

## BIPROJECTIVITY OF MATRIX BANACH ALGEBRAS WITH APPLICATION TO COMPACT GROUPS

FEREIDOUN HABIBIAN<sup>a,\*</sup> AND RAZIEH NOORI<sup>b</sup>

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the necessary and sufficient conditions are considered for biprojectivity of Banach algebras  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ . As an application, we investigate biprojectivity of convolution Banach algebras  $A(G)$  and  $L^2(G)$  on a compact group  $G$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Banach algebras  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ , where  $p \in [1, \infty] \cup \{0\}$ , have been introduced and extensively studied in Section 28 of [4]. Recently, amenability, weak amenability and approximate weak amenability have been studied by H. Samea in [8] (see also [5]). The present paper is going to investigate biprojectivity of Banach algebras  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ , together with their applications to a number of convolution Banach algebras on compact groups.

Let  $H$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space and suppose that  $B(H)$  is the space of all linear operators on  $H$ . Clearly we can identify  $B(H)$  with  $\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  (the space of all  $n \times n$ -matrices on  $\mathbb{C}$ ). For  $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , let  $A^* \in \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$  by  $(A^*)_{ij} = \overline{A_{ji}}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ), and let  $|A|$  denote the unique positive-definite square root of  $AA^*$ .  $A$  is called *unitary*, if  $A^*A = AA^* = I$ , where  $I$  is the  $n \times n$ -identity matrix. For  $E \in B(H)$ , let  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  be the sequence of eigenvalues of the operator  $|E|$ , written in any order. Define  $\|E\|_{\varphi_\infty} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|$ , and  $\|E\|_{\varphi_p} = (\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ). For more details see Definition D.37 and Theorem D.40 of [4].

Let  $I$  be an arbitrary index set. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $H_i$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space of dimension  $d_i$ , and let  $a_i \geq 1$  be a real number. The  $*$ -algebra  $\prod_{i \in I} B(H_i)$  will be denoted by  $\mathfrak{E}(I)$ ; scalar multiplication, addition, multiplication,

---

Received by the editors January 28, 2018. Accepted April 19, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 46A32; Secondary 46M10, 22D99.

*Key words and phrases*. Banach algebra, biprojective, compact group.

\*Corresponding author.

and the adjoint of an element are defined coordinate-wise. Let  $E = (E_i)$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{E}(I)$ . We define  $\|E\|_p := (\sum_{i \in I} a_i \|E_i\|_{\varphi_p}^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ), and  $\|E\|_\infty = \sup_{i \in I} \|E_i\|_{\varphi_\infty}$ . For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  is defined as the set of all  $E \in \mathfrak{E}(I)$  for which  $\|E\|_p < \infty$ .

For a locally compact group  $G$  and a function  $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\check{f}$  is defined by  $\check{f}(x) = f(x^{-1})$  ( $x \in G$ ). Let  $A(G)$  (or  $\mathfrak{R}(G)$ , defined in 35.16 of [4]) consists of all functions  $h$  in  $C_0(G)$  that can be written in at least one way as  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n * \check{g}_n$ , where  $f_n, g_n \in L^2(G)$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|f_n\|_2 \|g_n\|_2 < \infty$ . For  $h \in A(G)$ , define

$$\|h\|_{A(G)} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|f_n\|_2 \|g_n\|_2 : h = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n * \check{g}_n \right\}.$$

With this norm  $A(G)$  is a Banach space. For more details see 35.16 of [4].

As [1], let  $(A, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed algebra, and let  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  be ideals in  $A$ , then  $I_1 \dots I_n$  is an ideal in  $A$ ; we transfer the projective norm from  $I_1 \otimes \dots \otimes I_n$  into  $I_1 \dots I_n$ . So that, for  $A \in I_1 \dots I_n$ , we have

$$\|a\|_\pi = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^m \|a_{1,j}\| \dots \|a_{n,j}\| ; a = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{1,j} \dots a_{n,j}, a_{i,j} \in I_i \right\}.$$

Clearly  $\|\cdot\|_\pi$  is an algebra norm on  $I_1 \dots I_n$  with  $\|a\| \leq \|a\|_\pi$  ( $a \in I_1 \dots I_n$ ); the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\pi$  is again called the projective norm. In particular, we may consider  $\|\cdot\|_\pi$  on  $A^2$ . Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. Then the continuous linear map  $\pi_A : A \hat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A$  such that  $\pi_A(x \otimes y) = xy$  ( $x, y \in A$ ) is the projective induced product map and  $I_\pi = \ker \pi_A$ . The quotient norm on the image  $\pi_A(A \hat{\otimes} A) \cong \frac{(A \hat{\otimes} A)}{\ker \pi_A}$  is denoted by  $|||\cdot|||_\pi$ , so that

$$|||a|||_\pi = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^\infty \|a_j\| \|b_j\| ; a = \sum_{j=1}^\infty a_j b_j \right\} (a \in \pi_A(A \hat{\otimes} A)).$$

Note that by 2 · 1 · 15 of [1],

$$(1.1) \quad \|a\| \leq |||a|||_\pi \leq \|a\|_\pi \quad (a \in A^2).$$

A normed algebra  $A$  has  $S$ -property ( $\pi$ -property) if there is a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|a\|_\pi \leq c \|a\| \quad (|||a|||_\pi \leq c \|a\|) \quad (a \in A^2).$$

Clearly, If  $A$  has  $S$ -property, then  $A$  has  $\pi$ -property. A Banach algebra  $A$  is biprojective if  $\pi_A : A \hat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A$  has a bounded right inverse as an  $A$ -bimodule homomorphism. By proposition 2.8.41 of [1], if  $A$  is biprojective then  $\pi_A(A \hat{\otimes} A) = A$  and  $A$  has  $\pi$ -property.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, among other results, we obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions such that  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  for  $p \geq 1$ , has  $\pi$ -property and as a result we apply  $\pi$ -property of  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for biprojectivity of  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $p \geq 1$  and  $A \in \mathfrak{E}_{\frac{p}{2}}(I)$ . Then there are  $B, C \in \mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  such that  $A = B.C$  and  $\|B\|_p = \|C\|_p = \|A\|_{\frac{p}{2}}$ .*

*Proof.* First suppose  $p \neq \infty$ . By Notation D.26 (i) of [4], for  $i \in I, |A_i|$  can be written uniquely in the form  $|A_i| = \sum_{j=1}^n b_i^j Q_i^j$ , where the  $b_i^j$ 's are distinct positive numbers and  $Q_i^j$ 's are projections onto pairwise orthogonal nonzero subspaces of  $H_i$  and  $|A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{j=1}^n (b_i^j)^{\frac{1}{2}} Q_i^j$ . Therefore,  $|A_i| = |A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . For  $i \in I$ , according to the polar decomposition, there is  $W_i \in \mathcal{U}(H_i)$  (the set of all unitary operators on  $H_i$ ) such that

$$A_i = |A_i|.W_i = |A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot |A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}.W_i.$$

Let  $B_i = |A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and  $C_i = |A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}.W_i$ . By Lemma 1.1 of [?]

$$\|B_i\|_{\varphi_p}^p = \||A_i|^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\varphi_p}^p = \|A_i\|_{\varphi_{\frac{p}{2}}}^{\frac{p}{2}},$$

therefore,

$$\|B\|_p = \left( \sum_i a_i \|B_i\|_{\varphi_p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( \sum_i a_i \|A_i\|_{\varphi_{\frac{p}{2}}}^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{p} \cdot \frac{1}{2}} = \|A\|_{\frac{p}{2}} < \infty.$$

So  $B \in \mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ . The rest of the proof follows easily from Theorem D. 41 of [4] and Lemma 1.1 of [5]. For  $p = \infty$  the proof is similar. □

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $p \geq 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{E}_{\frac{p}{2}}(I) \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_p(I)\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ .*

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. We set  $A^{[2]} = A.A = \{ab : a, b \in A\}$  and  $A^2 = \text{lin}A^{[2]} = \text{lin}A.A = \{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i b_i : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{C}, a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n \in A \}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $p \geq 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{E}_p^2(I) = \mathfrak{E}_p^{[2]}(I) = \mathfrak{E}_{\frac{p}{2}}(I)$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that if  $E, F \in \mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ , then  $EF \in \mathfrak{E}_{\frac{p}{2}}(I)$ . By using Theorem 2 · 3 of [5] for  $p = q$ , and applying Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|EF\|_{\frac{p}{2}}^{\frac{p}{2}} &= \sum_i a_i \|(EF)_i\|_{\varphi_{\frac{p}{2}}}^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_i a_i \|E_i\|_{\varphi_p}^{\frac{p}{2}} \|F_i\|_{\varphi_p}^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq \sum_i a_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \|E_i\|_{\varphi_p}^{\frac{p}{2}} a_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \|F_i\|_{\varphi_p}^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_i a_i \|E_i\|_{\varphi_p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_i a_i \|F_i\|_{\varphi_p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \left( \|E\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \|F\|_p^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.4.** *If  $r > p \geq 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I) \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{E}_r(I)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 28.32 of [4],  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I) \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_r(I)$ . Let  $A \in \mathfrak{E}_r(I)$  and  $B \in \mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ . For each  $i \in I$ , we denote the sequence of eigenvalues of  $A_i$  by  $s_j(A_i)$ . Now, if  $A_i, B_i \in B(H_i)$ , then by 2.2 and 2.3 of [3],

$$s_j(A_i B_i) \leq \|A_i\|_{\varphi_\infty} \cdot s_j(B_i),$$

$$s_j(B_i A_i) \leq \|A_i\|_{\varphi_\infty} \cdot s_j(B_i).$$

Thus

$$\|A_i B_i\|_{\varphi_p} = \left( \sum_j s_j(A_i B_i)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left( \sum_j \|A_i\|_{\varphi_\infty}^p \cdot s_j(B_i)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|A_i\|_{\varphi_\infty} \|B_i\|_{\varphi_p}.$$

But  $A \in \mathfrak{E}_r(I)$ , hence  $A \in \mathfrak{E}_\infty(I)$  and

$$\|AB\|_p^p = \sum_i a_i \|A_i B_i\|_{\varphi_p}^p \leq \sum_i a_i \|A_i\|_{\varphi_\infty}^p \|B_i\|_{\varphi_p}^p \leq \|A\|_\infty^p \|B\|_{\varphi_p}^p < \infty.$$

Therefore,  $AB \in \mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  and the proof is complete. □

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $p \geq 1$ , then  $\mathfrak{E}_{\frac{p}{2}}(I) \trianglelefteq \mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ .*

Let  $\|\cdot\|_{\pi,p}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\pi,p}$  be the projective norms on  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  and the quotient norm from  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ , respectively. Let

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{E}(I)) = \{(E_i)_{i \in I} \in \mathfrak{E}(I) : E_i \in \mathcal{U}(H_i)\},$$

$U, V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{E}(I))$  and  $E \in \mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ . By Theorem D.41 of [4], we have

$$\|VEU\|_p = \left( \sum_i a_i \|V_i E_i U_i\|_{\varphi_p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( \sum_i a_i \|E_i\|_{\varphi_p}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \|E\|_p.$$

By polar decomposition, for  $i \in I$ , there is a unitary operator  $U_i$  such that  $U_i E_i = |E_i|$ . Let  $U = (U_i)_{i \in I}$  then

$$(2.1) \quad \|E\|_{\pi,p} = \|UE\|_{\pi,p} = \|(|E_i|)_{i \in I}\|_{\pi,p}.$$

Since the square root of a matrix is hermitian, then is diagonalizable, i.e. there is a unitary operator  $V_i$  such that  $V_i^{-1}|E_i|V_i = T_i$ , where  $T_i$  is a diagonal matrix. Let  $V = (V_i)_{i \in I}$ . Then

$$\|(|E_i|)_{i \in I}\|_{\pi,p} = \|V|E|\|_{\pi,p} = \|(T_i)_{i \in I}\|_{\pi,p}.$$

By (2.1),  $\|E\|_{\pi,p} = \|(T_i)_{i \in I}\|_{\pi,p}$ . By the similar procedure, we can prove that  $\| |E| \|_{\pi,p} = \| (T_i)_{i \in I} \|_{\pi,p}$ . Consequently, for analyzing  $\|\cdot\|_{\pi,p}$  and  $\| |E| \|_{\pi,p}$  it is enough to focus on  $E = (E_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ , where each  $E_i$  is a diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries.

For the rest of the section we set  $\tilde{p} = \max\{1, \frac{p}{2}\}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $2 \leq p < \infty$ . Then for each  $E \in \mathfrak{E}_p^2(I)$ ,*

$$\|E\|_{\pi,p} = \| |E| \|_{\pi,p} = \|E\|_{\tilde{p}}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $2 \leq p < \infty$  and  $E \in \mathfrak{E}_p^2(I)$ . By Theorem 2.3,  $E \in \mathfrak{E}_{\frac{p}{2}}(I)$ . Using Theorem 2.1, it follows that  $\|E\|_{\pi,p} \leq \|E\|_{\tilde{p}}$ . Also, if  $E = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F^{(j)} K^{(j)}$  in  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|F^{(j)}\|_p \|K^{(j)}\|_p < \infty$ , then by Theorem 28.3 of [4], we have

$$\|E\|_{\tilde{p}} = \|E\|_{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|F^{(j)} K^{(j)}\|_{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|F^{(j)}\|_p \|K^{(j)}\|_p < \infty,$$

which results  $\|E\|_{\tilde{p}} \leq \| |E| \|_{\pi,p}$ . Then the result follows from (1.1). □

**Theorem 2.7.**  *$\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{p}}$  are equivalent if and only if  $p = 1$  or  $I$  is finite.*

*Proof.* The sufficient condition is evident. Let

$$(2.2) \quad K\|\cdot\|_p \leq \|\cdot\|_{\tilde{p}} \leq M\|\cdot\|_p,$$

for some  $K, M > 0$ , and  $p \neq 1$ . If  $1 < p < 2$ , then  $\tilde{p} = 1$  and by (2.2),  $\|\cdot\|_1 \leq M\|\cdot\|_p$ , that implies  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I) \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_1(I)$  which is contradict with Theorem 28.32 of [4]. We can repeat the same argument for the case  $p \geq 2$ . □

For each  $i \in I$ , and  $1 \leq m, n \leq d_i$ , let  $\varepsilon_{mn}$  be the elementary  $d_i \times d_i$ -matrix such that for  $1 \leq k, l \leq d_i$ ,

$$(\varepsilon_{mn})_{kl} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = m, l = n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $1 \leq p < 2$ . If  $M = \sup_{i \in I} a_i < \infty$ , then for each  $E \in \mathfrak{E}_p^2(I)$*

$$\|E\|_{\bar{p}} = \|E\|_1 \leq \| |E| \|_{\pi,p} \leq \|E\|_{\pi,p} \leq M \|E\|_{\bar{p}} = M \|E\|_1$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $E = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} F^{(j)} K^{(j)}$  in  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$ , where  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|F^{(j)}\|_p \|K^{(j)}\|_p < \infty$ . Then Hölder inequality and Theorem 28 · 3 of [4], imply that

$$\|E\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|F^{(j)} K^{(j)}\|_1 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|F^{(j)}\|_2 \|K^{(j)}\|_2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|F^{(j)}\|_p \|K^{(j)}\|_p < \infty.$$

Therefore,  $\|E\|_1 = \|E\|_{\bar{p}} \leq \| |E| \|_{\pi,p}$ . Let  $\delta_i : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by  $\delta_i(j) = 1$  if  $i = j$  and  $\delta_i(j) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ . Then  $(E_i)_{i \in I} = \sum_{j \in I} E_j \delta_j$  and

$$(2.3) \quad \|(E_i)_{i \in I}\|_{\pi,p} \leq \left\| \sum_{j \in I} E_j \delta_j \right\|_{\pi,p} \leq \sum_j \|E_j \delta_j\|_{\pi,p}$$

where

$$E_j = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1^j & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2^j & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_{d_j}^j \end{bmatrix}.$$

This gives

$$E_j = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d_j} \lambda_k^j \varepsilon_{kk}^j,$$

and

$$\sum_j \|E_j \delta_j\|_{\pi,p} \leq \sum_j \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d_j} \|\lambda_k^j \varepsilon_{kk}^j\|_{\pi,p}.$$

In addition,

$$\lambda_k^j \varepsilon_{kk}^j = \lambda_k^j \varepsilon_{kk}^j \cdot \lambda_k^j \varepsilon_{kk}^j,$$

so

$$\|\lambda_k^j (\varepsilon_{kk}^j)_i\|_{\pi,p} \leq \lambda_k^j a_j^{\frac{1}{p}} a_j^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Combining the above two inequalities, we have

$$\sum_j \|E_j \delta_j\|_{\pi,p} \leq \sum_j \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d_j} \lambda_k^j a_j^{\frac{1}{p}} a_j^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

By using (2.3)

$$\|(E_i)_{i \in I}\|_{\pi,p} \leq \sum_j \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d_j} \lambda_k^j a_j^{\frac{1}{p}} a_j^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and moreover

$$\|E\|_1 = \sum_j a_j \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d_j} \lambda_k^j.$$

Now, since

$$0 \leq \frac{2}{p} - 1 \leq 1 \implies a_j^{\frac{2}{p}-1} \leq a_j \leq M,$$

we have

$$\|(E_i)_{i \in I}\|_{\pi,p} \leq \sum_j \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d_j} \lambda_k^j a_j^{\frac{2}{p}-1} a_j \leq M \sum_j a_j \sum_{1 \leq k \leq d_j} \lambda_k^j = M \|E\|_1,$$

and hence

$$\|E\|_1 \leq \| \|E\| \|_{\pi,p} \leq \|E\|_{\pi,p} \leq M \|E\|_1.$$

□

The following two corollaries follow from Theorem 2.6, Proposition 2.7 and Theorem 2.8.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $p \geq 2$ . Then  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  has  $S$ -property if and only if  $I$  is finite.*

**Corollary 2.10.** *Let  $1 \leq p < 2$  and  $\sup_{i \in I} a_i < \infty$ . Then  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  has  $S$ -property if and only if  $p = 1$ .*

**Remark 2.11.** The above two corollaries can be similarly proved for the case  $\pi$ -property.

### 3. BIPROJECTIVITY OF $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$

In the following proposition which the proof is straightforward, we use  $\oplus_1$  to denote the  $\ell_1$ -direct sum of Banach spaces.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $E_\alpha$  (for  $\alpha \in A$ ) and  $F_\beta$  (for  $\beta \in B$ ) are Banach spaces, then*

$$(\oplus_1 E_\alpha) \hat{\otimes} (\oplus_1 F_\beta) = \oplus_1 (E_\alpha \hat{\otimes} F_\beta)$$

From now on, we put  $a_i = d_i$  for each  $i \in I$ . Let  $M_i$  stands for the algebra of  $d_i \times d_i$  matrices with  $\|T\| = d_i \|T\|_1 = d_i (\text{trace}(T^*T))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and  $M_{ij}$  for the algebra of  $d_i d_j \times d_i d_j$  matrices with  $\|T\| = d_i d_j \|T\|_1$ . It is easy to see that  $\oplus_1 M_i$  and  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I)$  are isometric. Similarly by Proposition 3.1,  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{E}_1(I)$  and  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I \times I)$  are isometric with  $\oplus_1 (M_i \hat{\otimes} M_j)$  and  $\oplus_1 M_{ij}$  respectively. The norm-decreasing maps  $\rho_{i,j} : M_i \hat{\otimes} M_j \rightarrow M_{ij}$  give a norm-decreasing map  $\rho : \mathfrak{E}_1(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{E}_1(I) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_1(I \times I)$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $\sup_{i \in I} d_i < \infty$ , then  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{E}_1(I) = \mathfrak{E}_1(I \times I)$ .*

*Proof.* Injectivity of  $\rho$  follows from injectivity of the corresponding map between  $\oplus_1(M_i \hat{\otimes} M_j)$  and  $\oplus_1 M_{i,j}$ . But  $M_{i,j}$  may be realized, as a linear space, as  $M_i \hat{\otimes} M_j$ . Because these spaces are finite dimensional, the linear isomorphism between  $M_{i,j}$  and  $M_i \hat{\otimes} M_j$  is bounded with both bounds dependant only on the dimensions. Hence if the dimensions are bounded, then the maps between the  $\ell_1$ -direct sums enjoy the same property. Therefore,  $\rho^{-1}$  exists and is bounded.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *The following assertions are equivalent.*

(i)  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I)$  is biprojective.

(ii)  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I)$  is weakly amenable.

(iii)  $\sup_{i \in I} d_i < \infty$ .

*Proof.* By 5.3.13 of [7], (i) implies (ii) and if  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I)$  is weakly amenable, then by [8],  $\sup_{i \in I} d_i < \infty$ . Let  $\sup_{i \in I} d_i < \infty$ , then by Proposition 3.2,  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{E}_1(I) = \mathfrak{E}_1(I \times I)$ . Define  $\varrho : \mathfrak{E}_1(I) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_1(I \times I)$  by  $\varrho((E_i)) = (E_i \delta_{(i,i)})$ . It is easy to check that  $\varrho$  is a bounded  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I)$ -bimodule morphism which is the right inverse for  $\pi : \mathfrak{E}_1(I) \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{E}_1(I) \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}_1(I)$  and so  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I)$  is biprojective.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.**  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  is biprojective if and only if  $p = 1$  and  $\sup_{i \in I} d_i < \infty$  or  $I$  is finite.

*Proof.* The sufficient condition is evident. Let  $p = 1$  and  $\sup_{i \in I} d_i < \infty$ , then by Proposition 3.3,  $\mathfrak{E}_1(I)$  is biprojective. Also it is evident that  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  is biprojective if  $I$  is finite. Now let  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  is biprojective. Since  $\mathfrak{E}_p(I)$  has  $\pi$ -property, the result can be deduced from Corollary 2.9 and Corollary 2.10.  $\square$

#### 4. APPLICATIONS

Let  $G$  be a compact group with dual  $\widehat{G}$  (the set of all irreducible representations of  $G$ ). Let  $H_\pi$  be the representation space of  $\pi$  for each  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ . The algebras  $\mathfrak{E}(\widehat{G})$  and  $\mathfrak{E}_p(\widehat{G})$  for  $p \in [1, \infty] \cup \{0\}$ , are defined as mentioned above with each  $a_\pi$  equals to the dimension  $d_\pi$  of  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$  (c.f Definition 28.34 of [4]).

A unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is *primary* if the center  $C(\pi)$ , i.e., the space of intertwining operators of the representations  $\pi$  and  $\pi$ , is trivial. The group  $G$  is said to be of *type I* if every primary representation of  $G$  is a direct sum of copies of some irreducible representations (for complete discussion and proof of the following two theorem, see [2]).



**Theorem 4.1.** *Every compact group is of type I.*

**Theorem 4.2.** *If either  $G_1$  or  $G_2$  is of type I, then there exists a bijection between  $\widehat{G_1} \times \widehat{G_2}$  and  $\widehat{G_1 \times G_2}$ .*

The following proposition is a consequence of Proposition 3.2, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $\sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} d_\pi < \infty$ , then  $\mathfrak{E}_1(\widehat{G}) \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{E}_1(\widehat{G}) = \mathfrak{E}_1(\widehat{G \times G})$ .*

**Corollary 4.4.** *If  $\sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} d_\pi < \infty$ , then  $A(G) \widehat{\otimes} A(G) = A(G \times G)$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 34.32 of [4], the convolution Banach algebra  $A(G)$  is isometrically algebra isomorphic with  $\mathfrak{E}_1(\widehat{G})$ . □

**Remark 4.5.** By Theorem 1. of [6], there is an integer  $M$  such that  $d(\pi) \leq M$  for all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$  if and only if there is an open abelian subgroup of finite index in  $G$ .

**Corollary 4.6.** *If  $G$  has an open abelian subgroup of finite index, then  $A(G) \widehat{\otimes} A(G) = A(G \times G)$ .*

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group. Then,*

- (i)  *$(A(G), *)$  is biprojective if and only if  $\sup_{\pi \in \widehat{G}} d_\pi < \infty$  and if and only if  $(A(G), *)$  is weakly amenable.*
- (ii)  *$(L^2(G), *)$  is biprojective if and only if  $G$  is finite.*

*Proof.* By above,  $(A(G), *)$  is isometrically algebra isometric with  $\mathfrak{E}_1(\widehat{G})$ , also by 28.43 of [4](Weyl-Peter Theorem)  $(L^2(G), *)$  is isometrically algebra isometric with  $\mathfrak{E}_2(\widehat{G})$ . □

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $G$  be a compact group. Then  $(A(G), *)$  is biprojective if and only if there is an open abelian subgroup of finite index in  $G$ .*

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The referees have reviewed the paper very carefully. The authors express their deep thanks for the comments.

## REFERENCES

1. H.G. Dales: Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity. London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 2000.
2. G.B. Folland: A course in abstract harmonic analysis. CRC Press, Tokyo, 1970.
3. I.C. Gohberg & M.G. Krein: Introduction to the theory of linear non-selfadjoint operators on Hilbert spaces. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 1965.
4. E. Hewitt & K.A.E. Ross: Abstract harmonic analysis. Vol. II, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
5. M. Lashkarizadeh Bami & H. Samea: Amenability and essential amenability of certain Banach algebras. Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **44** (2007), no. 3, 377-390.
6. C.C. Moore: Groups with finite dimensional irreducible representations. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **166** (1972), 401-410.
7. V. Runde: Lectures on amenability. Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 1774, Springer, Heidelberg, 2002.
8. H. Samea: Derivations on matrix algebras with applications to harmonic analysis. Taiwanese J. Math. **15** (2011), no. 6, 2667-2687.

<sup>a</sup>FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, SEMNAN UNIVERSITY, SEMNAN, IRAN

*Email address:* fhabibian@semnan.ac.ir

<sup>b</sup>FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, SEMNAN UNIVERSITY, SEMNAN, IRAN

*Email address:* raziehnoori@semnan.ac.ir