

MULTIDIMENSIONAL COINCIDENCE POINT RESULTS FOR CONTRACTION MAPPING PRINCIPLE

AMRISH HANDA

ABSTRACT. The main objective of this article is to establish some coincidence point theorem for g -non-decreasing mappings under contraction mapping principle on a partially ordered metric space. Furthermore, we constitute multidimensional results as a simple consequences of our unidimensional coincidence point theorem. Our results improve and generalize various known results.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of multidimensional fixed/coincidence point was introduced by Roldan et al. in [15], which is an extension of Berzig and Samet's notion given in [3]. However, they used permutations of variables and distinguished between the first and the last variables. For more details one can refer [1, 4 – 13, 16 – 23].

In this article, we obtain some coincidence point theorem for g -non-decreasing mappings under generalized (ψ, θ, φ) -contraction on a partially ordered metric space. Furthermore, we constitute multidimensional results as a simple consequences of our unidimensional coincidence point theorem. We improve and generalize the results of Alsulami [2], Razani and Parvaneh [14], Su [21] and many other famous results in the literature.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In order to establish our main results, we recall the following notions. For simplicity, we denote $X \times X \times \dots \times X$ (n times) by X^n , where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$ and X is a non-empty set. Let $\{A, B\}$ be a partition of the set $\Lambda_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, that is, A and B are nonempty subsets of Λ_n such that $A \cup B = \Lambda_n$ and

Received by the editors July 17, 2019. Accepted October 05, 2019.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47H10, 54H25.

Key words and phrases. contraction mapping principle, coincidence point, g -non-decreasing mapping, partially ordered metric space, O -compatible.

$A \cap B = \emptyset$. We will denote $\Omega_{A,B} = \{\sigma : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda_n : \sigma(A) \subseteq A, \sigma(B) \subseteq B\}$ and $\Omega'_{A,B} = \{\sigma : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda_n : \sigma(A) \subseteq B, \sigma(B) \subseteq A\}$. Henceforth, let $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$ be n mappings from Λ_n into itself and let Υ be the n -tuple $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$. Let $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. For simplicity, we denote $g(x)$ by gx where $x \in X$.

A partial order \preceq on X can be extended to a partial order \sqsubseteq on X^n in the following way. If (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered space, $x, y \in X$ and $i \in \Lambda_n$, we will use the following notations:

$$(2.1) \quad x \preceq_i y \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x \preceq y, & \text{if } i \in A, \\ x \succeq y, & \text{if } i \in B. \end{cases}$$

Consider on the product space X^n the following partial order: for $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_i, \dots, y_n)$, $V = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_i, \dots, v_n) \in X^n$,

$$(2.2) \quad Y \sqsubseteq V \Leftrightarrow y_i \preceq_i v_i.$$

Notice that \sqsubseteq depends on A and B . We say that two points Y and V are comparable, if $Y \sqsubseteq V$ or $V \sqsubseteq Y$. Obviously, (X^n, \sqsubseteq) is a partially ordered set.

Definition 2.1 ([12, 15, 18]). A point $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ is called a Υ -*coincidence point* of the mappings $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ if

$$F(x_{\sigma_i(1)}, x_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_{\sigma_i(n)}) = gx_i, \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda_n.$$

If g is the identity mapping on X , then $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in X^n$ is called a Υ -*fixed point* of the mapping F .

The previous definition extends the notions of coupled, tripled, and quadruple fixed points. In fact, if we represent a mapping $\sigma : \Lambda_n \rightarrow \Lambda_n$ throughout its ordered image, that is, $\sigma = (\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(n))$, then

(i) Gnana-Bhaskar and Lakshmikantham's coupled fixed points occur when $n = 2$, $\sigma_1 = (1, 2)$ and $\sigma_2 = (2, 1)$,

(ii) Berinde and Borcut's tripled fixed points are associated with $n = 3$, $\sigma_1 = (1, 2, 3)$, $\sigma_2 = (2, 1, 2)$ and $\sigma_3 = (3, 2, 1)$,

(iii) Karapinar's quadruple fixed points are considered when $n = 4$, $\sigma_1 = (1, 2, 3, 4)$, $\sigma_2 = (2, 3, 4, 1)$, $\sigma_3 = (3, 4, 1, 2)$ and $\sigma_4 = (4, 1, 2, 3)$.

These cases consider A as the odd numbers in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and B as its even numbers. However, Berzig and Samet [3] use $A = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, $B = \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ and arbitrary mappings.

Definition 2.2 ([15]). Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered space. We say that F has the *mixed (g, \preceq) -monotone property* if F is g -monotone non-decreasing in arguments of A and g -monotone non-increasing in arguments of B , that is, for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y, z \in X$ and all i ,

$$gy \preceq gz \Rightarrow F(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, y, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) \preceq_i F(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, z, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

Definition 2.3 ([18, 22]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and define $\Delta_n, \rho_n : X^n \times X^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, for $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), V = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in X^n$, by

$$\Delta_n(Y, V) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d(y_i, v_i) \text{ and } \rho_n(Y, V) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d(y_i, v_i).$$

Then Δ_n and ρ_n are metric on X^n and (X, d) is complete if and only if (X^n, Δ_n) . Similarly (X, d) is complete if and only if (X^n, ρ_n) are complete. It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n(Y^k, Y) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } k \rightarrow \infty) \Leftrightarrow d(y_i^k, y_i) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } k \rightarrow \infty), \\ \text{and } \rho_n(Y^k, Y) &\rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } k \rightarrow \infty) \Leftrightarrow d(y_i^k, y_i) \rightarrow 0 \text{ (as } k \rightarrow \infty), i \in \Lambda_n, \end{aligned}$$

where $Y^k = (y_1^k, y_2^k, \dots, y_n^k)$ and $Y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in X^n$.

Definition 2.4 ([15]). We will say that two mappings $T, g : X \rightarrow X$ are *commuting* if $gTx = Tgx$ for all $x \in X$. We will say that $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are commuting if $gF(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = F(gx_1, gx_2, \dots, gx_n)$ for all $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Definition 2.5 ([13]). Let (X, d, \preceq) be a partially ordered metric space and let $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Let $\Upsilon = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ be an n -tuple of mappings Λ_n into itself verifying $\sigma_i \in \Omega_{A,B}$ if $i \in A$ and $\sigma_i \in \Omega'_{A,B}$ if $i \in B$. We will say that (F, g) is a (O, Υ) -compatible pair if, for all $i \in \Lambda_n$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(gF(x_m^{\sigma_i(1)}, x_m^{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_m^{\sigma_i(n)}), F(gx_m^{\sigma_i(1)}, gx_m^{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, gx_m^{\sigma_i(n)})) = 0,$$

whenever $\{x_m^1\}, \{x_m^2\}, \dots, \{x_m^n\}$ are sequences in X such that $\{gx_m^1\}, \{gx_m^2\}, \dots, \{gx_m^n\}$ are \preceq -monotone and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} F(x_m^{\sigma_i(1)}, x_m^{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, x_m^{\sigma_i(n)}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_m^i \in X, \text{ for all } i \in \Lambda_n.$$

Lemma 2.1 ([7, 13, 18, 22, 23]). Let (X, d, \preceq) be a partially ordered metric space and let $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings. Let $\Upsilon = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$

be an n -tuple of mappings from Λ_n into itself verifying $\sigma_i \in \Omega_{A,B}$ if $i \in A$ and $\sigma_i \in \Omega'_{A,B}$ if $i \in B$. Define $F_\Upsilon, G : X^n \rightarrow X^n$, for all $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in X$, by

$$(2.3) \quad F_\Upsilon(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \left(\begin{array}{c} F(y_{\sigma_1(1)}, y_{\sigma_1(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_1(n)}), \\ F(y_{\sigma_2(1)}, y_{\sigma_2(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_2(n)}), \\ \dots, F(y_{\sigma_n(1)}, y_{\sigma_n(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_n(n)}) \end{array} \right),$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad G(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = (gy_1, gy_2, \dots, gy_n).$$

(1) If F has the mixed (g, \preceq) -monotone property, then F_Υ is monotone (G, \sqsubseteq) -non-decreasing.

(2) If F is d -continuous, then F_Υ is Δ_n -continuous and ρ_n -continuous.

(3) If g is d -continuous, then G is Δ_n -continuous and ρ_n -continuous.

(4) A point $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in X^n$ is a Υ -fixed point of F if and only if (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) is a fixed point of F_Υ .

(5) A point $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in X^n$ is a Υ -coincidence point of F and g if and only if (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) is a coincidence point of F_Υ and G .

(6) If (X, d, \preceq) is regular, then $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq)$ and $(X^n, \rho_n, \sqsubseteq)$ are also regular.

(7) If there exist $y_0^1, y_0^2, \dots, y_0^n \in X$ verifying $gy_0^i \preceq_i F(y_0^{\sigma_i(1)}, y_0^{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_0^{\sigma_i(n)})$, for all $i \in \Lambda_n$, then there exists $Y_0 \in X^n$ such that $G(Y_0) \sqsubseteq F_\Upsilon(Y_0)$.

(8) If F and g are (O, Υ) -compatible, then F_Υ and G are O -compatible.

Definition 2.6 ([21]). A generalized altering distance function is a function $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ which satisfies the following conditions:

(i $_\psi$) ψ is non-decreasing,

(ii $_\psi$) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a partially ordered metric space and $T, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that T is (g, \preceq) -non-decreasing and $T(X) \subseteq g(X)$. Assume there exist a generalized altering distance function ψ and a right upper semi-continuous function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$(3.1) \quad \psi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \varphi(d(gx, gy)),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $gx \preceq gy$, where $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all $t > 0$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $gx_0 \preceq Tx_0$. Also assume that one of the following conditions holds.

- (a) (X, d) is complete, T and g are continuous and the pair (T, g) is compatible,
- (b) $(g(X), d)$ is complete and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular,
- (c) (X, d) is complete, g is continuous and monotone non-decreasing, the pair (T, g) is compatible and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular.

Then T and g have a coincidence point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary. Since $T(X) \subseteq g(X)$, there exists $x_1 \in X$ such that $Tx_0 = gx_1$. Then $gx_0 \preceq Tx_0 = gx_1$. As T is (g, \preceq) -non-decreasing and so $Tx_0 \preceq Tx_1$. Continuing in this manner, we get a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that $\{gx_n\}$ is \preceq -non-decreasing, $gx_{n+1} = Tx_n \preceq Tx_{n+1} = gx_{n+2}$ and

$$(3.2) \quad gx_{n+1} = Tx_n \text{ for all } n \geq 0.$$

Let $\zeta_n = d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$. By using contractive condition (3.1), we have

$$(3.3) \quad \psi(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})) = \psi(d(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1})) \leq \varphi(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})),$$

which, by the fact that $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all $t > 0$, implies

$$\psi(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})) < \psi(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})).$$

It follows, from the monotonicity of ψ , that

$$d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2}) < d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}), \text{ that is, } \zeta_{n+1} < \zeta_n.$$

Hence the sequence $\{\zeta_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a decreasing sequence of positive numbers. Then there exists $\zeta \geq 0$ such that

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = \zeta.$$

We claim that $\zeta = 0$. If possible, suppose $\zeta > 0$. Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.3), by using (3.4) and the property of ψ and φ , we obtain

$$\psi(\zeta) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(gx_{n+1}, gx_{n+2})) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})) \leq \varphi(\zeta),$$

which contradicts the fact that $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all $t > 0$. Thus, by (3.4), we get

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1}) = 0.$$

Now, we claim that $\{gx_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . If possible, suppose $\{gx_n\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ for which we can find two

sequences of positive integers $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ such that for all positive integers k , and

$$d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}) \geq \varepsilon, \text{ for } n(k) > m(k) > k.$$

Assuming that $n(k)$ is the smallest such positive integer, we get

$$d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)}) < \varepsilon.$$

By using triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}) \\ &\leq d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}) + d(gx_{n(k)-1}, gx_{m(k)}) \\ &\leq d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{n(k)-1}) + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality and by using (3.5), we have

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}) = \varepsilon.$$

By using triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &d(gx_{n(k)+1}, gx_{m(k)+1}) \\ &\leq d(gx_{n(k)+1}, gx_{n(k)}) + d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)}) + d(gx_{m(k)}, gx_{m(k)+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequalities and by using (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_{n(k)+1}, gx_{m(k)+1}) = \varepsilon.$$

As $n(k) > m(k)$ and $gx_{n(k)} \succeq gx_{m(k)}$, so by using contractive condition (3.1), we have

$$\psi(d(gx_{n(k)+1}, gx_{m(k)+1})) = \psi(d(Tx_{n(k)}, Tx_{m(k)})) \leq \varphi(d(gx_{n(k)}, gx_{m(k)})).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, by using the property of ψ , φ and (3.6), (3.7), we have

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \varphi(\varepsilon),$$

which contradicts the fact that $\varepsilon > 0$. This shows that $\{gx_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Now, we claim that T and g have a coincidence point between cases (a) – (c).

Suppose that (a) holds, that is, (X, d) is complete, T and g are continuous and the pair (T, g) is compatible. Since (X, d) is complete, there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{gx_n\} \rightarrow z$. It follows, from (3.2), that $\{Tx_n\} \rightarrow z$. As T and g are continuous, then $\{Tgx_n\} \rightarrow Tz$ and $\{ggx_n\} \rightarrow gz$. Since the pair (T, g) is compatible, we conclude

that $d(gz, Tz) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ggx_{n+1}, Tgx_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gTx_n, Tgx_n) = 0$, that is, z is a coincidence point of T and g .

Suppose now (b) holds, that is, $(g(X), d)$ is complete and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular. As $\{gx_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the complete space $(g(X), d)$, so there exist $y \in g(X)$ such that $\{gx_n\} \rightarrow y$. Let $z \in X$ be any point such that $y = gz$. Then $\{gx_n\} \rightarrow gz$. Now, as (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular and $\{gx_n\}$ is \preceq -non-decreasing and converging to gz , we get $gx_n \preceq gz$ for all $n \geq 0$. Applying the contractive condition (3.1), we have

$$\psi(d(gx_{n+1}, Tz)) = \psi(d(Tx_n, Tz)) \leq \varphi(d(gx_n, gz)).$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality and by using (ii_ψ) , we get $d(gz, Tz) = 0$, that is, z is a coincidence point of T and g .

Suppose now that (c) holds, that is, (X, d) is complete, g is continuous and monotone non-decreasing, the pair (T, g) is compatible and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular. As (X, d) is complete, so there exists $z \in X$ such that $\{gx_n\} \rightarrow z$. It follows, from (3.2), that $\{Tx_n\} \rightarrow z$. As g is continuous, then $\{ggx_n\} \rightarrow gz$. Furthermore, since the pair (T, g) is compatible and $\{ggx_n\} \rightarrow gz$, it means that $\{Tgx_n\} \rightarrow gz$.

Also, since (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular and $\{gx_n\}$ is \preceq -non-decreasing and converging to z , therefore we get $gx_n \preceq z$, which, by the monotonicity of g , implies $ggx_n \preceq gz$. Using the contractive condition (3.1), we get

$$\psi(d(Tgx_n, Tz)) \leq \varphi(d(ggx_n, gz)).$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality and by using (ii_ψ) , we get $d(gz, Tz) = 0$, that is, z is a coincidence point of T and g . □

If we take $\psi(t) = t$ and $\varphi(t) = kt$ with $k < 1$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the following result:

Corollary 3.2. *Let (X, d, \preceq) be a partially ordered metric space and $T, g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings such that T is (g, \preceq) -non-decreasing and $T(X) \subseteq g(X)$ satisfying*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(gx, gy),$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $gx \preceq gy$, where $k < 1$. There exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $gx_0 \preceq Tx_0$. Suppose one of the following conditions (a) – (c) of Theorem 3.1 holds. Then T and g have a coincidence point.

Example 3.1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ be a metric space with the metric $d : X^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ defined by $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, for all $x, y \in X$, with the natural ordering of real numbers \leq . Let $T, g : X \rightarrow X$ be defined as

$$Tx = \frac{x^2}{2} \text{ and } gx = x^2, \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Clearly the contractive condition of Theorem 3.1 satisfies with $\psi(t) = t$ and $\varphi(t) = t/2$ for all $t \geq 0$. Furthermore, all the other conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied and $z = 0$ is a coincidence point of T and g .

4. MULTIDIMENSIONAL COINCIDENCE POINT RESULTS

Next we give an n -dimensional coincidence point theorem for mixed monotone mappings. For brevity, (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) , (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) and $(y_0^1, y_0^2, \dots, y_0^n)$ will be denoted by Y, V and Y_0 respectively.

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there is a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings and $\Upsilon = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ be an n -tuple of mappings from Λ_n into itself verifying $\sigma_i \in \Omega_{A,B}$ if $i \in A$ and $\sigma_i \in \Omega'_{A,B}$ if $i \in B$. Suppose that the following properties are fulfilled:*

- (i) $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X)$,
- (ii) F has the mixed g -monotone property,
- (iii) there exist $y_0^1, y_0^2, \dots, y_0^n \in X$ verifying $gy_0^i \preceq_i F(y_0^{\sigma_i(1)}, y_0^{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_0^{\sigma_i(n)})$, for all $i \in \Lambda_n$,
- (iv) there exist a generalized altering distance function ψ and a right upper semi-continuous function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying

$$(4.1) \quad \psi(d(F(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), F(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n))) \leq \varphi \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d(gy_i, gv_i) \right),$$

for which $y_i, v_i \in X$ such that $gy_i \preceq_i gv_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda_n$, where $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all $t > 0$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Also assume that one of the following conditions holds,

- (a) (X, d) is complete, F and g are continuous and the pair (F, g) is (O, Υ) -compatible,
- (b) $(g(X), d)$ is complete and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular,
- (c) (X, d) is complete, g is continuous and monotone non-decreasing, the pair (F, g) is (O, Υ) -compatible and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular.

Then F and g have a Υ -coincidence point.

Proof. For fixed $i \in A$, we have $gy_{\sigma_i(t)} \preceq_t gv_{\sigma_i(t)}$ for $t \in \Lambda_n$. From (4.1), we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \psi(d(F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)}), F(v_{\sigma_i(1)}, v_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, v_{\sigma_i(n)}))) \\ & \leq \varphi \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d(gy_i, gv_i) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in A$. Similarly, for fixed $i \in B$, we have $gy_{\sigma_i(t)} \succeq_t gv_{\sigma_i(t)}$ for $t \in \Lambda_n$. It follows from (4.1) that

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \psi(d(F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)}), F(v_{\sigma_i(1)}, v_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, v_{\sigma_i(n)}))) \\ & = \psi(d(F(v_{\sigma_i(1)}, v_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, v_{\sigma_i(n)}), F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)}))) \\ & \leq \varphi \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d(gy_i, gv_i) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in B$. By (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), (4.2), (4.3) and by the monotonicity of ψ , we have

$$\psi(\rho_n(F_\Upsilon(Y), F_\Upsilon(V))) \leq \varphi(\rho_n(G(Y), G(V))),$$

for all $Y, V \in X^n$ with $G(Y) \sqsubseteq G(V)$. It is only require to apply Theorem 3.1 for the mappings $T = F_\Upsilon$ and $g = G$ in the ordered metric space $(X^n, \rho_n, \sqsubseteq)$ and using all items of Lemma 2.1. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and suppose that there is a metric d on X such that (X, d) is a complete metric space. Let $F : X^n \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ be two mappings and $\Upsilon = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n)$ be an n -tuple of mappings from Λ_n into itself verifying $\sigma_i \in \Omega_{A,B}$ if $i \in A$ and $\sigma_i \in \Omega'_{A,B}$ if $i \in B$. Suppose that the following properties are fulfilled:*

- (i) $F(X^n) \subseteq g(X)$,
- (ii) F has the mixed g -monotone property,
- (iii) there exist $y_0^1, y_0^2, \dots, y_0^n \in X$ verifying $gy_0^i \preceq_i F(y_0^{\sigma_i(1)}, y_0^{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_0^{\sigma_i(n)})$, for all $i \in \Lambda_n$,
- (iv) there exist a generalized altering distance function ψ and a right upper semi-continuous function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \psi \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d(F(y_{\sigma_i(1)}, y_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, y_{\sigma_i(n)}), F(v_{\sigma_i(1)}, v_{\sigma_i(2)}, \dots, v_{\sigma_i(n)})) \right) \\ & \leq \varphi \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d(gy_i, gv_i) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for which $y_i, v_i \in X$ such that $gy_i \preceq_i gv_i$ for all $i \in \Lambda_n$, where $\psi(t) > \varphi(t)$ for all $t > 0$ and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Also assume that one of the following conditions holds,

(a) (X, d) is complete, F and g are continuous and the pair (F, g) is (O, Υ) -compatible,

(b) $(g(X), d)$ is complete and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular,

(c) (X, d) is complete, g is continuous and monotone non-decreasing, the pair (F, g) is (O, Υ) -compatible and (X, d, \preceq) is non-decreasing-regular.

Then F and g have a Υ -coincidence point.

Proof. It is straightforward that the contractive condition (4.4) means that

$$\psi(\Delta_n(F_{\Upsilon}(Y), F_{\Upsilon}(V))) \leq \varphi(\Delta_n(G(Y), G(V))),$$

for all $Y, V \in X^n$ with $G(Y) \sqsubseteq G(V)$. Thus Theorem 3.1 is applicable for the mappings $T = F_{\Upsilon}$ and $g = G$ in the ordered metric space $(X^n, \Delta_n, \sqsubseteq)$ with the help of Lemma 2.1. \square

We may also state the results similar to Corollary 3.2 for Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2.

REFERENCES

1. S.A. Al-Mezel, H. Alsulami, E. Karapinar & A. Roldan: Discussion on multidimensional coincidence points via recent publications. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2014, Article ID 287492.
2. S.M. Alsulami: Some coupled coincidence point theorems for a mixed monotone operator in a complete metric space endowed with a partial order by using altering distance functions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, 194.
3. M. Berzig & B. Samet: An extension of coupled fixed point's concept in higher dimension and applications. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **63** (2012), no. 8, 1319-1334.
4. B. Deshpande & A. Handa: Coincidence point results for weak $\psi - \varphi$ contraction on partially ordered metric spaces with application. *Facta Universitatis Ser. Math. Inform.* **30** (2015), no. 5, 623-648.
5. B. Deshpande, A. Handa & S.A. Thoker: Existence of coincidence point under generalized nonlinear contraction with applications. *East Asian Math. J.* **32** (2016), no. 3, 333-354.
6. B. Deshpande & A. Handa: On coincidence point theorem for new contractive condition with application. *Facta Universitatis Ser. Math. Inform.* **32** (2017), no. 2, 209-229.

7. B. Deshpande & A. Handa: Multidimensional coincidence point results for generalized (ψ, θ, φ) -contraction on ordered metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* **2017** (2017), no. 2, 132-143.
8. B. Deshpande & A. Handa: Utilizing isotone mappings under Geraghty-type contraction to prove multidimensional fixed point theorems with application. *J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math.* **25** (2018), no. 4, 279-95.
9. A. Handa, R. Shrivastava & V.K. Sharma: Multidimensional coincidence point results for generalized (ψ, θ, φ) -contraction on partially ordered metric spaces. *Pramana Research Journal* **9** (2019), no. 3, 708-20.
10. I.M. Erhan, E. Karapinar, A. Roldan & N. Shahzad: Remarks on coupled coincidence point results for a generalized compatible pair with applications. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014, 207.
11. E. Karapinar, A. Roldan, C. Roldan & J. Martinez-Moreno: A note on N-Fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, Article ID 310.
12. E. Karapinar, A. Roldan, J. Martinez-Moreno & C. Roldan: Meir-Keeler type multidimensional fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2013, Article ID 406026.
13. E. Karapinar, A. Roldan, N. Shahzad & W. Sintunavarat: Discussion on coupled and tripled coincidence point theorems for ϕ -contractive mappings without the mixed g-monotone property. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014, Article ID 92.
14. A. Razani & V. Parvaneh: Coupled coincidence point results for (ψ, α, β) -weak contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *J. Appl. Math.* 2012, Article ID 496103.
15. A. Roldan, J. Martinez-Moreno & C. Roldan: Multidimensional fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **396** (2012), 536-545.
16. A. Roldan & E. Karapinar: Some multidimensional fixed point theorems on partially preordered G^* -metric spaces under (ψ, φ) -contractivity conditions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, Article ID 158.
17. A. Roldan, J. Martinez-Moreno, C. Roldan & E. Karapinar: Multidimensional fixed-point theorems in partially ordered complete partial metric spaces under (ψ, φ) -contractivity conditions. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* 2013, Article ID 634371.
18. A. Roldan, J. Martinez-Moreno, C. Roldan & E. Karapinar: Some remarks on multidimensional fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory* **15** (2014), no. 2, 545-558.
19. B. Samet, E. Karapinar, H. Aydi & V.C. Rajic: Discussion on some coupled fixed point theorems. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, 50.
20. F. Shaddad, M.S.M. Noorani, S.M. Alsulami & H. Akhadkulov: Coupled point results in partially ordered metric spaces without compatibility. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014, 204.

21. Y. Su: Contraction mapping principle with generalized altering distance function in ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014, 227.
22. S. Wang: Coincidence point theorems for G-isotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2013, 96.
23. S. Wang: Multidimensional fixed point theorems for isotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2014, 137.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GOVT. P. G. ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE, RATLAM (M. P.),
INDIA

Email address: amrishhanda83@gmail.com