

## $t$ -INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY SUBGROUPOIDS

HEE WON KANG, KUL HUR, AND JANG HYUN RYOU

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce the concepts of  $t$ -intuitionistic fuzzy products and  $t$ -intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoids. And we study some properties of  $t$ -products and  $t$ -subgroupoids.

### 0. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Zadeh [15] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets. After that time, several researchers Anthony & Sherwood [1], Liu [10], Rosenfeld [11], Sessa [13] have applied the notion of fuzzy sets to group theory. Moreover, Anthony & Sherwood [1] introduced the concept of  $t$ -fuzzy subgroups by using the  $t$ -norm introduced by Schweizer & Sklar [12].

In 1986, Atanassov [2] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Recently, Çoker [5], Çoker & Eş [6], Gürçay, Çoker & Eş [7], Lee & Lee [9] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In 1989, Biswas [4] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and investigated some of its properties. In 2003, Banerjee & Basnet [3] studied intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and ideals using intuitionistic fuzzy sets. Also Hur, Jang & Kang [8] applied the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets to groupoid theory.

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of  $t$ -intuitionistic fuzzy products and  $t$ -intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoids by using the  $t$ -norm. And we investigate some properties of  $t$ -products and  $t$ -subgroupoids.

---

Received by the editors May 15, 2003 and, in revised form, October 22, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20N25.

*Key words and phrases.*  $t$ -intuitionistic fuzzy product,  $t$ -intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoid.

This paper was supported by Woosuk University in 2003.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We will list some concepts and results needed in the later sections.

**Definition 1.1** (Atanassov [2]). Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An *intuitionistic fuzzy set* (in short, *IFS*) on  $X$  is an object having the form

$$A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X\}$$

where the functions  $\mu_A : X \rightarrow I$  and  $\nu_A : X \rightarrow I$  denoted the degree of membership (namely  $\mu_A(x)$ ) and the degree of nonmembership (namely  $\nu_A(x)$ ) of each  $x \in X$  to  $A$ , respectively, and  $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$  for each  $x \in X$ .

For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol  $A = (x, \mu_A, \nu_A)$  or  $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$  for the IFS  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)) : x \in X\}$  (see, Atanassov [2]).

We will denote the set of all the IFSs in  $X$  as  $\text{IFS}(X)$ .

**Definition 1.2** (Atanassov [2]). Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let  $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B)$  be IFSs on  $X$ . Then

- (1)  $A \subset B$  if and all if  $\mu_A \leq \mu_B$  and  $\nu_A \geq \nu_B$ .
- (2)  $A = B$  if and all if  $A \subset B$  and  $B \subset A$ .
- (3)  $A^c = (\nu_A, \mu_A)$ .
- (4)  $A \cap B = (\mu_A \wedge \mu_B, \nu_A \vee \nu_B)$ .
- (5)  $A \cup B = (\mu_A \vee \mu_B, \nu_A \wedge \nu_B)$ .
- (6)  $[ ]A = (\mu_A, 1 - \mu_A)$ ,  $\langle \rangle A = (1 - \nu_A, \nu_A)$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Çoker [5]). Let  $\{A_i\}_{i \in J}$  be an arbitrary family of IFSs in  $X$ , where  $A_i = (\mu_{A_i}, \nu_{A_i})$  for each  $i \in J$ . Then

- (a)  $\bigcap A_i = (\bigwedge \mu_{A_i}, \bigvee \nu_{A_i})$ .
- (b)  $\bigcup A_i = (\bigvee \mu_{A_i}, \bigwedge \nu_{A_i})$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Çoker [5]).  $0_{\sim} = (0, 1)$  and  $1_{\sim} = (1, 0)$ .

**Result 1.1** (Corollary 2.8 in Çoker [5]). Let  $A, B, C, D$  be IFSs in  $X$ . Then

- (1)  $A \subset B$  and  $C \subset D \Rightarrow A \cup C \subset B \cup D$  and  $A \cap C \subset B \cap D$ .
- (2)  $A \subset B$  and  $A \subset C \Rightarrow A \subset B \cap C$ .
- (3)  $A \subset B$  and  $B \subset C \Rightarrow A \cup B \subset C$ .
- (4)  $A \subset B$  and  $B \subset C \Rightarrow A \subset C$ .
- (5)  $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$ ,  $(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$ .

- (6)  $A \subset B \Rightarrow B^c \subset A^c$ .
- (7)  $(A^c)^c = A$ .
- (8)  $1_{\sim}^c = 0$  ,  $0_{\sim}^c = 1_{\sim}$ .

**Definition 1.5** (Hur, Jang & Kang [8]). Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be nonempty sets and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  a mapping. Let  $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$  be an IFS in  $X$  and  $B = (\mu_B, \nu_B)$  be an IFS on  $Y$ . Then

- (a) The *preimage* of  $B$  under  $f$ , denoted by  $f^{-1}(B)$ , is the IFS in  $X$  defined by:

$$f^{-1}(B) = (f^{-1}(\mu_B), f^{-1}(\nu_B)),$$

where  $f^{-1}(\mu_B) = \mu_B \circ f$ .

- (b) The *image* of  $A$  under  $f$ , denoted by  $f(A)$ , is the IFS in  $Y$  defined by:

$$f(A) = (f(\mu_A), f_{-}(\nu_A)),$$

where for each  $y \in Y$

$$f(\mu_A)(y) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \mu_A(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

and

$$f_{-}(\nu_A)(y) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{x \in f^{-1}(y)} \nu_A(x) & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{if } f^{-1}(y) = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.6** (S. J. Lee & E. P. Lee [9]). Let  $\lambda, \mu \in (0, 1]$  and  $\lambda + \mu \leq 1$ . An *intuitionistic fuzzy point* (in short, *IFP*)  $x_{(\lambda, \mu)}$  of  $X$  is the IFS in  $X$  defined by

$$x_{(\lambda, \mu)}(y) = \begin{cases} (\lambda, \mu) & \text{if } y = x, \\ (0, 1) & \text{if } y \neq x \text{ for each } y \in Y. \end{cases}$$

In this case,  $x$  is called the *support* of  $x_{(\lambda, \mu)}$  and  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are called the *value* and *nonvalue* of  $x_{(\lambda, \mu)}$ , respectively.

An IFP  $x_{(\lambda, \mu)}$  is said to *belong* to an IFS  $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$  in  $X$ , denoted by  $x_{(\lambda, \mu)} \in A$ , if  $\lambda \leq \mu_A(x)$  and  $\mu \geq \nu_A(x)$ .

We will denote the set of all IFPs of  $X$  as  $IF_P(X)$ .

**Result 1.2** (Theorem 2.4 in S. J. Lee & E. P. Lee [9]). Let  $A = (\mu_A, \nu_A)$  be an IFS in  $X$ . Then

$$A = \bigcup \{x_{(\lambda, \mu)} \mid x_{(\lambda, \mu)} \in A\}.$$

**Definition 1.7** (Hur, Jang & Kang [8]). Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a groupoid and let  $A, B \in IFS(X)$ . Then the *intuitionistic fuzzy product* of  $A$  and  $B$ ,  $A \circ B$ , is defined as follows: for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$\mu_{A \circ B}(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{yz=x} [\mu_A(y) \wedge \mu_B(z)] & \text{for each } (y, z) \in X \times X \text{ with } yz = x, \\ 0 & \text{for each } (y, z) \in X \times X \text{ with } yz \neq x \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu_{A \circ B}(x) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{yz=x} [\nu_A(y) \vee \nu_B(z)] & \text{for each } (y, z) \in X \times X \text{ with } yz = x, \\ 1 & \text{for each } (y, z) \in X \times X \text{ with } yz \neq x. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1.8** (Hur, Jang & Kang [8]). Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a groupoid and let  $0_{\sim} \neq A \in IFS(G)$ . Then  $A$  is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoid in  $G$*  (in short, *IFGP in  $G$* ) if  $A \circ A \subset A$ .

**Definition 1.8'** (Hur, Jang & Kang [8]). Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a groupoid and let  $A \in IFS(X)$ . Then  $A$  is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoid* (in short, *IFGP*) of  $G$  if for any  $x, y \in G$ ,  $\mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y)$  and  $\nu_A(xy) \leq \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_A(y)$ .

It is clear that  $0_{\sim}$  and  $1_{\sim}$  are both IFGPs of  $G$ .

We will denote the set of all IFGPs of  $G$  as  $IFGP(G)$ .

**Definition 1.9** (Schweizer & Sklar [12]). A *t-norm* is a mapping  $t : I \times I \rightarrow I$  satisfying the following conditions: for any  $x, y, z, u, v \in I$ ,

- (i)  $t(x, y) = t(y, x)$ , i. e.,  $xy = yx$ .
- (ii)  $xt(ytz) = (xty)tz$ .
- (iii) If  $x \leq u$  and  $y \leq v$ , then  $xty \leq utv$ .

In particular, if  $y \leq v$ , then  $xty \leq xtv$ .

- (iv)  $xt1 = x$  and  $xt0 = 0$ .

*t*-norms which are frequently encountered are:

- (a)  $xt_0y = \min\{x, y\}$  for  $x, y \in I$ .
- (a)  $xt_1y = \text{Prod}\{x, y\} = xy$  for  $x, y \in I$ .
- (a)  $xt_2y = \max\{x + y - 1, 0\}$  for  $x, y \in I$ .

**Definition 1.10** (Schweizer & Sklar [12]). A *t-conorm* or *s-norm* is a mapping  $s_t : I \times I \rightarrow I$  defined by: for any  $u, v \in I$ ,  $us_tv = 1 - (1 - u)t(1 - v)$ .

It is clear that  $s_t$  satisfies the following conditions: for any  $x, y, z, u, v \in I$ ,

- (i)  $xs_t y = ys_t x$ .
- (ii)  $xs_t(ys_t z) = (xs_t y)s_t z$ .
- (iii) If  $x \leq u$  and  $y \leq v$ , then  $xs_t y \leq us_t v$ .

In particular, if  $y \leq v$ , then  $xs_t y \leq xs_t v$ .

- (iv)  $xs_t 0 = x$  and  $xs_t 1 = 1$ .

$t$ -conorms corresponding to the above  $t$ -conorms  $t_0, t_1, t_2$  are as follows:

- (a')  $xs_0 y = \max\{x, y\}$  for any  $x, y \in I$ .
- (b')  $xs_1 y = x + y - xy$  for any  $x, y \in I$ .
- (c')  $xs_2 y = \min\{1, x + y\}$  for any  $x, y \in I$ .

## 2. $t$ -INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY PRODUCTS

In this paper,  $X$  always denotes a nonempty set and  $s_t$  denotes the dual of  $t$ -norm  $t$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a groupoid and let  $A, B \in \text{IFS}(X)$ . Then the *intuitionistic fuzzy product* of  $A$  and  $B$  under  $t$ -norm  $t$  (in short,  *$t$ -intuitionistic fuzzy product* of  $A$  and  $B$ ),  $A \circ_t B$ , is defined as follows: for any  $x \in X$ ,

$$\mu_{A \circ_t B}(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{yz=x} [\mu_A(y)t\mu_B(z)] & \text{for each } (y, z) \in X \times X \text{ with } yz = x, \\ 0 & \text{for each } (y, z) \in X \times X \text{ with } yz \neq x \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu_{A \circ_t B}(x) = \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{yz=x} [\nu_A(y)s_t\nu_B(z)] & \text{for each } (y, z) \in X \times X \text{ with } yz = x, \\ 1 & \text{for each } (y, z) \in X \times X \text{ with } yz \neq x. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $A \circ_t B \in \text{IFS}(X)$ , i. e.,  $(\text{IFS}(X), \circ_t)$  is groupoid.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let " $\circ_t$ " be as above, let  $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}, y_{(\alpha', \beta')} \in \text{IF}_P(X)$  and let  $A, B \in \text{IFS}(X)$ . Then:

- (i)  $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \circ_t y_{(\alpha', \beta')} = (xy)_{(\alpha t \alpha', \beta s_t \beta')}$ .
- (ii)  $A \circ_t B = \bigcup_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A, y_{(\alpha', \beta')} \in B} x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \circ_t y_{(\alpha', \beta')}$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $z \in X$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ_t y_{(\alpha',\beta')}}(z) \\ &= \begin{cases} \bigvee_{x'y'=z} [\mu_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)}}(x') t \mu_{y_{(\alpha',\beta')}}(y')] & \text{for each } (x', y') \in X \times X \text{ with } x'y' = z, \\ 0 & \text{for each } (x', y') \in X \times X \text{ with } x'y' \neq z, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \alpha t \alpha' & \text{if } xy = z, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \nu_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ_t y_{(\alpha',\beta')}}(z) \\ &= \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{x'y'=z} [\nu_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)}}(x') s_t \nu_{y_{(\alpha',\beta')}}(y')] & \text{for each } (x', y') \in X \times X \text{ with } x'y' = z, \\ 0 & \text{for each } (x', y') \in X \times X \text{ with } x'y' \neq z, \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \beta s_t \beta' & \text{if } xy = z, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ_t y_{(\alpha',\beta')} = (xy)_{(\alpha t \alpha', \beta s_t \beta')}$ .

(2) Let  $w \in X$  and we may assume that there exist  $u, v \in X$  such that  $uv = w$  and  $\mu_A(u) > 0, \nu_A(u) \neq 1, \mu_B(v) > 0, \nu_B(v) \neq 1$  without loss of generality. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{A \circ_t B}(w) &= \bigvee_{uv=w} [\mu_A(u) t \mu_B(v)] \\ &\geq \bigvee_{uv=w} \bigvee_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A, y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \in B} [\mu_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)}}(u) t \mu_{y_{(\alpha',\beta')}}(v)] \quad (t \text{ is increasing on } I) \\ &= \mu_C(w), \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$C = \bigcup_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A, y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \in B} x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ_t y_{(\alpha',\beta')}.$$

Since  $u_{(\mu_A(u), \nu_A(u))} \in A$  and  $v_{(\mu_B(v), \nu_B(v))} \in B$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_C(w) &= \bigvee_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A, y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \in B} \bigvee_{uv=w} [\mu_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)}}(u) t \mu_{y_{(\alpha',\beta')}}(v)] \\ &= \bigvee_{uv=w} \left( \bigvee_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A, y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \in B} [\mu_{x_{(\alpha,\beta)}}(u) t \mu_{y_{(\alpha',\beta')}}(v)] \right) \\ &\geq \bigvee_{uv=w} [\mu_{u_{(\mu_A(u), \nu_A(u))}}(u) t \mu_{v_{(\mu_B(v), \nu_B(v))}}(v)] \\ &= \bigvee_{uv=w} [\mu_A(u) t \mu_B(v)] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \mu_{A \circ_t B}(w).$$

Thus  $\mu_{A \circ_t B} = \mu_C$ . On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{A \circ_t B}(w) &= \bigwedge_{uv=w} [\nu_A(u) s_t \nu_B(v)] \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{uv=w, x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A, y_{(\alpha', \beta')} \in B} [\nu_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}(u) s_t \nu_{y_{(\alpha', \beta')}}(v)] \text{ (} s_t \text{ is increasing on } I \text{)} \\ &= \nu_C(w) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_C(w) &= \bigwedge_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A, y_{(\alpha', \beta')} \in B} \bigwedge_{uv=w} [\nu_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}(u) s_t \nu_{y_{(\alpha', \beta')}}(v)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{uv=w} \left( \bigwedge_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A, y_{(\alpha', \beta')} \in B} [\nu_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)}}(u) s_t \nu_{y_{(\alpha', \beta')}}(v)] \right) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{uv=w} [\nu_{\mu_A(u), \nu_A(u)}(u) s_t \nu_{\mu_B(v), \nu_B(v)}(v)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{uv=w} [\nu_A(u) s_t \nu_B(v)] \\ &= \nu_{A \circ_t B}(w). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\nu_{A \circ_t B} = \nu_C$ . Hence

$$A \circ_t B = \bigcup_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A, y_{(\alpha', \beta')} \in B} x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \circ_t y_{(\alpha', \beta')}.$$

□

*Remark 2.1.* Proposition 2.2 is the generalization of Proposition 2.2 in Hur, Jang & Kang [8]:

- (1)  $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \circ y_{(\alpha', \beta')} = (xy)_{(\alpha \wedge \alpha', \beta \vee \beta')}$ .
- (2)  $A \circ B = \bigcup_{x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in A, y_{(\alpha', \beta')} \in B} x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \circ y_{(\alpha', \beta')}$ .

The following is the immediate result of Definition 2.1.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a groupoid and let “ $\circ_t$ ” be as above.*

- (1) *If “ $\cdot$ ” is associative [resp. commutative] in  $X$ , then so is “ $\circ_t$ ” in  $\text{IFS}(X)$ .*
- (2) *If “ $\cdot$ ” is commutative in  $X$ , then so is “ $\circ_t$ ” in  $\text{IFS}(X)$ .*
- (3) *If “ $\cdot$ ” has a unity  $e \in X$ , then  $e_{(1,0)} \in \text{IFP}(X)$  is a unity of “ $\circ_t$ ” in  $\text{IFS}(X)$ , i.e.,  $A \circ_t e_{(1,0)} = A = e_{(1,0)} \circ_t A$  for each  $A \in \text{IFS}(X)$ .*

*Remark 2.2.* Proposition 2.3 is generalization of Hur, Jang & Kang [8, Proposition 2.3]: Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a groupoid.

- (1) If “ $\cdot$ ” is associative [*resp.* commutative] in  $X$ , then so is “ $\circ$ ” in  $\text{IFS}(X)$ .
- (2) If “ $\cdot$ ” has a unity  $e \in X$ , then  $e_{(1,0)} \in \text{IFP}(X)$  is a unity of “ $\circ$ ” in  $\text{IFS}(X)$ , i. e.,  $A \circ e_{(1,0)} = A = e_{(1,0)} \circ A$  for each  $A \in \text{IFS}(X)$ .

### 3. $t$ -INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY SUBGROUPOIDS

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a groupoid and let  $0_{\sim} \neq A \in \text{IFS}(X)$ . Then  $A$  is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoid in  $X$  under a  $t$ -norm  $t$*  (in short,  *$t$ -IFGP in  $X$* ) if  $A \circ_t A \subset A$ .

It is clear that  $0_{\sim}$  and  $1_{\sim}$  are both  $t$ -IFGPs in  $X$ .

The followings are the immediate results of Definition 2.1 and Definition 3.1.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a groupoid and let  $0_{\sim} \neq A \in \text{IFS}(X)$ . Then the followings are equivalent:

- (1)  $A$  is a  $t$ -IFGP in  $X$ .
- (2) For any  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \in A$ ,  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ_t y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \in A$ , i. e.,  $(A, \circ_t)$  is a groupoid.
- (3) For any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(x)t\mu_A(y)$  and  $\nu_A(xy) \leq \nu_A(x)s_t\nu_A(y)$ .

*Remark 3.1.* Proposition 3.2 is a generalization of Hur, Jang & Kang [8, Proposition 3.2]:

- (1)  $A$  is an IFGP in  $X$ .
- (2) For any  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \in A$ ,  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \in A$ , i. e.,  $(A, \circ)$  is a groupoid.
- (3) For any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_A(y)$  and  $\nu_A(xy) \leq \nu_A(x) \vee \nu_A(y)$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $A$  be a  $t$ -IFGP in a groupoid  $(X, \cdot)$ .

- (1) If “ $\cdot$ ” is associative in  $X$ , then so is “ $\circ_t$ ” in  $A$ , i. e., for any  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, y_{(\alpha',\beta')}, z_{(\alpha'',\beta'')} \in A$ ,  $(x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ_t y_{(\alpha',\beta')}) \circ_t z_{(\alpha'',\beta'')} = x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ_t (y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \circ_t z_{(\alpha'',\beta'')})$ .
- (2) If “ $\cdot$ ” is commutative in  $X$ , then so is “ $\circ_t$ ” in  $A$ , i. e., for any  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \in A$ ,  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ_t y_{(\alpha',\beta')} = y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \circ_t x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ .
- (3) If “ $\cdot$ ” has a unity  $e \in X$ , then  $e_{(1,0)} \circ_t x_{(\alpha,\beta)} = x_{(\alpha,\beta)} = x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ_t e_{(1,0)}$  for each  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A$ .

*Remark 3.2.* Proposition 3.3 is the generalization of Hur, Jang & Kang [8, Proposition 3.3]:



- (1) If “ $\cdot$ ” is associative in  $X$ , then so is “ $\circ$ ” in  $A$ , i. e., for any  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, y_{(\alpha',\beta')}, z_{(\alpha'',\beta'')}$  in  $A$ ,  $(x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ y_{(\alpha',\beta')}) \circ z_{(\alpha'',\beta'')} = x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ (y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \circ z_{(\alpha'',\beta'')})$ .
- (2) If “ $\cdot$ ” is commutative in  $X$ , then so is “ $\circ$ ” in  $A$ , i. e., for any  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}, y_{(\alpha',\beta')}, z_{(\alpha'',\beta'')}$  in  $A$ ,  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ y_{(\alpha',\beta')} = y_{(\alpha',\beta')} \circ x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ .
- (3) If “ $\cdot$ ” has a unity  $e \in X$ , then  $e_{(1,0)} \circ x_{(\alpha,\beta)} = x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \circ e_{(1,0)}$  for each  $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A$ .

From Proposition 3.2, we can define a  $t$ -intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoid of a groupoid  $X$  as follows:

**Definition 3.1'.** Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a groupoid and let  $A \in \text{IFS}(X)$ . Then  $A$  is called  $t$ -intuitionistic fuzzy groupoid (in short,  $t$ -IFGP) of  $X$ , if for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\mu_A(xy) \geq \mu_A(x)t\mu_A(y)$  and  $\nu_A(xy) \leq \nu_A(x)s_t\nu_A(y)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a groupoid and let  $A \subset X$ . Then  $A = (\chi_A, \chi_A^c)$  is a  $t$ -IFGP in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is a subgroupoid of  $X$ .

*Proof.* ( $\implies$ ): Suppose  $A = (\chi_A, \chi_A^c)$  is a  $t$ -IFGP in  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X$ . Then, by Proposition 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_A(xy) &\geq \chi_A(x)t\chi_A(y) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x, y \in A, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin A \text{ or } y \notin A \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and, by Proposition 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_A(xy) &\leq \chi_{A^c}(x)s_t\chi_{A^c}(y) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x, y \in A, \\ 1 & \text{if } x \notin A \text{ or } y \notin A. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $A(xy) = (1, 0)$ . So  $xy \in A$ . Hence  $A$  is a subgroupoid of  $X$ .

( $\impliedby$ ): Suppose  $A$  is a subgroupoid of  $X$  and let  $x, y \in X$ . If  $x, y \in A$ , then  $\chi_A(x) = \chi_A(y) = 1$ . By the hypothesis,  $xy \in A$ . Thus  $A(xy) = (1, 0)$ , i. e.,  $\mu_A(xy) = 1$  and  $\nu_A(xy) = 0$ . So  $\mu_A(xy) \geq \chi_A(x)t\chi_A(y)$  and  $\nu_A(xy) \leq \chi_{A^c}(x)s_t\chi_{A^c}(y)$ . If  $x \notin A$  or  $y \notin A$ , then  $\chi_A(x) = 0$  or  $\chi_A(y) = 0$ . Thus  $\chi_A(x)t\chi_A(y) = 0$  and  $\chi_{A^c}(x)s_t\chi_{A^c}(y) = 1$ . So  $\mu_A(xy) \geq \chi_A(x)t\chi_A(y)$  and  $\nu_A(xy) \leq \chi_{A^c}(x)s_t\chi_{A^c}(y)$ . Hence  $A$  is a  $t$ -IFGP in  $X$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* Proposition 3.4 is the generalization of Hur, Jang & Kang [8, Proposition 3.8]:

Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a groupoid and let  $A \subset X$ . Then  $A = (\chi_A, \chi_{A^c})$  is an IFGP in  $X$  if and only if  $A$  is a subgroupoid of  $X$ .

**Definition 3.5.** A  $t$ -norm  $t$  is said to be *continuous* if  $t : I \times I \rightarrow I$  is continuous with respect to the usual topologies.

It is clear that  $t_0, t_1$  and  $t_2$  are all continuous  $t$ -norms.

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  be any family of  $t$ -IFGPs in a groupoid  $(X, \cdot)$ . If  $t$  is continuous, then  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha$  is a  $t$ -IFGP in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in X$ . Since  $A_\alpha$  is a  $t$ -IFGP in  $X$  for each  $\alpha \in \beta$ ,  $\mu_{A_\alpha}(xy) \geq \mu_{A_\alpha}(x)t\mu_{A_\alpha}(y)$  and  $\nu_{A_\alpha}(xy) \leq \nu_{A_\alpha}(x)s_t\nu_{A_\alpha}(y)$  for each  $\alpha \in \beta$ . Then

$$\mu_{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \beta} A_\alpha}(xy) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(xy) \geq \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} [\mu_{A_\alpha}(x)t\mu_{A_\alpha}(y)]$$

and

$$\nu_{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \beta} A_\alpha}(xy) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \nu_{A_\alpha}(xy) \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} [\nu_{A_\alpha}(x)s_t\nu_{A_\alpha}(y)].$$

Since  $t$  is continuous,  $t$  is continuous at  $(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(x), \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(y))$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $r_1 \geq \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(x) + \delta$  and  $r_2 \geq \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(y) + \delta$ , then  $r_1 t r_2 \geq (\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(x)) t (\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(y)) + \epsilon$ . Let us choose  $\alpha_0 \in \Gamma$  such that  $\mu_{A_{\alpha_0}}(x) \geq \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(x) + \delta$  and  $\mu_{A_{\alpha_0}}(y) \geq \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(y) + \delta$ . Then

$$\mu_{A_{\alpha_0}}(x)t\mu_{A_{\alpha_0}}(y) \geq (\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(x))t(\mu_{A_{\alpha_0}}(y)) + \epsilon.$$

Thus

$$\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} [\mu_{A_{\alpha_0}}(x)t\mu_{A_{\alpha_0}}(y)] \geq (\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mu_{A_\alpha}(x))t(\mu_{A_{\alpha_0}}(y)).$$

So

$$\mu_{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha}(xy) \geq \mu_{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha}(x)t\mu_{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha}(y).$$

Similarly, we have  $\nu_{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha}(xy) \leq \nu_{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha}(x)s_t\nu_{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha}(y)$ . Hence  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha$  is a  $t$ -IFGP in  $X$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* Since  $t_0 = “\wedge”$  is continuous, Proposition 3.6 is a generalization of Hur, Jang & Kang [8, Proposition 3.9]:

If  $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$  is a family of IFGPs in a groupoid  $(X, \cdot)$ , then  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} A_\alpha$  is an IFGP in  $X$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be groupoids and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  an epimorphism. If  $A$  is a  $t$ -IFGP of  $X$  and  $t$  is continuous, then  $f(A)$  is a  $t$ -IFGP of  $Y$ .

*Proof.* By the proof of Anthony & Sherwood [1, Proposition 4],

$$\mu_{f(A)}(y_1y_2) \geq \mu_{f(A)}(y_1)t\mu_{f(A)}(y_2), \text{ for any } y_1, y_2 \in Y.$$

Thus it is enough to show that

$$\nu_{f(A)}(y_1y_2) \leq \nu_{f(A)}(y_1)s_t\nu_{f(A)}(y_2), \text{ for any } y_1, y_2 \in Y.$$

Let  $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ , let  $A_1 = f^{-1}(y_1)$ ,  $A_2 = f^{-1}(y_2)$ ,  $A_{12} = f^{-1}(y_1y_2)$  and let  $A_1A_2 = \{x \in X : x = a_1a_2 \text{ for some } a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2\}$ . Then, by the proof of Anthony & Sherwood [1, Proposition 4], we have  $A_1A_2 \subset A_{12}$ . Since  $A$  is a  $t$ -IFGP of  $X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{f(A)}(y_1y_2) &= f_-(\nu_A)(y_1y_2) \leq \bigwedge_{x \in A_{12}} \nu_A(x) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{x \in A_1A_2} \nu_A(x) \leq \bigwedge_{x_1 \in A_1, x_2 \in A_2} \nu_A(x_1x_2) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{x_1 \in A_1, x_2 \in A_2} [\nu_A(x_1)s_t\nu_A(x_2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $s_t$  is continuous, there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $r_1 \leq \bigwedge_{x_1 \in A_1} \nu_A(x_1) + \delta$  and  $r_2 \leq \bigwedge_{x_2 \in A_2} \nu_A(x_2) + \delta$ , then  $r_1s_tr_2 \leq (\bigwedge_{x_1 \in A_1} \nu_A(x_1))s_t(\bigwedge_{x_2 \in A_2} \nu_A(x_2)) + \epsilon$ . Choose  $a_1 \in A_1$  and  $a_2 \in A_2$  such that  $\nu_A(a_1) \leq \bigwedge_{x_1 \in A_1} \nu_A(x_1) + \delta$  and  $\nu_A(a_2) \leq \bigwedge_{x_2 \in A_2} \nu_A(x_2) + \delta$ . Then:

$$\nu_A(a_1)s_t\nu_A(a_2) \leq (\bigwedge_{x_1 \in A_1} \nu_A(x_1))s_t(\bigwedge_{x_2 \in A_2} \nu_A(x_2)) + \epsilon.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_{f(A)}(y_1y_2) &\leq \bigwedge_{x_1 \in A_1, x_2 \in A_2} [\nu_A(x_1)s_t\nu_A(x_2)] \\ &\leq (\bigwedge_{x_1 \in A_1} \nu_A(x_1))s_t(\bigwedge_{x_2 \in A_2} \nu_A(x_2)) \\ &= \nu_{f(A)}(y_1)s_t\nu_{f(A)}(y_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f(A)$  is a  $t$ -IFGP, of  $Y$ . □

*Remark 3.5.* Since  $t_0 = “\wedge”$  and  $s_0 = “\vee”$  are continuous, without the condition of “having the sup property”, Hur, Jang & Kang [8, Proposition 4.4 (1)] holds.

### REFERENCES

1. J. M. Anthony & H. Sherwood: Fuzzy groups redefined. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **69** (1979), no. 1, 124–130. MR **80f**:20040

2. K. Atanassov: Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **20** (1986), no. 1, 87–96. MR **87f**:03151
3. Baldev Banerjee & Dhiren Kr. Basnet: Intuitionistic fuzzy subrings and ideals. *J. Fuzzy Math.* **11**(2003), no. 1, 139–155. CMP 1963842
4. R. Biswas: Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups. *Notes IFS* **3** (1997), no. 2, 53–60. CMP 1474880
5. D. Çoker: An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **88** (1997), 81–89. MR **97m**:54009
6. D. Çoker & A. H. Eş: On fuzzy compactness in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *J. Fuzzy Math.* **3** (1995), no. 4, 899–909. MR **96j**:54010
7. H. Gürçay, D. Çoker & A. H. Eş: On fuzzy continuity in intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *J. Fuzzy Math.* **5** (1997), no. 2, 365–378. CMP 1457154
8. K. Hur, S. Y. Jang & H. W. Kang: Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoids. *International J. of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems* **3** (2003), no. 1, 72–77.
9. S. J. Lee & E. P. Lee: The category of intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **37**(2000), no. 1, 63–76. CMP 1752195
10. W. J. Liu: Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **8** (1982), no. 2, 133–139. MR **83h**:08007
11. A. Rosenfeld: Fuzzy groups. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **35** (1971), 512–517. MR 43#6355
12. B. Schweizer & A. Sklar: Statistical metric spaces. *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 313–334. MR **22**#5955
13. S. Sessa: On fuzzy subgroups and fuzzy ideals under triangular norms. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **13** (1984), no. 1, 95–100. MR **85j**:20001
14. P. Sivaramakrishna Das: Fuzzy groups and level subgroups. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **84** (1981), no. 1, 264–269. MR **83a**:20052
15. L. A. Zadeh: Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control* **8** (1965), 338–353. MR **36**#2509

(H. W. KANG) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, WOOSUK UNIVERSITY, 490 HUIJONG-RI SAMRAE-EUP, WANJU-KUN, CHONBUK 565-701 KOREA  
*Email address:* khwon@woosuk.ac.kr

(K. HUR) DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATIONAL STATISTICS, INSTITUTE OF BASIC NATURAL SCIENCE, WONKWANG UNIVERSITY, 344-2 SINNYONG-DONG, IKSAN-SI, JEONBUK 570-749, KOREA  
*Email address:* kulhur@wonkwang.ac.kr

(J. H. RYOU) DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATIONAL STATISTICS, INSTITUTE OF BASIC NATURAL SCIENCE, WONKWANG UNIVERSITY, 344-2 SINNYONG-DONG, IKSAN-SI, JEONBUK 570-749, KOREA  
*Email address:* donggni@hanmail.net