

ON A CLASS OF FUNCTIONS $H_k[A, B]$ DESCRIBED BY SUBORDINATION

YOUNG OK PARK

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new class of functions $H_k[A, B]$ described by subordination and we derive a few geometric properties for the class $H_k[A, B]$.

1. Introduction

For $2 \leq k \leq 4$, let V_k be the class of all functions

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

in $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ with $f'(z) \neq 0$ satisfying

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right| d\theta \leq k\pi \quad (z = r e^{i\theta}, 0 < r < 1).$$

V_k is the class of functions with boundary rotation at most $k\pi$. Every function $f \in V_k$ can be given by the Stieltjes integral representation

$$1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + e^{i\theta} z}{1 - e^{i\theta} z} d\psi(\theta),$$

where $\int_0^{2\pi} d\psi(\theta) = 2\pi$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} |d\psi(\theta)| \leq k\pi$, $\psi(\theta)$ being a function of bounded variation on $[0, 2\pi]$.

Received by the editors August 23, 1999.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 30C45.

Key words and phrases. functions of bounded boundary rotation, strongly close-to-star function, subordination.

This research was supported in part by the grant of GARC, Seoul National University, 1998–1999

For $2 \leq k \leq 4$ let us define the class W_k as follows; $f(z) \in V_k$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in W_k$.

Now, by using a function $g(z)$ in the class V_k of bounded boundary rotation when $2 \leq k \leq 4$, we shall generalize the concept of strongly close-to-star functions which was introduced originally by Reade [4]. We shall denote this class of functions by H_k . And we shall define a new class $H_k[A, B]$ that is described by subordination.

Definition. Let $f(z)$ be an analytic function in E with $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$. For $-1 \leq B < A < 1$, $f(z)$ belongs to the class $H_k[A, B]$ if there is a function $g(z)$ in V_k such that $\frac{f(z)}{zg'(z)}$ is subordinate to $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ (in notation, $\frac{f(z)}{zg'(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$).

Equivalently, $f \in H_k[A, B], (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1)$ if there is a function $h(z) \in W_k$ such that

$$\frac{f(z)}{h(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \quad z \in E.$$

In this note we reduce a few geometric properties for the new class $H_k[A, B]$.

2. Main results for the class $H_k[A, B]$

For A and B with $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, a function $p(z)$ which is analytic in E with $p(0) = 1$ is said to belong to the class $\mathcal{P}[A, B]$ if $p(z)$ is subordinate to $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$.

Theorem 2.1. For $f \in H_k[A, B]$ and $|z| \leq r < 1$, we have

$$\frac{1-Ar}{1-Br} \cdot \frac{r(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}k-1}}{(1+r)^{\frac{1}{2}k+1}} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{1+Ar}{1+Br} \cdot \frac{r(1+r)^{\frac{1}{2}k-1}}{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}k+1}}.$$

The bounds are sharp.

Proof. For $f \in H_k[A, B]$, there exists a $h \in W_k$ and $p \in \mathcal{P}[A, B]$ such that

$$f(z) = h(z)p(z). \quad (2.1)$$

Since h is in W_k if and only if $\int_0^z \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta} d\zeta$ is in V_k and for $g(z) \in V_k, |z| \leq r < 1$, we have [3] that

$$\frac{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}k-1}}{(1+r)^{\frac{1}{2}k+1}} \leq |g'(z)| \leq \frac{(1+r)^{\frac{1}{2}k-1}}{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}k+1}},$$

and we can write

$$\frac{r(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}k-1}}{(1+r)^{\frac{1}{2}k+1}} \leq |h(z)| \leq \frac{r(1+r)^{\frac{1}{2}k-1}}{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}k+1}}. \quad (2.2)$$

Also for $p \in \mathcal{P}[A, B]$, $|z| \leq r$, the univalence of $\frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$ gives us that

$$\frac{1 - Ar}{1 - Br} \leq |p(z)| \leq \frac{1 + Ar}{1 + Br}. \tag{2.3}$$

Therefore, from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) the result follows. Equality is obtained for $f \in H_k[A, B]$ satisfying

$$f(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \cdot \frac{z(1 + z)^{\frac{1}{2}k-1}}{(1 - z)^{\frac{1}{2}k+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad z = \pm r. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.2. For $f \in H_k[A, B]$ and $|z| \leq r < 1$, we have

$$|\arg f(z)| \leq k \cdot \arcsin r + \arcsin \frac{(A - B)r}{1 - AB r^2} \pmod{2\pi}.$$

Proof. For $f \in H_k[A, B]$, we have

$$|\arg f(z)| \leq |\arg h(z)| + |\arg p(z)|, \tag{2.4}$$

where $h(z) \in W_k$ and $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}[A, B]$. Since $h(z) \in W_k$ and $g(z) = \int_0^z \frac{h(\zeta)}{\zeta} d\zeta \in V_k$, we know (cf. [3]) that, for $|z| \leq r < 1$,

$$|\arg g'(z)| \leq k \cdot \arcsin r.$$

Therefore for $h(z) \in W_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\arg h(z)| &\leq |\arg z| + |\arg g'(z)|, \\ &\leq k \cdot \arcsin r \pmod{2\pi}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

For $p \in \mathcal{P}[A, B]$, $p(|z| < r)$ is contained in the disk

$$\left| p(z) - \frac{1 - AB r^2}{1 - B^2 r^2} \right| < \frac{(A - B)r}{1 - B^2 r^2} \tag{2.6}$$

from (2.6) it follows that

$$|\arg p(z)| \leq \arcsin \frac{(A - B)r}{1 - AB r^2}. \tag{2.7}$$

From (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7) we have

$$|\arg f(z)| \leq k \cdot \arcsin r + \arcsin \frac{(A - B)r}{1 - AB r^2} \pmod{2\pi}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.3.

$$H_k[C, D] \subset H_k[A, B]$$

if and only if

$$|AD - BC| \leq (A - B) - (C - D),$$

where $-1 < B < A \leq 1$ and $-1 < D < C \leq 1$.

Proof. Since $|z| = 1$ is mapped by $\frac{(1+Az)}{(1+Bz)}$ ($-1 < B < A \leq 1$) onto a circle centered at $\frac{1-AB}{1-B^2}$ with radius $\frac{A-B}{1-B^2}$, we have

$$H_k[C, D] \subset H_k[A, B]$$

if and only if

$$\left\{ w : \left| w - \frac{1-CD}{1-D^2} \right| < \frac{C-D}{1-D^2} \right\} \subset \left\{ w : \left| w - \frac{1-AB}{1-B^2} \right| < \frac{A-B}{1-B^2} \right\},$$

equivalently it is

$$\frac{1-A}{1-B} \leq \frac{1-C}{1-D} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1+C}{1+D} \leq \frac{1+A}{1+B}$$

i.e.,

$$|AD - BC| \leq (A - B) - (C - D). \quad \square$$

The *convolution* of two power series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ is defined as the power series $(f * g)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$.

Theorem 2.4.

$$f \in H_k[A, B]$$

if and only if for all $z \in E$, $h(z) \in W_k$, $2 \leq k \leq 4$ and all ζ , $|\zeta| = 1$,

$$\left(f * \frac{(1+B\zeta)z}{1-z} \right) - \left(h * \frac{(1+A\zeta)z}{1-z} \right) \neq 0.$$

Proof. A function f is in $H_k[A, B]$ if and only if there is an $h \in W_k$ such that

$$\frac{f(z)}{h(z)} \neq \frac{1+A\zeta}{1+B\zeta} \quad \text{for } z \in E \text{ and } |\zeta| = 1,$$

which is equivalent to

$$(1 + B\zeta)f - (1 + A\zeta)h \neq 0$$

or

$$(1 + B\zeta)\left(f * \frac{z}{1-z}\right) - (1 + A\zeta)\left(h * \frac{z}{1-z}\right) \neq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\left(f * \frac{(1 + B\zeta)z}{1-z}\right) - \left(h * \frac{(1 + A\zeta)z}{1-z}\right) \neq 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 1. *If $\phi \in K$ and $g \in V_k$, $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then for each function F , analytic in E with $F(0) = 1$, the image of E under $\frac{\phi * Fg'}{\phi * g'}$ is a subset of the convex hull of $F(E)$.*

Proof. If g is in V_k , $2 \leq k \leq 4$ then we have defined that $zg'(z)$ is in W_k . Due to Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small [6] it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\phi * Fg'}{\phi * g'} = \frac{z(\phi * Fg')}{z(\phi * g')} = \frac{\phi * F(zg')}{\phi * (zg')}$$

is a subset of the convex hull of $F(E)$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *If $f \in H_k[A, B]$, then so is $f * \phi$ for any function $\phi(z) = z + \dots$, analytic and convex in E .*

Proof. We have $f \in H_k[A, B]$ if and only if, for $z \in E$, there is a function $h \in W_k$ such that

$$\frac{f}{h} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} = H, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1$$

and equivalently for $z \in E$, there is a function $g \in V_k$ such that

$$F = \frac{f}{zg'} \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} = H, \quad -1 \leq B < A \leq 1.$$

Since H is convex, Lemma 1 yields

$$\frac{(\phi * f)}{(\phi * zg')} = \frac{\phi * Fg'}{\phi * g'} \prec H,$$

so that $\phi * f \in H_k[A, B]$. \square

Corollary 1. For $f \in H_k[A, B]$ and $2 \leq k \leq 4$, let

$$F_1(z) = \int_0^z \frac{f(t)}{t} dt, \quad F_2(z) = \frac{2}{z} \int_0^z f(t) dt$$

then $F_1(z)$ and $F_2(z)$ are also in $H_k[A, B]$.

Proof. If $F_1(z) = f * \phi_1$, $F_2(z) = f * \phi_2$, where $\phi_1(z) = -\log(1-z)$ and

$$\phi_2(z) = \frac{-2[z + \log(1-z)]}{z},$$

then trivially ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are convex and from Theorem 2.5, $F_1(z)$ and $F_2(z)$ are also in $H_k[A, B]$. \square

Corollary 2. If $f \in H_k[A, B]$, $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$\frac{1+\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} f(t) dt, \quad \operatorname{Re} \gamma > 0$$

is also in $H_k[A, B]$.

Proof. We can write to use convolution of two power series

$$\frac{1+\gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} f(t) dt = f * \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+\gamma}{n+\gamma} z^n.$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+\gamma}{n+\gamma} z^n$ was shown to be convex by Ruscheweyh and Sheil-Small [6], the result follows from Theorem 2.5. \square

Corollary 3. If $f \in H_k[A, B]$, $2 \leq k \leq 4$, then

$$\int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta) - f(x\zeta)}{\zeta - x\zeta} d\zeta \quad (|x| \leq 1, x \neq 1)$$

is also in $H_k[A, B]$.

Proof. We may write

$$\int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta) - f(x\zeta)}{\zeta - x\zeta} d\zeta = (f * h)(z),$$

where

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-x^n}{(1-x)n} z^n = \frac{1}{1-x} \log \frac{1-xz}{1-z} \quad (|x| \leq 1, x \neq 1).$$

Since h is clearly convex, if $f \in H_k[A, B]$, $2 \leq k \leq 4$, from Theorem 2.5, so is

$$\int_0^z \frac{f(\zeta) - f(x\zeta)}{\zeta - x\zeta} d\zeta \quad (|x| \leq 1, x \neq 1). \quad \square$$

Lemma 2 [1]. Let $f(z) = z + b_2z^2 + \dots$ be in V_k . Then $|b_2| \leq \frac{k}{2}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{f \in V_k} |b_3 - b_2^2| &\leq \frac{1}{12} \left[\frac{k^2 - 4}{2} + (k + 2) + \frac{k^2 - 4}{4} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{12} \left[\frac{3}{4}(k^2 - 4) + (k + 2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

if $2 \leq k \leq 4$.

Theorem 2.6. For $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in $H_k[A, B]$, we have

$$|a_2| \leq k + (A - B) \quad \text{and} \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{(5k - 2)(3k + 2)}{16} + (A - B)(k + 1)$$

if $2 \leq k \leq 4$.

Proof. For $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ in $H_k[A, B]$, there exists a function

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in V_k$$

and a Schwarz function $w(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n z^n$ such that

$$\frac{f(z)}{zg'(z)} = \frac{1 + Aw(z)}{1 + Bw(z)}, \quad z \in E.$$

Comparing series expansions,

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= 2b_2 + (A - B)\gamma_1, \\ a_3 &= 3b_3 + 2a_2 - 4b_2 + (A - B)\gamma_2 - (A - B)B\gamma_1^2 \\ &= 3b_3 + 2(A - B)b_2\gamma_1 + (A - B)(\gamma_2 - B\gamma_1^2). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

From the above Lemma 2,

$$|a_2| = |2b_2 + (A - B)\gamma_1| \leq |2b_2| + |A - B||\gamma_1| \leq k + (A - B).$$

Also by Lemma 2 and (2.8), we have the bound

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3| &\leq 3|b_2|^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left| \frac{(3k - 2)(k + 2)}{4} \right| + 2(A - B)|b_2| + (A - B) \max(1, |B|) \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4}k^2 + \frac{3k^2 + 4k - 4}{16} + 2(A - B) \cdot \frac{k}{2} + (A - B) \\ &= \frac{(5k - 2)(3k + 2)}{16} + (A - B)(k + 1), \end{aligned}$$

if $2 \leq k \leq 4$. \square

REFERENCES

1. E. J. Moulis, Jr., *A generalization of univalent functions with Bounded Boundary Rotation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **174** (1972), 369–381.
2. Y. O. Park and S. Y. Lee, *On a class of strongly close-to-star functions*, to appear.
3. A. Pfluger, *Functions of Bounded Boundary Rotation and convexity*, J. Analyse Math. **30** (1976), 437–451.
4. M. O. Reade, *On close-to-convex univalent functions*, Michigan Math. J. **3** (1955), 59–62. MR **17**: 25.
5. ———, *The coefficients of close-to-convex functions*, Duke Math. J. **23** (1956), 459–462.
6. St. Ruscheweyh and T. Sheil-Small, *Hadamard products of Schlicht functions and the Pólya-Schoenberg conjecture*, Comment. Math. Helv. **48** (1973), 119–135.
7. H. Silverman, *On a class of close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972), no.2, 477–484.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EWHA WOMANS UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 120-750, KOREA.
E-mail address: yopark2274@hanmail.net