

## CENTRAL INDEX BASED SOME COMPARATIVE GROWTH ANALYSIS OF COMPOSITE ENTIRE FUNCTIONS FROM THE VIEW POINT OF $L^*$ -ORDER

TANMAY BISWAS

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we discuss central index oriented and slowly changing function based some growth properties of composite entire functions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

Let  $f$  be an *entire function* defined in the *open complex plane*  $\mathbb{C}$ . For entire  $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  on  $|z| = r$ , the *maximum modulus* symbolized as  $M_f(r)$ , the *maximum term* denoted as  $\mu_f(r)$  and the *central index* indicated as  $\nu_f(r)$  are respectively defined as  $\max_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$ ,  $\max_{n \geq 0} (|a_n| r^n)$  and  $\max \{m, \mu_f(r) = |a_m| r^m\}$ . Therefore, *central index*  $\nu_f(r)$  of an *entire function*  $f$  is the greatest exponent  $m$  such that  $|a_m| r^m = \mu_f(r)$ . Obviously  $M_f(r)$ ,  $\mu_f(r)$  and  $\nu_f(r)$  are real and increasing function of  $r$ . For another entire function  $g$ ,  $M_g(r)$  and  $\mu_g(r)$  are also defined and the ratios  $\frac{M_f(r)}{M_g(r)}$  when  $r \rightarrow \infty$  as well as  $\frac{\mu_f(r)}{\mu_g(r)}$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  are called the *comparative growth* of  $f$  with respect to  $g$  in terms of their *maximum moduli* and *the maximum term* respectively. The prime object of the study of the *growth* investigation of *entire functions* has usually been done through their *maximum moduli* and *maximum term*. Though  $\nu_f(r)$  is much weaker than  $M_f(r)$  and  $\mu_g(r)$  in some sense, from another angle of view  $\frac{\nu_f(r)}{\nu_g(r)}$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  is also called the *growth* of  $f$  with respect to  $g$  where  $\nu_g(r)$  denotes the *central index* of entire  $g$ . Considering this, here we compare the *central index of composition* of two *entire functions* with their corresponding left and right factors under the treatment of the theories of *slowly changing functions* which in fact means

---

Received by the editors March 05, 2018. Accepted July 13, 2018.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 30D30, 30D35.

*Key words and phrases*. entire function, central index, order (respectively, lower order),  $L^*$ -order (respectively,  $L^*$ -lower order), composition, growth.

that  $L(ar) \sim L(r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  for every positive constant  $a$  i.e.,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(ar)}{L(r)} = 1$  where  $L \equiv L(r)$ . Actually in this paper we attempt to prove some results related to the growth rates of composite *entire functions* on the basis of *central index* using the idea of  $L^*$ -order (respectively,  $L^*$ -lower order) of an *entire function* where  $L^*$  is nothing but a weaker assumption of  $L$ . Our notations are standard within the theory of *Nevanlinna's value distribution* of entire functions and therefore we do not explain those in detail as those are available in [8]. To start our paper we just recall the following definitions which will be needed in the sequel:

**Definition 1.** The *order*  $\rho_f$  and *lower order*  $\lambda_f$  of an entire function  $f$  are defined as

$$\frac{\rho_f}{\lambda_f} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{\log \log M_f(r)}{\log r} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{\log^{[2]} M_f(r)}{\log r}.$$

Therefore it seems reasonable to state suitably an alternative definition of *order* and *lower order* of entire function in terms of its central index. *He and Xiao* [3] introduced such a definition in the following way:

$$\frac{\rho_f}{\lambda_f} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{\log \nu_f(r)}{\log r}.$$

Let  $L \equiv L(r)$  be a positive continuous function increasing slowly i.e.,  $L(ar) \sim L(r)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  for every positive constant  $a$ . Considering  $L(r) = \log r$  and  $a = 10^{20}$ , one can easily show that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(ar)}{L(r)} = 1$ . *Somasundaram and Thamizharasi* [6] introduced the notions of  $L$ -order (respectively  $L$ -lower order) of *entire functions*. The more generalized concept for  $L$ -order and  $L$ -lower order for entire functions is  $L^*$ -order and  $L^*$ -lower order whose definition are as follows:

**Definition 2** ([6]). The  $L^*$ -order  $\rho_f^{L^*}$  and  $L^*$ -lower order  $\lambda_f^{L^*}$  of an entire function  $f$  are defined as

$$\frac{\rho_f^{L^*}}{\lambda_f^{L^*}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{\log \log M_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{\log^{[2]} M_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}.$$

Taking  $f(z) = \exp z$  and  $L(r) = \log r$ , one can easily verify that  $\rho_f = \lambda_f = 1$  and  $\rho_f^{L^*} = \lambda_f^{L^*} = \frac{1}{2}$ .

In terms of *central index* of *entire functions*, Definition 2 can be reformulated as:

**Definition 3.** The growth indicators  $\rho_f^{L^*}$  and  $\lambda_f^{L^*}$  of an entire function  $f$  are defined as:

$$\frac{\rho_f^{L^*}}{\lambda_f^{L^*}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \frac{\log \nu_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]}.$$

The concept of  $(p, q)$ - $\varphi$  order of entire function was introduced by Shen et al. [5] where  $p \geq q \geq 1$  and  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  be a non-decreasing unbounded function. Shen et al. [5] also established the equivalence of the definition of  $(p, q)$ - $\varphi$  order of entire function in terms of *maximum modulus* and *central index* under some certain condition. For details about it, one may see [5]. For particular if we consider  $p = 1, q = 1$  and  $\varphi(r) = re^{L(r)}$ , then in view of Proposition 1.2 of [5], we can write that

$$\frac{\rho_f^{I^*}}{\lambda_f^{L^*}} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \log M_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} = \lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \nu_f(r)}{\log [re^{L(r)}]} .$$

## 2. LEMMAS

In this section we present some lemmas which will be needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 1** ([3, Theorems 1.9 and 1.10] or [4, Satz 4.3 and 4.4]). *Let  $f$  be any entire function, then*

$$\log \mu_f(r) = \log |a_0| + \int_0^r \frac{\nu_f(t)}{t} dt \text{ where } a_0 \neq 0,$$

and for  $r < R$ ,

$$M_f(r) < \mu_f(r) \left\{ \nu_f(R) + \frac{R}{R-r} \right\} .$$

**Lemma 2** ([1]). *Let  $f$  and  $g$  are any two entire functions with  $g(0) = 0$ . Also let  $\beta$  satisfy  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $c(\beta) = \frac{(1-\beta)^2}{4\beta}$ . Then for all sufficiently large values of  $r$ ,*

$$M_f(c(\beta)M_g(\beta r)) \leq M_{f \circ g}(r) \leq M_f(M_g(r)) .$$

*In addition if  $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ , then for all sufficiently large values of  $r$ ,*

$$M_{f \circ g}(r) \geq M_f\left(\frac{1}{8}M_g\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)\right) .$$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $f$  be an entire function with  $0 < \lambda_f \leq \rho_f < \infty$ . Also let  $g$  be an entire function with non zero finite lower order. If  $0 < \alpha < \lambda_g$ , then for all sufficiently large values of  $r$ ,*

$$\nu_{f \circ g}(r) > \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) .$$

*Proof.* For any constant  $E$ , we get from the second part of Lemma 1, that

$$\log M_f(r) < \nu_f(r) \log r + \log \nu_f(2r) + E \text{ \{cf. [2]\} .}$$

Therefore from above we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log M_f(r) &< \nu_f(2r) \log r + \nu_f(2r) + E \\
 \text{i.e., } \log M_f(r) &< \nu_f(2r) (1 + \log r) + E \\
 \text{i.e., } \log M_f(r) &< \nu_f(2r) \log(e \cdot r) + E \\
 \text{i.e., } \log M_f\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) &< \nu_f(r) \log\left(e \cdot \frac{r}{2}\right) + E \\
 (1) \quad \text{i.e., } \log M_f\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) &< \nu_f(r) \log\left(e \cdot \frac{r}{2}\right) \left(1 + \frac{E}{\nu_f(r) \log\left(e \cdot \frac{r}{2}\right)}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (1) we get for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log \nu_{f \circ g}(r) &> \log^{[2]} M_{f \circ g}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) - \log^{[2]}\left(e \cdot \frac{r}{2}\right) - \log\left(1 + \frac{E}{\nu_{f \circ g}(r) \log\left(e \cdot \frac{r}{2}\right)}\right) \\
 \text{i.e., } \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} &\geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f \circ g}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} - \\
 &\quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]}\left(e \cdot \frac{r}{2}\right)}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} - \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log\left(1 + \frac{E}{\nu_{f \circ g}(r) \log\left(e \cdot \frac{r}{2}\right)}\right)}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} \\
 (2) \quad \text{i.e., } \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} &\geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f \circ g}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Further in view of Lemma 2, we obtain for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log^{[2]} M_{f \circ g}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) &\geq \log^{[2]} M_f\left(\frac{1}{8} M_g\left(\frac{r}{4}\right)\right) \\
 (3) \quad \text{i.e., } \log^{[2]} M_{f \circ g}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right) &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \frac{1}{8} + (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{r}{4}\right)^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where we choose  $\varepsilon$  in such a way that  $0 < \varepsilon < \min(\lambda_f, \lambda_g)$ .

Again from the definition of  $\lambda_f$  of entire function in terms of central index, we obtain for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log \exp(r^\alpha) \\
 (4) \quad \text{i.e., } \log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) r^\alpha.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now from (3) and (4) it follows for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f \circ g}\left(\frac{r}{2}\right)}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} \geq \frac{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \frac{1}{8} + (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \left(\frac{r}{4}\right)^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon}}{(\rho_f + \varepsilon) r^\alpha}$$

$$(5) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f \circ g} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} \geq \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \frac{1}{8} + (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \left( \frac{r}{4} \right)^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon}}{(\rho_f + \varepsilon) r^\alpha}$$

As  $\alpha < \lambda_g$  we can choose  $\varepsilon (> 0)$  in such a way that

$$(6) \quad \alpha < \lambda_g - \varepsilon .$$

Thus from (5) and (6) we get that

$$(7) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} M_{f \circ g} \left( \frac{r}{2} \right)}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} = \infty .$$

Therefore from (2) and (7) we obtain that

$$i.e., \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \nu_{f \circ g}(r)}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} = \infty .$$

So from above we obtain for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  and  $K > 1$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \nu_{f \circ g}(r) &> K \log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) \\ i.e., \log \nu_{f \circ g}(r) &> \log \{ \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) \}^K \\ i.e., \nu_{f \circ g}(r) &\geq \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) . \end{aligned}$$

This proves the theorem. □

In the line of Lemma 3, one can easily verify the following corollary and therefore its proof is omitted.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $f$  be an entire function with non zero lower order. Also let  $g$  be an entire function with  $0 < \lambda_g \leq \rho_g < \infty$ . If  $0 < \alpha < \lambda_g$ , then for all sufficiently large values of  $r$ ,*

$$\nu_{f \circ g}(r) > \nu_g(\exp(r^\alpha)) .$$

### 3. RESULTS

In this section we present the main results of the paper.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $f$  be an entire function with non zero finite order and lower order and  $g$  be an entire function with non zero finite lower order. If  $0 < \lambda_f^{L^*} \leq \rho_f^{L^*} < \infty$ , then for any  $A > 0$*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A))}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) + K(r, A; L)} = \infty ,$$

where  $0 < \alpha < \lambda_g$  and  $K(r, A; L) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r^\alpha = o\{L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))\} \\ & \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty \\ L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A))) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

*Proof.* Let  $0 < \alpha < \alpha' < \lambda_g$ . Now from the definition of  $L^*$ -lower order we obtain in view of Lemma 3, for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \log \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A)) &\geq \log \nu_f\left(\exp(\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'}\right) \\ &\text{i.e., } \log \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A)) \\ &\geq \left(\lambda_f^{L^*} - \varepsilon\right) \cdot \log \left\{ \exp(\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'} \cdot \exp L\left(\exp(\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'}\right) \right\} \\ &\text{i.e., } \log \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A)) \\ &\geq \left(\lambda_f^{L^*} - \varepsilon\right) \cdot \left\{ (\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'} + L\left(\exp(\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'}\right) \right\} \\ &\text{i.e., } \log \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A)) \\ &\geq \left(\lambda_f^{L^*} - \varepsilon\right) \cdot \left\{ (\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'} \left( 1 + \frac{L\left(\exp(\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'}\right)}{(\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'}} \right) \right\} \\ &\text{i.e., } \log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A)) \geq O(1) + \alpha' \log \exp(r^A) \\ &\quad + \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{L\left(\exp(\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'}\right)}{(\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'}} \right\} \\ &\text{i.e., } \log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A)) \geq O(1) + \alpha' r^A \\ &\quad + \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{L\left(\exp(\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'}\right)}{(\exp(r^A))^{\alpha'}} \right\} \\ &\text{i.e., } \log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A)) \geq O(1) + \alpha' r^A \\ &\quad + \log \left[ 1 + \frac{L\left(\exp(\exp(\alpha' r^A))\right)}{\exp(\alpha' r^A)} \right] \\ &\text{i.e., } \log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A)) \geq O(1) + \alpha' r^A + L\left(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A))\right) \\ &\quad - \log \left[ \exp \left\{ L\left(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A))\right) \right\} \right] \\ &\quad + \log \left[ 1 + \frac{L\left(\exp(\exp(\alpha' r^A))\right)}{\exp(\alpha' r^A)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$i.e., \log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g} (\exp (r^A)) \geq O(1) + \alpha' r^A + L (\exp (\exp (\alpha r^A))) \\ + \log \left[ \frac{\exp (\alpha' r^A) + L (\exp (\exp (\alpha' r^A)))}{\exp \{L (\exp (\exp (\alpha r^A)))\} \cdot \exp (\alpha' r^A)} \right]$$

$$(8) \quad i.e., \log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g} (\exp (r^A)) \geq O(1) + \alpha' r^{(A-\alpha)} \cdot r^\alpha \\ + L (\exp (\exp (\alpha r^A))) .$$

Again we have for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha)) \leq (\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon) \log \left\{ \exp (r^\alpha) e^{L(\exp(r^\alpha))} \right\} \\ i.e., \log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha)) \leq (\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon) \{ \log \exp (r^\alpha) + L (\exp (r^\alpha)) \} \\ i.e., \log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha)) \leq (\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon) \{ r^\alpha + L (\exp (r^\alpha)) \} \\ (9) \quad i.e., \frac{\log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha)) - (\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon) L (\exp (r^\alpha))}{(\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon)} \leq r^\alpha .$$

Now from (8) and (9) it follows for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$(10) \quad \log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g} (\exp (r^A)) \\ \geq O(1) + \left( \frac{\alpha' r^{(A-\alpha)}}{\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon} \right) \left[ \log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha)) - (\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon) L (\exp (r^\alpha)) \right] \\ + L (\exp (\exp (\alpha r^A)))$$

$$(11) \quad i.e., \frac{\log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g} (\exp (r^A))}{\log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha))} \geq \frac{L (\exp (\exp (\alpha r^A))) + O(1)}{\log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha))} \\ + \frac{\mu' r^{(A-\alpha)}}{\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon) L (\exp (r^\alpha))}{\log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha))} \right\} .$$

Again from (10) we get for all sufficiently large values of  $r$  that

$$\frac{\log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g} (\exp (r^A))}{\log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha)) + L (\exp (\exp (\alpha r^A)))} \geq \frac{O(1) - \alpha' r^{(A-\mu)} L (\exp (r^\alpha))}{\log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha)) + L (\exp (\exp (\alpha r^A)))} \\ + \frac{\left( \frac{\mu' r^{(A-\alpha)}}{\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon} \right) \log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha))}{\log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha)) + L (\exp (\exp (\alpha r^A)))} + \frac{L (\exp (\exp (\alpha r^A)))}{\log \nu_f (\exp (r^\alpha)) + L (\exp (\exp (\alpha r^A)))}$$

$$(12) \quad \text{i.e., } \frac{\log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A))}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) + L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))} \geq \frac{\frac{O(1) - \alpha' r^{(A-\mu)} L(\exp(r^\alpha))}{L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))}}{\frac{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))}{L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))} + 1} \\ + \frac{\left(\frac{\mu' r^{(A-\alpha)}}{\rho_f^{L^*} + \varepsilon}\right) \log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))}{1 + \frac{L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))}} + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))}{L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))}} .$$

Case I. If  $r^\alpha = o\{L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))\}$  then it follows from (11) that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A))}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} = \infty .$$

Case II.  $r^\alpha \neq o\{L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))\}$  then two sub cases may arise.

Sub case (a). If  $L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A))) = o\{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))\}$ , then we get from (12) that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A))}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) + L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))} = \infty .$$

Sub case (b). If  $L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A))) \sim \log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))$  then

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L\{\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A))\}}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha))} = 1$$

and we obtain from (12) that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A))}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) + L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))} = \infty .$$

Combining Case I and Case II we may obtain that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A))}{\log \nu_f(\exp(r^\alpha)) + K(r, A; L)} = \infty ,$$

where  $K(r, A; L) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r^\mu = o\{L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))\} \\ & \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty \\ L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A))) & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$

This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $f$  be an entire function with non zero finite order and lower order and  $g$  be an entire function with non zero finite lower order. If  $\lambda_f^{L^*} > 0$  and  $\rho_g^{L^*} < \infty$  then for any  $A > 0$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[2]} \nu_{f \circ g}(\exp(r^A))}{\log \nu_g(\exp(r^\alpha)) + K(r, A; L)} = \infty ,$$



$$\text{where } 0 < \alpha < \lambda_g \text{ and } K(r, A; L) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r^\alpha = o\{L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A)))\} \\ & \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty \\ L(\exp(\exp(\alpha r^A))) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The proof is omitted because it can be carried out in the line of Theorem 4.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Clunie: The composition of entire and meromorphic functions. Mathematical Essays dedicated to A. J. Macintyre, Ohio University Press (1970), 75-92.
2. Z.X. Chen & C.C. Yang: Some further results on the zeros and growths of entire solutions of second order linear differential equations. Kodai Math. Journal **22** (1999), 273-285.
3. Y.Z. He & X.Z. Xiao: Algebroid functions and ordinary differential equations. Science Press, 1988 (in Chinese).
4. G. Jank & L. Volkmann: Meromorphic Funktionen und Differentialgleichungen, Birkhauser, 1985.
5. Xia Shen, Jin Tu & Hong Yan Xu: Complex oscillation of a second-order linear differential equation with entire coefficients of  $[p, q] - \varphi$  order. Adv. Difference Equ. 2014, 2014:200, 14 pages.  
<http://www.advancesindifferenceequations.com/content/2014/1/200>.
6. D. Somasundaram & R. Thamizharasi: A note on the entire functions of L-bounded index and L-type. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **19** (March 1988), no. 3, 284-293.
7. A.P. Singh & M.S. Baloria: On the maximum modulus and maximum term of composition of entire functions. Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **22** (1991), no. 12, 1019-1026.
8. G. Valiron: Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions. Chelsea Publishing Company, 1949.

RAJBARI, RABINDRAPALLI, R. N. TAGORE ROAD, P.O. KRISHNAGAR, DIST-NADIA, PIN- 741101, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

Email address: [tanmaybiswas\\_math@rediffmail.com](mailto:tanmaybiswas_math@rediffmail.com)