

## HYERS-ULAM STABILITY OF TERNARY $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -DERIVATIONS ON $C^*$ -TERNARY ALGEBRAS: REVISITED

EON WHA SHIM<sup>a</sup>, MADJID ESHAGHI GORDJI<sup>b</sup> AND JUNG RYE LEE<sup>c,\*</sup>

ABSTRACT. In [1], the definition of  $C^*$ -Lie ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -derivation is not well-defined and so the results of [1, Section 4] on  $C^*$ -Lie ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -derivations should be corrected.

### 1. HYERS-ULAM STABILITY OF $C^*$ -LIE TERNARY $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -DERIVATIONS

A  $C^*$ -ternary algebra is a complex Banach space  $A$ , equipped with a ternary product  $(x, y, z) \rightarrow [xyz]$  of  $A^3$  into  $A$ , which is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear in the outer variables, conjugate  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear in the middle variable, and associative in the sense that  $[xy[zuv]] = [x[wzy]v] = [[xyz]wv]$ , and satisfies  $\|[xyz]\| \leq \|x\| \cdot \|y\| \cdot \|z\|$  and  $\|[xxx]\| = \|x\|^3$ .

**Definition 1.1** ([1]). Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -ternary algebra and let  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is called a  $C^*$ -Lie ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -derivation if

$$L([xyz]) = [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(y)xz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ , where  $[xyz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} = x\tau(y)\xi(z) - \sigma(z)\tau(y)x$ .

The  $x$ - and  $z$ -variables of the left side are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear and the  $y$ -variable of the left side is conjugate  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. But the  $x$ -variable of the right side is not  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear and the  $y$ -variable of the right side is not conjugate  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. Furthermore, the  $y$ -variable of the right side in the definition of  $[xyz]$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. But the  $y$ -variable of the left side is conjugate  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear. Thus we correct the definition of  $C^*$ -Lie ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -derivation as follows.

---

Received by the editors October 14, 2015. Accepted October 24, 2015.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 39B52, 17B40, 47B47.

*Key words and phrases*. Lie ternary derivation, Lie ternary algebra, Hyers-Ulam stability, functional equation,  $C^*$ -ternary algebra.

\*Corresponding author.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -ternary algebra and let  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  be  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings. A  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is called a  $C^*$ -Lie ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -derivation if

$$L([xyz]) = [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(y)^*x^*z]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ , where  $[xyz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} = x\tau(y)^*\xi(z) - \sigma(z)\tau(y)^*x$ .

Throughout this paper, assume that  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -ternary with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , and that  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  are  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings. Let  $q$  be a positive rational number.

We prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of  $C^*$ -Lie ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -derivations on  $C^*$ -ternary algebras, associated with the Euler-Lagrange type additive mapping.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $r > 3$  if  $nq > 1$  and that  $0 < r < 1$  if  $nq < 1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be an odd mapping for which there exist mappings  $g, h, k : A \rightarrow A$  with  $g(0) = h(0) = k(0) = 0$  satisfying (2.1), (2.3)–(2.5) of [1] and

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|f([xyz]) - [f(x)yz]_{(g, h, k)} - [f(y)^*x^*z]_{(g, h, k)} - [f(z)yx]_{(g, h, k)}\| \\ & \leq \theta(\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . Then there exist unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  and a unique  $C^*$ -Lie ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -derivation  $L : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying (2.6)–(2.8) of [1] and

$$(1.2) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{(nq)^r - nq} \|x\|^r$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of [1, Theorem 2.1], one can show that there exist unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  and a unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $L : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying (2.6)–(2.8) of [1] and (1.2). The mapping  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is defined by

$$L(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

It follows from (1.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L([xyz]) - [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} - [L(y)^*x^*z]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} - [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}\| \\ & = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^{3m} \left\| \left[ f\left(\frac{[xyz]}{(nq)^{3m}}\right) - \left[ f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right) \frac{y}{(nq)^m} \frac{z}{(nq)^m} \right]_{(g, h, k)} \right] \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \left[ f \left( \frac{y}{(nq)^m} \right)^* \frac{x^*}{(nq)^m} \frac{z}{(nq)^m} \right]_{(g,h,k)} - \left[ f \left( \frac{z}{(nq)^m} \right) \frac{y}{(nq)^m} \frac{x}{(nq)^m} \right]_{(g,h,k)} \Bigg\| \\
 & \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nq)^{3m} \theta}{(nq)^{mr}} (\|x\|^r + \|y\|^r + \|z\|^r) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . So

$$L([xyz]) = [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(y)^* x^* z]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of [1, Theorem 2.1]. □

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $0 < r < 1$  if  $nq > 1$  and that  $r > 3$  if  $nq < 1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be an odd mapping for which there exist mappings  $g, h, k : A \rightarrow A$  with  $g(0) = h(0) = k(0) = 0$  satisfying (2.1), (2.3)–(2.5) of [1] and (1.1). Then there exist unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  and a unique  $C^*$ -Lie ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -derivation  $L : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying (2.12)–(2.14) of [1] and*

$$(1.3) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{nq - (nq)^r} \|x\|^r$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of [1, Theorem 2.2], there exist unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  and a unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $L : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying (2.1), (2.3)–(2.5) of [1] and (1.3). The mapping  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is defined by

$$L(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nq)^m} f((nq)^m x)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to the proofs of Theorem 1.3 and [1, Theorem 2.1]. □

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $r > 1$  if  $nq > 1$  and that  $0 < nr < 1$  if  $nq < 1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be an odd mapping for which there exist mappings  $g, h, k : A \rightarrow A$  with  $g(0) = h(0) = k(0) = 0$  satisfying (2.3)–(2.5), (2.17) of [1] and*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad & \|f([xyz]) - [f(x)yz]_{(g,h,k)} - [f(y)^* x^* z]_{(g,h,k)} - [f(z)yx]_{(g,h,k)}\| \\
 & \leq \theta \|x\|^r \|y\|^r \|z\|^r
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . Then there exist unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  and a unique  $C^*$ -Lie ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -derivation  $L : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying (2.6)–(2.8) of [1] and

$$(1.5) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{n((nq)^{nr} - nq)} \|x\|^{nr}$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of [1, Theorem 2.3], there exist unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  and a unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $L : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying (2.6)–(2.8) of [1] and (1.5). The mapping  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is defined by

$$L(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^m f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

It follows from (1.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L([xyz]) - [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} - [L(y)^*x^*z]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} - [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}\| \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (nq)^{3m} \left( \left\| f\left(\frac{[xyz]}{(nq)^{3m}}\right) - \left[ f\left(\frac{x}{(nq)^m}\right) \frac{y}{(nq)^m} \frac{z}{(nq)^m} \right]_{(g, h, k)} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \left[ f\left(\frac{y}{(nq)^m}\right)^* \frac{x^*}{(nq)^m} \frac{z}{(nq)^m} \right]_{(g, h, k)} - \left[ f\left(\frac{z}{(nq)^m}\right) \frac{y}{(nq)^m} \frac{x}{(nq)^m} \right]_{(g, h, k)} \right\| \right) \\ & \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nq)^{3m} \theta}{(nq)^{3mr}} (\|x\|^r \|y\|^r \|z\|^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in A$ . Hence

$$L([xyz]) = [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(y)^*x^*z]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$  and the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.6.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $r > 1$  if  $nq < 1$  and that  $0 < nr < 1$  if  $nq > 1$ . Let  $\theta$  be a positive real number, and let  $f : A \rightarrow A$  be an odd mapping for which there exist mappings  $g, h, k : A \rightarrow A$  with  $g(0) = h(0) = k(0) = 0$  satisfying (2.3)–(2.5), (2.17) of [1] and (1.4). Then there exist unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  to  $A$  and a unique  $C^*$ -ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \xi)$ -derivation  $L : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying (2.12)–(2.14) of [1] and

$$(1.6) \quad \|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{n(nq - (nq)^{nr})} \|x\|^{nr}$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

*Proof.* By the same reasoning as in the proof of [1, Theorem 2.4], there exist unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mappings  $\sigma, \tau, \xi : A \rightarrow A$  and a unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear mapping  $L : A \rightarrow A$  satisfying (2.12)–(2.14) of [1] and (1.6). The mapping  $L : A \rightarrow A$  is defined by

$$L(x) := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nq)^m} f((nq)^m x)$$

for all  $x \in A$ .

It follows from (1.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|L([xyz]) - [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} - [L(y)^*x^*z]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} - [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}\| \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(nq)^{3m}} (\|f((nq)^{3m}[xyz]) - [f((nq)^m x)(nq)^m y(nq)^m z]_{(g, h, k)} \\ &\quad - [f((nq)^m y)^*(nq)^m x^*(nq)^m z]_{(g, h, k)} - [f((nq)^m z)(nq)^m y(nq)^m x]_{(g, h, k)}\|) \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(nq)^{3mr\theta}}{(nq)^{3m}} (\|x\|^r \|y\|^r \|z\|^r) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . So

$$L([xyz]) = [L(x)yz]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(y)^*x^*z]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)} + [L(z)yx]_{(\sigma, \tau, \xi)}$$

for all  $x \in A$  and the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. M. Eshaghi Gordji, R. Farrokhzad & S.A.R. Hosseinioun: Hyers-Ulam stability of ternary  $(\sigma, \tau, \zeta)$ -derivations on  $C^*$ -ternary algebras. *J. Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **8** (2012), no. 1, 3-20.

<sup>a</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HANYANG UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 04763, KOREA  
*Email address:* stardal@daum.net

<sup>b</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEMNAN UNIVERSITY, P. O. BOX 35195-363, SEMNAN, IRAN  
*Email address:* madjid.eshaghi@gmail.com

<sup>c</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DAEJIN UNIVERSITY, KYEONGGI 11159, KOREA  
*Email address:* jrlee@daejin.ac.kr