

ESSENTIAL SPECTRA OF w -HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

HYUNG KOO CHA, JAE HEE KIM, AND KWANG IL LEE

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{K} be the extension Hilbert space of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let ϕ be the faithful $*$ -representation of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on \mathcal{K} . In this paper, we show that if T is an irreducible w -hyponormal operators such that $\ker(T) \subset \ker(T^*)$ and $T^*T - TT^*$ is compact, then $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_e(\phi(T))$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a complex Hilbert space. The $*$ -algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. For an operator T in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, we denote the spectrum, the point spectrum, the approximate point spectrum and the essential spectrum by $\sigma(T)$, $\sigma_p(T)$, $\sigma_{ap}(T)$, and $\sigma_e(T)$, respectively. A complex number z is a normal approximate propervalue of T if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of unit vectors such that $(T - z)x_n \rightarrow 0$ and $(T - z)^*x_n \rightarrow 0$. The set of all normal approximate propervalue is called the normal approximate spectrum of T and it denote by $\sigma_{na}(T)$.

Aluthge [1] first introduced p -hyponormality for operators; An operator T is said to be p -hyponormal for $p \in (0, 1]$ if $(T^*T)^p \geq (TT^*)^p$. If $p = 1$, T is called *hyponormal* and if $p = \frac{1}{2}$, T is called *semi-hyponormal*. It is well known that a p -hyponormal operator is a q -hyponormal operator for $0 < q \leq p$ by the Löwner-Heinz theorem.

Let $T = U|T|$ be the polar decomposition of T , where U is a partial isometry, $|T|$ is a positive square root of T^*T and $\ker T = \ker |T| = \ker U$. Aluthge [1] introduced the operator $\tilde{T} = |T|^{1/2}U|T|^{1/2}$, which is called the Aluthge transformation of T .

Received by the editors June 27, 2003 and, in revised form, November 12, 2003.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47B20, 47A10.

Key words and phrases. w -hyponormal, approximate point spectrum, essential spectrum, irreducible operator.

This paper was supported by research fund of Hanyang University, Seoul, Korea, 2002.

Aluthge & Wang [2] first introduced a new operator that an operator T is said to be w -hyponormal if $|\tilde{T}| \geq |T| \geq |\tilde{T}^*|$. Evidently, if T is w -hyponormal, then \tilde{T} is semi-hyponormal.

They proved that if an operator T is p -hyponormal, then it is w -hyponormal and also show the following results:

Theorem 1.1 (Aluthge & Wang [4]).

(1) An operator T is w -hyponormal if and only if

$$|T| \geq (|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}|T^*||T|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |T^*| \leq (|T^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}|T||T^*|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

(2) If T is a w -hyponormal operator, then $\sigma_{ap}(T) - \{0\} = \sigma_{na}(T) - \{0\}$.

Fujii, Jung, S. H. Lee, M. Y. Lee & Nakamoto [11] introduced a new class $A(p, q)$ of operators that for $p, q > 0$, an operator T belongs to $A(p, q)$ if it satisfies an operator inequality

$$(|T^*|^q|T|^{2p}|T^*|^q)^{\frac{q}{p+q}} \geq |T^*|^{2q}.$$

Recently, Ito & Yamazaki [12] introduced a new class $wA(p, q)$ of operators that for $p, q > 0$, an operator T belongs to $wA(p, q)$ if it satisfies an operator inequalities

$$(|T^*|^q|T|^{2p}|T^*|^q)^{\frac{q}{p+q}} \geq |T^*|^{2q} \quad \text{and} \quad |T|^{2p} \geq (|T|^p|T^*|^{2q}|T|^p)^{\frac{p}{p+q}}.$$

In Ito & Yamazaki [12], they obtained the following results:

Theorem 1.2 (Ito & Yamazaki [12]). For each $p > 0$ and $q > 0$, the following assertions hold:

- (1) Class $A(p, q)$ coincides with class $wA(p, q)$.
- (2) Class $A(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ coincides with the class of w -hyponormal operators (i.e., class $wA(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$).

An operator T is said to be reducible if it has a nontrivial reducing subspace. If an operator is not reducible, then it is called irreducible.

Cha [6] constructed an extension \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{H} by means of all weakly convergent sequences in \mathcal{H} and the Banach Limit, and obtained the faithful $*$ -representation ϕ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on \mathcal{K} .

In this paper, using the faithful $*$ -representation ϕ , for an irreducible w -hyponormal operator T with $\ker(T) \subset \ker(T^*)$, we investigate the relation between the essential spectrum of T and the essential spectrum of $\phi(T)$.

2. THE MAIN THEOREM

Let $C^*(T)$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ generated by a single operator T and identity. By a character on a C^* -algebra we mean a multiplicative linear functional. If \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra with identity, then its commutator ideal is the closed ideal generated by the commutator $ab - ba$ for $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$.

Bunce [5] established a kind of the reciprocity among the character of single generated C^* -algebra and the approximate spectra of the generators and he proved the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1 (Bunce [5]). *If T is a hyponormal operator, then for all $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ there is a character ψ on the C^* -algebra $C^*(T)$ such that $\psi(T) = \lambda$.*

Enomoto, Fujii & Tamaki [10] was generalized Bunce's result as following:

Theorem 2.2 (Enomoto, Fujii & Tamaki [10]). *A complex number $\lambda \in \sigma_{na}(T)$ if and only if there is a character ψ of $C^*(T)$ such that $\psi(T) = \lambda$.*

Let $C^*(T_i : i \in \Gamma)$ be the C^* -algebra generated by $\{T_i : i \in \Gamma\}$ and the identity operator, and let \mathcal{I} be the commutator ideal of $C^*(T_i : i \in \Gamma)$.

S. G. Lee [13] obtained that the quotient algebra $C^*(T_i : i \in \Gamma)/\mathcal{I}$ is isometrically $*$ -isomorphic to $C(\sigma_n(T_i : i \in \Gamma))$, where $\sigma_n(T_i : i \in \Gamma)$ is the joint normal spectrum of $\{T_i : i \in \Gamma\}$.

By Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 2.2, we have the following result:

Corollary 2.3. *Let T be a w -hyponormal operator with $\ker(T) \subset \ker(T^*)$. Then $\lambda \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ if and only if there is a character ψ of $C^*(T)$ such that $\psi(T) = \lambda$.*

If $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the set of all character on \mathcal{A} and M is the commutator ideal of \mathcal{A} , then $M = \bigcap \{h^{-1}(0) : h \in \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}\}$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the maximal ideal space of \mathcal{A}/M . With this statement, we have $\mathcal{A}/M \cong C(\Phi_{\mathcal{A}})$ under the Gel'fand transform, $a + M \rightarrow \hat{a}$, where $\hat{a}(h) = h(a)$ for a in \mathcal{A} and h in $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ (Conway [8, 9]).

Lemma 2.4. *If an operator T is w -hyponormal with $\ker(T) \subset \ker(T^*)$, there is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism of $C^*(T)/M$ onto $C(\sigma_{ap}(T))$, where $A + M$ is mapped to the function z .*

Proof. Let $\tau : \Phi_{C^*(T)} \rightarrow \sigma_{ap}(T)$ be defined by $\tau(\psi) = \psi(T)$. By Corollary 2.3 this map is surjective. If $\psi(T) = \psi'(T)$ for $\psi, \psi' \in \Phi_{C^*(T)}$, then $\psi = \psi'$, since T is

generator of $C^*(T)$, and ψ, ψ' are continuous on $C^*(T)$. So τ is injective. It is also easy to see that τ is continuous. Since $\Phi_{C^*(T)}$ is compact, τ is a homeomorphism. Thus the map $\tau^\# : C(\sigma_{ap}(T)) \rightarrow C(\Phi_{C^*(T)})$ defined by $\tau^\#(f) = f \circ \tau$ is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism. We define a map $\rho : C(\sigma_{ap}(T)) \rightarrow C^*(T)/M$ so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C^*(T)/M & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & C(\Phi_{C^*(T)}) \\ & \swarrow \rho & \nearrow \tau^\# \\ & C(\sigma_{ap}(T)) & \end{array}$$

where the Gel'fand transform $\gamma : C^*(T)/M \rightarrow C(\Phi_{C^*(T)})$ is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism. Then ρ is clearly an isometric $*$ -isomorphism. \square

Cha [6] introduced an extension \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{H} by means of all weakly convergent sequences in \mathcal{H} and the Banach Limit, and obtained the faithful $*$ -representation ϕ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on \mathcal{K} .

In order to show our results, we use the following propositions.

Proposition 2.5 (Cha [6, 7]). *There exists a faithful $*$ -representation ϕ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ on \mathcal{K} with the following properties:*

- (1) $\|\phi(T)\| = \|T\|$.
- (2) $\sigma(T) = \sigma(\phi(T))$.
- (3) $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_p(\phi(T))$.
- (4) *If T is a compact operator on \mathcal{H} , then so is $\phi(T)$ on \mathcal{K} .*
- (5) *If T is an irreducible operator on \mathcal{H} , then so is $\phi(T)$ on \mathcal{K} .*

Remark. The Proposition 2.5 (5) does not mean a representation of a C^* -algebra is irreducible. It implies the concept of a simple irreducible operators.

Proposition 2.6 (Cha [7]). *We have the following properties.*

- (1) *The C^* -algebra $C^*(T)$ is isometrically $*$ -isomorphic to the C^* -algebra $C^*(\phi(T))$.*
- (2) *If M is the maximal ideal of $C^*(T)$, then $\phi(M)$ is the maximal ideal of $C^*(\phi(T))$.*
- (3) *Let $\Phi_{C^*(T)}$ and $\Phi_{C^*(\phi(T))}$ be the maximal ideal space of $C^*(T)$ and $C^*(\phi(T))$, respectively. Then $\Phi_{C^*(T)}$ and $\Phi_{C^*(\phi(T))}$ are isometrically isomorphic.*

Proposition 2.7 (Cha [7]). *We have the following properties.*

- (1) $M = \bigcap \{f^{-1}(0) : f \in \Phi_{C^*(T)}\} \cong N = \bigcap \{h^{-1}(0) : h \in \Phi_{C^*(\phi(T))}\}$.
- (2) $C^*(T)/M \cong C^*(\phi(T))/N$.

Related to above propositions, we obtained the following results for w -hyponormal operators.

To show this property, we need the following proposition:

Proposition 2.8. *If an operator T is w -hyponormal, then so is $\phi(T)$.*

Proof. Since operators in $A(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ is w -hyponormal, we need only to show that

$$(|\phi(T)^*|^{1/2}|\phi(T)||\phi(T)^*|^{1/2})^{1/2} \geq |\phi(T)^*|.$$

It is easily check that $|\phi(T)| = \phi(|T|)$ and ϕ preserves the positive property. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(T)^*| &= \phi(|T^*|) \\ &\leq \phi((|T^*|^{1/2}|T||T^*|^{1/2})^{1/2}) \\ &= (|\phi(T)^*|^{1/2}|\phi(T)||\phi(T)^*|^{1/2})^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(|\phi(T)^*|^{1/2}|\phi(T)||\phi(T)^*|^{1/2})^{1/2} \geq |\phi(T)^*|.$$

Thus, $\phi(T)$ is w -hyponormal. □

With the notation of Proposition 2.5, Proposition 2.7 and Lemma 2.4, we have the following:

Theorem 2.9. *If T is a w -hyponormal operator with $\ker(T) \subset \ker(T^*)$, then*

$$C^*(T)/M \cong C^*(\phi(T))/N \cong C(\sigma_p(\phi(T))).$$

We need the following proposition in order to give proofs of Theorem 2.11 and Corollary 2.12.

Proposition 2.10 (Conway [9]). *If T is an irreducible operator such that $T^*T - TT^*$ is compact, then the commutator ideal M of $C^*(T)$ is $K(\mathcal{H})$, where $K(\mathcal{H})$ is the ideal of all compact operators on \mathcal{H} .*

We have the results for irreducible w -hyponormal operators.

Theorem 2.11. *If T is an irreducible w -hyponormal operators such that $\ker(T) \subset \ker(T^*)$ and $T^*T - TT^*$ is compact, then*

$$\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_e(T) \text{ and } \sigma_p(\phi(T)) = \sigma_e(\phi(T)).$$

Proof. The fact that $\sigma_{ap}(T) = \sigma_e(T)$ follows immediately from Proposition 2.10 and Lemma 2.4. The second assertion is clear from Proposition 2.10 and Proposition 2.5. \square

It is easy to see that if T is a Fredholm operator on \mathcal{H} , then so is $\phi(T)$ on \mathcal{K} , and so $\sigma_e(\phi(T)) \subset \sigma_e(T)$ for any operator T .

Corollary 2.12. *If T is an irreducible w -hyponormal operators such that $\ker(T) \subset \ker(T^*)$ and $T^*T - TT^*$ is compact, then $\sigma_e(T) = \sigma_e(\phi(T))$.*

REFERENCES

1. A. Aluthge: On p -hyponormal operators for $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$. *Integral Equation and Operator Theory* **13** (1990), no. 3, 307–315. MR **91a**:47025
2. A. Aluthge & D. Wang: An operator inequality which implies paranormality, *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **2** (1999), no. 1, 113–119. MR **99j**:47029
3. ———: w -hyponormal operators. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **36** (2000), no. 1, 1–20. MR **2000k**:47024
4. ———: w -hyponormal operators II. *Integral Equation Operator Theory* **37** (2000), no. 3, 324–331. MR **2001i**:47032
5. J. Bunce: Characters on singly generated C^* -Algebra. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1970), 297–303. MR **41**#4258
6. H. K. Cha: Spectra of the image under the faithful $*$ -representation of $L(\mathcal{H})$ on K . *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **22** (1985), no. 1, 23–29. MR **86i**:47007
7. ———: On the essential Spectrum of an irreducible hyponormal operators. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **24** (1987), no. 2, 159–164. MR **89h**:47035
8. J. B. Conway: *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 96. Springer-Verlag Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990. MR **91e**:46001
9. ———: *The Theory of Subnormal Operators*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 36. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991. MR **92h**:47026
10. M. Enomoto, M. Fujii & K. Tamaki: On Normal Approximate Spectrum. *Proc. Japan. Acad.* **48** (1972), 211–215. MR **47**#851
11. M. Fujii, D. Jung, S. H. Lee, M. Y. Lee & R. Nakamoto: Some Class of Operators Related to Paranormal and Log-hyponormal Operators. *Math. Japon.* **51** (2000), no. 3, 395–402. MR **2002a**:47028
12. M. Ito & T. Yamazaki: Relation Between Two Inequalities $(B^{\frac{r}{2}}A^pB^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}} \geq B^r$ and $A^p \geq (A^{\frac{r}{2}}B^rA^{\frac{r}{2}})^{\frac{r}{p+r}}$ and their Applications. *Integral Equation Operator Theory* **44** (2002), no. 4, 442–450. MR **2003h**:47032

13. S. G. Lee: *The Joint Normal Spectrum, Relative Spectrum and the Closure of Shell*. Ph. D. Thesis. Univ. California at Santa Babara, 1972.

(H. K. CHA) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, 17 HAENGDANG-DONG, SEONG-DONG-GU, SEOUL 133-791, KOREA
Email address: hkcha@hanyang.ac.kr

(J. H. KIM) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, 17 HAENGDANG-DONG, SEONG-DONG-GU, SEOUL 133-791, KOREA

(K. I. LEE) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, 17 HAENGDANG-DONG, SEONG-DONG-GU, SEOUL 133-791, KOREA
Email address: haesanae@dreamwiz.com