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#### Abstract

We obtain some characterizations of a pseudo Ricci-parallel real hypersurface in a quaternionic projective space $Q P^{n}$ and find the condition that $M$ is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere of $Q P^{n}$.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $M$ be a connected real hypersurface of quaternionic projective space $Q P^{n}$, $n \geq 2$, endowed with the Fubini-Study metric $G$ of constant quaternionic sectional curvature 4. Let $N$ be a unit normal vector field to $M$. Then $U_{i}=-J_{i} N_{i=1,2,3}$ are structure vectors where $\left\{J_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2,3}$ is a local basis of the quaternionic structure of $Q P^{n}$ (Berndt [1], Hamada [2], Ishihara [3], Martínez \& Pérez, [7], Pak [8], Pérez [9, 10]). We put $f_{i}(X)=g\left(X, U_{i}\right)$ for arbitrary $X \in T M, i=1,2,3$. We denote by $A, R$ and $S$ the shape operator, the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of type $(1,1)$ on $M$, respectively.

Kimura \& Maeda [5,6] showed to provide some characterizations of geodesic hyperspheres in $P_{n}(C)$ in terms of Ricci tensor $S . P_{n}(C)(n \geq 3)$ does not admit a real hypersurface $M$ with parallel Ricci tensor $S \mathrm{Ki}$ [4]. They characterize geodesic hyperspheres in $P_{n}(C)$ in terms of the derivative of $S$. The statement is as follows:

Theorem A (Kimura \& Maeda [5]). Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $P_{n}(C), n \geq 3$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) The Ricci tensor $S$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) Y=\lambda\{g(\phi X, Y) \xi+\eta(Y) \phi X\}
$$

[^0]for any $X, Y \in T M$, where $\lambda$ is a non-zero constant on $M$.
(ii) $M$ is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere in $P_{n}(C)$.

In the next year 1993, they Kimura \& Maeda [6] generalized the above Theorem A by $\lambda$ which is a function. Moreover, Theorem A was extended by Pérez [9] in the quaternionic projective space $Q P^{n}$ in 1996 (for details, see Theorem B).

The main purpose of this paper is to generalize Pérez's Theorem B.
The authors wish to express their hearty thanks to the referee whose kind suggestion was very much helpful to the improvement of the paper.

## 2. Preliminaries

A quaternionic Kähler manifold is a Riemannian manifold ( $\bar{M}, G$ ) on which there exists a 3 -dimensional vector bundle $\bar{V}$ of tensors of type $(1,1)$ with a local basis $\left\{J_{i}\right\}_{i=1,2,3}$ of almost Hermitian structures satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $J_{i}{ }^{2}=-I d(i=1,2,3), \quad J_{i} J_{j}=J_{k}$, where $I d$ denotes the identity endomorphism and $(i, j, k)$ is a cyclic permutation of $(1,2,3)$.
(2) If $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the Riemannian connection on $\bar{M}$, then there exist three local 1-forms $q$ on $M$ such that

$$
\bar{\nabla}_{X} J_{i}=q_{k}(X) J_{j}-q_{j}(X) J_{k},
$$

for all vector field $X$ on $M$, where $(i, j, k)$ is a cyclic permutation of $(1,2,3)$.
Let $W$ be a subspace of $T_{p} \bar{M}, p \in \bar{M}$.
(i) $W$ is called quaternionic if $J W \subset W$ for all $J \in \bar{V}_{p}$.
(ii) $W$ is called totally complex if there exists a 1-dimensional subspace $V$ of $\bar{V}_{p}$ such that $J W \subset W$ for all $J \in V$ and $J W \perp W$ for all $J \in V^{\perp} \subset \bar{V}_{p}$.
(iii) $W$ is called totally real if $J W \perp W$ for all $J \in \bar{V}_{p}$.

Let $Q(X)$ be the 4 -subspace spanned by vectors $X, J_{1} X, J_{2} X$ and $J_{3} X$ for any $X \in T_{p} \bar{M}, p \in \bar{M}$. If the sectional curvature of any section for $Q(X)$ depends only on $X$, we call it $Q$-sectional curvature. A quaternionic space form of $Q$-sectional curvature $c$ is a connected quaternionic Kähler manifold with constant Q-sectional curvature c. The standard model of a quaternionic space forms are the quaternionic projective space $Q P^{n}(c)(c>0)$, the quaternionic space $Q^{n}(c=0)$ and the quaternionic hyperbolic space $Q H^{n}(c)(c<0)$.

The curvature tensor $\bar{R}$ of $Q P^{n}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}(X, Y) Z=\frac{c}{4}[G(Y, Z) X- & G(X, Z) Y+\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(G\left(J_{i} Y, Z\right) J_{i} X\right. \\
& \left.\left.-G\left(J_{i} X, Z\right) J_{i} Y-2 G\left(J_{i} X, Y\right) J_{i} Z\right)\right], \quad(i=1,2,3)
\end{aligned}
$$

for any vector fields $X, Y$ and $Z$ on $Q P^{n}$ Ishihara [3].
Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $Q P^{n}$ and $i: M \rightarrow Q P^{n}$ the isometric immersion. In a neighborhood of each point of $M$ we choose a unit normal vector field $N$ in $Q P^{n}$. The Riemannian connections $\widetilde{\nabla}$ in $Q P^{n}$ and $\nabla$ in $M$ are related by following formulas for any vector fields $X$ and $Y$ on $M$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+g(A X, Y) N,  \tag{2.1}\\
\nabla_{X} N=-A X, \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g$ denotes the Riemannian metric induced from the metric $G$ of $Q P^{n}$ and $A$ is the second fundamental tensor of $M$ in $Q P^{n}$. The mean curvature $H$ of $M$ in $Q P^{n}$ is defined by $H=\frac{1}{4 n-1}$ trace $A$.

Let $X$ be a tangent field to $M$. We write $J_{i} X=\phi_{i} X+f_{i}(X) N, i=1,2,3$, where $\phi_{i} X$ is the tangent component of $J_{i} X$ and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}^{2} X=-X+f_{i}(X) U_{i}, \quad f_{i}\left(\phi_{i} X\right)=0, \quad \phi_{i} U_{i}=0, \quad i=1,2,3 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X$ tangent to $M$. We obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{i} X & =\phi_{j} \phi_{k} X-f_{k}(X) U_{j}=-\phi_{k} \phi_{j} X+f_{j}(X) U_{k},  \tag{2.4}\\
f_{i}(X) & =f_{j}\left(\phi_{k} X\right)=-f_{k}\left(\phi_{j} X\right), \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(i, j, k)$ is a cyclic permutation of $(1,2,3)$. It is also easy to see that for any $X, Y$ tangent to $M$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right)+g\left(X, \phi_{i} Y\right)=0, \quad g\left(\phi_{i} X, \phi_{i} Y\right)=g(X, Y)-f_{i}(X) f_{i}(Y),  \tag{2.6}\\
\phi_{i} U_{j}=-\phi_{j} U_{i}=U_{k} . \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the expression of the curvature tensor of $Q P^{n}, n \geq 2$, we have that the equations of Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given by

$$
\begin{align*}
R(X, Y) Z & \\
& =g(Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) Y \\
& +\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{g\left(\phi_{i} Y, Z\right) \phi_{i} X-g\left(\phi_{i} X, Z\right) \phi_{i} Y+2 g\left(X, \phi_{i} Y\right) \phi_{i} Z\right\} \\
& +g(A Y, Z) A X-g(A X, Z) A Y, \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{Y} A\right) X=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{f_{i}(X) \phi_{i} Y-f_{i}(Y) \phi_{i} X+2 g\left(X, \phi_{i} Y\right) U_{i}\right\} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X, Y, Z$ tangent to $M$, where $R$ denotes the curvature tensor of $M$. From the equation of Gauss, if we denote by $S$ the (1,1)-type Ricci tensor of $M$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S X=(4 n+7) X-3 \sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i}(X) U_{i}+h A X-A^{2} X \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) Y=-3 \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right) U_{i}\right. & \left.+f_{i}(Y) \phi_{i} X\right\}+(X h) A Y \\
& +h\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y-A\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{X} A\right) A Y \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y$ tangent to $M$ and $h$ denotes the trace of $A$. Moreover, as we know how to derive $J_{i}, i=1,2,3$, for any $X, Y$ tangent to $M$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X} U_{i} & =q_{k}(X) U_{j}-q_{j}(X) U_{k}+\phi_{i} A X,  \tag{2.12}\\
\left(\nabla_{X} \phi_{i}\right) Y & =q_{k}(X) \phi_{j} Y-q_{j}(X) \phi_{k} Y+f_{i}(Y) A X-g(A X, Y) U_{i}, \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $(i, j, k)$ denotes a cyclic permutation of $(1,2,3)$. These are the basic formulas for a real hypersurface of $Q P^{n}$.

Now we prepare the following without proof in order to prove our result:
Theorem B (Pérez [9]). Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $Q P^{n}, n \geq 2$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) The Ricci tensor $S$ of $M$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) Y=\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right) U_{i}+f_{i}(Y) \phi_{i} X\right\} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in T M$, where $\lambda$ is a non-zero constant on $M$.
(ii) $M$ is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere in $Q P^{n}$.

A real hypersurface $M$ of $Q P^{n}$ is said to be pseudo Ricci-parallel if it satisfies the equation (2.14).

## 3. Main Results

The purpose of this section is to prove the following
Theorem 3.1. Let $M$ be a real hypersurface of $Q P^{n}, n \geq 2$. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) The Ricci tensor $S$ of $M$ satisfies the equation (2.14) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-3 \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i}\left(A \phi_{k} A U_{k}\right) U_{i}=2 \sum_{k=1}^{3} \phi_{k} S U_{k} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X, Y \in T M$, where $\lambda$ is a function on $M$.
(ii) $M$ is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere of $Q P^{n}$.

Proof. Suppose that the condition (i) holds. From (2.12), (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\nabla_{W}\left(\nabla_{X} S\right)\right) Y-\left(\nabla_{\nabla_{W} X} S\right) Y \\
& \quad=\quad \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[(W \lambda)\left\{g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right) U_{i}+f_{i}(Y) \phi_{i} X\right\}\right. \\
& \quad+\lambda\left\{f_{i}(X) g(A W, Y) U_{i}+g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right) \phi_{i} A W\right. \\
& \quad  \tag{3.2}\\
& \left.\left.\quad+g\left(\phi_{i} A W, Y\right) \phi_{i} X+f_{i}(X) f_{i}(Y) A W-2 f_{i}(Y) g(A W, X) U_{i}\right\}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X, Y, W$ tangent to $M$.
Exchanging $X$ and $W$ in (3.2), we have the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(R(W, X) S) Y \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[(W \lambda)\left\{g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right) U_{i}+f_{i}(Y) \phi_{i} X\right\}\right. \\
&-(X \lambda)\left\{g\left(\phi_{i} W, Y\right) U_{i}+f_{i}(Y) \phi_{i} W\right\} \\
&+\lambda\left\{f_{i}(X) g(A W, Y) U_{i}+g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right) \phi_{i} A W\right. \\
&+g\left(\phi_{i} A W, Y\right) \phi_{i} X+f_{i}(X) f_{i}(Y) A W-f_{i}(W) g(A X, Y) U_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.-g\left(\phi_{i} W, Y\right) \phi_{i} A X-g\left(\phi_{i} A X, Y\right) \phi_{i} W-f_{i}(W) f_{i}(Y) A X\right\}\right] \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{4 n-1}$ be local fields of orthonormal vectors on $M$. From (3.3) and (2.3) we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(\left(R\left(e_{a}, X\right) S\right) Y, e_{a}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[\left(U_{i} \lambda\right) g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right)+\left(\phi_{i} X \lambda\right) f_{i}(Y)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\lambda\left\{f_{i}(X) f_{i}(A Y)-g\left(\phi_{i} X, A \phi_{i} Y\right)+h f_{i}(X) f_{i}(Y)-2 f_{i}(Y) f_{i}(A X)\right\}\right] \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Now note that the left hand side of (3.4) is symmetric with respect to $X$ and $Y$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[2\left(U_{i} \lambda\right) g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right)+\left(\phi_{i} X \lambda\right) f_{i}(Y)-\left(\phi_{i} Y \lambda\right) f_{i}(X)\right. \\
& \left.+3 \lambda\left\{f_{i}(X) f_{i}(A Y)-f_{i}(Y) f_{i}(A X)\right\}\right]=0 . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $Y=\phi_{k} Y$ and contracting with respect to $X, Y$ in (3.5), we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[2\left(U_{i} \lambda\right) g\left(\phi_{i} e_{a}, \phi_{k} e_{a}\right)\right. & +\left(\phi_{i} e_{a} \lambda\right) f_{i}\left(\phi_{k} e_{a}\right)-\left(\phi_{i} \phi_{k} e_{a} \lambda\right) f_{i}\left(e_{a}\right) \\
& \left.+3 \lambda\left\{f_{i}\left(e_{a}\right) f_{i}\left(A \phi_{k} e_{a}\right)-f_{i}\left(\phi_{k} e_{a}\right) f_{i}\left(A e_{a}\right)\right\}\right]=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{i} \lambda=0=f_{j}\left(A U_{k}\right), \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(i, j, k)$ denotes a cyclic permutation of $(1,2,3)$.
On the other hand, setting $Y=U_{k}$ and $X=\phi_{k} W$ in (3.5), we see

$$
\left(\phi_{k}^{2} W \lambda\right)-3 \lambda f_{k}\left(A \phi_{k} W\right)=0, \quad k=1,2,3 .
$$

This, together with (2.3) and (3.6), shows

$$
W \lambda=3 \lambda \phi_{k} A U_{k}, \quad k=1,2,3
$$

for any $W \in T M$, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{grad} \lambda=3 \lambda \phi_{k} A U_{k}, \quad k=1,2,3 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence Equation (3.3) asserts that

$$
\begin{align*}
& (R(W, X) S) Y \\
& \quad=\quad \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left[g\left(\phi_{k} A U_{k}, W\right)\left\{g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right) U_{i}+f_{i}(Y) \phi_{i} X\right\}\right. \\
& \quad-g\left(\phi_{k} A U_{k}, X\right)\left\{g\left(\phi_{i} W, Y\right) U_{i}+f_{i}(Y) \phi_{i} W\right\}+f_{i}(X) g(A W, Y) U_{i} \\
& \quad+g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right) \phi_{i} A W+g\left(\phi_{i} A W, Y\right) \phi_{i} X+f_{i}(X) f_{i}(Y) A W \\
& \quad-f_{i}(W) g(A X, Y) U_{i}-g\left(\phi_{i} W, Y\right) \phi_{i} A X, \\
& \left.\quad-g\left(\phi_{i} A X, Y\right) \phi_{i} W-f_{i}(W) f_{i}(Y) A X\right] . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.3) and (3.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(\left(R\left(e_{a}, X\right) S\right) U_{k}, \phi_{k} e_{a}\right)=(-12 n+17) \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{3} g\left(\phi_{k} A U_{k}, X\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand we have, where $k=1,2,3$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(\left(R\left(e_{a}, X\right) S\right) U_{k}, \phi_{k} e_{a}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
& \quad=\sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(R\left(e_{a}, X\right)\left(S U_{k}\right), \phi_{k} e_{a}\right)-\sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(R\left(e_{a}, X\right) U_{k}, S \phi_{k} e_{a}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (2.10) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { trace } A S \phi_{k}=0, \quad k=1,2,3 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.3), (2.8), (3.10) and (3.11) we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{3} \sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(\left(R\left(e_{a}, X\right) S\right) U_{k}, \phi_{k} e_{a}\right) \\
&=\sum_{k=1}^{3}\left[g\left(A X,\left(S \phi_{k} A-\phi_{k} A S\right) U_{k}\right)+4 n g\left(\phi_{k} X, S U_{k}\right)\right] \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

By virtue of (3.9) and (3.12) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-12 n+17) \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{3} \phi_{k} A U_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{3}\left(A S \phi_{k} A U_{k}-A \phi_{k} A S U_{k}-4 n \phi_{k} S U_{k}\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Gauss equation (2.8) tells us that

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(\left(R\left(e_{a}, \phi_{k} e_{a}\right) S\right) U_{k}, X\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left[2 g\left(A \phi_{k} A X, S U_{k}\right)+2 g\left(A \phi_{k} A U_{k}, S X\right)-4(2 n-1) g\left(\phi_{k} S U_{k}, X\right)\right] \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1,2,3$. On the other hand, from (3.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(\left(R\left(e_{a}, \phi_{k} e_{a}\right) S\right) U_{k}, X\right)=6 \lambda g\left(A U_{k}, \phi_{k} X\right), \quad k=1,2,3 . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (3.14) and (3.15) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{3} \phi_{k} A U_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{3}\left[A \phi_{k} A S U_{k}-S A \phi_{k} A S U_{k}+2(2 n-1) \phi_{k} S U_{k}\right] . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (2.10) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S A \phi_{k} A U_{k}-A S \phi_{k} A U_{k}=3 \sum_{i=1}^{3} f_{i}\left(A \phi_{k} A U_{k}\right) U_{i}, \quad k=1,2,3 . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.13), (3.16) and (3.17) we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-12 n+20) \lambda \sum_{k=1}^{3} \phi_{k} A U_{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{3}\left(A S \phi_{k} A U_{k}-S A \phi_{k} A U_{k}-2 \phi_{k} S U_{k}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By virtue of (3.1) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \phi_{k} A U_{k}=0, \quad k=1,2,3 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, from (3.7) and (3.19) we can conclude that $\lambda$ is locally constant. Hence this Theorem 3.1 is proved by Theorem B.

Remark. As illustrated by Theorem 3.1, without any additional condition it is impossible to generalize Theorem B under the condition that $\lambda$ is a fuction.

Motivated by Theorem 3.1, we prove the following
Proposition 1. Let $M$ is a real hypersurface of $Q P^{n}, n \geq 2$. Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla S\|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{3(2 n-1)}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(\left(\nabla_{a} S\right) U_{i}, \phi_{i} e_{a}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the Ricci tensor of $M$ and $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{4 n-1}$ are local fields of orthonormal frames of $M$. Moreover, the equality of (3.20) holds if and only if $M$ is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere of $Q P^{n}$.

Proof. We define the following tensor $T$ on $M$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X, Y)=\left(\nabla_{X} S\right) Y-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\{g\left(\phi_{i} X, Y\right) U_{i}+f_{i}(Y) \phi_{i} X\right\}, \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a function on $M$. Calculating the length of $T$, we obtain

$$
\|T\|^{2}=\|\nabla S\|^{2}-4 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(\left(\nabla_{a} S\right) U_{i}, \phi_{i} e_{a}\right)+12 \lambda^{2}(2 n-1)
$$

for any real number $\lambda$ at any point $p \in M$, we obtain the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
12 \lambda^{2}(2 n-1)-4 \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sum_{a=1}^{4 n-1} g\left(\left(\nabla_{a} S\right) U_{i}, \phi_{i} e_{a}\right)+\|\nabla S\|^{2} \geq 0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the discriminant of (3.22) shows (3.20). From to this discussion, we find that the equality of (3.20) implies $T=0$, that is to say, $M$ is locally congruent to a geodesic hypersphere in $Q P^{n}$ (cf. Theorem 3.1).

Remark. The right hand side of (3.20) can be expressed in terms of the shape operator $A$ as:

$$
\frac{1}{3(2 n-1)}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(4 n\left(h-f_{i}\left(A U_{i}\right)\right)+\phi_{i} A U_{i}(h)+\operatorname{trace}\left(\left(\nabla_{U_{i}} A\right) A \phi_{i}\right)\right)\right\}^{2} .
$$
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