

## MINIMAL CLOZ-COVERS OF NON-COMPACT SPACES

CHANG IL KIM

ABSTRACT. Observing that for any dense weakly Lindelöf subspace of a space  $Y$ ,  $X$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded in  $Y$ , we show that for any weakly Lindelöf space  $X$ , the minimal Cloz-cover  $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$  of  $X$  is given by  $E_{cc}(X) = \{(\alpha, x) : \alpha \text{ is a } G(X)\text{-ultrafilter on } X \text{ with } x \in \cap\alpha\}$ ,  $z_X((\alpha, x)) = x$ ,  $z_X$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible and  $E_{cc}(X)$  is a dense subspace of  $E_{cc}(\beta X)$ .

### 1. Introduction

All spaces in this paper are Tychonoff and  $\beta X$  denotes the Stone-Čech compactification of a space  $X$ .

In [5], it is shown that the minimal cloz-cover  $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$  of a compact space  $X$  is characterized as follows:

$E_{cc}(X)$  is the space  $\{(\alpha, x) : \alpha \text{ is a } G(X)\text{-ultrafilter on } X \text{ with } x \in \cap\alpha\}$

which is a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(G(X)) \times X$  and  $z_X((\alpha, x)) = x$ ,

where  $\mathcal{L}(G(X))$  is the ultrafilter-space of  $G(X)$ . In [9] ([4], resp.), a theory of the minimal basically disconnected cover  $(\Lambda X, \Lambda_X)$  (quasi-F cover  $(QF(X), \Phi_X)$ , resp.) of a Tychonoff space  $X$  is developed and the relation between  $\Lambda X$  and  $\Lambda\beta X$  ( $QF(X)$  and  $QF(\beta X)$ , resp.) is explored. In [6], the minimal basically disconnected (quasi-F, resp.) cover of a locally weakly Lindelöf space  $X$  is characterized by the filter space  $\Lambda X = \{\alpha : \alpha \text{ is a fixed } \sigma Z(X)^\#\text{-ultrafilter on } X\}$  ( $QF(X) = \{\alpha : \alpha \text{ is a fixed } Z(X)^\#\text{-ultrafilter on } X\}$ , resp.).

In this paper, we show that every (non-compact) weakly Lindelöf space  $X$  has the minimal cloz-cover  $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$  and that  $E_{cc}(X)$  is characterized by the space  $\{(\alpha, x) : \alpha \text{ is a } G(X)\text{-ultrafilter on } X \text{ with } x \in \cap\alpha\}$  which is a dense subspace of

---

Received by the editors Oct. 29, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject classification*. 54C10, 54D80, 54G05.

*Key words and phrases*. cloz-space, quasi-F space, covering map, minimal cover.

This work was supported by Research Funds from Dankook university.

$E_{cc}(\beta X)$ . Moreover, we find suitable conditions for a space for which the minimal cloz-cover is basically disconnected. For the terminology, we refer to [1] and [7]

## 2. Covering maps and $Z^\#$ -irreducible maps

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous map. Then  $f$  is said to be

- (a) *perfect* if  $f$  is closed and for any  $y \in Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(y)$  is a compact subset in  $X$ ,
- (b) *irreducible* if  $f$  is onto and for any closed set  $A$  in  $X$  with  $A \neq X$ ,  $f(A) \neq Y$ , and
- (c) a *covering map* if  $f$  is a perfect irreducible map.

**Proposition 2.2.** Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ j_1 \downarrow & & j_2 \downarrow \\ W & \xrightarrow{g} & Y, \end{array}$$

where  $f, g$  are continuous maps and  $j_1, j_2$  are dense embeddings. Then we have the following:

- (a) if  $f$  and  $g$  are perfect onto maps, then  $g(W - Z) = Y - X$ ,
- (b) if  $g$  is a covering map and  $f$  is a perfect onto map, then  $f$  is a covering map, and
- (c) if  $W, Y$  are compact spaces and  $f$  is a covering map, then  $g$  is also a covering map.

*proof.* (a) It is trivial ([7]).

(b) Take any closed set  $A$  in  $W$  with  $f(A \cap Z) = X$ . By (a),  $g^{-1}(X) = Z$  and hence  $X = f(A \cap Z) = g(A \cap g^{-1}(X)) = g(A) \cap X$ . Thus  $X \subseteq g(A)$ . Since  $X$  is dense in  $Y$  and  $g(A)$  is closed,  $g(A) = Y$ . Since  $g$  is irreducible,  $A = W$  and so  $A \cap Z = Z$ . Thus  $f$  is irreducible.

(c) Clearly  $g$  is a perfect continuous map. Since  $g(W) = g(\text{cl}_W(Z)) = \text{cl}_Y(g(Z)) = \text{cl}_Y(f(Z)) = \text{cl}_Y(X) = Y$ ,  $g$  is onto. Take any closed set  $A$  in  $W$  with  $A \neq W$ . Then  $A \cap Z \neq Z$  and hence  $f(A \cap Z) \neq X$ . Since  $f(A \cap Z) = g(A) \cap X$  by (a),  $g(A) \cap X \neq X$ ; hence  $g(A) \neq Y$ . Thus  $g$  is irreducible.

**Notation 2.3.** For any space  $X$ , let

(a)  $C(X) = \{f : f : X \longrightarrow R \text{ is a continuous map}\}$  and  $C^*(X) = \{f : f : X \longrightarrow R \text{ is a bounded continuous map}\}$ , where  $R$  is the space of real numbers endowed with the usual topology,

(b) for any  $f \in C(X)$ ,  $f^{-1}(0) = Z(f)$  which will be called a zero-set in  $X$ , and complements of zero-sets in  $X$  will be called cozero-sets in  $X$ ,

(c)  $Z(X) = \{Z : Z \text{ is a zero-set in } X\}$ ,

(d)  $Z(X)^\# = \{\text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X(A)) : A \in Z(X)\}$ ,

(e)  $B(X) = \{B : B \text{ is a clopen set in } X\}$ , and

(f)  $R(X) = \{A : A \text{ is a regular closed set in } X\}$ ,

It is well-known that  $R(X)$  is a complete Boolean algebra under the inclusion relation and  $B(X)$ ,  $Z(X)^\#$  are sublattices of  $R(X)$  and that for any covering map  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ , the map  $\phi : R(X) \longrightarrow R(Y)$ , defined by  $\phi(A) = f(A)$ , is a Boolean isomorphism. Moreover for any dense subspace  $Y$  of a space  $X$ , the  $\psi : R(X) \longrightarrow R(Y)$ , defined by  $\psi(A) = A \cap Y$ , is a Boolean algebra isomorphism ([6]).

In a lattice, meets and joins will be denoted by  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$ , respectively and for any map  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  and  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq 2^X$ , let  $f(\mathcal{B}) = \{f(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ .

**Definition 2.4.** A covering map  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  is said to be  $Z^\#$ -irreducible if  $f(Z(X)^\#) = Z(Y)^\#$ .

We note that for any covering map  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$ ,  $Z(Y)^\# \subseteq f(Z(X)^\#)$  and hence  $f$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible if and only if  $Z(Y)^\# \supseteq f(Z(X)^\#)$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $g : Y \longrightarrow W$ ,  $h : W \longrightarrow X$  be covering maps. Then  $h \circ g$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible if and only if  $h$  and  $g$  are  $Z^\#$ -irreducible.

*proof.* Assume that  $h \circ g$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible and take any  $A \in Z(Y)^\#$ , then there is  $B \in Z(X)^\#$  with  $h \circ g(A) = B$ ;  $h(g(A)) = B = h(\text{cl}_W(h^{-1}(\text{int}_X(B))))$ . Since  $h$  is a covering map and  $g(A)$ ,  $\text{cl}_W(h^{-1}(\text{int}_X(B)))$  are regular closed in  $W$ ,  $\text{cl}_W(h^{-1}(\text{int}_X(B))) = g(A)$ . Since  $\text{cl}_W(h^{-1}(\text{int}_X(B))) = \text{cl}_W(\text{int}_W(h^{-1}(B)))$ ,  $g(A) \in Z(W)^\#$ . Thus  $g$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible.

Take any  $A \in Z(W)^\#$ . Since  $g$  is a covering map,  $\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(A))) \in Z(Y)^\#$ . Since  $h \circ g$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible,  $h \circ g(\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(A)))) \in Z(X)^\#$ . But  $h \circ g(\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(A)))) = h(g(\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(A)))) = h(A)$ . Thus  $h$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible. The converse is immediate from the definition.

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $Y$  be a space and  $X$  a subspace of  $Y$ . Then  $X$  or  $j : X \hookrightarrow Y$  is said to be  $Z^\#$ -embedded in  $Y$  if for any  $A \in Z(X)^\#$ , there is a  $B \in Z(Y)^\#$  such that  $A = B \cap X$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** Consider the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ j_1 \downarrow & & j_2 \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{g} & W, \end{array}$$

where  $j_1, j_2$  are dense embeddings and  $f, g$  are covering maps. Then  $g$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible and  $j_1$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded if and only if  $f$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible and  $j_2$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded.

*proof.* ( $\implies$ ) Take any  $A \in Z(P)^\#$ . Since  $j_1$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded, there is a  $B \in Z(Y)^\#$  such that  $A = B \cap P$ . Note that  $f(A) = f(B \cap P) = g(B) \cap X$ . Since  $g$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible,  $f(A) \in Z(X)^\#$ . Thus  $f$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible. Let  $C \in Z(X)^\#$ . Then  $\text{cl}_P(f^{-1}(\text{int}_X(C))) \in Z(P)^\#$ . Since  $j_1$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded, there is a  $D \in Z(Y)^\#$  such that  $D \cap P = \text{cl}_P(f^{-1}(\text{int}_X(C)))$ . Then  $C = f(D \cap P) = g(D) \cap X$ . Since  $g$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible,  $g(D) \in Z(X)^\#$ ; therefore  $j_2$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded.

( $\impliedby$ ) Take any  $A \in Z(Y)^\#$ . Then  $A \cap P \in Z(P)^\#$  for  $P$  is dense in  $Y$  and  $f(A \cap P) = g(A \cap P) = g(A) \cap X$ . Since  $f$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible,  $g(A) \cap X \in Z(X)^\#$ . Since  $j_2$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded, there is a  $B \in Z(W)^\#$  with  $g(A) \cap X = B \cap X$ . Since  $j_2$  is a dense embedding and  $g(A), B$  are regular closed,  $g(A) = B$ . Thus  $g$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible.

Take any  $C \in Z(P)^\#$ . Since  $f$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible,  $f(C) \in Z(X)^\#$ . Since  $j_2$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded, there is a  $D \in Z(W)^\#$  with  $f(C) = D \cap X$ . Since  $g$  is a covering map,  $\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(D))) \in Z(Y)^\#$ . Then  $f(\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(D))) \cap P) = g(\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(D)))) \cap X = D \cap X = f(C)$ . Hence  $\text{cl}_Y(g^{-1}(\text{int}_W(D))) \cap P = C$ . Thus  $j_1$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded.

**Definition 2.8.** A pair  $(Y, f)$  is said to be a *cover* of a space  $X$  if  $f : Y \longrightarrow X$  is a covering map.

Let  $X, Y$  be spaces and  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  a continuous map. For any  $U \subseteq Y$ , let  $f_U : f^{-1}(U) \longrightarrow U$  be the restriction and corestriction of  $f$  with respect to  $f^{-1}(U)$  and  $U$ , respectively.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $X$  be a space and  $(E, f)$  a cover of  $\beta X$ . Then  $(f^{-1}(X), f_X)$  is also a cover of  $X$ .*

*proof.* Clearly, we have a pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f^{-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{f_X} & X \\ j \downarrow & & \beta_X \downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{f} & \beta X. \end{array}$$

Since  $f$  is perfect,  $f_X$  is also perfect and clearly,  $f_X$  is onto. Since  $X$  is dense in  $\beta X$  and  $f$  is a covering map,  $f^{-1}(X)$  is dense in  $E$ . Thus  $j$  is a dense embedding and hence  $f_X$  is a covering map by Proposition 2.2.

### 3. Minimal Cloz-covers of non-compact spaces

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $X$  be a space.

(a) A cozero-set  $C$  in  $X$  is said to be a *complemented cozero-set* if there is a cozero-set  $D$  in  $X$  such that  $C \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $C \cup D$  is dense in  $X$ . In case,  $\{C, D\}$  is called a *complementary pair of cozero-sets* in  $X$ .

(b)  $G(X) = \{cl_X(C) : C \text{ is a complemented cozero-set in } X\}$ .

For any space  $X$ ,  $G(X) = \{A \in Z(X)^\# : A' \in Z(X)^\#\}$ , where  $A'$  denotes the complement of  $A$  in  $R(X)$ , that is,  $A' = cl_X(X - A)$  and  $G(X)$  is a subalgebra of  $R(X)$  ([5]).

Recall that a subspace  $Y$  of a space  $X$  is called  $C^*$ -embedded in  $X$  if for any  $f \in C^*(Y)$ , there is a  $g \in C^*(X)$  with  $g|_Y = f$ .

**Definition 3.2.** A space  $X$  is said to be

(a) a *cloz-space* if  $G(X) = B(X)$ , and

(b) a *quasi-F space* if every dense cozero-set in  $X$  is  $C^*$ -embedded, equivalently, for any zero-sets  $Z_1, Z_2$  in  $X$  such that  $int_X(Z_1) \cap int_X(Z_2) = \emptyset$ ,  $cl_X(int_X(Z_1)) \cap cl_X(int_X(Z_2)) = \emptyset$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** (a) *A space  $X$  is a cloz-space if and only if every element of  $X$  has a cloz open neighborhood.*

(b) Every dense  $Z^\#$ -embedded subspace of a cloz-space is again a cloz-space.

*proof.* (a)  $(\implies)$  It is trivial.

$(\impliedby)$  Let  $\{C, D\}$  be a complemented pair of cozero-sets in  $X$  and  $x \in \text{cl}_X(C)$ . Let  $V$  be a cloz open neighborhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Since  $\text{cl}_V((C \cup D) \cap V) = \text{cl}_X(C \cup D) \cap V = X \cap V = V$ ,  $\{C \cap V, D \cap V\}$  is a complemented pair of cozero-sets in  $V$ . Since  $V$  is a cloz-space,  $\text{cl}_V(C \cap V)$  is clopen in  $V$ . Moreover,  $\text{cl}_X(C) \cap V = \text{cl}_V(C \cap V) = \text{int}_V(\text{cl}_V(C \cap V)) = \text{int}_X(\text{cl}_X(C)) \cap V$ . Hence  $x \in \text{int}_X(\text{cl}_X(C))$ . Thus  $\text{cl}_X(C)$  is again clopen in  $X$  and therefore  $X$  is a cloz-space.

(b) Let  $Y$  be a cloz-space and  $X$  a dense  $Z^\#$ -embedded subspace of  $Y$ . Let  $\{C, D\}$  be a complemented pair of cozero-sets in  $X$ . Since  $G(X) \subseteq Z(X)^\#$  and  $X$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded in  $Y$ , there are  $A, B \in Z(Y)^\#$  with  $\text{cl}_X(C) = A \cap X$  and  $\text{cl}_X(D) = B \cap X$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \emptyset &= \text{cl}_X(C) \wedge \text{cl}_X(D) = (A \cap X) \wedge (B \cap X) \\ &= \text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X((A \cap X) \cap (B \cap X))) = \text{cl}_X(\text{int}_X((A \cap B) \cap X)) \\ &= \text{cl}_X(\text{int}_Y(A \cap B) \cap X) = \text{cl}_Y(\text{int}_Y(A \cap B)) \cap X = (A \wedge B) \cap X. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X$  is dense in  $Y$ ,  $A \wedge B = \emptyset$ . Since  $X = X \cap Y = (A \cup B) \cap X$ ,  $Y = A \cup B$ . Hence  $A' = B$ . Thus  $A \in G(Y)$ . Since  $Y$  is a cloz-space,  $A$  is clopen in  $Y$  and hence  $\text{cl}_X(C)$  is clopen in  $X$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $\underline{C}$  be a full subcategory of the category  $\underline{\text{Tych}}$  of Tychonoff spaces and continuous maps and  $X \in \underline{\text{Tych}}$ . Then

- (a) a pair  $(Y, f)$  is called a  $\underline{C}$ -cover of  $X$  if  $(Y, f)$  is a cover of  $X$  and  $Y \in \underline{C}$ ,
- (b) a  $\underline{C}$ -cover  $(Y, f)$  is called a *minimal*  $\underline{C}$ -cover of  $X$  if for any  $\underline{C}$ -cover  $(Z, g)$  of  $X$ , there is a covering map  $h : Z \rightarrow Y$  with  $f \circ h = g$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** ([6]) Let  $\underline{C}$  be a full subcategory of  $\underline{\text{Tych}}$  such that  $Y \in \underline{C}$  if and only if  $\beta Y \in \underline{C}$ . Suppose that  $X \in \underline{\text{Tych}}$  and  $(E, f)$  is a minimal  $\underline{C}$ -cover of  $\beta X$ . If  $f^{-1}(X) \in \underline{C}$ , then  $(f^{-1}(X), f_X)$  is a minimal  $\underline{C}$ -cover of  $X$ .

Let  $\underline{\text{Cloz}}$  ( $\underline{\text{QF}}$ , resp.) denote the full subcategory of  $\underline{\text{Tych}}$  determined by cloz-spaces (quasi-F spaces, resp.).

It is known that every compact space  $X$  has the minimal  $\underline{\text{Cloz}}$ -cover  $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$  and moreover  $E_{cc}(X) = \{(\alpha, x) : \alpha \text{ is a } G(X)\text{-ultrafilter on } X \text{ with } x \in \bigcap \alpha\}$  and  $z_X((\alpha, x)) = x$  ([5]). It is a natural question whether every space has a  $\underline{\text{Cloz}}$ -cover. We will give some partial answers for this problem in this section.

**Definition 3.6.** A space  $X$  is said to be *weakly Lindelöf* if for any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , there is a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\cup \mathcal{V}$  is dense in  $X$  and a space  $X$  is called *locally weakly Lindelöf* if every element of  $X$  has a weakly Lindelöf neighborhood.

In [3], the minimal QF-cover  $(\text{QF}(X), \Phi_X)$  of a compact space  $X$  is constructed as an inverse limit space and in [8], Vermeer constructed the minimal QF-cover  $(\text{QF}(X), \Phi_X)$  of arbitrary space  $X$ . In [4] ([6], resp), the minimal QF-cover  $(\text{QF}(X), \Phi_X)$  of a compact (locally weakly Lindelöf, resp.) space  $X$  is characterized by  $\text{QF}(X) = \{\alpha : \alpha \text{ is a fixed } Z(X)^\# \text{-ultrafilter on } X\}$  and  $\Phi_X(\alpha) = \cap \alpha$ . Moreover,  $\Phi_X$  is  $Z(X)^\#$ -irreducible if  $X$  is compact ([4]).

For any space  $X$ , let  $(\text{QF}(\beta X), \Phi_\beta)$  ( $(E_{cc}(\beta X), z_\beta)$ , resp.) denote the minimal QF(Cloz, resp.)-cover of  $\beta X$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a space such that  $\Phi_\beta^{-1}(X)$  is  $Z^\#$ -embedded in  $\text{QF}(\beta X)$ . Then  $(z_\beta^{-1}(X), z_{\beta X})$  is the minimal Cloz-cover of  $X$ ,  $z_\beta^{-1}(X)$  is dense in  $E_{cc}(\beta X)$  and  $z_{\beta X}$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible.*

*proof.* Clearly,  $z_\beta^{-1}(X)$  is dense in  $E_{cc}(X)$ . Since every quasi-F space is a cloz-space, there is a covering map  $g : \text{QF}(\beta X) \rightarrow E_{cc}(\beta X)$  with  $z_\beta \circ g = \Phi_\beta$ . Since the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} z_\beta^{-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{z_{\beta X}} & X \\ j_2 \downarrow & & \beta \downarrow \\ E_{cc}(\beta X) & \xrightarrow{z_\beta} & \beta X \end{array}$$

is a pullback, there is a unique continuous map  $g^0 : \Phi_\beta^{-1}(X) \rightarrow z_\beta^{-1}(X)$  such that  $z_{\beta X} \circ g^0 = \Phi_{\beta X}$  and  $g \circ j_1 = j_2 \circ g^0$ , where  $j_1 : \Phi_\beta^{-1}(X) \hookrightarrow \text{QF}(\beta X)$  is the inclusion map. Since  $j_1, \beta$  are  $Z^\#$ -embedded and  $\Phi_\beta$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible, by Proposition 2.7,  $\Phi_{\beta X}$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible. Let  $a \in Z_\beta^{-1}(X)$ . Then there is  $b \in \text{QF}(\beta X)$  with  $g(b) = a$ . Hence  $\Phi_\beta(b) = z_\beta(g(b)) = z_\beta(a) \in X$  and so  $b \in \Phi_\beta^{-1}(X)$ . Hence  $g(b) = g^0(b) = a$ . Thus  $g^0$  is onto. Since  $z_{\beta X} \circ g^0 = \Phi_{\beta X}$  is a covering map, by Proposition 2.2,  $g^0$  is a covering map. Since  $z_{\beta X} \circ g^0 = \Phi_{\beta X}$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible, by Proposition 2.5,  $z_{\beta X}$  and  $g^0$  are  $Z^\#$ -irreducible. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Phi_{\beta}^{-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{g^0} & z_{\beta}^{-1}(X) \\
j_1 \downarrow & & j_2 \downarrow \\
QF(\beta X) & \xrightarrow{g} & E_{cc}(\beta X).
\end{array}$$

By Proposition 2.7,  $j_2$  is  $Z^{\#}$ -embedded. So, by Proposition 3.3,  $z_{\beta}^{-1}(X)$  is a cloz-space. Thus, by Lemma 3.5,  $(z_{\beta}^{-1}(X), z_{\beta X})$  is the minimal Cloz-cover of  $X$ .

Recall that a dense weakly Lindelöf subspace of a space is  $Z^{\#}$ -embedded and that for any covering map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $Y$  is weakly Lindelöf,  $X$  is weakly Lindelöf ([4]). Using this, we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.8.** *For a weakly Lindelöf space  $X$ ,  $(z_{\beta}^{-1}(X), z_{\beta X})$  is the minimal Cloz-cover of  $X$  and  $z_{\beta X}$  is  $Z^{\#}$ -irreducible.*

For any weakly Lindelöf space  $X$ ,  $(E_{cc}(X), z_X)$  denotes the minimal Cloz-cover of  $X$ .

For any space  $X$ , the isomorphism  $\psi : R(\beta X) \rightarrow R(X)$  ( $\psi(A) = A \cap X$ ,  $A \in R(\beta X)$ ) induces a lattice isomorphism  $G(\beta X) \rightarrow G(X)$ . Thus  $(\alpha, x) \in z_{\beta}^{-1}(X)$  if and only if  $\alpha_X = \{A \cap X : A \in \alpha\}$  is a  $G(X)$ -ultrafilter and  $x \in \cap \alpha_X$ . Therefore we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.9.** *For any weakly Lindelöf space  $X$ ,  $E_{cc}(X)$  is the space  $\{(\alpha, x) : \alpha$  is a  $G(X)$ -ultrafilter on  $X$  with  $x \in \alpha\}$  which is a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(G(X)) \times X$ , where  $\mathcal{L}(G(X))$  is the ultrafilter space of  $G(X)$ .*

**Definition 3.10.** A space  $X$  is said to be a *basically disconnected space* if for any zero-set  $Z$  in  $X$ ,  $\text{int}_X(Z)$  is closed in  $X$ .

Recall that a sublattice  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $R(X)$  is called  $\sigma$ -complete if it is closed under countable joins and meets.

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly Lindelöf space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $G(X) = Z(X)^{\#}$ ,
- (2)  $G(X) = \{cl_X(C) : C \text{ is a cozero-set in } X\}$ ,
- (3)  $G(E_{cc}(X)) = Z(E_{cc}(X))^{\#}$ ,
- (4)  $E_{cc}(X)$  is basically disconnected,

(5)  $Z(X)^\#$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean subalgebra of  $R(X)$ ,

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Clearly,  $G(X) \subseteq \{cl_X(C) : C \text{ is a cozero-set in } X\}$ . Let  $C$  be a cozero-set in  $X$ , then  $cl_X(int_X(X - C)) \in Z(X)^\# = G(X)$  and hence  $cl_X(X - cl_X(X - C)) = cl_X(C) \in G(X)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $A \in Z(E_{cc}(X))^\#$ . Since  $z_X$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible,  $z_X(A) \in Z(X)^\#$  and hence  $z_X(A)' = z_X(A') \in G(X) \subseteq Z(X)^\#$ . Hence  $A' \in Z(E_{cc}(X))^\#$  and so  $A \in G(E_{cc}(X))$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Let  $Z$  be a zero-set in  $E_{cc}(X)$ , then by (3),  $cl_{E_{cc}(X)}(int_{E_{cc}(X)}(Z)) \in G(E_{cc}(X))$ . Since  $E_{cc}(X)$  is a cloz-space,  $cl_{E_{cc}(X)}(int_{E_{cc}(X)}(Z))$  is clopen in  $E_{cc}(X)$  and hence  $int_{E_{cc}(X)}(Z)$  is closed. Thus  $E_{cc}(X)$  is basically disconnected.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) Since  $z_X$  is  $Z^\#$ -irreducible,  $z_X(Z(E_{cc}(X))^\#) = Z(X)^\#$  and since  $E_{cc}(X)$  is basically disconnected,  $Z(E_{cc}(X))^\#$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean subalgebra of  $R(E_{cc}(X))$  and hence  $Z(X)^\#$  is a  $\sigma$ -complete Boolean subalgebra of  $R(X)$ .

(5)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Since  $Z(X)^\#$  is a subalgebra of  $R(X)$ ,  $G(X) = Z(X)^\#$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Adámek, H. Herrlich, and G. E. Strecker, Abstract and concrete categories, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1990.
2. R. L. Blair, Spaces in which special sets are  $Z$ -embedded, *Canad. J. Math.* **28** (1976), 673-690.
3. F. Dashiell, A. W. Hager and M. Henriksen, Order-Cauchy completions of rings and vector lattices of continuous functions, *Canad. J. Math.* **32** (1980), 657-685.
4. M. Henriksen, J. Vermeer, and R. G. Woods, Quasi-F-covers of Tychonoff spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **303** (1987), 779-804.
5. \_\_\_\_\_, Wallman covers of compact spaces, *Dissertationes Mathematicae*, **283** (1989), 5-31.
6. C. I. Kim, Minimal covers and filter spaces, *Topol. and its Appl.*, to appear.
7. J. Porter and R. G. Woods, Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
8. J. Vermeer, On perfect irreducible preimage, *Topology Proc.* **9** (1984), 173-189.
9. \_\_\_\_\_, The smallest basically disconnected preimage of a space, *Topol. Appl.* **17** (1984), 217-232.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, DANKOOK UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 140-714, KOREA.