

SECTIONAL ANALYTICITY IN SEQUENCE SPACES

T. BALASUBRAMANIAN^a, A. PANDIARANI^b AND T. TAMIZH CHELVAM^c

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to introduce Λ -dual and the concept of sectional analyticity (Abschnitts-analytische or AA property) of an FK-space. The motivation for AA-property is that a sequence space having AK-property possess AA-property.

1. INTRODUCTION

A sequence whose k -th term is x_k is denoted by (x_k) or x . Let ω denote the set of all sequences. A sequence x is said to be an entire sequence if $|x_k|^{1/k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. The set Γ of all entire sequences is an FK space [3] with seminorms $q_i = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k z^k \right| : |z| = i \right\}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$. A sequence x is said to be an analytic sequence if $(|x_k|^{1/k})$ is bounded. Let Λ denote the set of all analytic sequences.

For each positive integer k , let δ^k stands for the sequence $(0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$ with 1 in the k -th place and zeros elsewhere. A sequence space X is said to be an AK space if $x^{[n]} \rightarrow x$ for each $x \in X$ where $x^{[n]} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots)$. For a sequence space X its conjugate space is denoted by X' .

Let X be any sequence space. Then X^α is the Kothe-Toeplitz dual of X introduced in [7]. X^β is the space called the “ g -dual” of X by Chillingworth in [1] and the β -dual of X by Kothe and others [8, p. 427]. For arbitrary sequences X and Y , X^Y is the space called $X \rightarrow Y$ by Goes [5, p. 137] and elsewhere. For $Y = bs$ and arbitrary X , X^γ corresponds to the γ -dual of X of Garling [4] and others. Let X be an FK space containing ϕ . Then the f -dual denoted by X^f is defined by [10] $X^f = \{[f(\delta^n)] : f \in X'\}$. An FK space X is called an integral space [2] if and only if $\Gamma \subset X$. The work presented in this paper is motivated by the following questions. “Are all integral spaces Λ -perfect?” and “Are all AA-space having AK-property?”.

Received by the editors July 20, 2009. Revised March 10, 2010. Accepted May 12, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46A45.

Key words and phrases. analytic sequence, AK space, BK space, FK space.

In the sequel the following sequence spaces are required.

c_0 = the BK space of all null sequences.

c = the BK space of all convergent sequences.

l = the BK space of all sequences (x_k) such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|$ converges.

cs = the BK space of all sequences (x_k) such that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k$ converges.

bs = the BK space of all sequences (x_k) such that $\sup_n \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \right| < \infty$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows:

In Section 2, we introduce the concepts of Λ -dual and Λ -perfect. We have also tried to find the Λ -dual and Λ -perfect space of X with $\Gamma \subseteq X \subseteq \Lambda$.

In Section 3, we introduce the concept of sectional analyticity and try to find the relation between f -dual and Λ -dual.

2. ANALYTICAL DUAL OF A SEQUENCE SPACE X

Definition 2.1. Let X be an FK space. The Λ -dual of X (denoted by X^\wedge) and may be called analytic dual of X is defined as $X^\wedge = \{x \in \omega : xu \in \Lambda \text{ for every } u \in X\}$

Definition 2.2. An FK-space X is called a perfect space or a Λ -perfect space if $X^{\Lambda\Lambda} = X$.

Remark 2.3. The definitions also hold when X is a singleton or a sequence space instead of an FK space.

Lemma 2.4. *The Λ -dual of a sequence space has the following properties.*

- (1) X^\wedge is linear subspace of ω for $X \subset \omega$.
- (2) $X \subset Y$ implies $X^\wedge \supset Y^\wedge$ for every $X, Y \subset \omega$.
- (3) $X^{\wedge\wedge} = (X^\wedge)^\wedge \supset X$ for every $X \subset \omega$.

Lemma 2.5. (i) $1^\wedge = \Lambda$ where $1 = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$.

(ii) $\phi^\wedge = \omega$.

(iii) The Λ -dual of $\chi = \{u \in \omega : [n!|u_n|]^{1/n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty\}$ is $S_\infty = \{u \in \omega : (|u_n|/n!)^{1/n} \text{ is bounded}\}$ suppose if $x \in S_\infty$ then $|x_n u_n|^{1/n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $u \in \chi$. So the sequence $(|x_n u_n|^{1/n})$ is bounded and hence $S_\infty \subset \chi^\wedge$ on the other hand suppose $x \notin S_\infty$. Then there exists an increasing sequence $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ such that

$$\left[\frac{|x_{n_k}|}{n_k!} \right]^{\frac{1}{n_k}} > k.$$

Define $u = (u_n)$ by

$$u_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(n!)^n}, & \text{for } n = n_k \\ 0, & \text{other wise} \end{cases}$$

Then $[n!|u_n|]^{1/n} = \left[\frac{1}{(n!)^{n-1}} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{(n!)^{\frac{1}{n}}}{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ thus u is an element of χ .

But $|x_{n_k} u_{n_k}|^{1/n_k} = \left[\frac{|x_{n_k}|}{n_k!} \right]^{\frac{1}{n_k}} > k$.

This contradicts the fact that $x \in \chi^\Lambda$ and hence the Λ -dual of χ is S_∞ .

(iv) The Λ -dual of $R = \{x : (n!|x_n|) \text{ is bounded}\}$ is S_∞ .

$$\text{Now } |x_k u_k| = \left[\frac{|x_k|}{k!} \right] k! |u_k| \leq \|u\| \frac{|x_k|}{k!}, \quad x = (x_k) \in S_\infty \text{ ([9])}.$$

Therefore $(|x_k u_k|^{1/k})$ is bounded and x is an element of R^Λ . On the other hand if $x \in R^\Lambda$ then $(|x_k u_k|^{1/k})$ is bounded for all $x \in R$.

Therefore $([|x_k/k!|]^{1/k})$ is bounded for a particular $(1/k!) \in R$. Hence the Λ -dual of R is S_∞ .

Theorem 2.6. Suppose $\Gamma \subseteq X \subseteq \Lambda$. Then $X^\Lambda = \Lambda$.

Proof. Step (i): We first claim that $\Gamma^\Lambda = \Lambda$. If $x \in \Lambda$ then $(|x_k|^{1/k})$ is bounded. For any $u \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \Lambda$, $u \in \Lambda$ therefore $x \in \Gamma^\Lambda$.

On the other hand suppose $x \notin \Lambda$ then there would exist an increasing sequence of positive integers $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k < \dots$ such that $|x_{n_k}|^{1/n_k} > p^{n_k}$ where $p > 1$ is an integer. Construct a sequence $u = (u_n)$ as follows.

$$u_n = \begin{cases} \frac{k^n}{p^{n_k}}, & \text{if } n = n_k \text{ (} k = 1, 2, \dots \text{)} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Obviously $u \in \Gamma$.

But $|x_{n_k} u_{n_k}|^{1/n_k} > k$, so that $(|x_n u_n|^{1/n})$ is unbounded which is a contradiction to the fact that $x \in \Gamma^\Lambda$.

Thus $\Gamma^\Lambda = \Lambda$.

Step (ii): We show that $\Lambda^\Lambda = \Lambda$.

$N \subset \Lambda$ implies $\Lambda^\Lambda \subset \Gamma^\Lambda = \Lambda$ (by step (i)). That is $\Lambda^\Lambda \subset \Lambda$. Also we have $\Lambda \subset \Lambda^\Lambda$. Hence $\Lambda \subset \Lambda^\Lambda$.

Step (iii): We show that $X^\Lambda = \Lambda$.

$N \subseteq X \subseteq \Lambda$ implies $X^\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma^\Lambda$. Then by step (i) we have $X^\Lambda \subseteq \Lambda$. Also $X \subseteq \Lambda$ implies $\Lambda^\Lambda \subseteq X^\Lambda$. Then by step (ii) we have $\Lambda \subseteq X^\Lambda$. Thus $X^\Lambda = \Lambda$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *The only Λ perfect space X with $\Gamma \subseteq X \subseteq \Lambda$ is Λ .*

Proof. Let X be such that $X^{\wedge\wedge} = X$. Since $\Gamma \subseteq X$ we have $X^\wedge \subseteq \Gamma^\wedge = \Lambda$ (by step (i) of 2.6). By applying step (ii) of 2.6, $\Lambda = \Lambda^\wedge \subseteq X^{\wedge\wedge} = X$. Also by hypothesis $X \subseteq \Lambda$. \square

3. SECTIONAL ANALYTICITY

Definition 3.1. Let X and Y be FK spaces containing ϕ . Then A^+ is defined as $A^+(X) = \{z \in \omega : (z_k f(\delta^k)) \in \Lambda \text{ for all } f \in X'\}$ and we put $A = A^+ \cap X$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X and Y be FK spaces containing ϕ . Then $A^+(X) \subset A^+(Y)$ whenever $X \subset Y$.*

Proof. Let $Z \in A^+(X)$. Then $(Z_n f(\delta^n)) \in \Lambda$ for all $f \in X'$. Accordingly $(z_n g(\delta^n)) \in \Lambda$ for all $g \in Y'$ since $g|_X \in X'$. This shows that $z \in A^+(Y)$. Hence $A^+(X) \subset A^+(Y)$. \square

Definition 3.3. Let X be an FK space containing ϕ . Then X is said to have AA-Property (Abschnitts analytique) or sectional analyticity if and only if $X = A$.

Example 3.4. The space c_0 has both AK and AA properties. The space c_0 has AK [10]. It is enough to prove that c_0 has AA-property. For that we have to show that $c_0 \subset A^+$, $f \in c'_0$. Then $f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z_k$ where $(a_k) \in l$. Therefore $f(\delta^k) = a_k$ for all k . But $l \subset \Lambda = c^\wedge$. Hence $(z_k f(\delta^k)) \in \Lambda$ and so $z \in A^+$. Hence $c_0 \subset A^+$. Therefore $A = A^+ \cap c_0 = c_0$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be an FK space containing ϕ . Let $z \in \omega$. Then $z \in A^+$ if and only if $z^{-1}X \supset \Gamma$.*

Proof. Let $f \in (z^{-1}X)'$. Then by Theorem 4.4.10 of [10] $f(x) = \alpha x + g(zx)$ where $\alpha \in \phi$, $g \in X'$ and $\alpha x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k x_k$. Consequently $f(\delta^k) = \alpha_k + g(z\delta^k)$. That is $f(\delta^k) = \alpha_k + z_k g(\delta^k)$. Hence if $z \in A^+$, then $(z_k f(\delta^k)) \in \Lambda$ and so $(f(\delta^k)) \in \Lambda$ for all $f \in (z^{-1}X)'$. That is $(z^{-1}X)^f \subset \Lambda$. But $\Lambda = \Gamma^f$. Since Γ has AD by Theorem 8.6.1 of [10], $\Gamma \subset z^{-1}X$. The reverse implication follows similarly. \square

Theorem 3.6. *Let X be an FK space containing ϕ . Then $z \in X^{f^\wedge}$ if and only if $z^{-1}X \supset \Gamma$.*

Proof. First we note that by definition $z \in A^+$ if and only if $z u \in A$ for every $u \in X^f$. Hence $A^+ = X^{f\wedge}$. By the Lemma 3.5, $z \in A^+$ if and only if $z^{-1}X \supset \Gamma$. Hence $z \in X^{f\wedge}$ if and only if $z^{-1}X \supset \Gamma$. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let X be an FK space containing ϕ . If X has AA, then $X^f \subset X^\wedge$.*

Proof. Suppose that X has AA. Then $X = A = A^+ \cap X$. So that $X \subset A^+ = X^{f\wedge}$. Hence $X^\wedge \supset X^{f\wedge}$. Therefore $X^\wedge \supset X^f$. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be an FK space $\supset \phi$. If X has AK then X has AA.*

Proof. Suppose X has AK. Then we have $X^\beta = X^f$. This implies $X \subset X^{\beta\beta} = X^{f\beta}$. Also we have $X \subset X^{f\beta} \subset X^{f\wedge}$. That is $X \subset X^{f\wedge}$. This means that $X \subset A^+$ consequently $A = X$. Hence X has AA property. \square

Remark 3.9. The converse of Theorem 3.8 need not be true. Consider the space c , $A^+(c) = c^{f\wedge} = l^\wedge = \Lambda$. Now $A = A^+ \cap c = \Lambda \cap c = c$. Therefore c has AA. But c does not possess AK-Property.

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to thank the referees for their valuable suggestions that improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. H.R. Chillingworth: Generalized 'dual' sequence spaces. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math.* **20** (1958), 307–315.
2. K. Chandrasekhara Rao & T. Balasubramanian: Some classes of entire sequences. *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **11** (1996), no. 2, 145–159.
3. V. Ganapathy Iyer: On the space of Integral functions. *I. Journal of Indian Math. Soc.* **12** (1948,) 13–30.
4. D.J.H. Garling: The β and γ -duality of sequence spaces. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **63** (1967), 963–981
5. G. Goes: Complementary spaces of Fourier coefficients, convolutions and generalized Matrix transformations and operators between BX-Spaces. *J. Math. Mech.* **10** (1961), 135–137.
6. P.K. Kamthan: Bases in a certain class of Freshet space. *Tamkang J. Math.* **7** (1976), 41–49.
7. G. Kothe & O. Toeplitz: Lineare Raume mit unendlichvislen Koordinaten und Ringe unendlicher Matrizen. *J. fur. Math.* **171** (1934), 193–226.
8. G. Kothe: *Topologische Lineare Raume*. I, 1st edn, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1960.

9. M.K. Sen: On a class of entire functions. *Bull Cal. Math. Soc.* **61** (1969), 67–74.
10. A. Wilansky: *Summability through Functional Analysis*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

^aDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KAMARAJ COLLEGE, TUTICORIN, TAMIL NADU, INDIA
Email address: satbalu@yahoo.com

^bDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, G. VENGADASWAMY NAIDU COLLEGE, KOVILPATTI 628502,
TAMIL NADU, INDIA
Email address: raniseelan_92@yahoo.co.in

^cDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MANOMANIAM SUNDARANAR UNIVERSITY, TIRUNELVELI 627012,
TAMIL NADU, INDIA
Email address: tamche_59@yahoo.co.in