

## EXISTENCE OF A SOLUTION OF THE INTEGRAL EQUATIONS ON TRIPLED QUASI-METRIC SPACES WITH APPLICATIONS

GHORBAN KHALILZADEH RANJBAR

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we study a tripled quasi-metric with new fixed point theorems around  $\beta$ -implicit contractions in tripled quasi-metric spaces. We give an example on a solution of a integral equations.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

It is well known that passing from metric spaces to quasi-metric spaces, dropping the requirement that the metric function verifies  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$  carries with it immediate consequences to the general theory. For instance, the topological notions of quasi-metric spaces, such as, limit, continuity, completeness all should be re-considered under the left and right approaches since the quasi-metric is not symmetric. Furthermore, uniqueness of limit of a sequence should be examined carefully since one can easily consider a sequence which has a left limit and right limit which are not equal to each other. Thats why a few results on fixed points in such spaces are considered.

In this paper, we introduce tripled quasi-metric and prove many fixed point results in tripled quasi-metric. We come to the below of the definition of quasi metric space previously defined by a mathematician.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $Y$  be a non-empty and let  $d : Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, 1)$  be a function which satisfies:

- (d1)  $d(u, v) = 0$  if and only if  $u = v$ ;
- (d2)  $d(u, v) \leq d(u, w) + d(w, v)$ .

Then  $d$  is called a *quasi-metric* and the pair  $(Y, d)$  is called a *quasi-metric space*.

---

Received by the editors December 7, 2023. Revised Dec. 26, 2023. Accepted January 18, 2024.  
2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 47H10, 54H25.

*Key words and phrases*. fixed point, implicit contraction, tripled quasi-metric.

**Remark 1.2.** Any metric space is a quasi-metric space, but the converse is not true in general.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a quasi-metric space,  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y$ , and  $y \in Y$ . The sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $y$  if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y, y_n) = 0.$$

**Remark 1.4.** A quasi-metric space is Hausdorff, that is, we have the uniqueness of limit of a convergent sequence.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a quasi-metric space and  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y$ . We say that  $\{y_n\}$  is *left-Cauchy* if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N = N(\varepsilon)$  such that  $d(y_n, y_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq m > N$ .

**Definition 1.6.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a quasi-metric space and  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y$ . We say that  $\{y_n\}$  is *right-Cauchy* if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N = N(\varepsilon)$  such that  $d(y_n, y_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $m \geq n > N$ .

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a quasi-metric space and  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y$ . We say that  $\{y_n\}$  is *Cauchy* if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N = N(\varepsilon)$  such that  $d(y_n, y_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $m \geq n > N$ .

**Remark 1.8.** A sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in a quasi-metric space is Cauchy if and only if it is left-Cauchy and right-Cauchy.

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a quasi-metric space. We say that

- 1)  $(Y, d)$  is *left-complete* if and only if each left-Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  is convergent.
- 2)  $(Y, d)$  is *right-complete* if and only if each right-Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  is convergent.
- 3)  $(Y, d)$  is *complete* if and only if each Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  is convergent.

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a quasi-metric space. We say  $f : Y \rightarrow Y$  be *continuous* if for each sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $Y$  converging to  $y \in Y$ , the sequence  $\{fy_n\}$  converges to  $fy$ , that is,

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fy_n, fy) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fy, fy_n) = 0.$$

On the other hand the study of fixed point for mappings satisfying on implicit relation in initiated and studies by Popa [21, 22]. It leads to interesting known fixed

point results. Following Popa approach, many authors proved some fixed point, common fixed point and coincidence point results in various ambient spaces, see [14, 15, 16, 17, 19].

In the literature, there are several types of implicit contraction mappings, where many nice consequences of fixed point theorems could be derived.

First, denote the set of functions  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying:

( $\psi 1$ )  $\psi$  is nondecreasing,

( $\psi 2$ )  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$  for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , where  $\psi^n$  is the  $n$ th iterate of  $\psi$ . We show by  $\Psi$ , the set of all function  $\psi$ .

**Remark 1.11.** It is simple to see that if  $\psi \in \Psi$ , then  $\psi(t) < t$  for any  $t > 0$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $Y$  be a nonempty set and let  $d : Y \times Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function which satisfies

( $d_1$ )  $d(x, y, z) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y = z$ ;

( $d_2$ )  $d(x, y, z) \leq d(x, a_1, a_2) + d(y, a_3, a_4) + d(z, a_2, a_3)$  for all  $x, y, z \in Y$  and  $a_i \in Y$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

Thus  $d$  is called a *tripled quasi-metric* and the pair  $(Y, d)$  is called a *tripled quasi-metric space*.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $Y = [0, \infty)$  endowed with the tripled quasi metric,  $d(x, y, z) = |x| + |y|$  if  $x \neq y, x \neq z, y \neq z$  and  $d(x, y, z) = 0$  whenever  $x = y = z$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a tripled quasi-metric,  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y$ , and  $x \in Y$ . The sequence  $\{y_n\}$  converges to  $x$  if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_n, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x, y_n, y_n) = 0.$$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a tripled quasi-metric space and  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y$ . We say that  $\{y_n\}$  is *left-Cauchy* if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $d(y_n, y_m, y_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq m > n$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a tripled quasi-metric space and  $\{y_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y$ . We say that  $\{y_n\}$  is *right-Cauchy* if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $d(y_n, y_m, y_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $m \geq n > N$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a tripled quasi-metric space. We say that  $\{y_n\}$  is *Cauchy* if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a positive integer  $N$ , such that  $d(y_n, y_m, y_m) < \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m > N$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a tripled quasi-metric space. We say that

- (1)  $(Y, d)$  is *left-complete* if and only if each left-Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  is convergent;
- (2)  $(Y, d)$  is *right-complete* if and only if each right-Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  is convergent;
- (3)  $(Y, d)$  is *left-complete* if and only if each Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  is convergent.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a tripled quasi metric space. The map  $f : Y \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if for each sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $Y$  converging to  $y \in Y$ , the sequence  $\{fy_n\}$  converges to  $fy$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fy_n, fy, fy) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fy, fy, fy_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fy_n, fy_n, fy) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fy, fy_n, fy_n) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $T : Y \rightarrow Y$  and  $d : Y \times Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be mappings. We say that the self-mapping  $T$  on  $Y$  is  $\beta$  *admissible*, if for all  $u, v, w \in Y$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad \beta(u, v, w) \geq 1 \Rightarrow \beta(Tu, Tv, Tw) \geq 1.$$

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a quasi-metric space and  $f : Y \rightarrow Y$  be a given mapping. We say that  $f$  is an  $\beta$ -*implicit contractive mapping* if there exist two functions  $\beta : Y \times Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and  $\phi \in \Psi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\beta(x, y, z)d(fx, fy, fz), d(x, y, z), d(x, fx, f^2x), d(y, fy, f^2y), d(z, fz, f^2z), \\ d(x, fx, z), d(y, fx, y), d(z, fy, z)) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in Y$ .

**Definition 2.11.** Let  $\Phi$  be the set of all continuous functions  $\phi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_8) : \mathbb{R}_+^8 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

- ( $\Phi_1$ )  $\phi$  is nondecreasing in variable  $t_1$ ;
- ( $\Phi_2$ ) There exists  $f_1 \in \Psi$  such that for all  $u, v, w \geq 0$ ,  $\phi(u, v, v, u, w, v, 0, 0) \leq 0$  implies that  $u \leq f_1(v)$ ;
- ( $\Phi_3$ ) There exists  $f_2 \in \Psi$  such that for all  $t, t_1, t_2, t_3 > 0$   $\phi(t, t, 0, 0, 0, t_1, t_2, t_3) \leq 0$  implies that  $t \leq f_2(t_3)$ .

**Example 2.12.** Let

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_8) = t_1 - a_1t_2 - a_2t_3 - a_3t_4 - a_4t_5 - a_5t_6 - a_6t_7 - a_7t_8,$$

where  $a_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^7 a_i < 1$ .

**Example 2.13.** Let

$$\phi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_8) = t_1 - k \max \{t_2, \dots, t_8\},$$

where  $k \in [0, 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.14.** Let  $(Y, d)$  be a complete tripled quasi-metric space and  $g : Y \rightarrow Y$  be an  $\beta$ -implicit contractive mapping. Let that

- (i)  $g$  is  $\beta$ -admissible;
- (ii) There exists  $x_0 \in Y$  such that  $\beta(x_0, gx_0, g^2x_0) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(g^2x_0, gx_0, x_0) \geq 1$ ;
- (iii)  $g$  is continuous.

Then there exists  $\lambda \in Y$  such that  $g\lambda = \lambda$ .

*Proof.* By assumption (ii), exists  $y_0 \in Y$  such that

$$\beta(y_0, gy_0, g^2y_0) \geq 1 \text{ and } \beta(g^2y_0, gy_0, y_0) \geq 1.$$

We define a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $Y$  by  $y_{n+1} = gy_n = g^{n+1}y_0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Let that  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$  for some  $n_0$ . So the proof is complete, because,

$$u = x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = gx_{n_0} = gu.$$

Consequently, throughout the proof, we assume that  $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$  for any  $n$ . Since  $g$  is  $\beta$ -admissible and  $\beta(y_0, y_1, y_2) = \beta(y_0, gy_0, g^2y_0) \geq 1$ , so observe that  $\beta(gy_0, gy_1, gy_2) \geq 1$ . By repeating the process above, we obtain that

$$(2.2) \quad \beta(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \geq 1$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Now, consider the case where  $\beta(g^2y_0, gy_0, y_0) \geq 1$ . By using the same way above, we get that

$$(2.3) \quad \beta(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, y_n) \geq 1$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . By using (1.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\beta(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), d(gy_{n-1}, gy_n, gy_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}, g^2y_{n-1}), \\ d(y_n, gy_n, g^2y_n), d(y_{n+1}, gy_{n+1}, g^2y_{n+1}), \\ d(y_{n-1}, gy_{n-1}, y_{n+1}), d(y_n, gy_{n-1}, y_n), \\ d(y_{n+1}, gy_n, y_{n+1})) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(\beta(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}) d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), \\ & \quad d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}), d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}), \\ & \quad d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_n, y_n, y_n), \\ & \quad d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(\beta(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}) d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), \\ & \quad d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}), d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}), \\ & \quad d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), 0, 0) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.2) and from  $(\Phi_1)$  in the first variable, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}), \\ & \quad d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}), 0, 0) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Due to  $(\Phi_2)$ , we obtain  $d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq f_1(d(y_{n-1}, y_n, y_{n+1}))$ . If we go on like this, we get

$$(2.4) \quad d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq f_1^n(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)).$$

We prove that  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in the tripled quasi-metric space  $(Y, d)$ . Take  $m > n$  from  $(d_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, y_m, y_m) & \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + d(y_m, y_m, y_m) + d(y_m, y_{n+2}, y_m) \\ & \leq f_1^n(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + d(y_m, y_{n+2}, y_m) \\ & \leq f_1^n(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) \\ & \quad + [d(y_m, y_m, y_m) + d(y_{n+2}, y_{n+3}, y_{n+4}) + d(y_m, y_m, y_{n+3})] \\ & \leq f_1^n(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + f_1^{n+2}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + d(y_m, y_m, y_m) \\ & \quad + d(y_m, y_m, y_m) + d(y_{n+3}, y_m, y_m) \\ & = f_1^n(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + f_1^{n+2}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + d(y_{n+3}, y_m, y_m) \\ & \leq f_1^n(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + f_1^{n+2}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) \\ & \quad + [d(y_{n+3}, y_{n+4}, y_{n+5}) + d(y_m, y_m, y_m) + d(y_m, y_{n+4}, y_m)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq f_1^n(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + f_1^{n+2}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) \\ &\quad + f_1^{n+3}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + d(y_m, y_{n+4}, y_m) \\ &\leq f_1^n(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + f_1^{n+2}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + f_1^{n+3}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) \\ &\quad + d(y_m, y_m, y_m) + d(y_{n+4}, y_{n+5}, y_{n+6}) + d(y_m, y_m, y_{n+5}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $n + p = m$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad d(y_n, y_m, y_m) &\leq f_1^n(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + f_1^{n+2}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + f_1^{n+3}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) \\ &\quad + f_1^{n+4}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) + \dots + f_1^{n+p}(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} f_1^k(d(y_0, y_1, y_2)) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $d(y_n, y_m, y_m) \rightarrow 0$ , when  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ , but  $f_1 \in \Psi$ . It follows that  $\{y_n\}$  is a right-Cauchy sequence. By similarly way we can prove that,  $\{y_n\}$  is a left-Cauchy sequence. There fore  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(Y, d)$ . Since,  $(Y, d)$  is tripled quasi-complete, then there exists a point  $\lambda$  in  $Y$ , such that  $y_n \rightarrow \lambda$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , that is

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_n, y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y, y, y_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y, y_n, y_n) = 0.$$

We shall prove that  $g\lambda = \lambda$ . Since  $g$  is continuous, we verify

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, g\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gy_n, gy_n, g\lambda) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g\lambda, y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(g\lambda, gy_n, gy_n) = 0,$$

that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{n+1} = g\lambda$ , by the uniqueness of limit, we conclude that  $g\lambda = \lambda$ , that is,  $\lambda$  is a fixed point of  $g$ . □

At present, we define a new condition.

- (H) If  $\{y_n\}$  is a sequence in  $Y$ , such that  $\beta(y_n, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \geq 1$  for any  $n$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y \in Y$ , until  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists a subsequence  $\{y_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $\beta(y_{n(k)}, y, y) \geq 1$  for all  $k$ .

**Theorem 2.15.** *Let  $(Y, d)$  be a tripled complete quasi-metric space and  $g : Y \rightarrow Y$  be an  $\beta$ -implicit contractive mapping. Let that*

- (i)  $g$  is  $\beta$ -admissible;

- (ii) there exists  $x_0 \in Y$  such that  $\beta(x_0, gx_0, g^2x_0) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(g^2x_0, gx_0, x_0) \geq 1$ ;  
 (iii) (H) is verified.

Thus there exists  $a, \mu \in Y$  such that  $g\mu = \mu$ .

*Proof.* From the proof of Theorem 2.14, we know that the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  defined by  $y_{n+1} = gy_n$  for all  $n \geq 0$  is Cauchy and converges to some  $\mu \in Y$ . From condition (iii), there exists a subsequence  $\{y_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{y_n\}$  such that  $\beta(y_{n(k)}, \mu, \mu) \geq 1$  for all  $k$ . We must show that  $g\mu = \mu$ . By (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & F(\beta(y_{n(k)-1}, \mu, \mu) d(y_{n(k)-1}, g\mu, g\mu), d(y_{n(k)-1}, \mu, \mu), \\ & d(y_{n(k)-1}, gy_{n(k)-1}, g^2y_{n(k)-1}), d(\mu, g\mu, g^2\mu), d(y_{n(k)-1}, gy_{n(k)-1}, \mu), \\ & d(\mu, gy_{n(k)-1}, \mu), d(\mu, g\mu, \mu)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using  $(\phi_1)$  and  $\beta(y_{n(k)-1}, \mu, \mu) \geq 1$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(d(y_{n(k)-1}, g\mu, g\mu), d(y_{n(k)-1}, \mu, \mu), d(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}, y_{n(k)+1}), \\ & d(\mu, g\mu, g^2\mu), d(\mu, g\mu, g^2\mu), \\ & d(y_{n(k)-1}, y_{n(k)}, \mu), d(\mu, y_{n(k)}, \mu), d(\mu, g\mu, \mu)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and by continuing of  $\phi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(d(\mu, g\mu, g\mu), d(\mu, \mu, \mu), d(\mu, \mu, \mu), \\ & d(\mu, g\mu, g^2\mu), d(\mu, g\mu, g^2\mu), \\ & d(\mu, \mu, \mu), d(\mu, \mu, \mu), d(\mu, g\mu, \mu)) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\phi(t_1, 0, 0, t_2, t_2, 0, 0, t_3) \leq 0$ . By  $(\phi_2)$ ,  $t_1 \leq 0$ , that is  $d(\mu, g\mu, g\mu) \leq 0$ , which implies  $d(\mu, g\mu, g\mu) = 0$ , that is,  $\mu = g\mu$ .  $\square$

For the uniqueness, we need additional condition.

- (U) For all  $x, y, z \in \text{Fix}(g)$ , we have  $\beta(x, y, z) \geq 1$  where  $\text{Fix}(g)$  denotes the set of fixed points of  $g$ .

**Theorem 2.16.** *Adding condition (U) to the hypothesis of Theorem 2.14 (resp., Theorem 2.15), we obtain that  $\mu$  is the unique fixed point of  $g$ .*

*Proof.* We obtain by contradiction, that is, there exist  $u, v, w \in Y$  such that  $u = gu$ ,  $v = gv$  and  $w = gw$  with  $u \neq v$ ,  $v \neq w$  and  $u \neq w$ . By (1.2) we get



$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(\beta(u, v, w) d(gu, gv, gw), d(u, v, w), d(u, u, u), \\ &\quad d(v, v, v), d(w, w, w), d(u, u, w), \\ &\quad d(v, u, v), d(w, v, w)) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(\beta(u, v, w) d(u, v, w), d(u, v, w), 0, 0, 0, \\ &\quad d(u, u, w), d(v, u, v), d(w, v, w)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Due to the fact that  $\beta(u, v, w) \geq 1$ , so by  $(\Phi_1)$ , we argue

$$\phi(d(u, v, w), d(u, v, w), 0, 0, 0, d(u, u, w), d(v, w, v), d(w, v, w)) \leq 0.$$

Since  $\phi$  satisfies property  $(\Phi_3)$ , so there exists  $h_2 \in \Psi$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} &d(u, v, w) \leq h_2(d(w, v, w)) \\ &\leq h_2^2(d(w, v, w)) \\ (2.8) \quad &\leq \dots \\ &\leq h_2^n(d(w, v, w)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_2^n(t) < \infty$ , for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_2^n(d(u, v, w)) = 0.$$

Thus  $d(w, v, w) \leq 0$ , implies that  $d(w, v, w) = 0$ , that is,  $u = v = w$  a contradiction. □

In the sequel we present the following corollaries consequences of Theorem 2.14 (resp. Theorem 2.15).

**Corollary 2.17.** *Let  $(Y, d)$  be a complete tripled quasi-metric space and  $g : Y \rightarrow Y$  be such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x, y, z) d(gx, gy, gz) &\leq a_1 d(x, y, z) + a_2 d(x, gx, g^2x) + a_3 d(y, gy, g^2y) \\ &\quad + a_4 d(z, gz, g^2z) + a_5 d(x, gx, z) + a_6 d(y, gx, y) \\ &\quad + a_7 d(z, gy, z), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in Y$ , where  $a_i \geq 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 7$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^7 a_i < 1$ . Let that

- (i)  $g$  is  $\beta$ -admissible;
- (ii) there exists  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $\beta(y_0, gy_0, g^2y_0) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(g^2y_0, gy_0, y_0) \geq 1$ ;
- (iii)  $g$  is continuous or  $(H)$  is verified.

Then there exists  $\lambda \in Y$  such that  $g\lambda = \lambda$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to put  $\phi$  in Theorem 2.14 (resp. Theorem 2.15) as given in Example 2.12.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.18.** *Let  $(Y, d)$  be a tripled complete quasi-metric space and  $g : Y \rightarrow Y$  be such that*

$$\beta(x, y, z) d(gx, gy, gz) \leq k \max \left\{ d(x, y, z), (x, gx, g^2x), d(y, gy, g^2y), \right. \\ \left. d(z, gz, g^2z), d(x, gx, z), d(y, gx, y), d(z, gy, z) \right\},$$

for any  $x, y, z \in Y$ , where  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Let that

- (i)  $g$  is  $\beta$ -admissible;
- (ii) there exists  $x_0 \in Y$  such that  $\beta(x_0, gx_0, g^2x_0) \geq 1$  and  $\beta(g^2x_0, gx_0, x_0) \geq 1$ ;
- (iii)  $g$  is continuous or (H) is verified.

Then there exists a  $\lambda \in Y$ , such that  $g\lambda = \lambda$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to take  $\phi$  in Theorem 2.14 (resp. Theorem 2.15) as given in Example 2.12, that is  $\phi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_8) = t_1 - k \max\{t_2, \dots, t_8\}$  where  $k \in [0, 1)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.19.** *Let  $(Y, d)$  be a complete tripled quasi-metric space and  $g : (Y, d) \rightarrow (Y, d)$  be a given mapping. Let that*

$$\phi(d(gx, gy, gz) \leq d(x, y, z), (x, gx, g^2x), d(y, gy, g^2y), \\ d(z, gz, g^2z), d(x, gx, z), d(y, gx, y), d(z, gy, z)) \leq 0,$$

for all  $x, y, z \in Y$ , where  $\phi \in \Gamma$ . Then  $g$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* It is enough to take  $\beta(x, y, z) = 1$  for all  $x, y, z \in Y$  in Theorem 2.15. Notice that the hypotheses (U) is satisfied, so we use Theorem 2.14.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.20.** *Let  $(Y, d)$  be a complete tripled quasi-metric space and  $g : (Y, d) \rightarrow (Y, d)$  be a given mapping such that*

$$d(gx, gy, gz) \leq k \max \left\{ d(x, y, z), (x, gx, g^2x), d(y, gy, g^2y), \right. \\ \left. d(z, gz, g^2z), d(x, gx, z), d(y, gx, y), d(z, gy, z) \right\} \leq 0,$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , where  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Then  $g$  has a unique fixed point.

*Proof.* It suffices to take  $\phi$  as given in Example 2.12. Then we apply Corollary 2.17.  $\square$

Now we show the following example establishing Corollary 2.18.

**Example 2.21.** Let  $Y = [0, \infty)$  endowed with the ripled quasi-metric  $d(x, y, z) = |x| + |y|$ , if  $x \neq y, y \neq z$  and  $x \neq z$ , also  $d(x, y, z) = 0$  whenever  $x = y = z$ . It is obvious that  $(Y, d)$  is a complete tripled quasi-metric space. Let the mapping  $S : Y \rightarrow Y$  defined by

$$Sx = \begin{cases} x^2 - 5x + 6, & x > 2, \\ \frac{x}{3}, & x \in [0, 2]. \end{cases}$$

At first we observe that the Banach contraction principle for  $d_0(x, y, z) = |x - y| + |x - z| + |y - z|$  can not be used in this case because we have

$$d_0(S0, S4, S8) = d_0(0, 2, 30) = 60 > d_0(0, 4, 8) = 16.$$

We define the mapping  $\beta : Y \times Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\beta(x, y, z) = 1$ , if  $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$ , otherwise  $\beta(x, y, z) = 0$ . If  $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$  and  $x \neq y, y \neq z$  and  $z \neq z$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x, y, z)d(Sx, Sy, Sz) &= d(Sx, Sy, Sz) \\ &\leq |Sx| + |Sy| \\ &= \frac{x}{3} + \frac{y}{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}d(x, y, z) \\ &\leq k \max \{d(x, y, z), d(x, Sx, S^2x), d(y, Sy, S^2y), \\ &\quad d(z, Sz, S^2z), d(x, Sx, z), d(y, Sy, z), d(z, Sz, z)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \frac{1}{3}$ . Now, we shall prove that the hypotheses (H) is satisfied. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $Y$ , such that  $\beta(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \geq 1$  for all  $n$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x \in Y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then by definition of  $\beta$ , we get  $(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  for any  $n$ . Let that  $x > 1$ , then  $x_n \neq x$  for any  $n$ . Since  $x_n \rightarrow x \in Y$ , so  $d(x, x, x_n) = 2|x| \rightarrow 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $x \in [0, 1]$ . We obtain that  $(x_n, x, x) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  for all  $n$ , that is  $\beta(x_n, x, x) = 1$ , (H) is verified. Put  $x_0 = 1$ , we have  $\beta(x_0, Sx_0, S^2x_0) = \beta(1, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{9})$  and  $\beta(S^2x_0, Sx_0, x_0) = \beta(\frac{1}{9}, \frac{1}{3}, 1) = 1$ . The mapping  $T$  is  $\beta$ -admissible. Let  $x, y, z \in Y$  such that  $\beta(x, y, z) \geq 1$ , so  $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\beta(Sx, Sy, Sz) = \beta\left(\frac{x}{3}, \frac{y}{3}, \frac{z}{3}\right) = 1.$$

All hypotheses of Corollary 2.18 hold and the mapping  $S$  has a fixed point in  $Y$ . Note that in this case, we obtain two fixed points of  $S$ , that are  $\lambda = 0$  and  $\lambda = 3 + \sqrt{3}$ .

**Definition 2.22.** Let  $(Y, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $g : Y \rightarrow Y$  be a given mapping. We say that  $f$  is *nondecreasing* with respect to  $\preceq$  if  $x \preceq y$  then  $gx \preceq gy$  for all  $x, y, \in Y$ .

**Definition 2.23.** Let  $(Y, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set. A sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset Y$  is said to be *nondecreasing* with respect to  $\preceq$ , if  $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n$ .

**Definition 2.24.** Let  $(Y, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $d$  be a tripled quasi-metric on  $Y$ . We say that  $(Y, \preceq, d)$  is *regular* if for every nondecreasing sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset Y$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x \in Y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists a subsequences  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n(k)} \preceq x$  for all  $k$ .

We state the following result.

**Theorem 2.25.** Let  $(Y, \preceq)$  be a partially ordered set and  $d$  be a tripled quasi-metric on  $Y$ , such that  $(Y, d)$  is complete. Let  $g : Y \rightarrow Y$  be a nondecreasing mapping with respect to  $\preceq$ . Let that there exists a function  $\phi \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(d(gx, gy, gz), d(x, y, z), d(x, gx, g^2x), d(y, gy, g^2y), d(z, gz, g^2z), \\ & d(x, gx, z), d(y, gx, y), d(z, gy, z)) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in Y$  with  $x \succeq y \succeq z$  or  $x \preceq y \preceq z$ . Let that the following conditions hold.

- (i) There exists  $x_0 \in Y$  such that  $x_0 \preceq gx_0 \preceq g^2x_0$  or  $g_2x_0 \preceq gx_0 \preceq x_0$ ;
- (ii)  $g$  is continuous or  $(Y, \preceq, d)$  is regular.

Then  $g$  has a fixed point. Moreover, if  $\text{Fix}(g)$  is well-ordered, we have uniqueness of the fixed point.

*Proof.* Define the mapping  $\beta : Y \times Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\beta(x, y, z) = 1$ , if  $x \preceq y \preceq z$  or  $z \preceq y \preceq x$ , otherwise  $\beta(x, y, z) = 0$ . Obviously,  $g$  is an  $\beta$ -implicit contractive mapping, that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(\beta(x, y, z)d(gx, gy, gz), d(x, y, z), d(x, gx, g^2x), d(y, gy, g^2y), d(z, gz, g^2z), \\ & d(x, gx, z), d(y, gx, y), d(z, gy, z)) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From condition (i) we have  $\beta(x_0, gx_0, g^2x_0) \geq 1$  or  $\beta(g^2x_0, gx_0, x_0) \geq 1$ . Moreover, for all  $x, y, z \in Y$ , from the monotone property of  $g$ , we have  $\beta(x, y, z) \geq 1$ , then  $x \succeq y \succeq z$  or  $x \preceq y \preceq z$ , so  $gx \succeq gy \succeq gz$  or  $gx \preceq gy \preceq gz$ , hence  $\beta(gx, gy, gz) \geq 1$ . Thus  $g$  is  $\beta$ -admissible. Now, if  $g$  is continuous the existence of a fixed point follows from Theorem 2.14. Consider now that  $(Y, \preceq, d)$  is regular. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence

in  $Y$  such that  $(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \geq 1$  for any  $n$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x \in Y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . From the regularity hypotheses, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n(k)} \preceq x$  for all  $k$ . This implies from the definition of  $\beta$  that  $\beta(x_{n(k)}, x, x) \geq 1$  for all  $k$ . In this case, the existence of a fixed point follows from Theorem 2.15. To show the uniqueness. Let  $x, y \in Y$ ,  $(x \preceq y$  or  $y \preceq x)$ . By hypotheses, there exists  $z \in Y$  such that  $x \preceq y \preceq z$  or  $z \preceq y \preceq x$ , which implies  $\beta(x, y, z) \geq 1$  or  $\beta(z, y, x) \geq 1$ . This, we deduce the uniqueness of the fixed point by Theorem 2.16.  $\square$

### 3. APPLICATION

Now, we provide an application on the research of a solution of an integral equation. For instance by Corollary 2.20, we will prove the existence of a solution of the following integral equation, where  $E : [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a continuous function

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} x(t) &= \int_0^1 G(s, t)E(s, x(s)) ds, \\ y(t) &= \int_0^1 G(s, t)E(s, y(s)) ds, \\ z(t) &= \int_0^1 G(s, t)E(s, z(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Y = C([0, 1], [0, \infty))$  be the set of nonnegative continuous functions defined on  $[0, 1]$ , Take the tripled quasi-metric  $d : Y \times Y \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $d(x, y, z) = \|x\|_\infty + \|y\|_\infty$ , if  $x \neq y$ ,  $x \neq z$  and  $y \neq z$ ,  $d(x, y, z) = 0$  whenever  $x = y = z$ , where  $\|x\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} x(t)$ . It is easy to show that  $(Y, d)$  is a complete tripled quasi-metric. Now, we define the mapping  $S : Y \rightarrow Y$  as follows

$$Sx(t) = \int_0^1 G(s, t)E(s, x(s)) ds.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let the following condition hold. Assume that there exist  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3 \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 < 1$  and for any  $s \in [0, 1]$  and  $x, y, z \in Y$ ,  $(x \neq y, x \neq z$  and  $y \neq z)$ , we have  $E(s, x(s)) \leq \mu_1 \|x\|_\infty$ ,  $E(s, y(s)) \leq \mu_2 \|y\|_\infty$ , and  $E(s, z(s)) \leq \mu_3 \|z\|_\infty$ , where*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 G(s, t)E(s, x(s)) ds &\neq \int_0^1 G(s, t)E(s, y(s)) ds, \\ \int_0^1 G(s, t)E(s, x(s)) ds &\neq \int_0^1 G(s, t)E(s, z(s)) ds, \end{aligned}$$

$$\int_0^1 G(s,t)E(s,y(s)) ds \neq \int_0^1 G(s,t)E(s,z(s)) ds.$$

Then the integral equation (3.1) has a unique solution  $x \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty))$ .

*Proof.* For all  $x, y, z \in Y$ , ( $x \neq y$ ,  $x \neq z$  and  $y \neq z$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Sx\|_\infty &= \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(s,t)E(s,x(s)) ds \leq \frac{1}{8}\mu_1\|x\|_\infty, \\ \|Sy\|_\infty &= \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(s,t)E(s,y(s)) ds \leq \frac{1}{8}\mu_2\|y\|_\infty, \\ \|Sz\|_\infty &= \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 G(s,t)E(s,z(s)) ds \leq \frac{1}{8}\mu_3\|z\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for all  $x, y, z \in Y$ , ( $x \neq y$ ,  $x \neq z$  and  $y \neq z$ ), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sx, Sy, Sz) &= \|Sx\|_\infty + \|Sy\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}\mu_1\|x\|_\infty + \frac{1}{8}\mu_2\|y\|_\infty \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8}(\|x\|_\infty + \|y\|_\infty) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}d(x, y, z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(3.2) \quad d(Sx, Sy, Sz) \leq \frac{1}{8} \max \{d(x, y, z), d(x, Sx, S^2x), d(y, Sy, S^2y), d(z, Sz, S^2z), d(x, Sx, z), d(y, Sy, z), d(z, Sz, z)\}.$$

On the other hand, obviously (3.2) holds. Therefore all condition of Corollary 2.20 are satisfied and so  $S$  has a unique fixed point.  $\square$

#### Availability of supporting data

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they has no competing interests.

#### Funding

Not applicable.

#### Authors contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in this manuscript, and they read and approved the final manuscript.

## REFERENCES

1. R.P. Agarwal, E. Karapnar & A.F. Roldán-López-de-Hierro: Fixed point theorems in quasimetric spaces and applications to multidimensional fixed point theorems on  $G$ -metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **16** (2015), no. 9, 1787-1816. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-24082-4-11>
2. M.U. Ali, T. Kamran & E. Karapnar: On  $(\alpha-\psi-\eta)$ -contractive multivalued mappings. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2014**, Article ID 7, 8, pp. 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2014-7>
3. A. Aliouche & V. Popa: General common fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible hybrid mappings and applications. *Novi Sad. J. Math.* **39** (2009), no. 1, 89-109.
4. M.A. Alghamdi & E. Karapnar:  $G$ - $\beta$ - $\psi$ -contractive-type mappings and related fixed point theorems. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 70, 16, pp. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-z42x-2013-70>
5. M.A. Alghamdi, E. Karapnar:  $G$ - $\beta$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings in  $G$ -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 123, 17, pp. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1187-1812-2013-123>
6. V. Berinde: Approximating fixed points of implicit almost contractions. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* (2012) **41**, no. 1, 93-102.
7. V. Berinde & F. Vetro: Common fixed points of mappings satisfying implicit contractive conditions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, Article ID 105, 8, pp. 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-105>
8. L.B. Ćirić: A generalization of Banach's contraction principle. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* (1974) **45**, no. 2, 267-273. <https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9939-1974-0356011-2>
9. R.C. Dimri & G. Prasad: Coincidence theorems for comparable generalized nonlinear contractions in ordered partial metric spaces. *Comm. Korean Math. Soc.* **32** (2017), no. 2, 375-387. <https://doi.org/10.4134/CKMS.c160127>
10. M. Imdad, S. Kumar & M.S. Khan: Remarks on some fixed point theorems satisfying implicit relations. *Rad. Math.* **11** (2012), no. 1, 135-143. <https://doi.org/MR1971330-135-143>
11. M. Jleli, E. Karapnar & B. Samet: Best proximity points for generalized alpha-psi-proximal contractive type mappings. *J. Appl. Math.* **2013**, Article ID 534127, 10, pp. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/534127>
12. M. Jleli, E. Karapnar & B. Samet: Fixed point results for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ - $\lambda$ -contractions on gauge spaces and applications. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, Article ID 730825, 7, pp. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/730825>

13. M. Jleli & B. Samet: Remarks on  $G$  metric spaces and fixed point theorems. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2012**, Article ID 210, 7, pp. 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-210>
14. E. Karapinar & B. Samet: Generalized  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point theorems with applications. Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2012**, Article ID 793486, 17, pp. 2012. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012-793486>
15. E. Karapinar: Fixed point theory for cyclic weak  $\phi$ -contraction. Appl. Math. Lett. **24** (2011), 822-825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2010.12.016>
16. V. La Rosa & P. Vetro: Common fixed points for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ - $\varphi$ -contractions in generalized metric spaces. Nonlinear Anal. Model. Control **19**, no. 1, 43-54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242x-2014-439>
17. B. Mohammadi, Sh. Rezapour & N. Shahzad: Some results on fixed points of  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -Ciric generalized multifunctions. Fixed Point Theory Appl. **2013**, Article ID 24, 10, pp. 2013. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-24>
18. Z. Mustafa & B. Sims: A new approach to generalized metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **7** (2006), 289-297. <https://ds.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2022.27489.3619>
19. M. Pacurar & I.A. Rus: Fixed point theory for cyclic  $\varphi$ -contractions. Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A **72** (2010), no. 34, 1181-1187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.08.002>
20. V. Popa: Fixed point theorems for implicit contractive mappings. Stud. Cercet. Ştiinţ., Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacău **7** (1997), 129-133.
21. V. Popa: Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation. Demonstr. Math. **32** (1999), 157-163. <https://doi.org/10.1515/dema-199-0117>
22. V. Popa: A general fixed point theorem for four weakly compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation. Filomat **19** (2005), 45-51. <https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL0519045p>
23. V. Popa & A.M. Patriciu: A general fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying an  $\phi$ -implicit relation in complete  $G$ -metric spaces. Gazi Univ. J. Science **25** (2012), no. 2, 403-408. <https://doi.org/10.35219/ann-ugal-mah-phys-mee.2018.2004>
24. V. Popa & A.M. Patriciu: A General fixed point theorem for pairs of weakly compatible mappings in  $G$ -metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Sci. App. **5** (2012), no. 2, 151-160. <https://dx.doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.005.02.08>
25. G. Prasad & H. useyin Iik: On solution of boundary value problem via week contractions. J. Fun. **2022**, Article ID 6799205. pp. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/6799205>
26. G. Prasad: Coincidence points of relational  $\Psi$ contractions and an application. Afr. Mat. **32** (2021), 1475-1490. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-021-00913-6>



27. G. Prasad & R.C. Dimri: Fixed point theorems via comparable mappings in ordered metric spaces. *J. Anal.* **27** (2019), no. 4, 1139-1150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41478-019-00165-5>
28. S. Reich & A.J. Zaslowski: Well-posedness of fixed point problems. *Far East J. Math. Sci., Spec. Vol., Part III* (2001), 393-401. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-018-0538-1>
29. B. Samet, C. Vetro & P. Vetro: Fixed point theorems for  $\alpha$ - $\psi$ -contractive type mappings. *Nonlinear Anal., Theory Methods Appl., Ser. A* **75** (2012), no. 4, 2154-2165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2011.100014>

PROFESSOR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, BU-ALI SINA UNIVERSITY, HAMEDAN 65178, IRAN  
*Email address:* [gh\\_khalilzadeh@yahoo.com](mailto:gh_khalilzadeh@yahoo.com)