

## A NOTE ON STRONG REDUCEDNESS IN NEAR-RINGS

YONG UK CHO

ABSTRACT. Let  $N$  be a right near-ring.  $N$  is said to be *strongly reduced* if, for  $a \in N$ ,  $a^2 \in N_c$  implies  $a \in N_c$ , or equivalently, for  $a \in N$  and any positive integer  $n$ ,  $a^n \in N_c$  implies  $a \in N_c$ , where  $N_c$  denotes the constant part of  $N$ .

We will show that strong reducedness is equivalent to condition (ii) of Reddy and Murty's property (\*) (cf. [Reddy & Murty: On strongly regular near-rings. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* (2) **27** (1984), no. 1, 61–64]), and that condition (i) of Reddy and Murty's property (\*) follows from strong reducedness. Also, we will investigate some characterizations of strongly reduced near-rings and their properties. Using strong reducedness, we characterize left strongly regular near-rings and  $(P_0)$ -near-rings.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we will work with right near-rings. For notations and basic concepts, we shall refer to Pilz [7].

Let  $N$  be a right near-ring.  $N$  is said to be *left strongly regular* if for all  $a \in N$  there exists  $x \in N$  such that  $a = xa^2$ . Right strong regularity is defined in a symmetric way. Mason [4] introduced these notions and characterized left strongly regular zero-symmetric unital near-rings. Several authors (cf. Hongan [2], Mason [5], Murty [6] and Reddy & Murty [8]) have studied them. In particular, Reddy & Murty [8] extended some results in Mason [4] to the non-zero symmetric case. They observed that every left strongly regular near-ring has an interesting property. In this paper, we consider the property (it is called *Reddy and Murty's property (\*)*) in Reddy & Murty [8]:

- (i) For any  $a, b \in N$ ,  $ab = 0$  implies  $ba = b0$ .
- (ii) For  $a \in N$ ,  $a^3 = a^2$  implies  $a^2 = a$ .

---

Received by the editors April 29, 2003 and, in revised form, August 18, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Y30.

*Key words and phrases.* left regular near-rings, strongly reduced near-rings, left  $\pi$ -regular near-rings,  $(P_0)$ -near-rings.

Let  $N_c$  denote the constant part of  $N$ , that is,  $N_c = \{a \mid a = a0, a \in N\}$ .

Now we define a new concept for near-rings, that is, a near-ring  $N$  is said to be *strongly reduced* if, for  $a \in N$ ,  $a^2 \in N_c$  implies  $a \in N_c$ .

Recall that a near-ring  $N$  is reduced if, for  $a \in N$ ,  $a^2 = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ . As we shall show later, a strongly reduced near-ring  $N$  is reduced. We will show that strong reducedness is equivalent to condition (ii) of Reddy and Murty's property (\*) and condition (i) of Reddy and Murty's property (\*) follows from strong reducedness. Consequently, we see that condition (i) of Reddy and Murty's property (\*) is not needed.

Left or right strongly regular near-rings form one of the important classes of strongly reduced near-rings. We will investigate some properties of strongly reduced near-rings. Using strong reducedness, we characterize left strongly regular near-rings and  $(P_0)$ -near-rings.

## 2. RESULTS

A subnear-ring  $H$  of a near-ring  $N$  is said to be *left invariant* if  $NH \subseteq H$ , *right invariant* if  $HN \subseteq H$  and *invariant* if it is both left and right invariant. For a subset  $S$  of  $N$ ,

$$\langle S \mid, \mid S \rangle \text{ and } \langle S \rangle$$

(*resp.*) stand for the left invariant, right invariant and invariant (*resp.*) subnear-rings of  $N$  generated by  $S$ . For any element  $a \in N$ ,

$$\langle a \mid, \mid a \rangle \text{ and } \langle a \rangle$$

(*resp.*) are called *the principal left invariant, principal right invariant and principal invariant (resp.)* subnear-rings of  $N$  generated by  $a$ .

There are slightly generalized new concepts of left strong regularity and right strong regularity. A near-ring  $N$  is said to be *quasi left strongly regular* if  $a \in \langle a^2 \mid$  for each  $a \in N$ , *quasi right strongly regular* if  $a \in \mid a^2 \rangle$  for each  $a \in N$ .

There are lots of quasi left (*resp.* right) strongly regular near-rings which are not left (*resp.* right) strongly regular.

First, we introduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *We have the following properties.*

- (1) *The direct product of strongly reduced near-rings is strongly reduced.*

- (2) *Every subnear-ring of a strongly reduced near-ring is strongly reduced.*  
 (3) *Every homomorphic image of a strongly reduced constant near-ring is strongly reduced.*

*Proof.* (3) A constant near-ring is strongly reduced, and the homomorphic image of a constant near-ring is constant.  $\square$

Now we give some sufficient conditions for quasi left strongly regular near-rings or quasi right strongly regular near-rings to be strongly reduced.

**Proposition 1.** *We have the following properties.*

- (1) *All quasi left strongly regular near-rings and quasi right strongly regular near-rings are strongly reduced. In particular, right or left strongly regular near-rings are strongly reduced.*  
 (2) *Every integral near-ring  $N$  is strongly reduced. Hence a subdirect product of integral near-rings is strongly reduced.*

*Proof.* (1) Note that the constant part  $N_c$  is an invariant subnear-ring of  $N$ . Suppose  $N$  is a quasi left strongly regular near-ring. Then  $a \in \langle a^2 \rangle$  for each  $a \in N$ . If  $a^2 \in N_c$  then  $a \in \langle a^2 \rangle \subseteq N_c$ . Hence  $N$  is strongly reduced. Similarly, all quasi right strongly regular near-rings are strongly reduced.

(2) Let  $a \in N$  with  $a^2 \in N_c$ . Then  $(a - a^2)a = 0$ , and hence  $a = a^2 \in N_c$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *If  $N$  is a unital quasi left strongly regular near-ring, then every completely prime ideal is maximal.*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a completely prime ideal which is not maximal, so suppose that  $P \subsetneq M$  for some maximal  $M$ . Let  $a \in M \setminus P$ . Since  $N$  is quasi left strongly regular, we see that  $a = a^2$  or  $a = xa^2$  for some  $x \in N$ . Then  $0 = (1 - a)a$  or  $0 = (1 - xa)a$ . Since  $P$  is completely prime,  $1 - a \in P \subseteq M$  or  $1 - xa \in P \subseteq M$ . In any case,  $1 \in M$ , this is a contradiction.  $\square$

From now on, we consider on strongly reduced near-rings and left strongly regular near-rings. Now, we state some basic and useful properties of a strongly reduced near-ring.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $N$  be a strongly reduced near-ring and let  $a, b \in N$ . Then we have the following properties.*

- (1)  *$N$  is reduced.*

- (2) If  $ab^n \in N_c$  for any positive integer  $n$ , then  $\{ab, ba\} \cup aNb \cup bNa \subseteq N_c$ . In particular,  $ab \in N_c$  implies  $ba \in N_c$ ,  $aNb \subseteq N_c$  and  $bNa \subseteq N_c$ .
- (3) If  $ab^n = 0$  for any positive integer  $n$ , then  $ab = 0$  and  $ba = b0$ . In particular,  $ab = 0$  implies  $ba = b0$ , that is,  $N$  has condition (i) of Reddy and Murty's property (\*).

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $a^2 = 0$ . Then  $a^2 \in N_c$ , hence  $a \in N_c$ . Then we see  $a = a0 = a0a = aa = 0$ .

(2) First, suppose  $ab \in N_c$ . Then  $(ba)^2 = baba = bab0a = bab0 \in N_c$ . Since  $N$  is strongly reduced, we have  $ba \in N_c$ . Then we obtain  $xba \in N_c$  for each  $x \in N$ , whence  $(axb)^2 \in N_c$ . By the strong reducibility of  $N$ , we obtain  $axb \in N_c$  for each  $x \in N$ . Since  $ba \in N_c$ , we also obtain  $bNa \subseteq N_c$ . Now suppose  $ab^n \in N_c$ . Then  $(ab)^n \in N_c$  by the above argument. Since  $N$  is strongly reduced, this implies  $ab \in N_c$ . Hence by the first paragraph, the claim is proved.

(3) If  $ab^n = 0$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , then  $ab \in N_c$  by (2). Hence  $ab = abb^{n-1} = ab^n = 0$ . Then  $(ba)^2 = baba = b0 \in N_c$ . Hence  $ba \in N_c$ . Therefore  $(ba)^2 - ba \in N_c$ . Then  $(ba)^2 - ba = \{(ba)^2 - ba\}b = babab - bab = b0 - b0 = 0$ . Hence we obtain  $ba = (ba)^2 = b0$ .  $\square$

Clearly, if  $N$  is a zero-symmetric near-ring, then  $N$  is strongly reduced if and only if  $N$  is reduced. The following example shows that, in general, a reduced near-ring is not necessarily strongly reduced.

*Example 1.* Let  $N = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  be an additive group of integers modulo 6 and multiplication as follows (see Pilz [7] for near-rings of low order;  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  No. 32):

·	0	1	2	3	4	5
0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	4	4	4	1	4	1
2	2	2	2	2	2	2
3	0	0	0	3	0	3
4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	2	2	2	5	2	5

Clearly, this near-ring  $N$  is reduced. The constant part of  $N$  is  $\{0, 2, 4\}$ . We see that this near-ring  $N$  is not strongly reduced, because  $1^2 = 4$  is a constant element but 1 is not a constant element. On the other hand, this near-ring  $N$  is an example of  $\pi$ -regular but not a regular near-ring.

*Example 2.* Let  $V = \{0, a, b, c\}$  be Klein's four group under addition.

(1) We define multiplication as follows (see Pilz [7] near-rings of low order; V No. 20):

·	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	a	a	a
b	0	a	b	c
c	a	0	c	b

The constant part of this near-ring is  $\{0, a\}$ . Clearly, this near-ring is reduced and strongly reduced.

(2) We have multiplication table as follows (see Pilz [7] near-rings of low order; V No. 19):

·	0	a	b	c
0	0	0	0	0
a	a	a	a	a
b	0	0	0	b
c	a	a	a	c

The constant part of this near-ring is  $\{0, a\}$ . Obviously, this near-ring is not reduced, for  $b^2 = 0$ ; and it is also not strongly reduced.

Now we consider polynomial near-rings over commutative unital rings and polynomial near-rings on groups (cf. Lausch & Nöbauer [3, §8.11 and §9.11], Pilz [7, §7.61]). Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity 1,  $G$  an additive group,  $x$  an indeterminate variable,  $R[x]$  the set of all polynomials over  $R$  and

$$G[x] = \{a_0 + n_1x + a_1 + n_2x + a_2 + \dots + a_{t-1} + n_t x + a_t \mid t \in \mathbb{N}_0, a_i \in G, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}^* \text{ and } a_1 \neq 0, a_2 \neq 0, \dots, a_{t-1} \neq 0\}.$$

Then  $(R[x], +, \circ)$  and  $(G[x], +, \circ)$  are near-rings with unity  $x$  respectively, where  $\circ$  is substitution. In this case, we say that  $R[x]$  is a polynomial near-ring over  $R$  and  $G[x]$  is a polynomial near-ring on  $G$ . We see that

$$(R[x])_c = R \text{ and } (R[x])_0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \right\},$$

so that  $R[x] = (R[x])_c + (R[x])_0$ .

Next, for any  $f(x) \in R[x]$ , the map  $f : R \rightarrow R$  given by  $a \rightsquigarrow f(x) \circ a = f(a)$  is called the *polynomial function induced by  $f(x)$* . We let  $P(R) = \{f \mid f(x) \in R[x]\}$  be the set of all polynomial functions on  $R$ . Similarly, one can define  $f$  for  $f(x) \in G[x]$  and let  $P(G)$  be the set of all polynomial functions on  $G$ . It is well known that

$P(R)$  and  $P(G)$  are subnear-rings of  $M(R)$  (resp.  $M(G)$ ), and they are called the near-rings of polynomial functions on  $R$  (resp. on  $G$ ) (cf. Pilz [7, §7.65 and §7.66]).

*Example 3.* Consider the group  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, +)$  and the commutative ring  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, +, \cdot)$ . The two kinds of near-rings (see Pilz [7] for near-rings of low order;  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  No. 2 and  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  No. 3) on a group  $(\mathbb{Z}_2, +)$  are strongly reduced, and  $\mathbb{Z}_2[x]$  and  $P(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \{0, 1, x, x + 1\}$  are strongly reduced.

*Example 4.* The four kinds of near-rings (see Pilz [7] for near-rings of low order;  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  No. 8,  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  No. 9,  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  No. 10 and  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  No. 11) on a group  $(\mathbb{Z}_4, +)$  are strongly reduced. However,  $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$  and  $P(\mathbb{Z}_4) = \{0, 1, x, 2x, \dots\}$  are not strongly reduced.

We give equivalent conditions for a near-ring  $N$  to be strongly reduced.

**Theorem 1.** *The following statements are equivalent for a near-ring  $N$ :*

- (1)  $N$  is strongly reduced.
- (2) For  $a \in N$ ,  $a^3 = a^2$  implies  $a^2 = a$ , that is,  $N$  has condition (ii) of Reddy and Murty's property (\*).
- (3) If  $a^{n+1} = xa^{n+1}$  for  $a, x \in N$  and some nonnegative integer  $n$ , then  $a = xa = ax$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Suppose  $a^{n+1} = xa^{n+1}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . We will show  $a = xa = ax$ . If  $n = 0$ , then immediately  $a = xa$ . Now  $(a - ax)a = a^2 - axa = a^2 - a^2 = 0 \in N_c$ . Hence  $(a - ax)^2 = a(a - ax) - ax(a - ax) \in N_c$  by property (2) of Proposition 3, and so  $a - ax \in N_c$ . Therefore  $a - ax = (a - ax)a = 0$ . If  $n \geq 1$ , then  $(a - xa)a^n = 0$ . Hence  $(a - xa)a = 0$  by property (3) of Proposition 3, and so  $(a - xa)^2 \in N_c$  by property (2) of Proposition 3. Since  $N$  is strongly reduced, we have  $a - xa \in N_c$ . Then  $a - xa = (a - xa)a = 0$ , that is  $a = xa$ . Obviously as above  $a = ax$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). This is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Assume  $a^2 \in N_c$ . Then  $a^3 = a^2a = a^2$ . By condition (2), this implies  $a = a^2 \in N_c$ .  $\square$

Left strongly regular near-rings has been studied by several authors (cf. Lausch & Nöbauer [3], Mason [4, 5], Murty [6], Reddy & Murty [8], etc.) Since all left strongly regular near-rings are strongly reduced, the following is a generalization of Reddy & Murty [8, Theorem 3].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $N$  be a strongly reduced near-ring and let  $a, x \in N$ . If  $a^n = xa^{n+1}$  for some positive integer  $n$ , then  $a = xa^2 = axa$  and  $ax = xa$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $a^n = xa^{n+1}$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . By condition (3) of Theorem 1,  $a = xa^2 = axa$ . Then  $(ax - xa)a = 0$ . Hence, by property (2) of Proposition 3,  $(ax - xa)^2 = ax(ax - xa) - xa(ax - xa) \in N_c$ . Since  $N$  is strongly reduced,  $ax - xa \in N_c$ . Hence  $ax - xa = (ax - xa)a = 0$ .  $\square$

A near-ring  $N$  is said to be *left strongly  $\pi$ -regular* if, for each  $a \in N$ , there exists a positive integer  $n$  and an element  $x \in N$  such that  $a^n = xa^{n+1}$ . This equation is equivalent to  $a^n = ya^{2n}$ , for some  $y \in N$ . Here we give some characterizations of left strongly regular near-rings.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $N$  be a near-ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $N$  is left strongly regular.
- (2)  $N$  is strongly reduced and left strongly  $\pi$ -regular.
- (3) For each  $a \in N$ , there exists  $x, y \in N$  such that  $a = xa^2ya$ .
- (4) For each  $a \in N$ ,  $a \in \langle a^2 \rangle \cap aNa$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3), (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) follow easily from property (1) of Proposition 1 and Lemma 2.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). The hypothesis implies  $N$  is strongly reduced. If  $a = xa^2ya$ , then  $ya = yxa^2(ya)$ . By Theorem 1,  $ya = yayxa^2$ . Thus  $a = xa^2yayxa^2$ . This implies that  $N$  is left strongly regular.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Since  $a \in \langle a^2 \rangle$  for each  $a \in N$ ,  $N$  is strongly reduced by an argument similar to that in the proof for property (1) of Proposition 1. Hence  $N$  satisfies (3) in Theorem 1. Since  $a \in aNa$ , there exists  $x \in N$  such that  $a = axa$ . Hence  $a = (ax)a = a(ax) = a^2x$ . Then we have  $a = axa = (a^2x)xa = a^2x^2a = a^2x^2a^2x^2a$ . (3) holds.  $\square$

A near-ring is said to be *periodic* if, for each  $a \in N$ , there exist distinct positive integers  $m, n$  such that  $a^m = a^n$ . A near-ring  $N$  is called a  $(P_0)$ -near-ring if, for each  $a \in N$ , there exists an integer  $n \geq 1$  such that  $a = a^n$  (see [7, §9.4, p. 289]). Obviously a  $(P_0)$ -near-ring is strongly reduced. Hence the proof of the following corollary follows directly from Lemma 2.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $N$  be a near-ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $N$  is periodic and strongly reduced.
- (2)  $N$  is a  $(P_0)$ -near-ring.

As a special case of this corollary, we have

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $N$  be a finite near-ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $N$  is strongly reduced.
- (2)  $N$  is left strongly regular.
- (3)  $N$  is a  $(P_0)$ -near-ring.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author gratefully acknowledges the kind hospitality he enjoyed at Okayama University in 1999.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. R. Clay: The near-rings on groups of low order. *Math. Z.* **104** (1968), 364–371. MR **37#258**
2. M. Hongan: Note on strongly regular near-rings. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.(2)* **29** (1986), no. 3, 379–381. MR **87k:16040**
3. H. Lausch & W. Nöbauer: *Algebra of Polynomials*, North-Holland Mathematical Library, Vol. 5. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1973. MR **50#2037**
4. G. Mason: Strongly regular near-rings. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.(2)* **23** (1980), no. 1, 27–35. MR **81i:16047**
5. \_\_\_\_\_: A note on strong forms of regularity for nearrings. *Indian J. Math.* **40** (1998), no. 2, 149–153. MR **2000a:16088**
6. C. V. L. N. Murty: Generalized near-fields. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.(2)* **27** (1984), no. 1, 21–24. MR **85c:16054**
7. G. Pilz: *Near-rings*, The theory and its applications, Second edition, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, 23. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1983. MR **85h:16046**
8. Y. V. Reddy & C. V. L. N. Murty: On strongly regular near-rings. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.(2)* **27** (1984), no. 1, 61–64. MR **85c:16055**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SILLA UNIVERSITY, SAN 1-1, GWAEBEOP-DONG, SASANG-GU, BUSAN 617-736, KOREA  
*Email address:* yucho@silla.ac.kr