# ON THE CONVERGENCE OF NEWTON'S METHOD AND LOCALLY HÖLDERIAN INVERSES OF OPERATORS

### IOANNIS K. ARGYROS

ABSTRACT. A semilocal convergence analysis is provided for Newton's method in a Banach space. The inverses of the operators involved are only locally Hölderian. We make use of a point-based approximation and center-Hölderian hypotheses for the inverses of the operators involved. Such an approach can be used to approximate solutions of equations involving nonsmooth operators.

# 1. Introduction

In this study we are concerned with the problem of approximating a locally unique solution  $x^*$  of equation

$$(1) F(x) = 0,$$

where F is a continuous operator defined on a closed subset D of a Banach space X with values in a Banach space Y.

Here we continue our work initiated in [3], where Newton's method was used to approximate  $x^*$ . In [3] we assumed that  $F^{-1}$  is locally p-Hölderian for  $p \in [0, 1)$ . Here we assume  $F^{-1}$  is locally p-"Hölderian" for p > 1. The case p = 1 has been considered in [6].

The benefits of our approach and the advantages over earlier works (see [5], [6] and the references there) have already been explained in [3].

## 2. Preliminaries

We need the following definition of point-based approximation:

Received by the editors December 5, 2007. Accepted February 2, 2009.

 $<sup>2000\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 65G99,\ 65H10,\ 47H10,\ 49M15.$ 

Key words and phrases. Newton's method, Banach space, locally Hölderian inverses of operators, point-based approximation, semilocal convergence, successive substitutions, fixed point.

**Definition 1.** Let f be an operator from a closed subset D of a metric space (X, d) into a normed linear space Y, let  $x_0 \in D$ , and  $p \geq 1$ . We say f has a point-based approximation (PBA) on D at  $x_0 \in D$  if there exist an operator  $A: D \times D \to Y$  and scalars  $\ell$ ,  $\ell_0$  such that for each u, and v in D,

(2) 
$$||f(v) - A(u, v)|| \le \ell d(u, v)^p$$

(3) 
$$||[A(u,x) - A(v,x)] - [A(u,y) - A(v,y)]|| \le 2\ell d(u,v)^p$$

and

(4) 
$$||[A(u,v) - A(x_0,x)] - [A(u,y) - A(x_0,y)]|| \le 2\ell_0 d(u,v)^p$$

for all  $x, y \in D$ .

Justifications/choices of operator A have already been given in [3].

To avoid repetitions we assume familiarity of the reader with Definition 2, Lemmas 1 and 2 in [3] (which hold for  $p \ge 1$ ). Note that according to Definition 2 in [3],  $F^{-1}$  (if it exists) is  $\frac{1}{p}$ -Hölderian with modulus  $\delta^{-1/p}$ .

From now on we also assume p > 1.

#### 3. Semilocal Convergence

We need the following result on fixed points:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $Q: D \subset X \to X$  be an operator, p, q scalars with p > 1,  $q \ge 0$ , and  $x_0$  a point in D such that

(5) 
$$||Q(x) - Q(y)|| \le q||x - y||^p \quad \text{for all } x, y \in D;$$
 equation

(6) 
$$2^{p-1}qr^p - r + ||x_0 - Q(x_0)|| = 0$$

has a unique positive solution r in  $I = \left[ \|x_0 - Q(x_0)\|, \frac{1}{2}q^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \right];$  and

$$U(x_0, r) = \{x \in X \mid ||x - x_0||\} \le r \subseteq D.$$

Then sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $(n \ge 0)$  generated by successive substitutions

$$(7) x_{n+1} = Q(x_n) (n \ge 0)$$

converges to a unique fixed point  $x^* \in U(x_0,r)$  of operator Q, so that for all  $n \geq 1$ 

(8) 
$$||x_{n+1} - x_n|| \le d||x_n - x_{n-1}|| \le d^n ||x_0 - Q(x_0)||$$

and

(9) 
$$||x_n - x^*|| \le \frac{d^n}{1 - d} ||x_0 - Q(x_0)||$$

where,

$$(10) d = (2r)^{p-1}q.$$

*Proof.* By the definition of r we have  $x_1 \in U(x_0, r)$ . Assume  $x_k \in U(x_0, r)$  for  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ . Then  $x_{n+1}$  is defined by (7). By (5) and (7) we can have in turn:

$$||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = ||Q(x_n) - Q(x_{n-1})|| \le q ||x_n - x_{n-1}||^p$$

$$\le q ||x_n - x_{n-1}||^{p-1} ||x_n - x_{n-1}||$$

$$\le q (||x_n - x_0|| + ||x_0 - x_{n-1}||)^{p-1} ||x_n - x_{n-1}||$$

$$\le q (2r)^{p-1} ||x_n - x_{n-1}|| = d||x_n - x_{n-1}|| \le d^n ||x_1 - x_0||,$$
(11)

which shows (8).

Moreover for all  $m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  we have:

$$||x_{n+m} - x_n|| \le ||x_{n+m} - x_{n+m-1}|| + ||x_{n+m-1} - x_{n+m-2}|| + \dots + ||x_{n+1} - x_n||$$

$$\le (d^{n+m-1} + \dots + d^n)||x_0 - Q(x_0)||$$

$$\le \frac{1 - d^m}{1 - d} d^n ||x_0 - Q(x_0)||.$$
(12)

It follows from (10) and (12) that sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in a Banach space X, and as such it converges to some  $x^* \in U(x_0, r)$  (since  $U(x_0, r)$  is a closed set). By letting  $m \to \infty$  in (12) we get (9). In particular for n = 0, and m = n + 1 (12) gives  $x_{n+1} \in U(x_0, r)$ . That is  $x_n \in U(x_0, r)$  for all  $n \ge 0$ .

Furthermore by letting  $n \to \infty$  in (7) we get  $x^* = Q(x^*)$  since operator Q is continuous by (5).

To show uniqueness, let  $y^* \in U(x_0, r)$  be a fixed point of Q then by (5) we get for  $x^* \neq y^*$ 

$$||x^* - y^*|| = ||Q(x^*) - Q(y^*)|| \le q||x^* - y^*||^p \le d||x^* - y^*||$$

$$< ||x^* - y^*||,$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence we deduce:

$$x^* = y^*.$$

That completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Remark 1.** Conditions can be given to guarantee the existence and uniqueness of r. Indeed define scalar function h by

(13) 
$$h(t) = 2^{p-1}qt^p - t + \eta, \quad ||x_0 - Q(x_0)|| \le \eta.$$

By the intermediate value theorem (6) has a solution r in I if

$$h\left(\frac{1}{2}q^{\frac{1}{1-p}}\right) \le 0$$

or if

(15) 
$$\eta \le \frac{1}{2} q^{\frac{1}{1-p}} (1 - q^{-1}) = \eta_0$$

and

$$(16) q \ge 1.$$

This solution is unique if

$$(17) \eta \le \eta_1$$

where,

(18) 
$$\eta_1 = \min \left\{ \eta_0, \frac{1}{2} (pq)^{\frac{1}{1-p}} \right\}.$$

Indeed if (16) and (17) hold, it follows that

$$h'(t) \leq 0$$
 on  $I_1 = [\eta, \eta_1] \subset I$ .

Therefore h crosses the t-axis only once (since h is nonincreasing on  $I_1$ ). Set

$$\overline{\eta} = \min\{\eta_1, \eta_2\}$$

where,

(20) 
$$\eta_2 = (2^p q)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}.$$

It follows from (13) and (19) that if

$$(21) \eta \leq \overline{\eta},$$

then

$$(22) r \le 2\eta = r_0.$$

We can state and prove the main semilocal convergence theorem for Newton's method involving a p-(PBA) (p > 1) approximation for f.

**Theorem 2.** Let X and Y be Banach spaces, D a closed convex subset of X,  $x_0 \in D$ , and F a continuous operator from D into Y. Suppose that F has a p-(PBA) approximation at  $x_0$ . Moreover assume:

$$\delta(A(x_0,\cdot),D) \ge \delta_0 > 0;$$

(23) 
$$2\ell_0 < \delta_0, \quad (1 - 2\ell_0 \delta_1^{-1} r_0) \delta_0 \eta - \ell \eta^p \ge 0$$

where,

$$\delta_1 = \delta_0(A(x_0,\cdot),D);$$

(9) in [2, Lemma 1] and conditions (16), (21) in Remark 1 hold for  $\alpha = \delta_0 \eta$  and  $q = \ell(\delta_0 - 2\ell_0)^{-1}$ ;

for each  $y \in U(0, \delta_0 \eta)$  the equation  $A(x_0, x) = y$  has a solution x; the solution  $T(x_0)$  of  $A(x_0, T(x_0)) = 0$  satisfies  $||x_0 - T(x_0)|| \le \eta$ , and

$$U(x_0, r_0) \subseteq D$$
,

where  $r_0$  is given by (25).

Then the Newton iteration defining  $x_{n+1}$  by

$$A(x_n, x_{n+1}) = 0$$

remains in  $U(x_0, r_0)$ , and converges to a solution  $x^* \in U(x_0, r_0)$  of equation F(x) = 0, so that estimates (8) and (9) hold.

Proof. It is identical to the proof of Theorem 2 in [3].

**Remark 2.** For the study of the uniqueness of solution  $x^*$  we refer the reader to the corresponding Remark 2 in [3].

**Remark 3.** Our Theorem 2 compares favorably with Theorem 3.2 in [6, p. 298]. First of all the latter theorem cannot be used when e.g.  $p \in [1, 2)$  (see the example that follows). In the case p = 2 our condition (23) becomes for  $\delta_0 = \delta_1$ 

(24) 
$$h_0 = \delta_0^{-1} (\ell + 4\ell_0) \eta \le 1$$

where as the corresponding one in [5] becomes

$$(25) h = 4\delta_0^{-1} \ell \eta \le 1.$$

Clearly (24) is weaker than (25) if

$$\frac{\ell}{\ell_0} > \frac{4}{3} \,.$$

But  $\frac{\ell}{\ell_0}$  can be arbitrarily large [2]. Therefore our Theorem 2 can be used in cases when Theorem 3.2 in [6] cannot when p=2.

**Example 1.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ ,  $m \geq 2$  an integer and define matrix operator Q on X by

(27) 
$$Q(z) = M + M_1(z), \quad z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{m-1}),$$

where M is a real  $(m-1) \times (m-1)$  matrix,

$$M_1(z) = m_{ij} = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 0 & i 
eq j, \ z_i^p, & i = j \end{array} 
ight.$$

and e.g.  $p \in [1, 2)$ .

Operators Q of the form (27) appear in many discretization studies in connection with the solution of two boundary value problems [1]. Clearly no matter how operator A is chosen the conditions in Definition 2.1 in [6, p. 293] cannot hold, whereas our result can apply.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Argyros, I.K.: Advances in the Efficiency of Computational Methods and Applications. World Scientific Publ. Co., River Edge, NJ, 2000.
- 2. \_\_\_\_\_: An improved error analysis for Newton-like methods under generalized conditions. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 157 (2003), no. 1, 169-185.
- 3. \_\_\_\_\_: On the convergence of Newton's method and locally Hölderian operators. J. Korea Soc. Math. Edu. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 15 (2008), no. 2, 111-120.
- 4. Kantorovich, L.V. & Akilov, G.P.: Functional Analysis in Normed Spaces. Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1982.
- 5. Robinson, S.M.: An implicit function theorem for a class of nonsmooth functions. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, **16** (1991), no. 2, 292-309.
- 6. Robinson, S.M.: Newton's method for a class of nonsmooth functions. Set-Valued Analysis, 2 (1994), 291-305.

CAMERON UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SCIENCES, LAWTON, OK 73505, USA *Email address*: iargyros@cameron.edu