NONPARAMETRIC MINIMAL SURFACE AND HARMONIC MAPPING

SOOK HEUI JUN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we investigate the harmonic mappings that arise in connection with Scherk's surface and helicoid.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb D$ be a domain in $\mathbb C$. A continuous function f=u+iv defined in $\mathbb D$ is harmonic if u and v are real harmonic in $\mathbb D$. In any simply connected subdomain of $\mathbb D$ we can write $f=h+\overline g$, where h and g are analytic and $\overline g$ denotes the function $z\longmapsto \overline{g(z)}$. A result of Lewy [2] shows that the harmonic mapping $f=h+\overline g$ is locally one-to-one and orientation-preserving if and only if |g'(z)|<|h'(z)|. We call such mappings locally univalent, and we say f is univalent in $\mathbb D$ if f is one-to-one and orientation-preserving in $\mathbb D$.

Let G(z) be a meromorphic function in the unit disk $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and F(z) an analytic function in D having the property that it vanishes only at the poles of G, and the order of its zero at such a point is exactly twice the order of the pole of G. Then S is a regular minimal surface if and only if S admits an isothermal parametric representation of the form $S = \{(u(z), v(z), \phi(z)) : z \in D\}$, where

(1.1)
$$u = \frac{1}{2}Re\left\{\int_0^z F(1-G^2) dz\right\},$$

$$v = -\frac{1}{2}Im\left\{\int_0^z F(1+G^2) dz\right\},$$

$$\phi = Re\left\{\int_0^z FG dz\right\}.$$

Received by the editors August 20, 2007. Accepted July 27, 2008.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 30C45, 53A10, 30C50.

Key words and phrases. harmonic mapping, minimal surface.

This work was supported by a special research grant from Seoul Women's University (2008).

In addition, the coordinate functions of such representation are harmonic since S is a minimal surface. Therefore, the projection of such representation onto the uv-plane defines a harmonic mapping f(z) = u + iv on D [3].

In this paper, we first find the nonparametric minimal surfaces which are constructed by choices of F(z) and G(z). These surfaces are helicoids produced by $F(z) = \frac{2z^2}{(1-z^2)^2}$ and $G(z) = \pm \frac{i}{z}$, and Scherk's surfaces produced by $F(z) = \frac{2z^2}{z^4-1}$ and $G(z) = \pm \frac{1}{z}$. And then we investigate properties of the harmonic mappings

$$f_1(z) = \frac{z}{2(1-z^2)} + \frac{1}{4}\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{2(1-z^2)} - \frac{1}{4}\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)\right)$$

and

$$f_2(z) = -\frac{i}{4}\log\left(\frac{1+iz}{1-iz}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) + \left(-\frac{i}{4}\log\left(\frac{1+iz}{1-iz}\right) - \frac{1}{4}\log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)\right)$$

that arise in connection with these minimal surfaces which are constructed by F(z) and G(z).

2. Properties of the Harmonic Mapping

The representations of the form (1.1) were first given by Enneper and Weierstrass, and have played a major role in the theory of minimal surfaces. One obvious example is to take F(z) = 1 and G(z) = z which lead to the surface known as Enneper's surface.

Now let's consider the functions $F(z) = \frac{2z^2}{(1-z^2)^2}$, $G(z) = \pm \frac{i}{z}$ which satisfy the conditions for giving the representation (1.1). Corresponding regular minimal surfaces $S_1 = \{(u(z), v(z), \phi(z)) : z \in D\}$ are given by

(2.1)
$$u = Re \left\{ \int_0^z \frac{z^2 + 1}{(1 - z^2)^2} dz \right\} = Re \left\{ \frac{z}{1 - z^2} \right\},$$

$$v = Im \left\{ \int_0^z \frac{1}{1 - z^2} dz \right\} = Im \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1 + z}{1 - z} \right) \right\},$$

$$\phi = Re \left\{ \int_0^z \frac{\pm 2iz}{(1 - z^2)^2} dz \right\} = \pm Im \left\{ \frac{z^2}{1 - z^2} \right\}.$$

The associated harmonic mapping is

$$(2.2) f_1(z) = u + iv = \frac{z}{2(1-z^2)} + \frac{1}{4}log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{2(1-z^2)} - \frac{1}{4}log\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)\right).$$

Let $\frac{1+z}{1-z}=Re^{i\theta}$. Then $R>0, -\frac{\pi}{2}<\theta<\frac{\pi}{2}$, and $z=\frac{Re^{i\theta}-1}{Re^{i\theta}+1}$ because $\frac{1+z}{1-z}$ is Möbius transformation from D onto the right half plane. Apply $z=\frac{Re^{i\theta}-1}{Re^{i\theta}+1}$ into (2.1). Then

we get the followings;

$$u = \frac{1}{4} \left(R - \frac{1}{R} \right) \cos \theta, \ v = \frac{\theta}{2}, \ \phi = \pm \frac{1}{4} \left(R - \frac{1}{R} \right) \sin \theta.$$

It is evident that u varies from $-\infty$ to ∞ on each horizontal line v = constant, and therefore, the minimal surfaces S_1 lie over all of $\Omega_1 = \{w = u + iv : |v| < \pi/4\}$. The nonparametric forms of these minimal surfaces S_1 are given by the nonparametric equations

$$\phi(u,v) = \pm u \tan(2v)$$

on Ω_1 . This tells us that our minimal surfaces S_1 are helicoids. Note that the associated harmonic mapping f_1 in (2.2) also maps the unit disk D onto the stripdomain Ω_1 .

Similarly, the choices $F(z) = \frac{2z^2}{z^4-1}$, $G(z) = \pm \frac{1}{z}$ produce the regular minimal surfaces $S_2 = \{(u(z), v(z), \phi(z)) : z \in D\}$ which are given by

$$u = \frac{1}{2} Im \left\{ \log \left(\frac{1+iz}{1-iz} \right) \right\}, \ v = \frac{1}{2} Im \left\{ \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) \right\}, \ \phi = \pm \frac{1}{2} Re \left\{ \log \left(\frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2} \right) \right\}.$$

The nonparametric forms of these minimal surfaces S_2 , called Scherk's surfaces, are given by the equations

$$\phi(u, v) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{\cos(2v)}{\cos(2u)} \right)$$

on $\Omega_2 = \{w = u + iv : |u| < \pi/4, \ |v| < \pi/4\}$, and the associated harmonic mapping

(2.4)
$$f_{2}(z) = u + iv = -\frac{i}{4} \log \left(\frac{1+iz}{1-iz} \right) + \frac{1}{4} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) + \left(-\frac{i}{4} \log \left(\frac{1+iz}{1-iz} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) \right)$$

maps the unit disk D onto the square-domain Ω_2 .

In the following theorem, we will show that these harmonic mappings f_1 and f_2 are univalent in D.

Theorem 1. The harmonic mappings $f_k = h_k + \overline{g}_k$ in (2.2) and (2.4) are univalent. Proof. Since the Jacobian of f_k , $J(f_k) = |h'_k(z)|^2 - |g'_k(z)|^2$, is positive in D, f_k is locally one-to-one and orientation preserving, that is locally univalent. The analytic mapping $W = h_k(z) - g_k(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)$ defined in D is obviously one-to-one, and conformal since $h'_k(z) - g'_k(z) = \frac{1}{1-z^2} \neq 0$ in D. In addition, the conformal univalent mapping $W = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)$ maps D onto the strip-domain Ω_1 . Let z = z(W) be an inverse mapping of $W = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)$. Then

$$f_k(z(W)) = W + 2Re\{g_k(z(W))\} = W + \psi_k(W)$$

is locally one-to-one. If $f_k(z_1) = f_k(z_2)$ with $z_1 \neq z_2$, then writing $z_1 = z(W_1)$, $z_2 = z(W_2)$ we have $W_1 + \psi_k(W_1) = W_2 + \psi_k(W_2)$ with $W_1 = u_1 + iv_1 \neq u_2 + iv_2 = W_2$. This implies that $v_1 = v_2 = v_0$ and $u_1 + \psi_k(u_1 + iv_0) = u_2 + \psi_k(u_2 + iv_0)$. The continuous real-valued function $H_k(u) = u + \psi_k(u + iv_0)$, which is defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ since $W = \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right)$ maps D onto the domain Ω_1 , is not strictly monotonic and therefore not locally one-to-one. Thus $W + \psi_k(W) = f_k(z(W))$ is not locally one-to-one. Therefore f_k is one-to-one, i.e., univalent. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lars. V. Ahlfors: Complex Analysis. McGraw-Hill, 1966.
- 2. H. Lewy: On the non-vanishing of the Jacobian in certain one-to-one mappings. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 42 (1936), 689-692.
- 3. R. Osserman: A survey of minimal surfaces. Dover, 1986.
- M. Spivak: A Comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry. Perish, 1979.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 139-774, KOREA Email address: shjun@swu.ac.kr