

THE PRIOR SET TAKING A MAXIMAL SCENARIO IN THE REPRESENTATION OF COHERENT RISK MEASURE

JU HONG KIM

ABSTRACT. It is proved that ‘maximum’ is actually attained in the following risk measure representation

$$\rho_m(X) = \max_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m} E_Q[-X].$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0, T]}, P)$ be a filtered probability space. Kim [5] showed that the set of priors in the representation of Choquet expectation [2] is the one of equivalent martingale measures under some conditions, when the distortion is submodular. That is, if a capacity c is submodular, then the coherent risk measure is represented as

$$(1.1) \quad \rho(X) := \int X dc = \max_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_c} E_Q[X] \quad \text{for } X \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T),$$

where \mathcal{Q}_c is defined as

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{Q}_c := \{Q \in \mathcal{M}_{1,f} : Q[A] \leq c(A) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{F}_T\}$$

that is equal to the maximal set \mathcal{Q}_{max} representing ρ . The set \mathcal{Q}_{max} is defined in (1.7). Here $\mathcal{M}_1 := \mathcal{M}_1(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ is the set of all probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) and $\mathcal{M}_{1,f} := \mathcal{M}_{1,f}(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$ is the set of all finitely additive normalized set functions $Q : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. $E_Q[X]$ is denoted by the integral of X with respect to $Q \in \mathcal{M}_{1,f}$.

By using g -expectation [7] and related topics [1, 9], Kim [5] showed that \mathcal{Q}_c equals to \mathcal{Q}^θ where \mathcal{Q}^θ and Θ^g are respectively defined as

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{Q}^\theta := \left\{ Q^\theta : \theta \in \Theta^g, \frac{dQ^\theta}{dP} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = \exp \left(\int_0^t \theta_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t |\theta_s|^2 ds \right) \right\}$$

Received by the editors September 02, 2017. Accepted November 27, 2017.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 60G42, 60G44, 60H10.

Key words and phrases. coherent risk measure, weakly compact set, James’ theorem, minimal penalty function.

and

$$(1.4) \quad \Theta^g = \{(\theta_t)_{t \in [0, T]} : \theta \text{ is } \mathbb{R} - \text{valued, progressively measurable \& } |\theta_t| \leq \nu_t\},$$

for a continuous function ν_t for $t \in [0, T]$.

We consider the Banach spaces $L^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let $q \in (1, \infty]$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, and define

$$\mathcal{M}_1^q(P) := \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{M}_{1,f}(P) \mid \frac{dQ}{dP} \in L^q \right\}.$$

It is well-known in the literature [4, 6, 8] that the coherent risk measures ρ_m defined as

$$\rho_m(X) := \int_{(0,1]} AV@R_\lambda(X) m(d\lambda)$$

for m which is a probability measure defined on $(0, 1]$, can be expressed as Choquet expectation and consequently

$$(1.5) \quad \rho_m(X) = \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m} E_Q[-X],$$

where the set \mathcal{Q}_m is defined as

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{Q}_m := \left\{ Q \in \mathcal{M}_1^2(P) \mid \varphi := \frac{dQ}{dP} \text{ satisfies } \int_t^1 q_\varphi(s) ds \leq \psi(1-t) \text{ for } t \in (0, 1) \right\},$$

and q_φ is a quantile function, ψ is a increasing concave functions $\psi : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi(1) = 1$.

The Average Value at Risk at level $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ of a position X is defined as

$$AV@R_\lambda(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_0^\lambda V@R_\lambda(X) d\gamma.$$

In those papers, it is shown that $\mathcal{Q}_{c_\psi} = \mathcal{Q}_{max} = \mathcal{Q}_m$ as maximal representing set,

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{Q}_{max} = \{Q \in \mathcal{M}_1^2(P) \mid \alpha_{min}(Q) = 0\}.$$

The minimal penalty function α_{min} is defined as

$$\alpha_{min}(Q) := \sup_{X \in \mathcal{A}_\rho} E_Q[-X] \quad \text{for } Q \in \mathcal{M}_1^2(P),$$

where \mathcal{A}_ρ is the acceptance set of ρ on a measurable set \mathcal{X} defined as

$$\mathcal{A}_\rho := \{X \in \mathcal{X} \mid \rho(X) \leq 0\}.$$

It was not clearly shown that ‘*Maximum*’ is attained in (1.5). We will show in this paper that ‘*Maximum*’ is actually taken in (1.5).

2. WEAKLY COMPACT SET

We will show that the set of densities

$$(2.1) \quad \mathcal{D} := \left\{ \frac{dQ}{dP} \mid Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m \right\}$$

is weakly compact subset in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

The following theorem and proposition are well-known(See [3]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let C be a nonempty convex set in a Banach space. Then C is strongly closed if and only if it is weakly closed.*

Proposition 2.2. *Let Y be a normed space. A sequence $(Z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to Z in Y if and only if*

$$\forall f \in Y^* \quad f(Z_n) \rightarrow f(Z) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where Y^* is the set of all continuous linear functionals defined on Y .

Proposition 2.3. *The subset \mathcal{D} is a convex set and is strongly closed. So it is weakly closed.*

Proof. If $Q \in \mathcal{D}$, then $Q \in \mathcal{M}_1^2(P)$ by the definition of \mathcal{Q}_m , and so $\frac{dQ}{dP} \in L^2$. Thus we have $\mathcal{D} \subset L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

Let $Q_1, Q_2 \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. Then it holds that $d(\lambda Q_1 + (1 - \lambda)Q_2)/dP \in L^2$ by the Minkowski inequality. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{\min}(\lambda Q_1 + (1 - \lambda)Q_2) &:= \sup_{X \in \mathcal{A}_\rho} E_{\lambda Q_1 + (1 - \lambda)Q_2}[-X] \\ &= \lambda \sup_{X \in \mathcal{A}_\rho} E_{Q_1}[-X] + (1 - \lambda) \sup_{X \in \mathcal{A}_\rho} E_{Q_2}[-X] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\lambda Q_1 + (1 - \lambda)Q_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ and so \mathcal{D} is convex set.

Let $Z_n = dQ_n/dP \in \mathcal{D}$ be a sequences converging to $Z = dQ/dP$ in L^2 . Since L^2 is a Banach space, $Z \in L^2$. By the Hölder’s inequality, we have

$$0 \leq |E[XZ_n] - E[XZ]| = |E[X(Z_n - Z)]| \leq \|X\|_{L^2} \|Z_n - Z\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus it follows that

$$0 = \alpha_{\min}(Q_n) := \sup_{X \in \mathcal{A}_\rho} E_{Q_n}[-X] \rightarrow \sup_{X \in \mathcal{A}_\rho} E_Q[-X] =: \alpha_{\min}(Q) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{D} is strongly closed and so weakly closed by Theorem 2.1. Thus \mathcal{D} of L^2 is a weakly compact set. \square

3. THE MAIN RESULTS

In this section, the main theorem is given and proved.

Theorem 3.1 (James' Theorem). *A weakly closed subset C of a Banach space B is weakly compact if and only if each continuous linear functional on B attains a maximum on C .*

Proof. See [3]. \square

Theorem 3.2. *'Maximum' is actually taken in the following equation*

$$\rho_m(X) = \max_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_m} E_Q[-X].$$

Proof. For $X \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, define the linear functional J_X as

$$J_X(Z) := E[-XZ] \quad \forall Z \in \mathcal{D}.$$

By the Hölder's inequality, we have

$$|J_X(Z)| \leq E[|XZ|] \leq \left(\int |X|^2 dP \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\int |Z|^2 dP \right)^{1/2} < +\infty.$$

Thus the set J_X is bounded and so continuous on $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

By Theorem 3.1, the linear functional J_X attains a maximum on \mathcal{D} . \square

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the research grant of Sungshin Women's University in 2017.

REFERENCES

1. Z. Chen, T. Chen & M. Davison: Choquet expectation and Peng's g -expectation. The Ann. Probab. **33** (2005), 1179-1199.
2. G. Choquet: Theory of capacities. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **5** (1953), 131-195.
3. K. Floret: Weakly compact sets. Lecture Notes in Math. 801, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
4. H. Föllmer & A. Schied: Stochastic Finance: An introduction in discrete time. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004.

5. J.H. Kim: The set of priors in the representation of Choquet expectation when a capacity is submodular. *J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math.* **22** (2015), 333-342.
6. S. Kusuoka: On law-invariant coherent risk measures, in *Advances in Mathematical Economics*, Vol. 3, editors Kusuoka S. and Maruyama T., pp. 83-95, Springer, Tokyo, 2001.
7. S. Peng: Backward SDE and related g -expectation, backward stochastic DEs. *Pitman* **364** (1997), 141-159.
8. G.Ch. Plug & W. Römisch: *Modeling, Measuring and Managing Risk*. World Scientific Publishing Co., London, 2007.
9. Z. Chen & L. Epstein: Ambiguity, risk and asset returns in continuous time. *Econometrica* **70** (2002), 1403-1443.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUNGSHIN WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 02844, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: jhkim@sungshin.ac.kr