

## ON 4-PERMUTING 4-DERIVATIONS IN PRIME AND SEMIPRIME RINGS

KYOO-HONG PARK

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that there exists a 4-permuting 4-derivation  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  such that the trace is centralizing on  $R$ . Then the trace of  $\Delta$  is commuting on  $R$ . In particular, if  $R$  is a 3!-torsion free prime ring and  $\Delta$  is nonzero under the same condition, then  $R$  is commutative.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will represent an associative ring, and  $Z$  will be its center. Let  $x, y \in R$ . The commutator  $yx - xy$  will be denoted by  $[y, x]$ . We will also use the identities  $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$  and  $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$ . Then a map  $f : R \rightarrow R$  is said to be *commuting* (resp. *centralizing*) on  $R$  if  $[f(x), x] = 0$  (resp.  $[f(x), x] \in Z$ ) for all  $x \in R$ . A map  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \times \cdots \times R \rightarrow R$  will be said to be *n-permuting* ( $n \geq 3$ ) if the equation  $\Delta(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \Delta(x_{\pi(1)}, x_{\pi(2)}, \dots, x_{\pi(n)})$  holds for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in R$  and for every permutation  $\{\pi(1), \pi(2), \dots, \pi(n)\}$ . Recall that  $R$  is *semiprime* if  $xRx = \{0\}$  implies  $x = 0$  and  $R$  is *prime* if  $xRy = \{0\}$  implies  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ .

An additive map  $d : R \rightarrow R$  is called a *derivation* if the Leibniz rule  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$  holds for all  $x, y \in R$ .

By a *bi-derivation* we mean a bi-additive map  $B : R \times R \rightarrow R$  (i.e.,  $B$  is additive in both arguments) which satisfies the relations

$$\begin{aligned} B(xy, z) &= B(x, z)y + xB(y, z), \\ B(x, yz) &= B(x, y)z + yB(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

---

Received by the editors February 8, 2007 and, in revised form, July 15, 2007.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W20, 16W25.

*Key words and phrases.* prime ring, semiprime ring, commuting map, centralizing map,  $n$ -permuting map, derivation, 4-derivation.

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Let  $B$  be symmetric, that is,  $B(x, y) = B(y, x)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . The map  $\beta : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $\beta(x) = B(x, x)$  for all  $x, y \in R$  is called the trace of  $B$ . If  $R$  is a noncommutative 2-torsion free prime ring and  $B : R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a symmetric bi-derivation, then it follows from [1, Theorem 3.5] that  $B = 0$ .

A 3-additive map  $D : R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  (i.e., additive in each argument) will be called a 3-*derivation* if the relations

$$\begin{aligned} D(x_1x_2, y, z) &= D(x_1, y, z)x_2 + x_1D(x_2, y, z), \\ D(x, y_1y_2, z) &= D(x, y_1, z)y_2 + y_1D(x, y_2, z) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D(x, y, z_1z_2) = D(x, y, z_1)z_2 + z_1D(x, y, z_2)$$

are fulfilled for all  $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, z_i \in R, i = 1, 2$ . We obtained some results concerning 3-permuting 3-derivations of prime and semiprime rings in [2].

Here we introduce the following map:

A 4-additive map  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  (i.e., additive in each argument) will be called a 4-*derivation* if the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(x_1x_2, y, z, w) &= \Delta(x_1, y, z, w)x_2 + x_1\Delta(x_2, y, z, w), \\ \Delta(x, y_1y_2, z, w) &= \Delta(x, y_1, z, w)y_2 + y_1\Delta(x, y_2, z, w), \\ \Delta(x, y, z_1z_2, w) &= \Delta(x, y, z_1, w)z_2 + z_1\Delta(x, y, z_2, w) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Delta(x, y, z, w_1w_2) = \Delta(x, y, z, w_1)w_2 + w_1\Delta(x, y, z, w_2)$$

are fulfilled for all  $x, y, z, x_i, y_i, z_i, w_i \in R, i = 1, 2$ . If  $\Delta$  is 4-permuting, then the above four relations are equivalent to each other.

For example, let  $R$  be commutative. A map  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $(x, y, z, w) \mapsto d(x)d(y)d(z)d(w)$  for all  $x, y, z, w \in R$  is a 4-permuting 4-derivation, where  $d$  is a derivation on  $R$ .

On the other hand, let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

where  $\mathbb{C}$  is a complex field. It is clear that  $R$  is a noncommutative ring under matrix addition and matrix multiplication. We define a map  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  by

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & b_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_3 & b_3 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} a_4 & b_4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_1a_2a_3a_4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to see that  $\Delta$  is a 4-permuting 4-derivation.

Let a map  $\delta : R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $\delta(x) = \Delta(x, x, x, x)$  for all  $x \in R$ , where  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a 4-permuting map, be the *trace* of  $\Delta$ . It is obvious that, in case when  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a 4-permuting map which is also 4-additive, the trace  $\delta$  of  $\Delta$  satisfies the relation

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) + 4\Delta(x, x, x, y) + 6\Delta(x, x, y, y) + 4\Delta(x, y, y, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Since we have

$$\Delta(0, y, z, w) = \Delta(0 + 0, y, z, w) = \Delta(0, y, z, w) + \Delta(0, y, z, w)$$

for all  $y, z, w \in R$ , we obtain  $\Delta(0, y, z, w) = 0$  for all  $y, z, w \in R$ . Hence we get

$$0 = \Delta(0, y, z, w) = \Delta(x - x, y, z, w) = \Delta(x, y, z, w) + \Delta(-x, y, z, w)$$

and so we see that  $\Delta(-x, y, z, w) = -\Delta(x, y, z, w)$  for all  $x, y, z \in R$ . This tells us that  $\delta$  is an even function.

A study concerning the theory of centralizing (commuting) maps on prime rings was initiated by the classical result of E. C. Posner [4] which states that the existence of a nonzero centralizing derivation on a prime ring  $R$  implies that  $R$  is commutative. Since then, a great deal of work in this context has been done by a number of authors (see, e.g., [1] and references therein). For example, as a study concerning centralizing (commuting) maps, J. Vukman [5, 6] investigated symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semiprime rings.

In this paper, we apply the results due to E. C. Posner [4] and J. Vukman [5] to 4-permuting 4-derivations, respectively.

## 2. THE MAIN RESULTS

We first need the following well-known lemma [3].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $R$  be a prime ring. Let  $d : R \rightarrow R$  be a derivation and  $a \in R$ . If  $ad(x) = 0$  holds for all  $x \in R$ , then we have either  $a = 0$  or  $d = 0$ .*

We begin our investigation of 4-permuting 4-derivations with the next result.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $R$  be a noncommutative 3!-torsion free prime ring. Suppose that there exists a 4-permuting 4-derivation  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\delta$  is commuting on  $R$ , where  $\delta$  is the trace of  $\Delta$ . Then we have  $\Delta = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that

$$(1) \quad [\delta(x), x] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in R.$$

The substitution  $x = x + y$  to linearize (1) leads to

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= [\delta(y), x] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x] + 6[\Delta(x, x, y, y), x] + 4[\Delta(x, y, y, y), x] \\ &+ [\delta(x), y] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), y] + 6[\Delta(x, x, y, y), y] + 4[\Delta(x, y, y, y), y] \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Putting  $-x$  instead of  $x$  in (2) and comparing (2) with the result, we arrive at

$$(3) \quad [\delta(x), y] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x] + 6[\Delta(x, x, y, y), y] + 4[\Delta(x, y, y, y), x] = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in R$  since  $\delta$  is even. We set  $y = x + y$  in (3) and then use (1) and (3) to obtain

$$(4) \quad [\delta(x), y] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, x, y, y), x] + 2[\Delta(x, x, x, y), y] = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $-x$  in (4), we have

$$(5) \quad 3[\Delta(x, x, y, y), x] + 2[\Delta(x, x, x, y), y] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in R.$$

We let  $y = x + y$  in (5) and then employ (1) and (5) to get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2[\delta(x), y] + 8[\delta(x, x, x, y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, x, y, y), x] + 2[\Delta(x, x, x, y), y] \\ &= 2[\delta(x), y] + 8[\delta(x, x, x, y), x] \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to the equation

$$(6) \quad 0 = [\delta(x), y] + 4[\delta(x, x, x, y), x] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

Let us write in (6)  $xy$  instead of  $y$ . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\delta(x), xy] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, xy), x] \\ &= x[\delta(x), y] + 4\delta(x)[y, x] + 4x[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x] \\ &= x\{[\delta(x), y] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x]\} + 4\delta(x)[y, x] \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(7) \quad \delta(x)[y, x] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R$$

on account of (6). From (7) and Lemma 2.1, we have  $\delta(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  ( $x \notin Z$ ) since for every fixed  $x \in R$ , a map  $y \mapsto [y, x]$  is a derivation on  $R$ .

Now, let  $x \in R$  ( $x \in Z$ ) and  $y \in R$  ( $y \notin Z$ ). Then  $y + x \notin Z$  and  $-y \notin Z$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta(y + x) &= \delta(y) + \delta(x) + 4\Delta(y, y, y, x) + 6\Delta(y, y, x, x) + 4\Delta(y, x, x, x) \\ &= \delta(x) + 4\Delta(y, y, y, x) + 6\Delta(y, y, x, x) + 4\Delta(y, x, x, x) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \delta(y - x) &= \delta(y) + \delta(x) - 4\Delta(y, y, y, x) + 6\Delta(y, y, x, x) - 4\Delta(y, x, x, x) \\ &= \delta(x) - 4\Delta(y, y, y, x) + 6\Delta(y, y, x, x) - 4\Delta(y, x, x, x) \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$(8) \quad \delta(x) + 6\Delta(x, x, y, y) = 0.$$

Replacing  $y \in R$  ( $y \notin Z$ ) by  $2y$  in (8) and using (8), we obtain that

$$18\Delta(x, x, y, y) = 0 = \Delta(x, x, y, y)$$

and so the relation (8) gives  $\delta(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$  ( $x \in Z$ ). Therefore we conclude that  $\delta(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ .

On the other hand, since the relation

$$\delta(x + y) = \delta(x) + \delta(y) + 4\Delta(x, x, x, y) + 6\Delta(x, x, y, y) + 4\Delta(x, y, y, y)$$

is fulfilled for all  $x, y \in R$ , it follows that

$$(9) \quad 2\Delta(x, x, x, y) + 3\Delta(x, x, y, y) + 2\Delta(x, y, y, y) = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R$$

and putting  $x = -x$  in (9) and utilizing (9) yield

$$(10) \quad 3\Delta(x, x, y, y) = 0 = \Delta(x, x, y, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

Let us substitute  $y + w$  for  $y$  in (10) and then use (10). Then we obtain that

$$(11) \quad 2\Delta(x, x, y, w) = 0 = \Delta(x, x, y, w) \quad \text{for all } x, y, w \in R.$$

Finally, replacing  $x$  by  $x + z$  in (11) and applying (11), we get

$$2\Delta(x, y, z, w) = 0 = \Delta(x, y, z, w) \quad \text{for all } x, y, z, w \in R,$$

that is,  $\Delta(x, y, z, w) = 0$  for all  $x, y, z, w \in R$  which completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We continue with the following result for 4-permuting 4-derivations on semiprime rings.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R$  be a noncommutative 2-torsion free semiprime ring. Suppose that there exists a 4-permuting 4-derivation  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\delta$  is centralizing on  $R$ , where  $\delta$  is the trace of  $\Delta$ . Then  $\delta$  is commuting on  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that

$$(12) \quad [\delta(x), x] \in Z \quad \text{for all } x \in R.$$

By linearizing (12) and again using (12), we obtain

$$(13) \quad Z \ni [\delta(y), x] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x] + 6[\Delta(x, x, y, y), x] + 4[\Delta(x, y, y, y), x] \\ + [\delta(x), y] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), y] + 6[\Delta(x, x, y, y), y] + 4[\Delta(x, y, y, y), y]$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . We substitute  $-x$  for  $x$  in (13) and compare (13) with the result to get

$$(14) \quad [\delta(x), y] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x] + 6[\Delta(x, x, y, y), y] + 4[\Delta(x, y, y, y), x] \in Z$$

for all  $x, y \in R$  since  $R$  is 2-torsion free.

Letting  $y = x + y$  in (14) and using (14) give

$$(15) \quad [\delta(x), y] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x] + 3[\Delta(x, x, y, y), x] + 2[\Delta(x, x, x, y), y] \in Z$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . We set  $x = -x$  in (15) and compare (15) with the result to obtain

$$(16) \quad 3[\Delta(x, x, y, y), x] + 2[\Delta(x, x, x, y), y] \in Z$$

for all  $x, y \in R$  since  $R$  is 2-torsion free.

Replacing  $x$  by  $x + y$  in (16) and using (16), we have

$$(17) \quad [\delta(x), y] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x] \in Z \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

Taking  $y = x^2$  in (17) and invoking (12) show that

$$(18) \quad Z \ni [\delta(x), x^2] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, x^2), x] = 10[\delta(x), x]x \quad \text{for all } y \in R$$

and commuting with  $\delta(x)$  in (18) gives

$$(19) \quad 10[\delta(x), x]^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } y \in R.$$

On the other hand, substituting  $y$  by  $xy$  in (17), we obtain

$$(20) \quad Z \ni [\delta(x), xy] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, xy), x] \\ = x\{[\delta(x), y] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x]\} + 4\delta(x)[y, x] + 5[\delta(x), x]y$$

for all  $x, y \in R$  and hence we have, for all  $x, y \in R$ ,

$$x\{[\delta(x), y] + 4[\Delta(x, x, x, y), x]\}, x + [4\delta(y)[y, x] + 5[\delta(x), x]y, x] = 0.$$

So we get

$$(21) \quad 4\delta(x)[[y, x], x] + 9[\delta(x), x][y, x] = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R$$

according to (17).

Substituting  $\delta(x)y$  for  $y$  in (21), it follows that

$$0 = \delta(x)\{4\delta(x)[[y, x], x] + 9[\delta(x), x][y, x]\} + 4[[\delta(x), x], x] \\ + 8\delta(x)[\delta(x), x][y, x] + 9[\delta(x), x]^2y \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R$$

which, by (1) and (21), implies that

$$(22) \quad 8\delta(x)[\delta(x), x][y, x] + 9[\delta(x), x]^2y = 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

Letting  $y = [\delta(x), x]$  in (22), we arrive at  $9[\delta(x), x]^3 = 0$  and so we have

$$9[\delta(x), x]^2R9[\delta(x), x]^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in R.$$

Since  $R$  is semiprime, we deduce that

$$(23) \quad 9[\delta(x), x]^2 = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in R.$$

Thus, the relations (19) and (23) yield  $[\delta(x), x]^2 = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . Since the center of a semiprime ring contains no nonzero nilpotent elements, we conclude that  $[\delta(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in R$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

The following result is an analogue of Posner's theorem [4].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $R$  be a 3!-torsion free prime ring. Suppose that there exists a nonzero 4-permuting 4-derivation  $\Delta : R \times R \times R \times R \rightarrow R$  such that  $\delta$  is centralizing on  $R$ , where  $\delta$  be the trace of  $\Delta$ . Then  $R$  is commutative.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $R$  is noncommutative. Then it follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $\delta$  is commuting on  $R$ . Hence Lemma 2.2 gives  $\Delta = 0$  which guarantees the conclusion of the theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. M. Brešar: Commuting maps: a survey. *Taiwanese J. Math.* **8** (2004), no. 3, 361-397.
2. Y.-S. Jung & K.-H. Park: On prime and semiprime rings with permuting 3-derivations. *Submitted.*
3. J. Mayne: Centralizing mappings of prime rings. *Canad. Math. Bull.* **27** (1984), 122-126.
4. E.C. Posner: Derivations in prime rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **8** (1957), 1093-1100.

5. J. Vukman : Symmetric bi-derivations on prime and semi-prime rings. *Aequationes Math.* **38** (1989), 245-254.
6. J. Vukman : Two results concerning symmetric bi-derivations on prime rings. *Aequationes Math.* **40** (1990), 181-189.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SEOWON UNIVERSITY, CHEONGJU, CHUNGBUK 361-742, KOREA

*Email address:* `parkkh@seowon.ac.kr`