \dots, A_r$  possess a system of distinct representatives if and only if, for each  $m \leq r$ ,*

$$|A_{i_1} \cup A_{i_2} \cup \dots \cup A_{i_m}| \geq m$$

for any  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m\} \subset \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ .

**Theorem 3.** *A nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative integers  $0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$  is the losing score sequence of a  $k$ -hypertournament  $H$  if and only if it satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=1}^l r_i \geq \binom{l}{k} \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and the equality holds when  $l = n$ .

*Proof.* We follow the proof of Bang and Sharp [2] (see also Ree and Koh [6]) for score sequences of tournaments.

For the necessity, if  $l < k$  then clearly  $\sum_{i=1}^l r_i \geq \binom{l}{k} = 0$ . Assume that  $l \geq k$ . Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l$  be the vertices with losing scores  $r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l$ . Then the induced  $k$ -hypertournament  $H_l$  on the  $l$  vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l$  is contained in  $H$  whose vertex set is  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Each vertex  $v_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$  is possibly the loser, or the last element, of some arcs containing some of the vertices  $v_j$ 's for  $l+1 \leq j \leq n$ . That is, for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , the losing score of  $v_i$  in  $H_l$  is less than or equal to  $r_i$ . Since the losing score sum of  $H_l$  is  $\binom{l}{k}$  by property 4,  $\sum_{i=1}^l r_i \geq \binom{l}{k}$  and the losing score sum of  $H$  is  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_i = \binom{n}{k}$ .

For the sufficiency, let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  be pairwise disjoint sets with  $|X_i| = r_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Consider these  $n$  sets as the vertices of a complete  $k$ -hypergraph on  $n$  vertices.

Define the orientation of each  $k$ -edge  $\{X_{i_1}, X_{i_2}, \dots, X_{i_k}\}$  as follows. Form the  $\binom{n}{k}$  set

$$F = \{ X_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup X_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \}.$$

For  $1 \leq l \leq \binom{n}{k}$ , consider the union of any  $l$  members of  $F$ . Let  $I$  denote the set of distinct subscripts of the  $X_i$ 's that make up these  $l$  members of  $F$ . Note that the index set  $I$  can be made from at most  $\binom{|I|}{k}$  distinct members of  $F$ , i.e.,  $l \leq \binom{|I|}{k}$ .

From the assumption that  $r_i$ 's are nondecreasing and  $\sum_{i=1}^{|I|} r_i \geq \binom{|I|}{k}$ , the following inequalities are derived.

$$\left| \bigcup_{i \in I} X_i \right| = \sum_{i \in I} |X_i| = \sum_{i \in I} r_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^{|I|} r_i \geq \binom{|I|}{k} \geq l.$$

So by Hall's Theorem,  $F$  has  $\binom{n}{k}$  distinct representatives from the union of the members of  $F$  so that each set of  $F$  contains one of the representatives.

Orient a  $k$ -edge  $\{X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_k}\}$  to form a  $k$ -arc so that  $X_{i_j}$  is the last element in this arc if and only if the representative of the member  $X_{i_1} \cup \dots \cup X_{i_k}$  in  $F$  is in  $X_{i_j}$ . Since both of the number of representatives and  $|X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n| = \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i$  are  $\binom{n}{k}$ , each element of  $X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_n$  appears exactly once as a representative, i.e., the losing score of  $X_i$  is  $|X_i| = r_i$ . Hence, we obtain a  $k$ -hypertournament with losing score sequence  $0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2 \leq \dots \leq r_n$ . □

**Corollary 4.** *A nonincreasing sequence of nonnegative integers  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_n \geq 0$  is a score sequence of a  $k$ -hypertournament  $H$  if and only if it satisfies*

$$\sum_{i=1}^l s_i \leq l \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{l}{k} \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and the equality holds when  $l = n$ .

If we arrange the score sequence in nondecreasing order, then we obtain the same inequalities for  $s_i$  as in Zhou, Yao and Zhang [7]:

$$\sum_{i=1}^l s_i \geq l \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-l}{k} - \binom{n}{k} \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and the equality holds when  $l = n$ .

**Corollary 5.** Sequences  $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \cdots \leq s_n$  and  $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \cdots \geq r_n \geq 0$  are the score and losing score sequences of a  $k$ -hypertournament if and only if they satisfy

$$s_i + r_i = \binom{n-1}{k-1}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^l s_i \geq l \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-l}{k} - \binom{n}{k}$$

$$\text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^l r_i \leq \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-l}{k}$$

for  $1 \leq l \leq n$ , and the equalities hold when  $l = n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{s}_j = s_{n-j+1}$  and  $\tilde{r}_j = r_{n-j+1}$ . Then the sequences  $\tilde{s}_j$  and  $\tilde{r}_j$  satisfy the conditions in Theorem 3 and Corollary 4. So using  $k \binom{n}{k} = n \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^l s_j &= \sum_{j=1}^l \tilde{s}_{n-j+1} \\ &= (k-1) \binom{n}{k} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} \tilde{s}_i \\ &\geq n \binom{n-1}{k-1} - \binom{n}{k} - (n-l) \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-l}{k} \\ &= l \binom{n-1}{k-1} + \binom{n-l}{k} - \binom{n}{k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^l r_j = \sum_{j=1}^l \tilde{r}_{n-j+1} = \binom{n}{k} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-l} \tilde{r}_i \leq \binom{n}{k} - \binom{n-l}{k},$$

where  $1 \leq l \leq n$ , and equalities hold if  $l = n$ . □

Given a nonincreasing sequence of integers, we also get a condition for the existence of a  $k$ -hypertournament matrix having the given sequence as the elements of its row sum vector.

**Corollary 6.** A nonincreasing sequence of integers  $\{t_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  is the elements of the row sum vector of a  $k$ -hypertournament matrix  $M$  on  $n$  vertices if and only if  $t_i$  has the same parity as that of  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and

$$\sum_{i=1}^l t_i \leq l \binom{n-1}{k-1} - 2 \binom{l}{k} \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

and the equality holds when  $l = n$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n t_i = n \binom{n-1}{k-1} - 2 \binom{n}{k} = (k-2) \binom{n}{k}.$$

## REFERENCES

1. I. Anderson: *Combinatorics of Finite Sets*. Oxford Science Publications. Oxford University Press, New York, 1987. MR **89b**:05001
2. C. M. Bang and H. Sharp, Jr.: Score vectors of tournaments. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **26** (1979), no. 1, 81–84. MR **80d**:05025
3. C. Berge: *Graphs and hypergraphs*. Translated from the French by E. Miniéka. North-Holland Mathematical Library, v. 6. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London, 1973. MR **50#**9640
4. R. Brualdi and H. Ryser: *Combinatorial Matrix Theory*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 39. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991. MR **93a**:05087
5. S. Ree and Y. Koh: *On Bipartite Tournament and Hypertournament Matrices*. Preprint.
6. K. B. Reid: *Tournaments: Scores, Kings, Generalizations and Special Topics*. Preprint.
7. G. Zhou, T. Yao and K. Zhang: On score sequences of  $k$ -hypertournaments. *European J. Combin.* **21** (2000), no. 8, 993–1000. MR **2001k**:05153

(Y. KOH) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SUWON, SUWON P. O. BOX 77, GYEONGGI-DO, 440-600, KOREA

*E-mail address*: ymkoh@mail.suwon.ac.kr

(S. REE) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SUWON, SUWON P. O. BOX 77, GYEONGGI-DO, 440-600, KOREA

*E-mail address*: swree@mail.suwon.ac.kr