

RELATED FIXED POINT THEOREM ON TWO INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY METRIC SPACES

BHAVANA DESHPANDE ^a AND ROHIT PATHAK ^b

ABSTRACT. We prove a related fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings on two intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. Our result is maiden in this line.

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by the potential applicability of fuzzy topology to quantum particle physics particularly in connection with both string and $e^{(\infty)}$ theory developed by El Naschie [7, 8], Park introduced and discussed in [24] a notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces which is based both on the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy set due to Atanassov [1] and the concept of fuzzy metric spaces given by George and Veeramani in [14]. Actually, Park's notion is useful in modelling some phenomena where it is necessary to study relationship between probability function. It has a direct physics motivation in the context of the two slit experiment as foundation of E -infinity of high energy physics, recently studied by El Naschie in [9, 10].

Alaca et al. [2] using the idea of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, they defined the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy metric space as Park [24] with the help of continuous t -norms and continuous t -conorms as a generalization of fuzzy metric space due to Kramosil and Michalek [19]. Further, they introduced the notion of Cauchy sequences in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and proved the well known fixed point theorems of Banach [3] and Edelstein [6] extended to intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with the help of Grabiec [15].

Gregory et al. [16], Saadati and Park [25] studied the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces and its applications. Many authors proved fixed point theorems in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces including Sharma and Deshpande [27].

Received by the editors January 31, 2009 and, in revised form, November 19, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces, common fixed point, Cauchy sequence.

Fisher [11, 12], Nung [23], Cho et al. [4], Fisher and Murthy [13] proved related fixed point theorems on two or three metric spaces. Sharma et al. [28] proved related fixed point theorem on two fuzzy metric spaces.

However, so far the related fixed point theorems on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces have not been proved. Our work is maiden in this line.

In this paper, we prove a related fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings on two intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. We intuitionistically fuzzify the results of Sharma et al. [28] and many others.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 1 ([26]). A binary operation $*$: $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t -norm if $*$ is satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $*$ is commutative and associative,
- (ii) $*$ is continuous,
- (iii) $a * 1 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- (iv) $a * b \leq c * d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2 ([26]). A binary operation \diamond : $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous t -conorm if \diamond satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) \diamond is commutative and associative,
- (ii) \diamond is continuous,
- (iii) $a \diamond 0 = a$ for all $a \in [0, 1]$,
- (iv) $a \diamond b \leq c \diamond d$ whenever $a \leq c$ and $b \leq d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 1. The concepts of triangular norms (t -norms) and triangular conorms (t -conorms) are known as axiomatic skeletons that we use for characterizing fuzzy intersections and unions respectively. These concepts were originally introduced by Menger [21] in his study of statistical metric spaces. Several examples for these concepts were proposed by many authors ([5], [17], [18], [29]).

Definition 3 ([2]). A 5-tuple $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be an *intuitionistic fuzzy metric space* if X is an arbitrary set, $*$ is a continuous t -norm, \diamond is a continuous t -conorm and M, N are two fuzzy sets on $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $M(x, y, t) + N(x, y, t) \leq 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,
- (ii) $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$,

- (iii) $M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (iv) $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,
- (v) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$,
- (vi) for all $x, y \in X, M(x, y, \cdot) : X^2 \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left continuous,
- (vii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$
- (viii) $N(x, y, 0) = 1$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (ix) $N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$,
- (x) $N(x, y, t) = N(y, x, t)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,
- (xi) $N(x, y, t) \diamond N(y, z, s) \geq N(x, z, t + s)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $s, t > 0$,
- (xii) for all $x, y \in X, N(x, y, \cdot) : X^2 \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is right continuous,
- (xiii) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(x, y, t) = 0$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Then (M, N) is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy metric* on X . The functions $M(x, y, t)$ and $N(x, y, t)$ denote the degree of nearness and the degree of nonnearness between x and y with respect to t , respectively.

Remark 2. Every fuzzy metric space $(X, M, *)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space of the form $(X, M, 1 - M, *, \diamond)$ such that t -norm $*$ and t -conorm \diamond are associated [21] i.e.,

$$x \diamond y = 1 - ((1 - x) * (1 - y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Example 1. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Define t -norm by $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$, t -conorm by $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$ and for all $x, y \in X$ and $t > 0$,

$$M_d(x, y, t) = \frac{t}{t + d(x, y)}, \quad N_d(x, y, t) = \frac{d(x, y)}{t + d(x, y)}.$$

Then $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space. We call this intuitionistic fuzzy metric (M, N) induced by the metric d , the standard intuitionistic fuzzy metric.

Remark 3. In an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$, $M(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-decreasing and $N(x, y, \cdot)$ is non-increasing for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 4 ([2]). Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space, then (i) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be Cauchy sequence if for all $t > 0$ and $p > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_{n+p}, x_n, t) = 0,$$

(ii) a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if for all $t > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} N(x_n, x, t) = 0.$$

Since $*$ and \diamond are continuous, the limit is uniquely determined from (v) and (xi) respectively.

Definition 5 ([2]). An intuitionistic fuzzy metric space $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent.

Lemma A ([4]). Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in X . If there exists a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$(I) \quad M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, kt) \geq M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t),$$

$$(II) \quad N(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, kt) \leq N(y_{n+1}, y_n, t)$$

for all $t > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Lemma B ([22]). Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy metric space and for all $x, y \in X$, $t > 0$ and if for a number $k \in (0, 1)$,

$$M(x, y, kt) \geq M(x, y, t)$$

and $N(x, y, kt) \leq N(x, y, t)$, then $x = y$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let $(X, M_1, N_1, *, \diamond)$ and $(Y, M_2, N_2, *, \diamond)$ be two complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. Let A, B be mappings from X into Y and let S, T be mappings from Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &M_1(SAx, TBx', kt) \\ &\geq M_1(x, x', t) * M_1(x, SAx, t) * M_1(x', TBx', t) * M_1(SAx, TBx', t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &N_1(SAx, TBx', kt) \\ &\leq N_1(x, x', t) \diamond N_1(x, SAx, t) \diamond N_1(x', TBx', t) \diamond N_1(SAx, TBx', t), \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &M_2(BSy, ATy', kt) \\ &\geq M_2(y, y', t) * M_2(y, BSy, t) * M_2(y', ATy', t) * M_2(BSy, ATy', t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &N_2(BSy, ATy', kt) \\ &\leq N_2(y, y', t) \diamond N_2(y, BSy, t) \diamond N_2(y', ATy', t) \diamond N_2(BSy, ATy', t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x' \in X$, $y, y' \in Y$, $t > 0$ and $k \in (0, 1)$. If one of the mappings A, B, S, T is continuous, then SA and TB have a unique common fixed point z in X and BS

and AT have a unique common fixed point w in Y . Further $Az = Bz = w$ and $Sw = Tw = z$.

Proof. Let $x = x_0$ be an arbitrary point in X and define sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X and Y respectively as follows:

Choose a point $y_1 = Ax_0$, a point $x_1 = Sy_1$, a point $y_2 = Bx_1$ and a point $x_2 = Ty_2$. In general, having chosen x_{2n-2} in X , choose a point $y_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-2}$, a point $x_{2n-1} = Sy_{2n-1}$, a point $y_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$, and a point $x_{2n} = Ty_{2n}$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$. Then applying (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.3) \quad & M_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, kt) = M_1(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, kt) \\
 & \geq M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) * M_1(x_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t) * M_1(x_{2n-1}, TBx_{2n-1}, t) \\
 & \quad * M_1(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, t) \\
 & = M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) * M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) * M_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t) \\
 & \quad * M_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, t) \\
 & \geq M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) * M_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad & N_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, kt) \\
 & = N_1(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, kt) \\
 & \leq N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) \diamond N_1(x_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t) \diamond N_1(x_{2n-1}, TBx_{2n-1}, t) \\
 & \quad \diamond N_1(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, t) \\
 & = N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) \diamond N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N_1(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, t) \\
 & \quad \diamond N_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, t) \\
 & \leq N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, t) \diamond N_1(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(1.5) \quad M_1(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, kt) \geq M_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, t) * M_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t)$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad N_1(x_{2n+2}, x_{2n+1}, kt) \leq N_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, t) \diamond N_1(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}, t).$$

Thus, from (1.3) – (1.6), it follows that

$$M_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, kt) \geq M_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) * M_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t)$$

and

$$N_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, kt) \leq N_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \diamond N_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, t),$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Using the above two inequalities, we obtain the following with the help of simple induction

$$M_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, kt) \geq M_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) * M_1\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}\right)$$

and

$$N_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, kt) \leq N_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, t) \diamond N_1\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}\right),$$

for positive integers n and p .

Thus since $M_1\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}\right) \rightarrow 1$ and $N_1\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$M_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, kt) \geq M_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)$$

and

$$N_1(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, kt) \leq N_1(x_n, x_{n+1}, t).$$

Similarly applying inequalities (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1.7) \quad M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) &= M_2(BSy_{2n-1}, ATy_{2n}, kt) \\ &\geq M_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) * M_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) * M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\quad * M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\geq M_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) * M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (1.8) \quad N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, kt) &= N_2(BSy_{2n-1}, ATy_{2n}, kt) \\ &\leq N_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) \diamond N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \\ &\leq N_2(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, t) \diamond N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$(1.9) \quad M_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \geq M_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) * M_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t)$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad N_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, kt) \leq N_2(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, t) \diamond N_2(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, t).$$

Thus from (1.7) – (1.10), it follows that

$$M_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq M_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) * M_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t)$$

and

$$N_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \leq N_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \diamond N_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, t).$$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Using the above two inequalities, we obtain the following with the help of simple induction

$$M_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq M_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) * M_2\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}\right)$$

and

$$N_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \leq N_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, t) \diamond N_2\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}\right),$$

for positive integers n and p .

Thus since

$$M_2\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}\right) \rightarrow 1$$

and

$$N_2\left(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, \frac{t}{k^p}\right) \rightarrow 0$$

as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$M_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \geq M_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, t)$$

and

$$N_2(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, kt) \leq N_2(y_n, y_{n+1}, t).$$

By Lemma A, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is therefore a Cauchy sequence in complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space X and so has a limit z in X . It follows similarly that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric space Y and so has a limit w in Y . Using (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(SAx_{2n}, z, kt) &\geq M_1\left(SAx_{2n}, x_{2n}, \frac{kt}{2}\right) * M_1\left(x_{2n}, z, \frac{kt}{2}\right) \\ &= M_1\left(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, \frac{kt}{2}\right) * M_1\left(x_{2n}, z, \frac{kt}{2}\right) \\ &\geq M_1\left(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) * M_1\left(x_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \\ &\quad * M_1\left(x_{2n-1}, TBx_{2n-1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) * M_1\left(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \\ &\quad * M_1\left(x_{2n}, z, \frac{kt}{2}\right) \\ &\geq M_1\left(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) * M_1\left(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \\ &\quad * M_1\left(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, \frac{t}{2}\right) * M_1\left(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, \frac{t}{2}\right) * M_1\left(x_{2n}, z, \frac{kt}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_1(SAx_{2n}, z, kt) &\leq N_1\left(SAx_{2n}, x_{2n}, \frac{kt}{2}\right) \diamond N_1\left(x_{2n}, z, \frac{kt}{2}\right) \\
 &= N_1\left(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, \frac{kt}{2}\right) \diamond N_1\left(x_{2n}, z, \frac{kt}{2}\right) \\
 &\leq N_1\left(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \diamond N_1\left(x_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \\
 &\quad \diamond N_1\left(x_{2n-1}, TBx_{2n-1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \diamond N_1\left(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \\
 &\quad \diamond N_1\left(x_{2n}, z, \frac{kt}{2}\right) \\
 &\leq N_1\left(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \diamond N_1\left(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \diamond N_1\left(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \\
 &\quad \diamond N_1\left(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}, \frac{t}{2}\right) \diamond N_1\left(x_{2n}, z, \frac{kt}{2}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $M_1(SAx_{2n}, z, kt) \rightarrow 1$ and $N_1(SAx_{2n}, z, kt) \rightarrow 0$. Thus we have

$$(1.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_{2n} = z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sy_{2n+1}.$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$(1.12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} TBx_{2n-1} = z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ty_{2n}.$$

$$(1.13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} BSy_{2n-1} = w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_{2n-1}.$$

$$(1.14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ATy_{2n} = w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n}.$$

Now suppose A is continuous. Thus

$$(1.15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} = Az = w.$$

Using (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_1(SAz, TBx_{2n-1}, kt) &\geq M_1(z, x_{2n-1}, t) * M_1(z, SAz, t) \\
 &\quad * M_1(x_{2n-1}, TBx_{2n-1}, t) * M_1(SAz, TBx_{2n-1}, t)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_1(SAz, TBx_{2n-1}, kt) &\leq N_1(z, x_{2n-1}, t) \diamond N_1(z, SAz, t) \\
 &\quad \diamond N_1(x_{2n-1}, TBx_{2n-1}, t) \diamond N_1(SAz, TBx_{2n-1}, t).
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (1.12), we get

$$M_1(SAz, z, kt) \geq M_1(z, SAz, t)$$

and

$$N_1(SAz, z, kt) \leq N_1(z, SAz, t).$$

Therefore, by Lemma B, we get

$$(1.16) \quad SAz = z = Sz$$

Applying (1.2), we get

$$M_2(BSw, ATy_{2n}, kt) \geq M_2(w, y_{2n}, t) * M_2(w, BSw, t) \\ * M_2(y_{2n}, ATy_{2n}, t) * M_2(BSw, ATy_{2n}, t)$$

and

$$N_2(BSw, ATy_{2n}, kt) \leq N_2(w, y_{2n}, t) \diamond N_2(w, BSw, t) \diamond N_2(y_{2n}, ATy_{2n}, t) \\ \diamond N_2(BSw, ATy_{2n}, t).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (1.14), we get

$$M_2(BSw, w, kt) \geq M_2(BSw, w, t)$$

and

$$N_2(BSw, w, kt) \leq N_2(BSw, w, t).$$

Therefore, by Lemma B, we get

$$(1.17) \quad BSw = w = Bz$$

Using inequality (1.1), we get

$$M_1(SAx_{2n}, TBz, kt) \geq M_1(x_{2n}, z, t) * M_1(x_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t) \\ * M_1(z, TBz, t) * M_1(SAx_{2n}, TBz, t)$$

and

$$N_1(SAx_{2n}, TBz, kt) \leq N_1(x_{2n}, z, t) \diamond N_1(x_{2n}, SAx_{2n}, t) \\ \diamond N_1(z, TBz, t) \diamond N_1(SAx_{2n}, TBz, t).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (1.11), we get

$$M_1(z, TBz, kt) \geq M_1(z, TBz, t)$$

and

$$N_1(z, TBz, kt) \leq N_1(z, TBz, t).$$

Therefore, by Lemma B and using (1.15) – (1.17) we get $TBz = z = Tw$. Thus

$$(1.18) \quad ATw = Az = w$$

Therefore, we have

$$(1.19) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} SAz = TBz = Sw = Tw = z, \\ BSw = ATw = Az = Bz = w. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

By symmetry, (1.19) holds if one of the mappings B, S, T is continuous instead of A .

To prove uniqueness, suppose that SA and TB have a common fixed point z' also. Using (1.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(SAz, TBz', kt) &\geq M_1(z, z', t) * M_1(z, SAz, t) \\ &\quad * M_1(z', TBz', t) * M_1(SAz, TBz', t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_1(SAz, TBz', kt) &\leq N_1(z, z', t) \diamond N_1(z, SAz, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N_1(z', TBz', t) \diamond N_1(SAz, TBz', t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$M_1(z, z', kt) \geq M_1(z, z', t)$$

and

$$N_1(z, z', kt) \leq N_1(z, z', t).$$

By Lemma B, we have $z = z'$. Similarly we can prove that w is unique common fixed point of BS and AT . This completes the proof. \square

If we put $M_1 = M_2 = M$ and $N_1 = N_2 = N$ in Theorem 1, we get the following-

Corollary 2. *Let $(X, M, N, *, \diamond)$ and $(Y, M, N, *, \diamond)$ be two complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. Let A, B be mappings from X into Y and let S, T be mappings from Y into X satisfying the inequalities:*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} M(SAx, TBx', kt) &\geq M(x, x', t) * M(x, SAx, t) \\ &\quad * M(x', TBx', t) * M(SAx, TBx', t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(SAx, TBx', kt) &\leq N(x, x', t) \diamond N(x, SAx, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(x', TBx', t) \diamond N(SAx, TBx', t) \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} M(BSy, ATy', kt) &\geq M(y, y', t) * M(y, BSy, t) \\ &\quad * M(y', ATy', t) * M(BSy, ATy', t) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N(BSy, ATy', kt) &\leq N(y, y', t) \diamond N(y, BSy, t) \\ &\quad \diamond N(y', ATy', t) \diamond N(BSy, ATy', t) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, x' \in X, y, y' \in Y, t > 0$ and $k \in (0, 1)$. If one of the mappings A, B, S, T is continuous, then SA and TB have a unique common fixed point z in X and BS and AT have a unique common fixed point w in Y . Further $Az = Bz = w$ and $Sw = Tw = z$.

If we put $A = B$ and $S = T$ in Theorem 1, we get the following-

Corollary 3. Let $(X, M_1, N_1, *, \diamond)$ and $(Y, M_2, N_2, *, \diamond)$ be two complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. Let A be a mapping from X into Y and let S be a mapping from Y into X satisfying the inequalities:

$$(3.1) \quad M_1(SAx, SAx', kt) \geq M_1(x, x', t) * M_1(x, SAx, t) * M_1(x', SAx', t) * M_1(SAx, SAx', t)$$

and

$$N_1(SAx, SAx', kt) \leq N_1(x, x', t) \diamond N_1(x, SAx, t) \diamond N_1(x', SAx', t) \diamond N_1(SAx, SAx', t)$$

$$(3.2) \quad M_2(ASy, ASy', kt) \geq M_2(y, y', t) * M_2(y, ASy, t) * M_2(y', ASy', t) * M_2(ASy, ASy', t)$$

and

$$N_2(ASy, ASy', kt) \leq N_2(y, y', t) \diamond N_2(y, ASy, t) \diamond N_2(y', ASy', t) \diamond N_2(ASy, ASy', t)$$

for all $x, x' \in X, y, y' \in Y, t > 0$ and $k \in (0, 1)$. If one of the mappings A or S is continuous, then SA has a unique common fixed point z in X and AS has a unique common fixed point w in Y . Further $Az = w$ and $Sw = z$.

Example 2. Let $X = [0, 2], Y = [0, 4]$. Define for all $t > 0, x, x' \in X$ and $y, y' \in Y$,

$$M_1(x, x', t) = \frac{t}{t + |x - x'|}, \quad N_1(x, x', t) = \frac{|x - x'|}{t + |x - x'|}$$

$$M_2(y, y', t) = e^{-\frac{|y - y'|}{t}}, \quad N_2(y, y', t) = \frac{e^{\frac{|y - y'|}{t}} - 1}{e^{\frac{|y - y'|}{t}}}$$

Let $a * b = ab$ and $a \diamond b = \min\{1, a + b\}$. Then $(X, M_1, N_1, *, \diamond)$ and $(Y, M_2, N_2, *, \diamond)$ are complete intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces.

Define $A, B : X \rightarrow Y$ and $S, T : Y \rightarrow X$ by

$$Ax = 0.5, \quad Bx = \begin{cases} 0.5, & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ \frac{x}{4}, & 1 < x \leq 2 \end{cases}$$

$$Sy = \begin{cases} 0.5, & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ \frac{y}{5}, & 1 < y \leq 4 \end{cases}, \quad Ty = \begin{cases} 0.5, & 0 \leq y \leq 1 \\ \frac{y}{6}, & 1 < y \leq 4. \end{cases}$$

Then for $k = \frac{1}{4}$, all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. The common fixed point for SA and TB is $z = 0.5$ and that for BS and AT is $w = 0.5$. Also $Az = Bz = w$ and $Sw = Tw = z$.

REFERENCES

1. K. Atanassov: Intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **20** (1986), 87-96.
2. C. Alaca, D. Turkoglu & C. Yildiz: Fixed points in intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* **29** (2006), 1073-1078.
3. S. Banach: *Theorie les Operations Linearies*. Manograie Matematyeczne, Warsaw, Poland, 1932.
4. Y.J. Cho, S.M. Kang & S.S. Kim: Fixed points in two metric spaces. *Novi. Sad. J. Math.* **29** (1999), no. 1, 47-53.
5. D. Dubois & H. Prade: *Fuzzy sets: theory and applications to policy analysis and formations systems*. New York, Plenum Press, 1980.
6. M. Edelstein: On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings. *J. London Math. Soc.* **37** (1962), 74-79.
7. El Naschie MS.: On the uncertainty of cantorin geometry and two-slit experiments. *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* **9** (1998), no. 5, 17-29.
8. ———: On the verification of heterotic strings theory and $e^{(\infty)}$ theory. *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* **11** (2000), 2397-2408.
9. ———: The two slit experiments as the foundation of E -infinity of high energy physics. *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* **25** (2005), 509-514.
10. ———: tHooft ultimate building blocks and space-time an infinite dimensional set of transfinite discrete points. *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* **25** (2005), 521-524.
11. B. Fisher: Fixed point on two metric spaces. *Glasnik Math.* **16** (1981), no. 36, 333-337.
12. ———: Related fixed points on two metric spaces. *Math. Seminar Notes Kobe Univ.* **10** (1982), 17-26.
13. B. Fisher & P.P. Murthy: Related fixed point theorems for two pairs of mappings on two metric spaces. *Kyungpook Math. J.* **37** (1997), 343-347.
14. A. George & P. Veeramani: On some results in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **64** (1994), 395-399.
15. M. Grabiec: Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces. *Fuzzy Sets Syst.* **27** (1988), 385-389.
16. V. Gregory, S. Romaguera & P. Veeramani: A note on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* **28** (2006), 902-905.

17. E.P. Klement: Operations on fuzzy sets an axiomatic approach. *Inform. Sci.* **27** (1984), 221-232.
18. E.P. Klement, R. Mesiar & E. Pap: *Triangular norma. Trends in logic.* Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers **8**, 2000.
19. O. Kramosil & J. Michalek: Fuzzy metric and statistical spaces. *Kybernetika* **11** (1975), 326-334.
20. R. Lowen: *Fuzzy sets theory.* Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
21. K. Menger: Statistical metric. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **28** (1942), 535-537.
22. S.N. Mishra, N. Sharma & S.L.Singh: Common fixed points of maps on fuzzy metric spaces. *Int. J. Math. Sci.* **17** (1994), 253-288.
23. N.P. Nung: A fixed point theorem in three metric spaces. *Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ.* **11** (1983).
24. J.H. Park: Intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* **22** (2004), 1039-1046.
25. R. Saadati & J.H. Park: On the intuitionistic topological spaces. *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* **27** (2006), 331-344.
26. B. Schweizer & A. Sklar: Statistical metric spaces. *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 314-334.
27. S. Sharma & B. Deshpande: Common fixed point theorems for finite number of mappings without continuity and compatibility on intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. *Chaos Solitons & Fractals* **40** (2009), 2242-2256.
28. S. Sharma, B. Deshpande & D. Thakur: Related fixed point theorem for four mappings on two fuzzy metric spaces. *Int. J. Pure and Appl. Math.* **41** (2007), no. 2, 241-250.
29. R.R. Yager: On a class of weak triangular norm operators. *Inform. Sci.* **96** (1997), no. 1-2, 47-78.

^aDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOVT. ARTS AND SCIENCE P.G. COLLEGE, RATLAM (M.P.), INDIA

Email address: bhavnadeshpande@yahoo.com

^bDEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY, DAVV INDORE (M.P.), INDIA

Email address: rohitpathak8@yahoo.com