HUGE CONTRACTION ON PARTIALLY ORDERED METRIC SPACES

Bhavana Deshpande a,*, Amrish Handa b and Chetna Kothari c

ABSTRACT. We establish coincidence point theorem for g-nondecreasing mappings satisfying generalized nonlinear contraction on partially ordered metric spaces. We also obtain the coupled coincidence point theorem for generalized compatible pair of mappings $F, G: X^2 \to X$ by using obtained coincidence point results. Furthermore, an example is also given to demonstrate the degree of validity of our hypothesis. Our results generalize, modify, improve and sharpen several well-known results.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In the sequel, we denote by X a non-empty set and \leq will represent a partial order on X. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$, let X^n be the nth Cartesian product $X \times X \times ... \times X$ (n times). For simplicity, if $x \in X$, we denote g(x) by gx.

The idea of the coupled fixed point was initiated by Guo and Lakshmikantham [9] in 1987.

Definition 1 ([9]). Let $F: X^2 \to X$ be a given mapping. An element $(x, y) \in X^2$ is called a coupled fixed point of F if

(1)
$$F(x, y) = x \text{ and } F(y, x) = y.$$

Following this paper, Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [2] where the authors introduced the notion of mixed monotone property for $F: X^2 \to X$ (wherein X is an ordered metric space) and utilized the same to prove some theorems on the existence and uniqueness of coupled fixed points.

Received by the editors November 15, 2015. Accepted February 02, 2016.

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 47H10,\ 54H25.$

Key words and phrases. coincidence point, coupled coincidence point, generalized nonlinear contraction, partially ordered metric space, O-compatible, generalized compatibility, g-nondecreasing mapping, mixed monotone mapping, commuting mapping.

^{*}Corresponding author.

Definition 2 ([2]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set. Suppose $F: X^2 \to X$ be a given mapping. We say that F has the *mixed monotone property* if for all $x, y \in X$, we have

(2)
$$x_1, x_2 \in X, x_1 \leq x_2 \implies F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y),$$

and

(3)
$$y_1, y_2 \in X, y_1 \leq y_2 \implies F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2).$$

In 2009, Lakshmikantham and Ciric [15] generalized these results for nonlinear contraction mappings by introducing the notions of coupled coincidence point and mixed g-monotone property.

Definition 3 ([15]). Let $F: X^2 \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ be given mappings. An element $(x, y) \in X^2$ is called a *coupled coincidence point* of the mappings F and g if

(4)
$$F(x, y) = gx \text{ and } F(y, x) = gy.$$

Definition 4 ([15]). Let $F: X^2 \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ be given mappings. An element $(x, y) \in X^2$ is called a *common coupled fixed point* of the mappings F and g if

(5)
$$x = F(x, y) = gx \text{ and } y = F(y, x) = gy.$$

Definition 5 ([15]). The mappings $F: X^2 \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ are said to be *commutative* if

(6)
$$gF(x, y) = F(gx, gy)$$
, for all $(x, y) \in X^2$.

Definition 6 ([15]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set. Suppose $F: X^2 \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ are given mappings. We say that F has the *mixed g-monotone* property if for all $x, y \in X$, we have

(7)
$$x_1, x_2 \in X, gx_1 \leq gx_2 \implies F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y),$$

and

(8)
$$y_1, y_2 \in X, gy_1 \leq gy_2 \implies F(x, y_1) \succeq F(x, y_2).$$

If g is the identity mapping on X, then F satisfies the mixed monotone property.

Subsequently, Choudhury and Kundu [3] introduced the notion of compatibility and by using this notion to improve the results of Lakshmikantham and Ciric [15],

then after several authors established coupled fixed/coincidence point theorems by using this notion.

Definition 7 ([3]). The mappings $F: X^2 \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ are said to be *compatible* if

(9)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(gF(x_n, y_n), F(gx_n, gy_n)) = 0, \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} d(gF(y_n, x_n), F(gy_n, gx_n)) = 0,$$

whenever $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are sequences in X such that

(10)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n = x,$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} gy_n = y, \text{ for some } x, y \in X.$$

A great deal of these studies investigate contractions on partially ordered metric spaces because of their applicability to initial value problems defined by differential or integral equations.

Hussain et al. [11] introduced the notion of generalized compatibility of a pair $\{F, G\}$, of mappings $F, G: X \times X \to X$, then the authors employed this notion to obtained coupled coincidence point results for such a pair of mappings involving (φ, ψ) -contractive condition without mixed G-monotone property of F.

Definition 8 ([11]). Suppose that $F, G: X^2 \to X$ are two mappings. The mapping F is said to be G-increasing with respect to \preceq if for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $G(x, y) \preceq G(u, v)$ we have $F(x, y) \preceq F(u, v)$.

Definition 9 ([11]). Let $F, G: X^2 \to X$ be two mappings. We say that the pair $\{F, G\}$ is *commuting* if

(11)
$$F(G(x, y), G(y, x)) = G(F(x, y), F(y, x)), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Definition 10 ([11]). Suppose that $F, G: X^2 \to X$ are two mappings. An element $(x, y) \in X^2$ is called a *coupled coincidence point* of mappings F and G if

(12)
$$F(x, y) = G(x, y) \text{ and } F(y, x) = G(y, x).$$

Definition 11 ([11]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set, $F: X^2 \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ are two mappings. We say that F is g-increasing with respect to \preceq if for any $x, y \in X$,

(13)
$$gx_1 \leq gx_2 \text{ implies } F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y),$$

and

(14)
$$gy_1 \leq gy_2 \text{ implies } F(x, y_1) \leq F(x, y_2).$$

Definition 12 ([11]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set, $F: X^2 \to X$ be a mapping. We say that F is increasing with respect to \preceq if for any $x, y \in X$,

(15)
$$x_1 \leq x_2 \text{ implies } F(x_1, y) \leq F(x_2, y),$$

and

(16)
$$y_1 \leq y_2 \text{ implies } F(x, y_1) \leq F(x, y_2).$$

Definition 13 ([11]). Let $F, G: X^2 \to X$ are two mappings. We say that the pair $\{F, G\}$ is generalized compatible if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(F(G(x_n, y_n), G(y_n, x_n)), G(F(x_n, y_n), F(y_n, x_n))) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(F(G(y_n, x_n), G(x_n, y_n)), G(F(y_n, x_n), F(x_n, y_n))) = 0,$$

whenever (x_n) and (y_n) are sequences in X such that

(17)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = x,$$
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} G(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} F(y_n, x_n) = y, \text{ for some } x, y \in X.$$

Obviously, a commuting pair is a generalized compatible but not conversely in general.

Erhan et al. [7], announced that the results established in Hussain et al. [11] can be easily derived from the coincidence point results in the literature.

In [7], Erhan et al. recalled the following basic definitions:

Definition 14 ([1,8]). A coincidence point of two mappings $T, g: X \to X$ is a point $x \in X$ such that Tx = gx.

Definition 15 ([7]). An ordered metric space (X, d, \preceq) is a metric space (X, d) provided with a partial order \preceq .

Definition 16 ([2,11]). An ordered metric space (X, d, \preceq) is said to be non-decreasing-regular (respectively, non-increasing-regular) if for every sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ such that $\{x_n\} \to x$ and $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ (respectively, $x_n \succeq x_{n+1}$) for all n, we have that $x_n \preceq x$ (respectively, $x_n \succeq x$) for all n. (X, d, \preceq) is said to be regular if it is both non-decreasing-regular and non-increasing-regular.

Definition 17 ([7]). Let (X, \leq) be a partially ordered set and let $T, g : X \to X$ be two mappings. We say that T is (g, \leq) -non-decreasing if $Tx \leq Ty$ for all $x, y \in X$ such that $gx \leq gy$. If g is the identity mapping on X, we say that T is \leq -non-decreasing.

Remark 18 ([7]). If T is (g, \preceq) -non-decreasing and gx = gy, then Tx = Ty. It follows that

(18)
$$gx = gy \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} gx \leq gy, \\ gy \leq gx \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{c} Tx \leq Ty, \\ Ty \leq Tx \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow Tx = Ty.$$

Definition 19 ([18]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and endow the product space X^2 with the following partial order:

(19)
$$(u, v) \sqsubseteq (x, y) \Leftrightarrow x \succeq u \text{ and } y \preceq v, \text{ for all } (u, v), (x, y) \in X^2.$$

Definition 20 ([3, 10, 17, 18]). Let (X, d, \preceq) be an ordered metric space. Two mappings $T, g: X \to X$ are said to be O-compatible if

(20)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(gTx_n, Tgx_n) = 0,$$

provided that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\{gx_n\}$ is \leq -monotone, that is, it is either non-increasing or non-decreasing with respect to \leq and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gx_n \in X.$$

Samet et al. [20] declared that most of the coupled fixed point theorems for single-valued mappings on ordered metric spaces can be derived from well-known fixed point theorems.

On the other hand, Ding et al. [6] proved coupled coincidence and common coupled fixed point theorems for generalized nonlinear contraction on partially ordered metric spaces which generalize the results of Lakshmikantham and Ciric [15]. Our fundamental sources are [4-7, 11-14, 16, 18-20].

In this paper, we obtain a coincidence point theorem for g-non-decreasing mappings satisfying generalized nonlinear contraction on partially ordered metric spaces. With the help of our result, we derive a coupled coincidence point theorem of generalized compatible pair of mappings $F, G: X^2 \to X$. We also give an example and an application to integral equation to support our results. Our results generalize, extend, modify, improve and sharpen the results of Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham [2], Ding et al. [6] and Lakshmikantham and Ciric [15].

2. Main Results

Lemma 21. Let (X, d) be a metric space. Suppose $Y = X^2$ and define $\delta : Y \times Y \to [0, +\infty)$ by

(21) $\delta((x, y), (u, v)) = \max\{d(x, u), d(y, v)\}, \text{ for all } (x, y), (u, v) \in Y.$

Then δ is metric on Y and (X, d) is complete if and only if (Y, δ) is complete.

Let Φ denote the set of all functions $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ satisfying $(i_{\varphi}) \varphi$ is non-decreasing,

 (ii_{φ}) $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi^n(t) = 0$ for all t > 0, where $\varphi^{n+1}(t) = \varphi^n(\varphi(t))$.

It is clear that $\varphi(t) < t$ for each t > 0. In fact, if $\varphi(t_0) \ge t_0$ for some $t_0 > 0$, then, since φ is non-decreasing, $\varphi^n(t_0) \ge t_0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which contradicts with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \varphi^n(t_0) = 0$. In addition, it is easy to see that $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Theorem 22. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a partially ordered metric space and let $T, g : X \to X$ be two mappings such that the following properties are fulfilled:

- (i) $T(X) \subseteq g(X),$
- (ii) T is (g, \preceq) -non-decreasing,
- (iii) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $gx_0 \leq Tx_0$,
- (iv) there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \le \varphi(M(x, y)),$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx, gy), d(gx, Tx), d(gy, Ty), \\ \frac{d(gx, Ty) + d(gy, Tx)}{2} \end{array} \right\},$$

for all $x, y \in X$ such that $gx \leq gy$. Also assume that, at least, one of the following conditions holds:

- (a) (X, d) is complete, T and g are continuous and the pair (T, g) is O-compatible,
- (b) (X, d) is complete, T and g are continuous and commuting,
- (c) (g(X), d) is complete and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular,
- (d) (X, d) is complete, g(X) is closed and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular,
- (e) (X, d) is complete, g is continuous, the pair (T, g) is O-compatible and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular.

Then T and g have, at least, a coincidence point.

Proof. We divide the proof into four steps.

Step 1. We claim that there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subseteq X$ such that $\{gx_n\}$ is \leq -non-decreasing and $gx_{n+1} = Tx_n$, for all $n \geq 0$. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. Since

 $Tx_0 \in T(X) \subseteq g(X)$, therefore there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_0 = gx_1$. Then $gx_0 \preceq Tx_0 = gx_1$. Since T is (g, \preceq) -non-decreasing, therefore $Tx_0 \preceq Tx_1$. Again, since $Tx_1 \in T(X) \subseteq g(X)$, therefore there exists $x_2 \in X$ such that $Tx_1 = gx_2$. Then $gx_1 = Tx_0 \preceq Tx_1 = gx_2$. Since T is (g, \preceq) -non-decreasing, therefore $Tx_1 \preceq Tx_2$. Repeating this argument, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\{gx_n\}$ is \preceq -non-decreasing, $gx_{n+1} = Tx_n \preceq Tx_{n+1} = gx_{n+2}$ and

(22)
$$gx_{n+1} = Tx_n \text{ for all } n \ge 0.$$

Step 2. We claim that $\{gx_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X. Now, by contractive condition (iv), we have

(23)
$$d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) = d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \le \varphi(M(x_n, x_{n+1})),$$

where

$$M(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gx_n, Tx_n), d(gx_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}), \\ \frac{d(gx_n, Tx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, Tx_n)}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}), \\ \frac{d(gx_n, gx_{n+2}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+1})}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq \max \left\{ d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) \right\}.$$

If $d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) \ge d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$. Then

$$(24) M(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}).$$

From (23), (24) and by the fact that $\varphi(t) < t$ for all t > 0, we get

$$d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) \le \varphi(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})) < d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) \ge d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})$. Then

(25)
$$M(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}).$$

Thus, by (23) and (25), we have for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(26) d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) \le \varphi\left(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})\right) \le \varphi^n\left(d(gx_0, gx_1)\right) \le \varphi^n(\delta),$$

where

$$\delta = d(gx_0, gx_1).$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $d(gx_0, gx_1) \neq 0$. In fact, if this is not true, then $gx_0 = gx_1 = Tx_0$, that is, x_0 is a coincidence point of g and T.

Thus, for $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m > n, by triangle inequality and (26), we get

$$d(gx_{n}, gx_{m+n})$$

$$\leq d(gx_{n}, gx_{n+1}) + d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) + \dots + d(gx_{n+m-1}, gx_{m+n})$$

$$\leq \varphi^{n}(\delta) + \varphi^{n+1}(\delta) + \dots + \varphi^{n+m-1}(\delta)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+m-1} \varphi^{i}(\delta),$$

which implies, by (ii_{φ}) , that $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X.

Step 3. We claim that T and g have a coincidence point distinguishing between cases (a) - (e).

Suppose now that (a) holds, that is, (X, d) is complete, T and g are continuous and the pair (T, g) is O-compatible. Since (X, d) is complete, therefore there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{gx_n\} \to z$ and $\{Tx_n\} \to z$. Since T and g are continuous, therefore $\{Tgx_n\} \to Tz$ and $\{ggx_n\} \to gz$. Since the pair (T, g) is O-compatible, therefore $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(gTx_n, Tgx_n) = 0$. Thus, we conclude that

$$d(gz, Tz) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(ggx_{n+1}, Tgx_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(gTx_n, Tgx_n) = 0,$$

that is, z is a coincidence point of T and g.

Suppose now that (b) holds, that is, (X, d) is complete, T and g are continuous and commuting. It is evident that (b) implies (a).

Suppose now that (c) holds, that is, (g(X), d) is complete and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular. As $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete space (g(X), d), so there exists $y \in g(X)$ such that $\{gx_n\} \to y$. Let $z \in X$ be any point such that y = gz, then $\{gx_n\} \to gz$. Indeed, as (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular and $\{gx_n\}$ is \preceq -non-decreasing and converging to gz, we deduce that $gx_n \preceq gz$ for all $n \geq 0$. Applying the contractive condition (iv), we get

(27)
$$d(gx_{n+1}, Tz) = d(Tx_n, Tz) \le \varphi(M(x_n, z)),$$

where

$$M(x_n, z) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} d(gx_n, gz), & d(gx_n, Tx_n), & d(gz, Tz), \\ \frac{d(gx_n, Tz) + d(gz, Tx_n)}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$
$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} d(gx_n, gz), & d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), & d(gz, Tz), \\ \frac{d(gx_n, Tz) + d(gz, gx_{n+1})}{2} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since $\{gx_n\} \to gz$, therefore there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$,

$$(28) M(x_n, z) = d(gz, Tz).$$

By (27) and (28), we get

$$d(gx_{n+1}, Tz) \le \varphi(d(gz, Tz)).$$

Now, we claim that d(gz, Tz) = 0. If this is not true, then d(gz, Tz) > 0, which, by the fact that $\varphi(t) < t$ for all t > 0, implies

$$d(gx_{n+1}, Tz) < d(gz, Tz).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in the above inequality and using $\lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = gz$, we get

which is a contradiction. Hence we must have d(gz, Tz) = 0, that is, z is a coincidence point of T and g.

Suppose now that (d) holds, that is, (X, d) is complete, g(X) is closed and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular. It follows from the fact that a closed subset of a complete metric space is also complete. Then, (g(X), d) is complete and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular. Thus (d) implies (c).

Suppose now that (e) holds, that is, (X, d) is complete, g is continuous, the pair (T, g) is O-compatible and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular. As (X, d) is complete, so there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{gx_n\} \to z$. Since $Tx_n = gx_{n+1}$ for all n, we also have that $\{Tx_n\} \to z$. As g is continuous, then $\{ggx_n\} \to gz$. Furthermore, since the pair (T, g) is O-compatible, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} d(ggx_{n+1}, Tgx_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} d(gTx_n, Tgx_n) = 0$. As $\{ggx_n\} \to gz$ the previous property means that $\{Tgx_n\} \to gz$.

Indeed, as (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular and $\{gx_n\}$ is \preceq -non-decreasing and converging to z, we deduce that $gx_n \preceq z$ for all $n \geq 0$. Applying the contractive condition (iv), we get

(29)
$$d(Tgx_n, Tz) \le \varphi(M(gx_n, z)),$$

where

$$M(gx_n, z) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(ggx_n, gz), d(ggx_n, Tgx_n), d(gz, Tz), \\ \frac{d(ggx_n, Tz) + d(gz, Tgx_n)}{2} \end{array} \right\}.$$

Since $\{ggx_n\} \to gz$, therefore there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n > n_0$,

$$(30) M(qx_n, z) = d(qz, Tz).$$

By (29) and (30), we get

$$d(Tqx_n, Tz) \leq \varphi(d(qz, Tz)),$$

Now, we claim that d(gz, Tz) = 0. If this is not true, then d(gz, Tz) > 0, which, by the fact that $\varphi(t) < t$ for all t > 0, implies

$$d(Tgx_n, Tz) < d(gz, Tz).$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in the above inequality and using $\{Tgx_n\} \to gz$, we get

which is a contradiction. Hence we must have d(gz, Tz) = 0, that is, z is a coincidence point of T and g.

Next, we derive the two dimensional version of Theorem 22. For the ordered metric space (X, d, \preceq) , let us consider the ordered metric space $(X^2, \delta, \sqsubseteq)$, where δ was defined in Lemma 21 and \sqsubseteq was introduced in (19). Define the mappings T_F , $T_G: X^2 \to X^2$, for all $(x, y) \in X^2$, by,

(31)
$$T_F(x, y) = (F(x, y), F(y, x)) \text{ and } T_G(x, y) = (G(x, y), G(y, x)).$$

Under these conditions, the following properties hold:

Lemma 23. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a partially ordered metric space and let $F, G : X^2 \to X$ be two mappings. Then

- (1) (X, d) is complete if and only if (X^2, δ) is complete.
- (2) If (X, d, \preceq) is regular, then $(X^2, \delta, \sqsubseteq)$ is also regular.
- (3) If F is d-continuous, then T_F is δ -continuous.
- (4) If F is G-increasing with respect to \preceq , then T_F is (T_G, \sqsubseteq) -nondecreasing.
- (5) If there exist two elements $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with $G(x_0, y_0) \leq F(x_0, y_0)$ and $G(y_0, x_0) \succeq F(y_0, x_0)$, then there exists a point $(x_0, y_0) \in X^2$ such that $T_G(x_0, y_0) \sqsubseteq T_F(x_0, y_0)$.
- (6) For any $x, y \in X$, there exist $u, v \in X$ such that F(x, y) = G(u, v) and F(y, x) = G(v, u), then $T_F(X^2) \subseteq T_G(X^2)$.
 - (7) Assume there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

(32)
$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \le \varphi(M(x, y, u, v)),$$

where

$$M(x, y, u, v)$$

$$= \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(G(x, y), G(u, v)), d(G(x, y), F(x, y)), \\ d(G(u, v), F(u, v)), \frac{d(G(x, y), F(u, v)) + d(G(u, v), F(x, y))}{2}, \\ d(G(y, x), G(v, u)), d(G(y, x), F(y, x)), \\ d(G(v, u), F(v, u)), \frac{d(G(y, x), F(v, u)) + d(G(v, u), F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $G(x, y) \leq G(u, v)$ and $G(y, x) \geq G(v, u)$, then

$$\delta(T_F(x, y), T_F(u, v)) \leq \varphi(M_\delta((x, y), (u, v))),$$

where

$$M_{\delta}((x, y), (u, v)) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \delta(T_{G}(x, y), T_{G}(u, v)), \\ \delta(T_{G}(x, y), T_{F}(x, y)), \\ \delta(T_{G}(u, v), T_{F}(u, v)), \\ \frac{\delta(T_{G}(x, y), T_{F}(u, v)) + \delta(T_{G}(u, v), T_{F}(x, y))}{2} \end{array} \right\},$$

for all $(x, y), (u, v) \in X^2$, where $T_G(x, y) \sqsubseteq T_G(u, v)$.

- (8) If the pair $\{F, G\}$ is generalized compatible, then the mappings T_F and T_G are O-compatible in (X^2, δ, \Box) .
- (9) A point $(x, y) \in X^2$ is a coupled coincidence point of F and G if and only if it is a coincidence point of T_F and T_G .

Proof. Statement (1) follows from Lemma 21 and (2), (3), (5), (6) and (9) are obvious.

- (4) Assume that F is G-increasing with respect to \leq and let (x, y), $(u, v) \in X^2$ be such that $T_G(x, y) \sqsubseteq T_G(u, v)$. Then $G(x, y) \preceq G(u, v)$ and $G(y, x) \succeq G(v, u)$. Since F is G-increasing with respect to \leq , we have that $F(x, y) \preceq F(u, v)$ and $F(y, x) \succeq F(v, u)$. Therefore $T_F(x, y) \sqsubseteq T_F(u, v)$ which shows that T_F is (T_G, \Box) -non-decreasing.
- (7) Let (x, y), $(u, v) \in X^2$ be such that $T_G(x, y) \sqsubseteq T_G(u, v)$. Therefore $G(x, y) \preceq G(u, v)$ and $G(y, x) \succeq G(v, u)$. From (32), we have

(33)
$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \le \varphi(M(x, y, u, v)).$$

Furthermore $G(y, x) \succeq G(v, u)$ and $G(x, y) \preceq G(u, v)$, the contractive condition (32) implies that

(34)
$$d(F(y, x), F(v, u)) \le \varphi(M(x, y, u, v)).$$

Combining (33) and (34), we get

(35)
$$\max\{d(F(x, y), F(u, v)), d(F(y, x), F(v, u))\} \le \varphi(M(x, y, u, v)).$$

It follows from (35) that

$$\delta(T_F(x, y), T_F(u, v))$$
= $\delta((F(x, y), F(y, x)), (F(u, v), F(v, u)))$
= $\max\{d(F(x, y), F(u, v)), d(F(y, x), F(v, u))\}$
 $\leq \varphi(M(x, y, u, v))$
 $\leq \varphi(M_{\delta}((x, y), (u, v))).$

(8) Let $\{(x_n, y_n)\}\subseteq X^2$ be any sequence such that $T_F(x_n, y_n)\stackrel{\delta}{\to} (x, y)$ and $T_G(x_n, y_n)\stackrel{\delta}{\to} (x, y)$ (Note that it is not require to suppose that $\{T_G(x_n, y_n)\}$ is \sqsubseteq -monotone). Thus

$$(F(x_n, y_n), F(y_n, x_n)) \xrightarrow{\delta} (x, y)$$

 $\Rightarrow F(x_n, y_n) \xrightarrow{d} x \text{ and } F(y_n, x_n) \xrightarrow{d} y,$

and

$$(G(x_n, y_n), G(y_n, x_n)) \xrightarrow{\delta} (x, y)$$

 $\Rightarrow G(x_n, y_n) \xrightarrow{d} x \text{ and } G(y_n, x_n) \xrightarrow{d} y.$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(x_n, y_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G(x_n, y_n) = x \in X,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F(y_n, x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} G(y_n, x_n) = y \in X.$$

Since the pair $\{F, G\}$ is generalized compatible, therefore

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(F(G(x_n, y_n), G(y_n, x_n)), G(F(x_n, y_n), F(y_n, x_n))) = 0,$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(F(G(y_n, x_n), G(x_n, y_n)), G(F(y_n, x_n), F(x_n, y_n))) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{n \to \infty} \delta(T_G T_F(x_n, \ y_n), \ T_F T_G(x_n, \ y_n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta(T_G(F(x_n, \ y_n), \ F(y_n, \ x_n)), \ T_F(G(x_n, \ y_n), \ G(y_n, \ x_n))) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \delta\left(\begin{array}{c} (G(F(x_n, \ y_n), \ F(y_n, \ x_n)), \ G(F(y_n, \ x_n), \ F(x_n, \ y_n))), \\ (F(G(x_n, \ y_n), \ G(y_n, \ x_n)), \ F(G(y_n, \ x_n), \ G(x_n, \ y_n))) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \max\left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(G(F(x_n, \ y_n), \ F(y_n, \ x_n)), \ F(G(x_n, \ y_n), \ G(y_n, \ x_n))), \\ d(G(F(y_n, \ x_n), \ F(x_n, \ y_n)), \ F(G(y_n, \ x_n), \ G(x_n, \ y_n))) \end{array} \right\} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Hence, the mappings T_F and T_G are O-compatible in $(X^2, \delta, \sqsubseteq)$.

Theorem 24. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set such that there exists a complete metric d on X. Assume $F, G: X^2 \to X$ be two generalized compatible mappings such that F is G-increasing with respect to \preceq , G is continuous and there exist two elements $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with

$$G(x_0, y_0) \leq F(x_0, y_0)$$
 and $G(y_0, x_0) \geq F(y_0, x_0)$.

Suppose that there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ satisfying (32) and for any $x, y \in X$, there exist $u, v \in X$ such that

(36)
$$F(x, y) = G(u, v) \text{ and } F(y, x) = G(v, u).$$

Also suppose that either

- (a) F is continuous or
- (b) (X, d, \preceq) is regular.

Then F and G have a coupled coincidence point.

Proof. It is only require to use Theorem 22 to the mappings $T = T_F$ and $g = T_G$ in the ordered metric space $(X^2, \delta, \sqsubseteq)$ with Lemma 23.

Corollary 25. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set such that there exists a complete metric d on X. Assume $F, G: X^2 \to X$ be two commuting mappings satisfying (32) and (36) such that F is G-increasing with respect to \preceq , G is continuous and there exist two elements $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with

$$G(x_0, y_0) \leq F(x_0, y_0)$$
 and $G(y_0, x_0) \geq F(y_0, x_0)$.

Also suppose that either

- (a) F is continuous or
- (b) (X, d, \preceq) is regular.

Then F and G have a coupled coincidence point.

Next, we deduce results without g-mixed monotone property of F.

Corollary 26. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set such that there exists a complete metric d on X, $F: X \times X \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ be two compatible mappings such that F is g-increasing with respect to \preceq . Assume there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

(37)
$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) \le \varphi(M_q(x, y, u, v)),$$

where

$$M_g(x, y, u, v) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(gx, gu), d(gx, F(x, y)), d(gu, F(u, v)), \\ \frac{d(gx, F(u, v)) + d(gu, F(x, y))}{2}, \\ d(gy, gv), d(gy, F(y, x)), d(gv, F(v, u)), \\ \frac{d(gy, F(v, u)) + d(gv, F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\},$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $gx \leq gu$ and $gy \succeq gv$. Furthermore $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, g is continuous and monotone increasing with respect to \leq . Also suppose that either

- (a) F is continuous or
- (b) (X, d, \preceq) is regular.

If there exist two elements $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with

$$gx_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } gy_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0).$$

Then F and g have a coupled coincidence point.

Corollary 27. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set such that there exists a complete metric d on X. Assume $F: X \times X \to X$ and $g: X \to X$ be two commuting mappings satisfying (37) such that F is g-increasing with respect to \preceq . Furthermore $F(X \times X) \subseteq g(X)$, g is continuous and monotone increasing with respect to \preceq . Also suppose that either

- (a) F is continuous or
- (b) (X, d, \preceq) is regular.

If there exist two elements $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with

$$gx_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } gy_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0).$$

Then F and g have a coupled coincidence point.

Now, we deduce result without mixed monotone property of F.

Corollary 28. Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set such that there exists a complete metric d on X. Assume $F: X \times X \to X$ be an increasing mapping with respect to \preceq and there exists $\varphi \in \Phi$ such that

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) < \varphi(m(x, y, u, v)),$$

where

$$m(x, y, u, v) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} d(x, u), \ d(x, F(x, y)), \ d(u, F(u, v)), \\ \frac{d(x, F(u, v)) + d(u, F(x, y))}{2}, \\ d(y, v), \ d(y, F(y, x)), \ d(v, F(v, u)), \\ \frac{d(y, F(v, u)) + d(v, F(y, x))}{2} \end{array} \right\},$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $x \leq u$ and $y \succeq v$. Also suppose that either

- (a) F is continuous or
- (b) (X, d, \preceq) is regular.

If there exist two elements $x_0, y_0 \in X$ with

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0) \text{ and } y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0).$$

Then F has a coupled fixed point.

Example 29. Suppose that X = [0, 1], equipped with the usual metric $d: X \times X \to [0, +\infty)$ with the natural ordering of real numbers \leq . Let $F, G: X \times X \to X$ be defined as

$$F(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^2 - y^2}{3}, & \text{if } x \ge y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x < y, \end{cases}$$
 and $G(x, y) = \begin{cases} x^2 - y^2, & \text{if } x \ge y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x < y. \end{cases}$

Define $\varphi:[0,+\infty)\to[0,+\infty)$ as follows

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{3}, & \text{for } t \neq 1, \\ 1, & \text{for } t = 1. \end{cases}$$

First, we shall show that the contractive condition (32) holds for the mappings F and G. Let $x, y, u, v \in X$ such that $G(x, y) \leq G(u, v)$ and $G(y, x) \geq G(v, u)$, we have

$$d(F(x, y), F(u, v)) = \left| \frac{x^2 - y^2}{3} - \frac{u^2 - v^2}{3} \right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} |G(x, y) - G(u, v)|$$

$$= \frac{1}{3} d(G(x, y), G(u, v))$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3} M(x, y, u, v)$$

$$\leq \varphi(M(x, y, u, v)).$$

Thus the contractive condition (32) holds for all $x, y, u, v \in X$. In addition, like in [11], all the other conditions of Theorem 24 are satisfied and z = (0, 0) is a coincidence point of F and G.

Remark 30. Using the same technique that can be used in [12-14, 18, 19, 20] it is possible to derive tripled, quadruple and in general, multidimensional coincidence point theorems from Theorem 22.

REFERENCES

- 1. R.P. Agarwal, R.K. Bisht & N. Shahzad: A comparison of various noncommuting conditions in metric fixed point theory and their applications. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, Article ID 38.
- 2. T.G. Bhaskar & V. Lakshmikantham: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65** (2006), no. 7, 1379-1393.
- 3. B.S. Choudhury & A. Kundu: A coupled coincidence point results in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings. *Nonlinear Anal.* **73** (2010), 2524-2531.
- 4. B. Deshpande & A. Handa. Nonlinear mixed monotone-generalized contractions on partially ordered modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces with application to integral equations. *Afr. Mat.* **26** (2015), no. 3-4, 317-343.
- 5. B. Deshpande & A. Handa, Application of coupled fixed point technique in solving integral equations on modified intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. *Adv. Fuzzy Syst.* **2014**, Article ID 348069.
- H.S. Ding, L. Li & S. Radenovic: Coupled coincidence point theorems for generalized nonlinear contraction in partially ordered metric spaces. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012:96.
- I.M. Erhan, E. Karapınar, A. Roldan & N. Shahzad: Remarks on coupled coincidence point results for a generalized compatible pair with applications. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2014:207.
- 8. K. Goebel: A coincidence theorem. Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astron. Phys. 16 (1968), 733-735.
- 9. D. Guo & V. Lakshmikantham: Coupled fixed points of nonlinear operators with applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **11** (1987), no. 5, 623-632.
- N.M. Hung, E. Karapınar & N.V. Luong: Coupled coincidence point theorem for Ocompatible mappings via implicit relation. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2012, Article ID 796964.
- 11. N. Hussain, M. Abbas, A. Azam & J. Ahmad: Coupled coincidence point results for a generalized compatible pair with applications. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014:62.
- 12. E. Karapınar & A. Roldan: A note on n-Tuplet fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 567
- 13. E. Karapınar, A. Roldan, C. Roldan & J. Martinez-Moreno: A note on N-Fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 310.
- 14. E. Karapinar, A. Roldan, N. Shahzad & W. Sintunavarat: Discussion on coupled and tripled coincidence point theorems for ϕ -contractive mappings without the mixed g-monotone property. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2014**, Article ID 92.

- 15. V. Lakshmikantham & L. Ciric: Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **70** (2009), no. 12, 4341-4349.
- 16. N.V. Luong & N.X. Thuan: Coupled fixed points in partially ordered metric spaces and application. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74** (2011), 983-992.
- 17. _____: Coupled points in ordered generalized metric spaces and application to integro-differential equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **62** (2011), no. 11, 4238-4248.
- S.A. Al-Mezel, H. Alsulami, E. Karapinar & A. Roldan: Discussion on multidimensional coincidence points via recent publications. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014, Article ID 287492.
- 19. A. Roldan, J. Martinez-Moreno, C. Roldan & E. Karapinar: Some remarks on multidimensional fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 158.
- 20. B. Samet, E. Karapinar, H. Aydi & V.C. Rajic: Discussion on some coupled fixed point theorems. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013:50.

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Govt. Arts & Science P. G. College, Ratlam (M.P.), India

 $Email\ address: {\tt bhavnadeshpande@yahoo.com}$

 $^{\mathrm{b}}$ Department of Mathematics, Govt. P. G. Arts and Science College, Ratlam (M.P.), India

Email address: amrishhanda83@gmail.com

^cDEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOVT. P. G. COLLEGE JAORA (M.P.), INDIA *Email address*: chetnakothari123@gmail.com