

COEFFICIENT INEQUALITIES FOR A UNIFIED CLASS OF BOUNDED TURNING FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH COSINE HYPERBOLIC FUNCTION

GAGANDEEP SINGH^{a,*}, GURCHARANJIT SINGH^b, NAVYODH SINGH^c AND
NAVJEET SINGH^d

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to study a new and unified class $\mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^\alpha$ of analytic functions associated with cosine hyperbolic function in the open unit disc $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Some interesting properties of this class such as initial coefficient bounds, Fekete-Szegő inequality, second Hankel determinant, Zalcman inequality and third Hankel determinant have been established. Furthermore, these results have also been studied for two-fold and three-fold symmetric functions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let the class of functions f which are analytic in the open unit disc $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by the conditions $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$, is denoted by \mathcal{A} and is defined as

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ f : f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, z \in E \right\}.$$

The subclass of \mathcal{A} which consists of univalent functions in E , is denoted by \mathcal{S} . In the theory of univalent functions, the most famous result is Bieberbach's conjecture which was established by L. Bieberbach [6] in 1916. It states that, if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ is a univalent function, then $|a_n| \leq n$, $n = 2, 3, \dots$. This result remained as a challenge for the mathematicians for a long time. Finally, L. De-Branges [9] proved this conjecture in 1985. During the course of proving this conjecture, various coefficient inequalities were come into existence which helped in defining certain new subclasses of analytic functions. Here we mention only those classes which are relevant to our work.

Received by the editors November 21, 2023. Revised March 9, 2024. Accepted March 15, 2024.
2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 30C45, 30C50, 30C80.

Key words and phrases. analytic functions, subordination, coefficient bounds, Fekete-Szegő inequality, Zalcman inequality, cosine hyperbolic function, Hankel determinant.

*Corresponding author.

The class of starlike functions is denoted by \mathcal{S}^* and is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}^* = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > 0, z \in E \right\}.$$

Reade [26] introduced the class \mathcal{CS}^* of close-to-star functions which is given by

$$\mathcal{CS}^* = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right) > 0, g \in \mathcal{S}^*, z \in E \right\}.$$

For $g(z) = z$, MacGregor [19] studied the following subclass of close-to-star functions:

$$\mathcal{R}' = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right) > 0, z \in E \right\}.$$

Also, MacGregor [18] established the class \mathcal{R} of bounded turning functions which is defined as

$$\mathcal{R} = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re}(f'(z)) > 0, z \in E \right\}.$$

As a generalization, Murugusundaramoorthi and Magesh [20] studied the class $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ defined as

$$\mathcal{R}(\alpha) = \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re} \left((1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) \right) > 0, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, z \in E \right\}.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ is the unification of the classes \mathcal{R}' and \mathcal{R} as $\mathcal{R}(0) \equiv \mathcal{R}'$ and $\mathcal{R}(1) \equiv \mathcal{R}$.

Let f and g be two analytic functions in E . Then f is said to be subordinate to g (denoted as $f \prec g$) if there exists a function w with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. Moreover, if g is univalent in E , then the subordination leads to $f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(E) \subset g(E)$.

Using the concept of subordination, various subclasses of \mathcal{S} were studied by several authors by associating to different superordinating functions $\phi(z)$. Some of the recently studied classes are mentined below:

- (i) Janowski [11] studied the class $\mathcal{S}^*(A, B)$ for $\phi(z) = \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}$.
- (ii) For $\phi(z) = 1 + \sin z$, Cho et al. [8] studied the class \mathcal{S}_{\sin}^* .
- (iii) Taking $\phi(z) = e^z$, Arif et al. [3] studied the class \mathcal{S}_e^* .
- (iv) Chosing $\phi(z) = 1 + z - \frac{z^3}{3}$, Wani and Swaminathan [37] studied the class \mathcal{S}_N .
- (v) Sokol and Stankiewicz [34] studied the class \mathcal{S}_L^* associated with $\phi(z) = \sqrt{1+z}$.
- (vi) For $\phi(z) = z + \sqrt{1+z^2}$, Raina and Sokol [23] studied the class \mathcal{S}_C .
- (vii) Considering $\phi(z) = 1 + \frac{4}{3}z + \frac{2}{3}z^2$, Sharma et al. [29] studied the class \mathcal{S}_C^* .
- (viii) For $\phi(z) = 1 + \sinh^{-1}z$, Arora and Kumar [4] studied the class \mathcal{S}_p^* .
- (ix) For $\phi(z) = \frac{2}{1+e^{-z}}$, Goel and Kumar [10] studied the class \mathcal{S}_{SG}^* .
- (x) Alotaibi et al. [1] studied the class \mathcal{S}_{Cosh}^* related to $\phi(z) = \cosh z$.

Following the recent trend, now we introduce a unified and generalized subclass of analytic functions associated with the superordinating function $\cosh\sqrt{z}$.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be *in the class* $\mathcal{R}_{\cosh}^\alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$) if it satisfies the condition

$$(1 - \alpha)\frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) \prec \cosh\sqrt{z}.$$

For $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, the class $\mathcal{R}_{\cosh}^\alpha$ reduces to the classes \mathcal{R}'_{\cosh} and \mathcal{R}_{\cosh} , respectively.

For $q \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$, Pommerenke [21] defined the q^{th} Hankel determinant $H_q(n)$ as

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \dots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n+q-1} & \dots & \dots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}.$$

For different values of q and n , the Hankel determinant $H_q(n)$ reduces to various coefficient functionals. For $q = 2$ and $n = 1$, it reduces to $H_2(1) = a_3 - a_2^2$, which is the well known Fekete-Szegő functional. For $q = 2$ and $n = 2$, $H_q(n)$ takes the form of $H_2(2) = a_2a_4 - a_3^2$, which is known as Hankel determinant of second order and for $q = 3$ and $n = 1$, it agrees with $H_3(1)$, which is the Hankel determinant of third order.

The functional $J_{n,m}(f) = a_n a_m - a_{m+n-1}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N} - \{1\}$, is known as generalized Zalcman functional and was introduced by Ma [17]. For $n = 2, m = 3$, it reduces to $J_{2,3}(f) = a_2 a_3 - a_4$. The upper bound for the functional $J_{2,3}(f)$ was computed by various authors over different subclasses of analytic functions. It plays very important role in establishing the bounds for the third Hankel determinant.

Now a days, the estimation of Hankel determinants for various subclasses of analytic functions is a topic of great interest. Janteng et al. [12] investigated the second Hankel determinant for the classes of starlike functions, convex functions and the class of functions with bounded boundary rotation. After that second order Hankel determinant was extensively studied by various authors for different classes. Babalola [5] was the first researcher who successfully obtained the upper bound of third Hankel determinant for some fundamental classes. Further a few researchers including Shanmugam et al. [28], Bucur et al. [7], Altinkaya and Yalcin [2] and recently Singh and Singh [30], Singh et al. [31, 32, 33], Sun et al. [35], Riaz et al. [27], Raza et al. [25], Sunthrayuth et al. [36] and many more have been actively

engaged in the study of third Hankel determinant for various subclasses of analytic functions.

In this paper, we establish the upper bounds of the third Hankel determinant for the class $\mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^\alpha$. Moreover the bounds of $H_3(1)$ are studied for the two-fold and three-fold symmetric functions. Various known results follow as consequences.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

Let \mathcal{P} denote the class of analytic functions p given by

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k,$$

whose real parts are positive in E .

In order to prove our main results, the following lemmas have been used:

Lemma 2.1 ([13, 29]). If $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |p_k| &\leq 2, k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \left| p_2 - \frac{p_1^2}{2} \right| &\leq 2 - \frac{|p_1|^2}{2}, \\ |p_{i+j} - \mu p_i p_j| &\leq 2, 0 \leq \mu \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and for complex number ρ , we have

$$|p_2 - \rho p_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1, |2\rho - 1|\}.$$

Lemma 2.2 ([3]). Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$|Jp_1^3 - Kp_1p_2 + Lp_3| \leq 2|J| + 2|K - 2J| + 2|J - K + L|,$$

where J, K, L are real numbers.

In particular, it is proved in [22] that

$$|p_1^3 - 2p_1p_2 + p_3| \leq 2.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([15, 16]). If $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x,$$

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2p_1(4 - p_1^2)x - p_1(4 - p_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z,$$

for $|x| \leq 1$ and $|z| \leq 1$.

Lemma 2.4 ([24]). Let m, n, l and r satisfy the inequalities $0 < m < 1, 0 < r < 1$ and

$$8r(1-r) [(mn - 2l)^2 + (m(r + m) - n)^2] + m(1-m)(n-2rm)^2 \leq 4m^2(1-m)^2r(1-r).$$

If $p \in \mathcal{P}$, then

$$\left| lp_1^4 + rp_2^2 + 2mp_1p_3 - \frac{3}{2}np_1^2p_2 - p_4 \right| \leq 2.$$

3. INITIAL COEFFICIENT BOUNDS

Theorem 3.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^\alpha$, then

$$(1) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{1}{2(1 + \alpha)},$$

$$(2) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{1}{2(1 + 2\alpha)},$$

$$(3) \quad |a_4| \leq \frac{1}{2(1 + 3\alpha)},$$

and

$$(4) \quad |a_5| \leq \frac{1}{2(1 + 4\alpha)}.$$

The results are sharp.

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^\alpha$, so using the concept of subordination in Definition 1.1, we have

$$(5) \quad (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) = \cosh \sqrt{w(z)}.$$

Taking $p(z) = \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots$, which implies $w(z) = \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we have

$$(6) \quad (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) = 1 + (1 + \alpha)a_2z + (1 + 2\alpha)a_3z^2 + (1 + 3\alpha)a_4z^3 + (1 + 4\alpha)a_5z^4 + \dots$$

Also

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \cosh \sqrt{w(z)} &= 1 + \frac{1}{4}p_1z + \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{11p_1^2}{96} \right) z^2 + \left(\frac{301p_1^3}{5760} - \frac{11p_1p_2}{48} + \frac{p_3}{4} \right) z^3 \\ &+ \left(-\frac{91p_1^4}{3840} + \frac{301p_1^2p_2}{1920} - \frac{11p_3p_1}{48} - \frac{11p_2^2}{96} + \frac{p_4}{4} \right) z^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using (6) and (7) in (5), it yields

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 + (1 + \alpha)a_2z + (1 + 2\alpha)a_3z^2 + (1 + 3\alpha)a_4z^3 + (1 + 4\alpha)a_5z^4 + \dots \\ & = 1 + \frac{1}{4}p_1z + \left(\frac{p_2}{4} - \frac{11p_1^2}{96}\right)z^2 + \left(\frac{301p_1^3}{5760} - \frac{11p_1p_2}{48} + \frac{p_3}{4}\right)z^3 \\ (8) \quad & + \left(-\frac{91p_1^4}{3840} + \frac{301p_1^2p_2}{1920} - \frac{11p_3p_1}{48} - \frac{11p_2^2}{96} + \frac{p_4}{4}\right)z^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of z , z^2 , z^3 and z^4 in (8), we obtain

$$(9) \quad a_2 = \frac{1}{4(1 + \alpha)}p_1,$$

$$(10) \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{4(1 + 2\alpha)}\left[p_2 - \frac{11p_1^2}{24}\right],$$

$$(11) \quad a_4 = \frac{1}{4(1 + 3\alpha)}\left[\frac{301}{1440}p_1^3 - \frac{11}{12}p_1p_2 + p_3\right],$$

and

$$(12) \quad a_5 = \frac{1}{4(1 + 4\alpha)}\left[-\frac{91p_1^4}{960} - \frac{11p_2^2}{24} - \frac{11}{12}p_3p_1 + \frac{301p_1^2p_2}{480} + p_4\right].$$

Using first inequality of Lemma 2.1 in (9), the result (1) is obvious.

From (10), we have

$$(13) \quad |a_3| = \frac{1}{4(1 + 2\alpha)}\left|p_2 - \frac{11}{24}p_1^2\right|.$$

Using fourth inequality of Lemma 2.1 in (13), the result (2) can be easily obtained.

(11) can be written as

$$(14) \quad |a_4| = \frac{1}{4(1 + 3\alpha)}\left|\frac{301}{1440}p_1^3 - \frac{11}{12}p_1p_2 + p_3\right|.$$

Using Lemma 2.2 in (14), the result (3) is obvious.

Further, using Lemma 2.4 in (12), the result (4) is obvious. \square

Equality in the results (1), (2), (3) and (4) is attained for the functions f_1 , f_2 , f_3 and f_4 , respectively defined as

$$(15) \quad (1 - \alpha)\frac{f_1(z)}{z} + \alpha f_1'(z) = \cosh\sqrt{z},$$

$$(16) \quad (1 - \alpha)\frac{f_2(z)}{z} + \alpha f_2'(z) = \cosh\sqrt{z^2},$$

$$(17) \quad (1 - \alpha)\frac{f_3(z)}{z} + \alpha f_3'(z) = \cosh\sqrt{z^3},$$

$$(18) \quad (1 - \alpha) \frac{f_4(z)}{z} + \alpha f_4'(z) = \cosh \sqrt{z^4}.$$

On putting $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 3.1 yields the following result:

Corollary 3.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}'_{Cosh}$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |a_3| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |a_4| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |a_5| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 3.1 gives the following result due to Khan et al. [14]:

Corollary 3.2. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{4}, |a_3| \leq \frac{1}{6}, |a_4| \leq \frac{1}{8}, |a_5| \leq \frac{1}{10}.$$

4. FEKETE-SZEGÖ INEQUALITY

Theorem 4.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}^\alpha_{Cosh}$ and μ is any complex number, then

$$(19) \quad |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2(1+2\alpha)} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|-(1+\alpha)^2 + 6(1+2\alpha)\mu|}{12(1+\alpha)^2} \right\}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof. From (9) and (10), we obtain

$$(20) \quad |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| = \frac{1}{4(1+2\alpha)} \left| p_2 - \frac{11(1+\alpha)^2 + 6(1+2\alpha)\mu}{24(1+\alpha)^2} p_1^2 \right|.$$

Using fourth inequality of Lemma 2.1, (20) can be expressed as

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2(1+2\alpha)} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|-(1+\alpha)^2 + 6(1+2\alpha)\mu|}{12(1+\alpha)^2} \right\}.$$

Equality in the result (19) is attained for the function f_2 defined in (16). □

Substituting for $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 4.1 yields the following result:

Corollary 4.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}'_{Cosh}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|6\mu - 1|}{12} \right\}.$$

Putting $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 4.1 yields the following result due to Khan et al. [14]:

Corollary 4.2. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{6} \max \left\{ 1, \frac{|9\mu - 2|}{24} \right\}.$$

For $\mu = 1$, Theorem 4.1 yields the following result:

Corollary 4.3. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^\alpha$, then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2(1+2\alpha)}.$$

For $\alpha = 0$, Corollary 4.3 yields the following result:

Corollary 4.4. If $f \in \mathcal{R}'_{Cosh}$, then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$, Corollary 4.3 yields the following result due to Khan et al. [14]:

Corollary 4.5. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}$, then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{6}.$$

5. ZALCMAN INEQUALITY

Theorem 5.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^\alpha$, then

$$(21) \quad |a_2 a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{1}{2(1+3\alpha)}.$$

The estimate is sharp.

Proof. Using (9), (10), (11) and after simplification, we obtain

$$(22) \quad |a_2 a_3 - a_4| = \frac{1}{5760(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)(1+3\alpha)} \\ \times |(466 + 1398\alpha + 602\alpha^2)p_1^3 - (1680 + 5040\alpha + 2640\alpha^2)p_1 p_2 \\ + (1440 + 4320\alpha + 2880\alpha^2)p_3|.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 in (22), (21) can be easily obtained. Equality in (21) is attained for the function f_3 defined in (17). \square

Corollary 5.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}'_{Cosh}$, then

$$|a_2 a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

On putting $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 5.1, we can obtain the following result due to Khan et al. [14]:

Corollary 5.2. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}$, then

$$|a_2a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{1}{8}.$$

6. SECOND HANKEL DETERMINANT

Theorem 6.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^\alpha$, then

$$(23) \quad |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4(1 + 2\alpha)^2}.$$

Result is sharp.

Proof. Using (9), (10) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| &= \frac{1}{46080(1 + \alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)} \\ &\quad \times |2880(1 + 2\alpha)^2p_1p_3 - 2640\alpha^2p_1^2p_2 + (-3 - 12\alpha + 593\alpha^2)p_1^4 \\ &\quad - 2880(1 + 4\alpha + 3\alpha^2)p_2^2|. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting for p_2 and p_3 from Lemma 2.3 and letting $p_1 = p$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| &= \frac{1}{46080(1 + \alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)} \\ &\quad | - (7\alpha^2 + 12\alpha + 3)p^4 + 120\alpha^2p^2(4 - p^2)x \\ &\quad - 720(1 + 2\alpha)^2p^2(4 - p^2)x^2 - 720(1 + 4\alpha + 3\alpha^2)(4 - p^2)^2x^2 \\ &\quad + 1440(1 + 2\alpha)^2p(4 - p^2)(1 - |x|^2)z|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|p| = |p_1| \leq 2$, we may assume that $p \in [0, 2]$. Using the triangle inequality and $|z| \leq 1$ with $|x| = t \in [0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| &\leq \frac{1}{46080(1 + \alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)} \\ &\quad \times [(7\alpha^2 + 12\alpha + 3)p^4 + 120\alpha^2p^2(4 - p^2)t + 720(1 + 2\alpha)^2p^2(4 - p^2)t^2 \\ &\quad + 720(1 + 4\alpha + 3\alpha^2)(4 - p^2)^2t^2 + 1440(1 + 2\alpha)^2p(4 - p^2) \\ &\quad - 1440(1 + 2\alpha)^2p(4 - p^2)t^2] = F(p, t). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} &= \frac{(4 - p^2)}{384(1 + \alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)} [\alpha^2p^2 + 12(1 + 2\alpha)^2p^2t \\ &\quad + 12(1 + 4\alpha + 3\alpha^2)(4 - p^2)t - 24(1 + \alpha)^2pt]. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \geq 0$ and so $F(p, t)$ is an increasing function of t .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{F(p, t)\} = F(p, 1) &= \frac{1}{46080(1 + \alpha)(1 + 2\alpha)^2(1 + 3\alpha)} \\ &\times [(7\alpha^2 + 12\alpha + 3)p^4 + 120\alpha^2 p^2(4 - p^2) + 720(1 + 2\alpha)^2 p^2(4 - p^2) \\ &+ 720(1 + \alpha)(1 + 3\alpha)(4 - p^2)^2 + 1440(1 + 2\alpha)^2 p(4 - p^2) \\ &- 1440(1 + 2\alpha)^2 p(4 - p^2)] = H(p). \end{aligned}$$

$H'(p) = 0$ gives $p = 0$. Also $H''(p) < 0$ for $p = 0$.

Therefore $\max\{H(p)\} = H(0) = \frac{1}{4(1 + 2\alpha)^2}$, which proves (23).

Equality in (23) is attained for the function f_2 defined in (16). \square

Putting $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 6.1 gives the following result:

Corollary 6.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}'_{Cosh}$, then

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Substituting for $\alpha = 1$ in Theorem 6.1, the following result due to Khan et al. [14], is obvious:

Corollary 6.2. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}$, then

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{36}.$$

7. THIRD ORDER HANKEL DETERMINANT $H_3(1)$

On expanding, the third Hankel determinant can be expressed as

$$H_3(1) = a_3(a_2 a_4 - a_3^2) - a_4(a_4 - a_2 a_3) + a_5(a_3 - a_2^2),$$

and after applying the triangle inequality, it yields

$$(24) \quad |H_3(1)| \leq |a_3||a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| + |a_4||a_2 a_3 - a_4| + |a_5||a_3 - a_2^2|.$$

Theorem 7.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^\alpha$, then

$$(25) \quad |H_3(1)| \leq \frac{5 + 50\alpha + 179\alpha^2 + 268\alpha^3 + 136\alpha^4}{8(1 + 2\alpha)^3(1 + 3\alpha)^2(1 + 4\alpha)}.$$

Proof. By using (3), (4), (5), (21), (23) and Corollary 4.3 in (24), the result (25) can be easily obtained. \square

For $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 7.1 yields the following result:

Corollary 7.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}'_{Cosh}$, then

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{5}{8}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 7.1 yields the following result due to Khan et al. [14]:

Corollary 7.2. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}$, then

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{319}{8640}.$$

8. BOUNDS OF $|H_3(1)|$ FOR TWO-FOLD AND THREE-FOLD SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

A function f is said to be n -fold symmetric function if it satisfies the following condition:

$$f(\xi z) = \xi f(z)$$

where $\xi = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}$ and $z \in E$.

By $S^{(n)}$, we denote the set of all n -fold symmetric functions which belong to the class S .

The n -fold univalent function have the following Taylor-Maclaurin series:

$$(26) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk+1} z^{nk+1}.$$

An analytic function f of the form (26) belongs to the family $\mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^{\alpha(n)}$ if and only if

$$(1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) = \cosh \sqrt{\left(\frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1} \right)}, p \in \mathcal{P}^{(n)},$$

where

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{P}^{(n)} = \left\{ p \in \mathcal{P} : p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{nk} z^{nk}, z \in E \right\}.$$

Theorem 8.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^{\alpha(2)}$, then

$$(28) \quad |H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{4(1 + 2\alpha)(1 + 4\alpha)}.$$

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^{\alpha(2)}$, then there exists a function $p \in \mathcal{P}^{(2)}$ such that

$$(29) \quad (1 - \alpha) \frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) = \cosh \sqrt{\left(\frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1} \right)}.$$

Using (26) and (27) for $n = 2$, (29) yields

$$(30) \quad a_3 = \frac{1}{4(1+2\alpha)}p_2,$$

$$(31) \quad a_5 = \frac{1}{4(1+4\alpha)} \left(p_4 - \frac{11}{24}p_2^2 \right).$$

Also

$$(32) \quad H_3(1) = a_3a_5 - a_3^3.$$

Using (30) and (31) in (32), it yields

$$(33) \quad H_3(1) = \frac{1}{16(1+2\alpha)(1+4\alpha)}p_2 \left[p_4 - \frac{11(1+2\alpha)^2 + 6(1+4\alpha)}{24(1+2\alpha)^2}p_2^2 \right].$$

Taking modulus and using third inequality of Lemma 2.1 in (33), we can easily get the result (28). \square

Putting $\alpha = 0$, the following result can be easily obtained from Theorem 8.1:

Corollary 8.1. If $f \in \mathcal{R}'_{Cosh}(2)$, then

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 8.1 agrees with the following result due to Khan et al. [14].

Corollary 8.2. If $f \in \mathcal{R}^{(2)}_{Cosh}$, then

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{60}.$$

Theorem 8.2. If $f \in \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(3)}_{Cosh}$, then

$$(34) \quad |H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{4(1+3\alpha)^2}.$$

The bound is sharp.

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{R}^{\alpha(3)}_{Cosh}$, so there exists a function $p \in \mathcal{P}^{(3)}$ such that

$$(35) \quad (1-\alpha)\frac{f(z)}{z} + \alpha f'(z) = Cosh \sqrt{\left(\frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} \right)}.$$

Using (26) and (27) for $n = 3$ in (35), it gives

$$(36) \quad a_4 = \frac{1}{4(1+3\alpha)}p_3.$$

Also

$$(37) \quad H_3(1) = -a_4^2.$$

Using (36) in (37), it yields

$$(38) \quad H_3(1) = -\frac{1}{16(1+3\alpha)^2}p_3^2.$$

Taking modulus and using first inequality of Lemma 2.1, (34) can be easily obtained from (38).

Equality in (34) is attained for the function f_3 defined in (17). \square

Putting $\alpha = 0$ in Theorem 8.2, it gives the following result:

Corollary 8.3. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^{(3)}$, then

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$

For $\alpha = 1$, Theorem 8.2 yields the following result due to Khan et al. [14].

Corollary 8.4 If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{Cosh}^{(3)}$, then

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{64}.$$

9. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have introduced a new and unified class of analytic functions by subordinating to cosine hyperbolic function. We established various coefficient inequalities for this class and also extended the results to two-fold and three-fold symmetric functions. Certain known results follow as the consequences of the results of this paper. Till now, most of the work done on third Hankel determinant is based on some of the standard classes, but here we have investigated the sharp bounds for the third Hankel determinant for a generalized class. So this paper will pave the way for other researchers to investigate some more generalized classes of analytic functions.

REFERENCES

1. A. Alotaibi, M. Arif, M. A. Alghamdi & S. Hussain: Starlikeness associated with cosine hyperbolic function. *Mathematics* **8** (2020), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/Math8071118>

2. S. Altinkaya & S. Yalcin: Third Hankel determinant for Bazilevic functions. *Adv. Math., Scientific Journal* **5** (2016), no. 2, 91-96. <https://research-publication.com>
3. M. Arif, M. Raza, H. Tang, S Hussain & H. Khan: Hankel determinant of order three for familiar subsets of analytic functions related with sine function. *Open Math.* **17** (2019), 1615-1630. <https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2019-0132>
4. K. Arora & S.S. Kumar: Starlike functions associated with a petal shaped domain. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.* **59** (2022), no. 4, 993-1010. <https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.b210602>
5. K.O. Babalola: On $H_3(1)$ Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions. *Ineq. Th. Appl.* **6** (2010), 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.0910.3779>
6. L. Bieberbach: Über die koeffizienten derjenigen Potenzreihen, welche eine schlichte Abbildung des Einheitskreises vermitteln. *Sitzungsberichte Preussische Akademie der Wissenschaften* **138** (1916), 940-955.
7. R. Bucur, D. Breaz & L. Georgescu: Third Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions with respect to symmetric points. *Acta Univ. Apulensis* **42** (2015), 79-86. <https://doi.org/10.17114/j.aaa.2015.42.06>
8. N.E. Cho, V. Kumar, S.S. Kumar & V. Ravichandran: Radius problems for starlike functions associated with sine functions. *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* **45** (2019), 213-232. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41980-018-0127-5>
9. L. De-Branges: A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture. *Acta Math.* **154** (1985), 137-152. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02392821>
10. P. Goel & S.S. Kumar: Certain class of starlike functions associated with modified sigmoid function. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **43** (2020), 957-991. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-019-00784-y>
11. W. Janowski: Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families. *Ann. Polonic Math.* **23** (1971), 159-177. <https://doi.org/10.4064/AP-23-2-159-177>
12. A. Janteng, S. A. Halim & M. Darus: Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions. *Int. J. Math. Anal.* **1** (2007), no. 13, 619-625. <https://researchgate.net/publication/268710377>
13. F.R. Keogh & E.P. Merkes: A coefficient inequality for certain families of holomorphic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1969), 8-12. <https://doi.org/10.18514/MMN.2016.768>
14. M.G. Khan, W.K. Mashwani, L. Shi, S. Araci, B. Ahmad & B. Khan: Hankel inequalities for bounded turning functions in the domain of cosine hyperbolic function. *AIMS Math.* **8** (2023), no. 9, 21993-22008. <https://doi.org/10.3934/Math.20231121>
15. R.J. Libera & E.J. Zlotkiewicz: Early coefficients of the inverse of a regular convex function. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **85** (1982), 225-230. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1982-0652447-5>

16. R.J. Libera & E.J. Zlotkiewicz: Coefficient bounds for the inverse of a function with derivative in \mathcal{P} . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1983), 251-257. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2043698>
17. W. Ma: Generalized Zalcman conjecture for starlike and typically real functions. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **234** (1999), 328-329. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1999.6378>
18. T.H. MacGregor: Functions whose derivative has a positive real part. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1962), 532-537. <https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9947-1962-0140674-7>
19. T.H. MacGregor: The radius of univalence of certain analytic functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 514-520. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1963-0148891-3>
20. G. Murugusundaramoorthi & N. Magesh: Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of analytic functions associated with Hankel determinant. Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. **1** (2009), no. 3, 85-89. <https://www.BMATHAA.org>
21. Ch. Pommerenke: On the coefficients and Hankel determinants of univalent functions. J. Lond. Math. Soc. **41** (1966), 111-122. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s1-41.1.111>
22. Ch. Pommerenke: Univalent functions, Math. Lehrbucher, vandenhoek and Ruprecht, Gottingen, 1975.
23. R.K. Raina & J. Sokol: On coefficient estimates for a certain class of starlike functions. Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **44** (2015), 1427-1433. <https://doi.org/10.15672/HJMS.2015449676>
24. V. Ravichandran & S. Verma: Bound for the fifth coefficient of certain starlike functions. Comptes Rendus Mathematique. **353** (2015), 505-510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2015.03.003>
25. M. Raza, A. Riaz, Q. Xin & S.N. Malik: Hankel determinant and coefficient estimates for starlike functions related to symmetric booth Lemniscate. Symmetry **14** (2022), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14071366>
26. M.O. Reade: On close-to-convex univalent functions. Michigan Math. J. **3** (1955-56), 59-62. <https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1031710535>
27. A. Riaz, M. Raza, M.A. Binyamin & A. Salin: Hankel determinant for a subclass of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points subordinate to the exponential function. Symmetry **15** (2023), no. 8, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym15081604>
28. G. Shanmugam, B.A. Stephen & K.O. Babalola: Third Hankel determinant for α -starlike functions. Gulf J. Math. **2** (2014), no. 2, 107-113. <https://doi.org/10.56947/gjom.v2i2.202>
29. K. Sharma, N.K. Jain & V. Ravichandran: Starlike functions associated with cardioid domain. Afr. Mat. **27** (2016), 923-939. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13370-015-0387-7>

30. G. Singh & G. Singh: Hankel determinant problems for certain subclasses of Sakaguchi-type functions defined with subordination. *Korean J. Math.* **30** (2022), no. 1, 81-90. <https://doi.org/10.11568/kjm.2022.30.1.81>
31. G. Singh, G. Singh & G. Singh: Fourth Hankel determinant for a subclass of analytic functions defined by generalized Salagean operator. *Creat. Math. Inform.* **31** (2022), no. 2, 229-240. <https://doi.org/10.37193/CMI.2022.02.08>
32. G. Singh, G. Singh & G. Singh: Estimate of third and fourth Hankel determinants for certain subclasses of analytic functions. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.* **47** (2023), no. 3, 411-424. <https://www.seams-bull-math.ynu.edu.cn/archive.jsp>
33. G. Singh, G. Singh & G. Singh: Estimate of fourth Hankel determinant for a subclass of multivalent functions defined by generalized Salagean operator. *J. Frac. Cal. Appl.* **14** (2023), no. 1, 66-74. <https://math-frac.org/Journals/JFCA>
34. J. Sokol & J. Stankiewicz: Radius of convexity of some subclasses of strongly starlike functions. *Zeszyty Nauk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat.* **19** (1996), 101-105. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/267137022>
35. Y. Sun, M. Arif, K. Ullah, L. Shi & M.I. Faisal: Hankel determinant for certain new classes of analytic functions associated with the activation function. *Heliyon* **9** (2023), no. 11, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e21449>
36. P. Sunthrayuth, N. Iqbal, M. Naeem, Y. Jawarneh & S.K. Samura: The sharp upper bound of the Hankel determinant on logarithmic coefficients for certain analytic functions connected with eight-shaped domain. *J. Func. Spaces, Art. Id.* 2229960, Vol. 2022, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/2229960>
37. L.A. Wani & A. Swaminathan: Starlike and convex functions associated with a Nephroid domain. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **44** (2021), 79-104. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-020-00935-6>

^aPROFESSOR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KHALSA COLLEGE, AMRITSAR, PUNJAB, INDIA
Email address: kamboj.gagandeep@yahoo.in

^bPROFESSOR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, G.N.D.U. COLLEGE, CHUNGH, TARN-TARAN(PUNJAB), INDIA
Email address: dhillongs82@yahoo.com

^cPROFESSOR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KHALSA COLLEGE, AMRITSAR, PUNJAB, INDIA
Email address: navyodh81@yahoo.co.in

^dPROFESSOR: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KHALSA COLLEGE, AMRITSAR, PUNJAB, INDIA
Email address: navjeet8386@yahoo.com