WEAK BI-IDEALS OF NEAR-RINGS

YONG UK CHO^a, T. TAMIZH CHELVAM^{b,*} AND S. JAYALAKSHMI^c

ABSTRACT. The notion of bi-ideals in near-rings was effectively used to characterize the near-fields. Using this notion, various generalizations of regularity conditions have been studied. In this paper, we generalize further the notion of bi-ideals and introduce the notion of weak bi-ideals in near-rings and obtain various characterizations using the same in left self distributive near-rings.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper by a near-ring we mean a right near-ring. For basic definitions one may refer to Pilz [3]. Tamizh Chelvam and Ganesan [4] introduced the notion of bi-ideals in near-rings. Further Tamizh Chelvam [5] introduced the concept of b-regular near-rings and obtained equivalent conditions for regularity in terms of bi-ideals. In this paper the notion weak bi-ideals has been introduced and studied to the extent possible.

2. Preliminaries

Let N be a right near-ring. For two subsets A and B of N, $AB = \{ab \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ and $A*B = \{a_1(a_2 + b) - a_1a_2 \mid a_1, a_2 \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$. A subgroup B of (N, +) is said to be a bi-ideal of N if $BNB \cap (BN) * B \subseteq B$ [4]. In the case of a zero-symmetric near-ring, a subgroup B of (N, +) is a bi-ideal if and only if $BNB \subseteq B$ [4]. A subgroup Q of (N, +) is called a quasi-ideal of N if $QN \cap NQ \cap N * Q \subseteq Q$ [7]. If N is zero-symmetric, a subgroup Q of (N, +) is a quasi-ideal of N if and only if $QN \cap NQ \subseteq Q$.

A near-ring N is said to be left (right)-unital if $a \in Na(a \in aN)$ for all $a \in N$. A near-ring N is said to be unital if it is both left as well as right unital. An

Received by the editors July 13, 2006. * Corresponding author.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 16Y30.

Key words and phrases. near-rings, bi-ideals, weak bi-ideals, left self distributive, strongly regular.

element $a \in N$ is said to be regular if a = aba for some $b \in N$. A near-ring N is said to be regular if every element in N is regular. It may be noted that a regular near-ring is a unital near-ring, but not the converse. An element $a \in N$ is said to be strongly regular if $a = ba^2$, for some $b \in N$. A near-ring N is called strongly regular if every element in N is strongly regular. N is said to satisfy IFP (Insertion of Factors Property) if ab = 0 implies axb = 0 for all $x \in N$. A near-ring is called left bi-potent if $Na = Na^2$ for $a \in N$. A subgroup M of (N, +) is said to be a left (right) N-subgroup if $NM \subseteq M$ ($MN \subseteq M$). A near-ring N is said to be two sided if every left N-subgroup is a right N-subgroup and vice versa. A near-ring N is called b-regular near-ring if $a \in (a)_r N(a)_l$ for every $a \in N$, where $(a)_r$ ($(a)_l$ is the right (left) N-subgroup generated by $a \in N$ [6]. Note that every regular near-ring is b-regular. A near-ring N is said to be left self distributive if abc = abac for all $a, b, c \in N$. Let E be the set of all idempotents of N and E the set of all nilpotent elements of N.

3. Weak Bi-ideals

In this section, we introduce weak bi-ideals and obtain some of the properties of the same.

Definition 3.1. A subgroup B of (N, +) is said to be a weak bi-ideal if $B^3 \subseteq B$.

Example 3.2. Every bi-ideal is a weak bi-ideal, but the converse is not true. For, consider the near-ring N constructed on the Kleins 4-group according to the scheme (0,0,2,1) (see [3, p. 408]). In this near-ring, one can check that $\{0,b\}$ and $\{0,c\}$ are weak bi-ideals. Note that $\{0,b\}N\{0,b\} = \{0,c,b\}$ and hence $\{0,b\}$ is not a bi-ideal of N.

Proposition 3.3. The set of all weak bi-ideals of a near-ring N form a Moore system on N.

Proposition 3.4. If B is a weak bi-ideal of a near-ring N and S is a sub near-ring of N, then $B \cap S$ is a weak bi-ideal of N.

Proof. Let $C = B \cap S$. Now $C^3 = (B \cap S)((B \cap S)(B \cap S)) \subseteq (B \cap S)(BB \cap SS) \subseteq (B \cap S)BB \cap (B \cap S)SS \subseteq BBB \cap SSS = B^3 \cap SS \subseteq B \cap S = C$, i.e., $C^3 \subseteq C$. Therefore C is a weak bi-ideal of N.

Proposition 3.5. Let B be a weak bi-ideal of N. Then Bb and b'B are the weak bi-ideals of N where $b, b' \in B$ and b' is a distributive element.

Proof. Clearly Bb is a subgroup of (N, +). Also $(Bb)^3 = BbBbBb \subseteq BBBb \subseteq B^3b \subseteq Bb$. Since b' is distributive, b'B is a subgroup of (N, +) and $(b'B)^3 = b'Bb'Bb'B \subseteq b'BBB = b'B^3 \subseteq b'B$. Hence Bb and b'B are weak bi-ideals of N.

Corollary 3.6. Let B be a weak bi-ideal of N. For $b, c \in B$, if b is distributive, then bBc is a weak bi-ideal of N.

Proposition 3.7. Let N be a left self-distributive left-unital near-ring. Then $B^3 = B$ for every weak bi-ideal B of N if and only if N is strongly regular.

Proof. Let B be a weak bi-ideal of N. If N is strongly regular, then N has no non-zero nilpotent elements. This further implies that N has IFP. Let $x \in N$ and $x = ax^2$ for $a \in N$. Now (xax - x)x = 0 and so x(xax - x) = 0 as N has IFP. Hence $(xax - x)^2 = 0$ and so xax - x = 0, i.e., x is regular and N is regular. Let $b \in B$. Since N is regular, b = bab for some $a \in N$. By our assumption that N is left self-distributive, we have bab = babb. Thus $b = bab = babb = babb^2 = bb^2 = b^3 \subseteq B^3$, i.e., $B \subseteq B^3$. Hence $B = B^3$ for every weak bi-ideal B of N. Conversely let $a \in N$. Since Na is a weak bi-ideal of N and N is a left-unital near-ring, we get $a \in Na = (Na)^3 = NaNaNa \subseteq NaNa$, i.e., $a = n_1an_2a$. Since N is left self-distributive, $a = n_1an_2a^2$, i.e., N is strongly regular.

Proposition 3.8. Let N be a left self-distributive left unital near-ring. Then $B = NB^2$ for every strong bi-ideal B of N if and only if N is strongly regular.

Proof. Assume that $B = NB^2$ for every strong bi-ideal B of N. Since Na is a strong bi-ideal of N and N is a left unital near-ring, we have $a \in Na = N(Na)^2 = NNaNa \subseteq NaNa$, i.e., $a = n_1an_2a$. Since N is a left self-distributive near-ring, $a = n_1an_2a = n_1an_2a^2 \in Na^2$, i.e., N is strongly regular. Conversely, let B be a strong bi-ideal of N. Since N is strongly regular, for $b \in B$, $b = nb^2 \in NB^2$, i.e., $B \subseteq NB^2$. Hence $NB^2 = B$ for every strong bi-ideal B of N

Theorem 3.9. Let N be a left self-distributive left unital near-ring. Then $B^3 = B$ for every weak bi-ideal B of N if and only if $NB^2 = B$ for every strong bi-ideal B of N.

Proof. Follows from Propositions 3.7 and 3.8.

Proposition 3.10. Let N be a left self-distributive left-unital near-ring. Then B = BNB for every bi-ideal B of N if and only if N is regular.

Proof. Let B be a bi-ideal of N. If N is regular, then B = BNB for every bi-ideal B of N. Conversely, let B = BNB for every bi-ideal B of N. Since Na is a bi-ideal of N and N is a left-unital near-ring, we have $a \in Na = NaNNa$, i.e., $a = n_1an_2a$ for some $n_1, n_2 \in N$. Since N is a left self-distributive near-ring, $a = n_1an_2a^2 \subseteq Na^2$, i.e., N is strongly regular and as in the proof of Proposition 3.7, N is regular. \square

Proposition 3.11. Let N be a left self-distributive left-unital near-ring. Then $B = B^3$ for every weak bi-ideal B of N if and only if $A \cap C = AC$ for any two left N-subgroups A and C of N.

Proof. Assume that $B=B^3$ for every weak bi-ideal B of N. By the Proposition 3.7, N is strongly regular. Therefore N is regular. Let A and C be any two left N-subgroups of N. Let $x \in A \cap C$. Since N is regular, x = xax for some $a \in N$. Therefore $(xa)x \in ANC \subseteq AC$ which implies that $A \cap C = AC$. On the other hand, let $x \in AC$. Since N is strongly regular, L = 0 and so en = ene for all $e \in E$. Then $x = yz \in AC$ with $y \in A$ and $z \in C$. Now x = yz = (yby)z. Since by is an idempotent element (by)z = (by)z(by). Thus $x = yz = y(by)z = y(by)z(by) \in NA \subseteq A$. Thus $x \in A \cap C$. From the two inclusions proved above, we get that $AC = A \cap C$.

Conversely let $a \in N$. Since Na is a left N-subgroup of N, from the assumption we get that $Na = Na \cap Na = NaNa$. But $Na = Na \cap N = NaN$ implies that Naa = NaNa. Therefore $Na = Na^2$. Since N is a left-unital near-ring, $a \in Na = Na^2$, i.e., N is strongly regular. By the Proposition 3.7, $B = B^3$ for every weak bi-ideal B of N.

Theorem 3.12. Let N be a left self-distributive left unital near-ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $B = B^3$ for every weak bi-ideal B of N.
- (ii) N is regular and NxNy = NyNx for all $x, y \in N$.
- (iii) NxNy = Nxy for all $x, y \in N$.
- (iv) N is left bi-potent.
- (v) N is Boolean.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Assume that $B = B^3$ for every weak bi-ideal B of N. By Proposition 3.7, N is strongly regular and so N is regular. Again by Proposition 3.11, $A \cap B = AB$

for two left N-sub groups A and B of N. Let $x, y \in N$. Since Nx and Ny are left N-sub groups of N, from the above fact we get that $NxNy = Nx \cap Ny = Ny \cap Nx = NyNx$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $x, y \in N$. Let A be a left N-subgroup of N. Trivially, $A^2 \subseteq A$. Since N is regular, for any $a \in N$, a = aba for some $b \in N$. Hence $a = a(ba) \in A(NA) \subseteq AA = A^2$. Thus $A = A^2$. Since $Nx \cap Ny$ is a left N-sub group of N, $Nx \cap Ny = (Nx \cap Ny)^2 \subseteq NxNy \subseteq Ny$. Again by the assumption, $NxNy = NyNx \subseteq Nx$. Therefore $Nx \cap Ny = NxNy$. Now $Nx = Nx \cap N = NxN$ and from this we get that Nxy = NxNy. Therefore $Nxy = Nx \cap Ny$ for all $x, y \in N$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Let $a \in N$. Then $Na = Na \cap Na = Naa = Na^2$, i.e., N is left bi-potent near-ring.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) By the assumption that $a \in Na = Na^2$, N is strongly regular and so N is regular. Let $x \in N$. Then $x = xyx = xyxx = x^2$, i.e., N is Boolean.

(v) \Rightarrow (vi) Let B be a weak bi-ideal of N. Let $x \in B$. By the assumption, $x = x^2 = x^3 \in B^3$. Therefore $B \subseteq B^3$ and hence $B = B^3$.

Theorem 3.13. Let N be a left self-distributive left unital near-ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) Q = QNQ for every quasi-ideal Q of N.
- (ii) $B = B^3$ for every weak bi-ideal B of N.
- (iii) $NB^2 = B$ for every strong bi-ideal B of N.
- (iv) N is regular.
- (v) $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1B_2 \cap B_2B_1$ for every pair of bi-ideals B_1, B_2 of N.
- (vi) $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1Q_2 \cap Q_2Q_1$ for every pair of quasi-ideals Q_1, Q_2 of N.
- (vii) $Q^2 = Q$ for every quasi-ideal Q of N.
- (viii) $B^2 = B$ for every bi-ideal B of N.
 - (ix) N is strongly regular.
 - (x) N is left bi-potent.
 - (xi) B = BNB for every bi-ideal B of N.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $a \in N$. Since Na is a quasi-ideal of N and N is a left-unital near-ring, we have $a \in Na = NaNNa \subseteq NaNa$, i.e., $a = n_1an_2a$. Since N is left self-distributive, $a = n_1an_2a = n_1an_2a^2 \in Na^2$, i.e., N is strongly regular. Therefore, by Proposition 3.7, $B^3 = B$ for every weak bi-ideal B of N.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let B be a strong bi-ideal of N. Every strong bi-ideal is a bi-ideal and so

weak bi-ideal. By the assumption $B = B^3 = BBB = BB^2 \subseteq NB^2$. i.e., $B \subseteq NB^2$ and so $B = NB^2$ for every strong bi-ideal B of N.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) By Proposition 3.8, N is strongly regular and so N is regular.

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) Let B_1 and B_2 be a pair of bi-ideals of N. Let $x \in B_1B_2 \cap B_2B_1$. Then $x = b_1b_2$ and $x = c_2c_1$ for some $b_1, c_1 \in B_1$ and $b_2, c_2 \in B_2$. Since N is regular, $b_1 = b_1a_1b_1$ and $b_2 = b_2a_2b_2$ for some $a_1, a_2 \in N$. From this $x = b_1b_2 = b_1a_1b_1b_2 = b_1a_1c_2c_1 \in B_1NB_1 \subseteq B_1$. i.e., $B_1B_2 \cap B_2B_1 \subseteq B_1$. Similarly $B_1B_2 \cap B_2B_1 \subseteq B_2$. Hence $B_1B_2 \cap B_2B_1 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$. On the other hand if $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$, then $x = b_1 = b_2$ for some $b_1 \in B_1$ and $b_2 \in B_2$. Since B_1 is a bi-ideal and N is regular, $B_1 = B_1NB_1$, and so $b_1 = b_1nb_1$ for some $n \in N$. Since N is a left self-distributive near-ring, $x = b_1 = b_1nb_1 = b_1nb_1b_1 = b_1nb_1b_2 \in B_1NB_1B_2 \subseteq B_1B_2$. Therefore $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B_1B_2$. Similarly one can prove that $B_1 \cap B_2 \subseteq B_2B_1$. Hence $B_1 \cap B_2 = B_1B_2 \cap B_2B_1$ for every pair of bi-ideals B_1, B_2 of N.

- (v) \Rightarrow (vi) Since every quasi-ideal is also a bi-ideal of N, we have $Q_1 \cap Q_2 = Q_1Q_2 \cap Q_2Q_1$ for every pair of quasi-ideals Q_1 and Q_2 of N.
- (vi) \Rightarrow (vii) Take $Q_1 = Q_2 = Q$. By the assumption $Q = Q \cap Q = Q^2 \cap Q^2 = Q^2$. Hence $Q^2 = Q$ for every quasi-ideal Q of N.
- (vii) \Rightarrow (viii) Let B be a bi-ideal of N and $x \in B$. Since N is a left unital near-ring and Nx is a quasi-ideal of N, $x \in Nx = (Nx)^2 = NxNx$, i.e., $x = n_1xn_2x$ for some $n_1, n_2 \in N$. Since N is a left self-distributive near-ring, $x = n_1xn_2x = n_1xn_2x^2 \in Nx^2$. From this N is strongly regular and so N is regular. Let $a \in BN \cap NB$. Then $a = xn = n_1x_1$ for some $x, x_1 \in B$ and $n, n_1 \in N$. Since x is regular, x = xyx for some $y \in N$. Hence $a = xn = (xyx)n = (xy)(n_1x_1) \in BNB \subseteq B$, i.e., $BN \cap NB \subseteq B$. Therefore B is a quasi-ideal of N and so $B^2 = B$ for every bi-ideal B of N.
- (viii) \Rightarrow (ix) For $x \in N$, Nx is a bi-ideal of N. Since N is a left unital near-ring $x \in Nx = (Nx)^2$, i.e., $x = n_1xn_2x$ for some $n_1, n_2 \in N$. Since N is a left self-distributive near-ring, $x = n_1xn_2x = n_1xn_2x^2 \in Nx^2$, i.e., N is strongly regular.
- (ix) \Rightarrow (x) From the assumption, N is regular. Let $a \in N, a = aba$ for some $b \in N$. For $x \in Na, x = na = naba = nabaa \in Na^2$. From this $Na = Na^2$, i.e., N is left bi-potent.
- (x) \Rightarrow (xi) Since N is a left unital near-ring, $a \in Na = Na^2$, N is strongly regular and so N is regular. Hence B = BNB for every bi-ideal B of N.
- (xi) \Rightarrow (i) Let Q be a quasi-ideal of N. Since every quasi-ideal is a bi-ideal of N, Q = QNQ.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. L. Jat & S. C. Choudhary: On left bi-potent near-rings. Proc. of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society 23(1979), 99-107.
- 2. L. Kovacs: A note on regular rings. Publ. Math. Debrecen 4 (1956), 465-468.
- 3. G. Pilz: Near-rings. North Holland, Amsterdam (1983).
- 4. T. Tamizh Chelvam & N. Ganesan: On Bi-ideals of near-rings. *Indian J. pure appl. Math.* 18 (1987), no. 11, 1002-1005.
- 5. T. Tamizh Chelvam: Bi-ideals and b-regular near-rings. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 7 (1982), no. 2, 155-164.
- 6. T. Tamizh Chelvam: Bi-regular near-rings. The Mathematics Student. 62 (1993), no. 1-4, 89-92.
- 7. I. Yakabe: Quasi-ideals in near-rings. Math. Rep. Kyushu Univ. 14 (1983), 41-46.

 $^{\rm a}$ Department of Mathematics Education, College of Education, Silla University, Pusan 617-736, Korea

Email address: yucho@silla.ac.kr

 $^{\rm b}$ Department of Mathematics, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli 627 012, Tamil Nadu, India

Email address: tamche_59@yahoo.co.in

 $^{\rm c}{\rm Department}$ of Mathematics, Sri Parasakthi College for Women, Courtalam, Tamil Nadu, India