

## LEFT AND RIGHT CORESIDUATED LATTICES

JU-MOK OH

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce the pairs of negations and pseudo t-conorms on lattices. As a noncommutative sense, we define left and right coresiduated lattices which are an algebraic structure to deal information systems. We investigate their properties and construct them. Moreover, we give their examples.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Ward et al. [15] introduced a complete residuated lattice as a generalization of BL-algebras and left continuous t-norms [5, 6, 7]. Many researchers [1-3, 7-8, 15] developed algebraic structures in complete residuated lattices as a formal tool to deal information systems, fuzzy concepts and decision rules in the data analysis. Moreover, Junsheung et al.[9] introduced a complete coresiduated lattice as the generalization of t-conorm. Various fuzzy concept lattices on information systems were studied in complete coresiduated lattices [10,13].

A non-commutative algebraic structure, Turunen [14] introduced a generalized residuated lattice as a generalization of weak-pseudo-BL-algebras and left continuous pseudo-t-norm [4, 5, 6].

In this paper, weak conditions of algebraic structure are needed to analyze large data and divide them into small groups. We introduce left and right coresiduated lattices as a noncommutative sense. We investigate their properties. Our purpose is to create various coresiduated lattices with the pairs of negations and pseudo t-conorms on lattices. As a main result, in Theorem 3.5, we show that if  $S$  is a pseudo t-conorm with  $S(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i, y) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} S(x_i, y)$  and we define  $M_2(x, y) =$

---

Received by the editors May 23, 2024. Revised October 13, 2024. Accepted October 22, 2024.  
2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 03E72, 54A40, 54B10.

*Key words and phrases.* pseudo t-conorms, pairs of negations, left (resp. right, generalized) coresiduated lattices.

This work was supported by the Research Institute of Natural Science of Gangneung-Wonju National University.

$\bigwedge\{z \in L \mid S(z, y) \geq x\}$ , then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_2, \perp, \top)$  is a right coresiduated lattice. Moreover, if  $S$  is a pseudo t-conorm with  $S(x, \bigwedge_{j \in \Gamma} y_j) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} S(x, y_j)$  and we define  $M_1(x, y) = \bigwedge\{z \in L \mid S(y, z) \geq x\}$ , then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_1, \perp, \top)$  is a left coresiduated lattice. We give their examples.

In Theorem 3.9, we can obtain generalized (resp. left, right) left and right coresiduated lattices from the pairs of negations and pseudo t-conorms on lattices. We construct them.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we assume that  $(L, \vee, \wedge, \perp, \top)$  is a lattice with a bottom element  $\perp$  and a top element  $\top$  instead of  $[0, 1]$ . Moreover, we denote  $\bigvee$  and  $\bigwedge$  if they exist.

**Definition 2.1** ([4, 5]). A map  $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$  is called a *pseudo t-conorm* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (S1)  $S(x, S(y, z)) = S(S(x, y), z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in L$ ,
- (S2) If  $y \leq z$ ,  $S(x, y) \leq S(x, z)$  and  $S(y, x) \leq S(z, x)$ ,
- (S3)  $S(x, \perp) = S(\perp, x) = x$ .

A pseudo t-conorm is called a *t-conorm* if  $S(x, y) = S(y, x)$  for  $x, y \in L$ .

**Definition 2.2** ([4, 5]). A pair  $(n_1, n_2)$  with maps  $n_i : L \rightarrow L$  is called a *pair of negations* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (N1)  $n_i(\top) = \perp, n_i(\perp) = \top$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .
- (N2)  $n_i(x) \geq n_i(y)$  for  $x \leq y$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ .
- (N3)  $n_1(n_2(x)) = n_2(n_1(x)) = x$  for all  $x \in L$ .

## 3. LEFT AND RIGHT CORESIDUATED LATTICES

**Definition 3.1.** A structure  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_1, \perp, \top)$  is called a *left coresiduated lattice* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (C)  $S$  is a pseudo t-conorm,
- (LC)  $S(x, y) \geq z$  iff  $y \geq M_1(z, x)$  for  $x, y, z \in L$ .

A structure  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_2, \perp, \top)$  is called a *right coresiduated lattice* if it satisfies (C) and

- (RC)  $S(x, y) \geq z$  iff  $x \geq M_2(z, y)$ , for  $x, y, z \in L$ .

A structure  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_1, M_2, \perp, \top)$  is called a *generalized coresiduated lattice* if it is a left and right coresiduated lattice.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_1, \perp, \top)$  be a left coresiduated lattice. For each  $x, y, z, x_i, y_i \in L$ , the following properties are hold.*

- (1)  $S(y, M_1(x, y)) \geq x$  and  $S(M_1(x, y), z) \geq M_1(S(x, z), y)$ .
- (2) If  $y \leq z$ , then  $M_1(x, z) \leq M_1(x, y)$  and  $M_1(y, z) \leq M_1(z, x)$ .
- (3)  $S(x, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x, y_i)$
- (4)  $M_1(x, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \geq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x, y_i)$ . If  $S(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i, y) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x_i, y)$ , the equality holds.
- (5)  $M_1(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i, y) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x_i, y)$ .
- (6)  $M_1(x, \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x, y_i)$  and  $M_1(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i, y) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x_i, y)$ .
- (7)  $M_1(M_1(x, y), z) = M_1(x, S(y, z))$ .
- (8)  $S(M_1(y, z), M_1(x, y)) \geq M_1(x, z)$ .
- (9)  $M_1(x, z) \geq M_1(S(y, x), S(y, z))$ .
- (10)  $M_1(x, y) \geq M_1(M_1(x, z), M_1(y, z))$ .
- (11)  $M_1(x, x) = \perp$ .
- (12)  $x \leq y$  iff  $M_1(x, y) = \perp$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $M_1(x, y) \geq M_1(x, y)$ , by (LC),  $S(y, M_1(x, y)) \geq x$ . Since

$$S(y, S(M_1(x, y), z)) = S(S(y, M_1(x, y)), z) \geq S(x, z),$$

by (LC),  $S(M_1(x, y), z) \geq M_1(S(x, z), y)$ .

(2) Since  $x \leq S(y, M_1(x, y)) \leq S(z, M_1(x, y))$ ,  $M_1(x, z) \leq M_1(x, y)$ . Since  $S(x, M_1(z, x)) \geq z$  from (1),  $y \leq z \leq S(x, M_1(z, x))$ . By (LC),  $M_1(y, x) \leq M_1(z, x)$ .

(3) By (S2),  $S(x, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x, y_i)$ . Since  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x, y_i) \leq S(x, y_i)$ , by (LC),  $M_1(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x, y_i), x) \leq y_i$  implies  $M_1(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x, y_i), x) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i$ . Hence  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x, y_i) \leq S(x, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i)$ . Thus  $\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x, y_i) = S(x, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i)$ .

(4) By (2),  $M_1(x, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \geq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x, y_i)$ . If  $S(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i, y) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x_i, y)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} S(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i, \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x, y_i)) &= \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(y_i, \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x, y_i)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(y_i, M_1(x, y_i)) \geq x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x, y_i) \geq M_1(x, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i)$ .

(5) By (2),  $M_1(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i, y) \geq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x_i, y)$ . Since

$$S(y, \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x_i, y)) \geq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} S(y, M_1(x_i, y)) \geq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i,$$

$$\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_1(x_i, y) \geq M_1(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i, y).$$

(6) By (2), they are easily proved.

(7) For each  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S(y, S(z, M_1(x, S(y, z)))) &= S(S(y, z), M_1(x, S(y, z))) \geq x \\ \text{iff } S(z, M_1(x, S(y, z))) &\geq M_1(x, y) \\ \text{iff } M_1(x, S(y, z)) &\geq M_1(M_1(x, y), z). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S(S(y, z), M_1(M_1(x, y), z)) = S(y, S(z, M_1(M_1(x, y), z))) \geq S(y, M_1(x, y)) \geq x$ ,  $M_1(M_1(x, y), z) \geq M_1(x, S(y, z))$ . Hence  $M_1(M_1(x, y), z) = M_1(x, S(y, z))$ .

(8) Since  $S(S(z, M_1(y, z)), M_1(x, y)) \geq S(y, M_1(x, y)) \geq x$ ,  $S(M_1(y, z), M_1(x, y)) \geq M_1(x, z)$ .

(9) Since  $S(S(y, z), M_1(x, z)) = S(y, S(z, M_1(x, z))) \geq S(y, z)$ ,  
 $M_1(x, z) \geq M_1(S(y, x), S(y, z))$ .

(10) Since  $S(M_1(y, z), M_1(x, y)) \geq M_1(x, z)$ ,  $M_1(x, y) \geq M_1(M_1(x, z), M_1(y, z))$ .

(11) Since  $S(x, \perp) = x$ , by (LC),  $M_1(x, x) \leq \perp$ . Then  $M_1(x, x) = \perp$ .

(12) Let  $M_1(x, y) = \perp$ . Then  $y = S(y, \perp) = S(y, M_1(x, y)) \geq x$ . Thus  $x \leq y$ .

If  $x \leq y$ , then  $M_1(x, y) \leq M_1(y, y) = \perp$ . Thus  $M_1(x, y) = \perp$ .

□

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_2, \perp, \top)$  be a right coresiduated lattice. For each  $x, y, z, x_i, y_i \in L$ , the following properties are hold.*

(1) *If  $y \leq z$ , then  $M_2(x, z) \leq M_2(x, y)$  and  $M_2(y, z) \leq M_2(z, x)$ .*

(2)  *$S(M_2(x, y), y) \geq x$  and  $S(x, M_2(y, z)) \geq M_2(S(x, y), z)$ .*

(3)  *$S(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i, y) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x_i, y)$ .*

(4)  *$M_2(x, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \geq \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_2(x, y_i)$ . If  $S(x, \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} S(x, y_i)$ , the equality holds.*

(5)  *$M_2(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i, y) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} M_2(x_i, y)$ .*

(6)  *$M_2(x, \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} M_2(x, y_i)$  and  $M_2(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i, y) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} M_2(x_i, y)$ .*

(7)  *$M_2(x, S(y, z)) = M_2(M_2(x, z), y)$ .*

(8)  *$S(M_2(x, y), M_2(y, z)) \geq M_2(x, z)$ .*

(9)  *$M_2(x, z) \geq M_2(S(x, y), S(z, y))$ .*

(10)  *$M_2(x, y) \geq M_2(M_2(x, z), M_2(y, z))$ .*

(11)  *$M_2(x, x) = \perp$ .*

(12)  *$x \leq y$  iff  $M_2(x, y) = \perp$ .*

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_1, M_2, \perp, \top)$  be a generalized coresiduated lattice. For each  $x, y, z \in L$ , the following properties (1) and (2) are hold.*

(1)  *$M_1(M_2(x, y), z) = M_2(M_1(x, z), y)$ .*

(2)  *$M_1(y, z) \geq M_2(M_1(x, z), M_1(x, y))$  and  $M_2(y, z) \geq M_1(M_2(x, z), M_2(x, y))$ .*

Let  $(n_1, n_2)$  be a pair of negations defined as  $n_1(x) = M_1(\top, x)$  and  $n_2(x) = M_2(\top, x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . the following properties (3)-(6) are hold.

(3)  $M_2(x, y) = M_1(n_2(y), n_2(x))$  and  $M_1(x, y) = M_2(n_1(y), n_1(x))$  for each  $x, y \in X$ .

(4)  $n_1(S(y, z)) = M_1(n_1(y), z)$ . Moreover,  $n_1(S(y, z)) = M_2(n_2(z), y)$  and  $n_2(M_1(x, y)) = S(n_2(x), y)$  for each  $x, y, z \in X$ .

(5)  $M_1(x, \perp) = M_2(x, \perp) = x$  for each  $x \in X$ .

(6) For each  $k = 1, 2$ ,  $n_k(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} n_k(x_i)$  and  $n_k(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) = n_k(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i)$  for each  $x_i \in X$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since

$$\begin{aligned} S(z, S(M_1(M_2(x, y), z), y)) &= S(S(z, M_1(M_2(x, y), z)), y) \\ &\geq S(M_2(x, y), y) \geq x, \end{aligned}$$

by (LC),  $S(M_1(M_2(x, y), z), y) \geq M_1(x, z)$ . Thus  $M_1(M_2(x, y), z) \geq M_2(M_1(x, z), y)$ .

Since  $S(S(z, S(M_2(M_1(x, z), y)), y)) = S(z, S(M_2(M_1(x, z), y), y)) \geq S(z, M_1(x, z)) \geq x$ ,  $S(z, M_2(M_1(x, z), y)) \geq M_2(x, y)$ . Thus  $M_2(M_1(x, z), y) \geq M_1(M_2(x, y), z)$ .

(2) Since  $S(M_1(y, z), M_1(x, y)) \geq M_1(x, z)$ ,  $M_1(y, z) \geq M_2(M_1(x, z), M_1(x, y))$ . Since  $S(M_2(x, y), M_2(y, z)) \geq M_2(x, z)$ ,  $M_2(y, z) \geq M_1(M_2(x, z), M_2(x, y))$ .

(3) By (2),  $M_2(x, y) \geq M_1(M_2(\top, y), M_2(\top, x)) = M_1(n_2(y), n_2(x))$ . By (2),  $M_1(x, y) \geq M_2(M_1(\top, y), M_1(\top, x)) = M_2(n_1(y), n_1(x))$ .

Moreover,  $M_2(x, y) = M_2(n_1(n_2(x)), n_1(n_2(y))) \leq M_1(n_2(y), n_2(x))$  and  $M_1(x, y) = M_1(n_2(n_1(x)), n_2(n_1(y))) \leq M_2(n_1(y), n_1(x))$ .

Thus,  $M_2(x, y) = M_1(n_2(y), n_2(x))$  and  $M_1(x, y) = M_2(n_1(y), n_1(x))$ .

(4) By Theorem 3.2(7),  $n_1(S(y, z)) = M_1(\top, S(y, z)) = M_1(M_1(\top, y), z) = M_1(n_1(y), z)$ . By Corollary 3.3(7),  $n_1(S(y, z)) = M_2(\top, S(y, z)) = M_2(M_2(\top, z), y) = M_2(n_2(z), y)$ . Since  $n_1(S(n_2(y), z)) = M_1(y, z)$ ,  $S(n_2(y), z) = n_2(M_1(y, z))$ .

(5) Since  $n_1 M_2(x, \perp) = S(\perp, n_1(x)) = n_1(x)$ ,  $M_2(x, \perp) = n_2(n_1(M_2(x, \perp))) = n_2(n_1(x)) = x$ . Since  $n_2 M_1(x, \perp) = S(n_2(x), \perp) = n_2(x)$ ,  $M_1(x, \perp) = n_1(n_2 M_1(x, \perp)) = n_1(n_2(x)) = x$ .

(6) By Theorem 3.2(3,4) and Corollary 3.3(3,4),  $n_k(\bigwedge_i x_i) = \bigvee_i n_k(x_i)$  for each  $k = 1, 2$ . Since  $\bigwedge_i x_i = n_2(n_1(\bigwedge_i x_i)) = n_2(\bigvee_i n_1(x_i))$ ,  $\bigwedge_i n_2(x_i) = n_2(\bigvee_i n_1(n_2(x_i))) = n_2(\bigvee_i x_i)$ . Other cases are similarly proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let  $(L, \vee, \wedge, \top, \perp)$  be a bounded lattice and  $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$  be a pseudo  $t$ -conorm.

(1) If  $S(x, \bigwedge_{j \in J} y_j) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} S(x, y_j)$  for each  $\{y_j\}_{j \in J}$ . then the following statements (a), (b) and (c) are equivalent.

(a) If  $y \leq z$ , then  $M_1(y, x) \leq M_1(z, x)$ . Moreover, for all  $x, y \in L$ ,  $S(x, M_1(y, x)) \geq y$  and  $y \geq M_1(S(x, y), x)$ .

(b)  $M_1(x, y) = \bigwedge\{z \in L \mid S(y, z) \geq x\}$ .

(c)  $S(y, z) \geq x$  iff  $z \geq M_1(x, y)$ .

(2) If  $S(\bigwedge_{i \in I} x_i, y) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} S(x_i, y)$  for each  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ , then (e), (f) and (g) are equivalent.

(e) If  $y \leq z$ , then  $M_2(y, x) \leq M_2(z, x)$ . Moreover, for all  $x, y \in L$ ,  $S(M_2(y, x), x) \geq y$  and  $x \geq M_2(S(x, y), y)$ .

(f)  $M_2(x, y) = \bigwedge\{z \in L \mid S(z, y) \geq x\}$ .

(g)  $S(z, y) \geq x$  iff  $z \geq M_2(x, y)$ .

*Proof.* (1) (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Put  $P(x, y) = \bigwedge\{z \in L \mid S(y, z) \geq x\}$ . By (a), since  $S(y, M_1(x, y)) \geq x$ ,  $P(x, y) \leq M_1(x, y)$ .

Suppose there exist  $x, y \in L$  such that  $P(x, y) \not\geq M_1(x, y)$ . Then there exists  $z \in L$  such that  $z \not\geq M_1(x, y)$  and  $S(y, z) \geq x$ . By (a),

$$z \geq M_1(S(y, z), y) \geq M_1(x, y).$$

It is a contradiction. Hence  $P(x, y) \geq M_1(x, y)$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c). Let  $S(y, z) \geq x$ . Then  $z \geq M_1(x, y)$ .

If  $M_1(x, y) \leq z$ , then  $S(y, z) \geq S(y, M_1(x, y)) = S(y, \bigwedge\{z_1 \in L \mid S(y, z_1) \geq x\}) = \bigwedge S(y, z_1) \geq x$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Since  $S(y, z) \leq S(y, z)$ ,  $M_1(S(y, z), y) \leq z$ . Since  $M_1(y, x) \leq M_1(y, x)$ ,  $S(x, M_1(y, x)) \geq y$ . If  $y \geq z$ ,  $S(x, M_1(y, x)) \geq y \geq z$ . Hence  $M_1(y, x) \geq M_1(z, x)$ .

(2) (d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e). Put  $Q(x, y) = \bigwedge\{z \in L \mid S(z, y) \geq x\}$ . By (d), since  $S(M_2(x, y), y) \geq x$ ,  $Q(x, y) \leq M_2(x, y)$ .

Suppose there exist  $x, y \in L$  such that  $Q(x, y) \not\geq M_2(x, y)$ . Then there exists  $z \in L$  such that  $z \not\geq M_2(x, y)$  and  $S(z, y) \geq x$ . By (d),

$$z \geq M_2(S(z, y), y) \geq M_2(x, y).$$

It is a contradiction. Hence  $Q(x, y) \geq M_2(x, y)$ .

(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (f). Let  $S(z, y) \geq x$ . Then  $z \geq M_2(x, y)$ .

If  $M_2(x, y) \leq z$ , then  $S(z, y) \geq S(M_2(x, y), y) = S(\bigwedge\{z_2 \in L \mid S(z_2, y) \geq x\}) = \bigwedge S(z_2, y) \geq x$ .

(f)  $\Rightarrow$  (d). Since  $S(z, y) \leq S(z, y)$ ,  $M_2(S(z, y), y) \leq z$ . Since  $M_2(y, x) \leq M_2(y, x)$ ,  $S(M_2(y, x), x) \geq y$ . If  $y \geq z$ ,  $S(M_2(y, x), x) \geq y \geq z$ . Hence  $M_2(y, x) \geq M_2(z, x)$ .  $\square$

From Theorem 3.5, we can obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $(L, \vee, \wedge, \top, \perp)$  be a bounded lattice and  $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$  be a pseudo t-conorm.*

(1) *If  $S(\bigwedge_{i \in I} x_i, y) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} S(x_i, y)$  for each  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  and we define  $M_2(x, y) = \bigwedge \{z \in L \mid S(z, y) \geq x\}$ , then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_2, \perp, \top)$  is a right coresiduated lattice.*

(2) *If  $S(x, \bigwedge_{j \in J} y_j) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} S(x, y_j)$  for each  $\{y_j\}_{j \in J}$  and we define  $M_1 : L \times L \rightarrow L$  as*

$$M_1(x, y) = \bigwedge \{z \in L \mid S(y, z) \geq x\}.$$

*Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_1, \perp, \top)$  is a left coresiduated lattice.*

**Example 3.7.** (1) Define a map  $S : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as

$$S(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \geq 0.4, y \geq 0.7, \\ x \vee y, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $S(x, y) = 1$ , then  $x = 1$  or  $y = 1$  and  $x \geq 0.4, y \geq 0.7$ . Thus,  $S(S(x, y), z) = 1 = S(x, S(y, z))$ .

If  $S(x, y) < 1$  and  $x \geq 0.4, z \geq 0.7$ , then  $S(S(x, y), z) = 1 = S(x, S(y, z))$ .

If  $S(x, y) < 1$  and  $y < 0.7, z < 0.7$ , then  $S(S(x, y), z) = (x \vee y) \vee z = x \vee (y \vee z) = S(x, S(y, z))$ . Hence  $S(S(x, y), z) = S(x, S(y, z))$  for each  $x, y, z \in X$ . Moreover, (S2) and (S3) are easily proved. Thus  $S$  is a pseudo t-conorm.

Since  $S(\bigwedge_{i \in I} x_i, y) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} S(x_i, y)$ , by Theorem 3.5,  $M_2(x, y) = \bigwedge \{z \in [0, 1] \mid S(z, y) \geq x\}$  such that

$$M_2(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0.4, & \text{if } x > y, y \geq 0.7, \\ x, & \text{if } x > y, y < 0.7, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \leq y. \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $M_1(x, y) = \bigwedge \{z \in [0, 1] \mid S(y, z) \geq x\}$  such that

$$M_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} x \wedge 0.7, & \text{if } x > y, y \geq 0.4, \\ x, & \text{if } x > y, y < 0.4, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \leq y. \end{cases}$$

Define  $n_1, n_2 : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as

$$n_1(x) = M_1(1, x) = \begin{cases} 0.7, & \text{if } 0.4 \leq x < 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } x < 0.4, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

$$n_2(x) = M_2(1, x) = \begin{cases} 0.4, & \text{if } 0.7 \leq x < 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } x < 0.7, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Since  $n_2(n_1(0.6)) = n_2(0.7) = 0.4 \neq 0.6$ ,  $(n_1, n_2)$  is not a pair of negations.

(2) Define a map  $S : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as

$$S(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \geq 0.4, y > 0.7, \\ x \vee y, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By a similar way in (1),  $S$  is a pseudo t-conorm.

Since  $S(\bigwedge x_i, y) = \bigwedge S(x_i, y)$ ,  $M_2(x, y) = \bigwedge \{z \in [0, 1] \mid S(z, y) \geq x\}$  such that

$$M_2(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0.4, & \text{if } x > y, y > 0.7, \\ x, & \text{if } x > y, y \leq 0.7, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \leq y. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.5,  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_2, \perp, \top)$  be a right coresiduated lattice.

It follows  $1 = \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S(0.5, 0.7 + \frac{1}{n}) \neq S(0.5, \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 0.7 + \frac{1}{n}) = S(0.5, 0.7) = 0.5 \vee 0.7 = 0.7$ . Since  $M_1(0.8, 0.5) = \bigwedge \{z \in [0, 1] \mid S(0.5, z) \geq 0.8\} = 0.7$ ,  $M_1(0.8, 0.5) \leq 0.7$  but  $S(0.5, 0.7) = 0.7 \not\geq 0.8$ . Hence  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_1, \perp, \top)$  is not a left coresiduated lattice.

(3) Define a map  $S : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  as

$$S(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > 0.4, y \geq 0.7, \\ x \vee y, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By a similar way in (1),  $S$  is a pseudo t-conorm. Since  $S(x, \bigwedge y_i) = \bigwedge S(x, y_i)$ ,  $M_1(x, y) = \bigwedge \{z \in [0, 1] \mid S(y, z) \geq x\}$  such that

$$M_1(x, y) = \begin{cases} x \wedge 0.7, & \text{if } x > y, y > 0.4, \\ x, & \text{if } x > y, y \leq 0.4, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \leq y. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3.5,  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_1, \perp, \top)$  is a left coresiduated lattice.

It follows  $1 = \bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S(0.4 + \frac{1}{n}, 0.8) \neq S(\bigwedge_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 0.4 + \frac{1}{n}, 0.8) = S(0.4, 0.8) = 0.4 \vee 0.8 = 0.8$ . Since  $M_2(0.9, 0.8) = \bigwedge \{z \in [0, 1] \mid S(z, 0.8) \geq 0.9\} = 0.4$ ,  $M_2(0.9, 0.8) = 0.4$  but  $S(0.4, 0.8) = 0.8 \not\geq 0.9$ . Hence  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_2, \perp, \top)$  is not a right coresiduated lattice.

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $(L, \vee, \wedge, \top, \perp)$  be a bounded lattice,  $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$  be a pseudo t-conorm and  $(n_1, n_2)$  a pair of negations. For  $i = \{1, \dots, 4\}$ , we define  $M_i : L \times L \rightarrow L$*



as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(x, y) &= n_2 S(n_1(x), y), & M_2(x, y) &= n_1 S(y, n_2(x)), \\ M_3(x, y) &= n_2 S(y, n_1(x)), & M_4(x, y) &= n_1 S(n_2(x), y), \\ M_5(x, y) &= n_2 S(n_1(x), n_1 n_1(y)), \\ M_6(x, y) &= n_1 S(n_2 n_2(y), n_2(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The the following properties are hold.

(1) For each  $y \in Y$ ,  $n_1(y) = M_2(1, y) = M_4(1, y) = M_5(1, y)$  and  $n_2(y) = M_1(1, y) = M_3(1, y) = M_6(1, y)$ .

(2) For each  $x, y, z \in L$ ,

$$M_i(M_i(x, z), y) = M_i(x, S(y, z)), i \in \{2, 3\}.$$

Moreover, let  $x \leq y$  iff  $M_i(x, y) = \perp, i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_i, \perp, \top)$  is a left co-residuated lattice such that  $S(x, y) \geq z$  iff  $x \geq M_i(z, y), i \in \{2, 3\}$ .

(3) For each  $x, y, z \in L$ ,

$$M_j(M_j(x, y), z) = M_j(x, S(y, z)), j \in \{1, 4\}.$$

Moreover, let  $x \leq y$  iff  $M_j(x, y) = \perp, j \in \{1, 4\}$ . Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_j, \perp, \top)$  is a right co-residuated lattice such that  $S(x, y) \geq z$  iff  $y \geq M_j(z, x), j \in \{1, 4\}$ .

(4) Let  $x \leq y$  iff  $M_2(x, y) = \perp$  iff  $M_1(x, y) = \perp$ . Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_2, M_1, \perp, \top)$  is a generalized co-residuated lattice with  $M_2(1, M_1(1, y)) = M_1(1, M_2(1, y)) = y$  for each  $y \in L$ .

(5) Let  $x \leq y$  iff  $M_3(x, y) = \perp$  iff  $M_4(x, y) = \perp$ . Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_3, M_4, \perp, \top)$  is a generalized co-residuated lattice with  $M_3(1, M_4(1, y)) = M_4(1, M_3(1, y)) = y$  for each  $y \in L$ .

(6) Let  $x \leq y$  iff  $M_3(x, y) = \perp$  iff  $M_1(x, y) = \perp$ . Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_3, M_1, \perp, \top)$  is a generalized co-residuated lattice such that  $M_3(1, M_1(1, y)) = M_1(1, M_3(1, y)) = n_2 n_2(y)$  for each  $y \in L$ .

(7) Let  $x \leq y$  iff  $M_2(x, y) = \perp$  iff  $M_4(x, y) = \perp$ . Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_2, M_4, \perp, \top)$  is a generalized co-residuated lattice with  $M_2(1, M_4(1, y)) = M_4(1, M_2(1, y)) = n_1 n_1(y)$  for each  $y \in L$ .

(8) Let  $S(n_1 n_1(x), n_1 n_1(x)) = n_1 n_1(S(x, y))$  for each  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(M_2, M_5)$  is a pair with

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(M_2(x, z), y) &= M_2(x, S(y, z)), \\ M_5(M_5(x, y), z) &= M_5(x, S(y, z)), \\ M_2(1, M_4(1, y)) &= M_4(1, M_2(1, y)) = y. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let  $x \leq y$  iff  $M_2(x, y) = \perp$  iff  $M_5(x, y) = \perp$ . Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_2, M_5, \perp, \top)$  is a generalized coresiduated lattice such that  $S(x, y) \geq z$  iff  $x \geq M_2(z, y)$  iff  $y \geq M_5(z, x)$ .

(9) Let  $S(n_2n_2(x), n_2n_2(y)) = n_2n_2(S(x, y))$  for each  $x, y \in X$ . Then  $(M_6, M_4)$  is a pair with

$$\begin{aligned} M_6(M_6(x, z), y) &= M_6(x, S(y, z)), \\ M_4(M_4(x, y), z) &= M_4(x, S(y, z)), \\ M_6(1, M_4(1, y)) &= M_4(1, M_6(1, y)) = y. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, let  $x \leq y$  iff  $M_4(x, y) = \perp$  iff  $M_6(x, y) = \perp$ . Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_6, M_4, \perp, \top)$  is a generalized coresiduated lattice such that  $S(x, y) \geq z$  iff  $x \geq M_6(z, y)$  iff  $y \geq M_4(z, x)$ .

*Proof.* (1) For each  $y \in Y$ ,  $M_2(1, y) = n_1S(y, 0) = n_1(y) = M_4(1, y) = M_5(1, y) = n_2S(0, n_1n_1(y))$ . Other cases are similarly proved.

(2) For each  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(M_2(x, z), y) &= M_2(n_1(S(z, n_2(x)), y) \\ &= n_1S(y, S(z, n_2(x))) = n_1S(S(y, z), n_2(x)) = M_2(x, S(y, z)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_3(M_3(x, z), y) &= M_3(n_2(S(z, n_1(x)), y) \\ &= n_2S(y, S(z, n_1(x))) = n_2S(S(y, z), n_1(x)) = M_3(x, S(y, z)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M_i(z, S(x, y)) = \perp$  iff  $M_i(M_i(z, y), x) = \perp$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , by Theorem 3.2(12),  $z \leq S(x, y)$  iff  $M_i(z, y) \leq x$ . Hence  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_i, \perp, \top)$  is a left coresiduated lattice

(3) For each  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(M_1(x, y), z) &= M_1(n_2(S(n_1(x), y), z) \\ &= n_2S(S(n_1(x), y), z) = n_2S(n_1(x), S(y, z)) = M_1(x, S(y, z)), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_4(M_4(x, y), z) &= M_4(n_1(S(n_2(x), y), z) \\ &= n_1S(S(n_2(x), y), z) = n_1S(n_2(x), S(y, z)) = M_4(x, S(y, z)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $M_j(z, S(x, y)) = \perp$  iff  $M_j(M_j(z, x), y) = \perp$  for each  $j \in \{1, 4\}$ , by Theorem 3.2(12),  $z \leq S(x, y)$  iff  $M_j(z, x) \leq y$ . Hence  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_j, \perp, \top)$  is a right coresiduated lattice.

(4),(5),(6) and (7) are easily proved from (1)-(3).

(8) It follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
M_5(M_5(x, y), z) &= M_5(n_2(S(n_1(x), n_1n_1(y))), z) \\
&= n_2S(S(n_1(x), n_1n_1(y)), n_1n_1(z)) \\
&= n_2S(n_1(x), S(n_1n_1(y), n_1n_1(z))) \\
&\quad (S(n_1n_1(y), n_1n_1(z)) = n_1n_1(S(y, z))) \\
&= n_2S(n_1(x), n_1n_1(S(y, z))) \\
&= M_5(x, S(y, z)).
\end{aligned}$$

(9) It follows from

$$\begin{aligned}
M_6(M_6(x, z), y) &= M_6(n_1(S(n_2n_2(z), n_2(x))), y) \\
&= n_1S(n_2n_2(y), S(n_2n_2(z), n_2(x))) \\
&= n_1S(S(n_2n_2(y), n_2n_2(z)), n_2(x)) \\
&\quad (S(n_2n_2(y), n_2n_2(z)) = n_2n_2(S(y, z))) \\
&= n_1S(n_2n_2(S(y, z)), n_2(x)) \\
&= M_6(x, S(y, z)).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Example 3.9.** Put  $L = \{(x, y) \in R^2 \mid (0, 1) \leq (x, y) \leq (2, 3)\}$  where  $(0, 1)$  is the bottom element and  $(2, 3)$  is the top element where

$$(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2) \Leftrightarrow y_1 < y_2 \text{ or } y_1 = y_2, x_1 \leq x_2.$$

A map  $S : L \times L \rightarrow L$  is defined as

$$S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = (x_2 + x_1y_2, y_1y_2) \wedge (2, 3).$$

(1) (S1)  $S(S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)), (x_3, y_3)) = S((x_1, y_1), S((x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)))$  from:

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)), (x_3, y_3)) \\
&= S((x_2 + x_1y_2, y_1y_2) \wedge (2, 3), (x_3, y_3)) \\
&= (x_3 + x_2y_3 + x_1y_2y_3, y_1y_2y_3) \wedge (2, 3). \\
&S((x_1, y_1), S((x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3))) \\
&= S((x_1, y_1), (x_3 + x_2y_3, y_2y_3) \wedge (2, 3)) \\
&= (x_3 + x_2y_3 + x_1y_2y_3, y_1y_2y_3) \wedge (2, 3).
\end{aligned}$$

(S2) If  $(x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2)$ , then  $y_1 < y_2$  or  $y_1 = y_2, x_1 \leq x_2$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
S((x_1, y_1), (x_3, y_3)) &= (x_3 + x_1y_3, y_1y_3) \wedge (2, 3) \\
&\leq (x_3 + x_2y_3, y_2y_3) \wedge (2, 3) = S((x_2, y_2), (x_3, y_3)).
\end{aligned}$$

(S3) For each  $(x_1, y_1) \in L$ ,

$$S((x_1, y_1), (0, 1)) = (x_1, y_1) = S((0, 1), (x_1, y_1)).$$

Then  $S$  is a pseudo t-conorm but not t-conorm because

$$(2, 2) = S((-1, 2), (3, 1)) \neq S((3, 1), (-1, 2)) = (5, 2).$$

(2) We define a pair  $(n_1, n_2)$  as follows

$$n_1(x, y) = (2 - \frac{3x}{y}, \frac{3}{y}), \quad n_2(x, y) = (\frac{2-x}{y}, \frac{3}{y}).$$

Then  $(n_1, n_2)$  is a pair of negations from:

$$n_1(n_2(x, y)) = (x, y), \quad n_2(n_1(x, y)) = (x, y).$$

(3)

$$\begin{aligned} M_1((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= n_2 S(n_1(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \\ &= n_2 S((2 - \frac{3x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1}), (x_2, y_2)) \\ &= (\frac{(2-x_2)y_1}{3y_2} + \frac{3x_1-2y_1}{3}, \frac{y_1}{y_2}) \vee (0, 1), \\ M_1((-1, 2), (-5, 2)) &= (0, 1), \quad (-1, 2) \not\leq (-5, 2). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_2((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= n_1 S((x_2, y_2), n_2(x_1, y_1)) \\ &= n_1 S((x_2, y_2), (\frac{2-x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1})) \\ &= (2 - \frac{2-x_1+3x_2}{y_2}, \frac{y_1}{y_2}) \vee (0, 1), \\ M_2((4, 2), (3, 2)) &= (0, 1), \quad (4, 2) \not\leq (3, 2), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_3((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= n_2 S((x_2, y_2), n_1(x_1, y_1)) \\ &= n_2 S((x_2, y_2), (2 - \frac{3x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1})) = n_2(2 - \frac{3x_1}{y_1} + \frac{3x_2}{y_1}, \frac{3y_2}{y_1}) \\ &= (\frac{x_1}{y_2} - \frac{x_2}{y_2}, \frac{y_1}{y_2}) \vee (0, 1), \\ M_3((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= (0, 1) \text{ iff } (x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2), \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.8(2),  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_3, \perp, \top)$  is a left coresiduated lattice such that  $S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \geq (x_3, y_3)$  iff  $(x_1, y_1) \geq M_3((x_3, y_3), (x_2, y_2))$ .

$$\begin{aligned} M_4((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= n_1 S(n_2(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \\ &= n_1 S((\frac{2-x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1}), (x_2, y_2)) = n_1(x_2 + (\frac{2-x_1}{y_1})y_2, \frac{3y_2}{y_1}) \\ &= (\frac{-x_2y_1}{y_2} + x_1, \frac{y_1}{y_2}) \vee (0, 1), \\ M_4((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= (0, 1) \text{ iff } (x_1, y_1) \leq (x_2, y_2). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.8(3),  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_4, \perp, \top)$  is a right coresiduated lattice such that  $S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) \geq (x_3, y_3)$  iff  $(x_2, y_2) \geq M_4((x_3, y_3), (x_1, y_1))$ . Moreover,  $(L, \vee, \wedge, S, M_3, M_4, \perp, \top)$  is a generalized coresiduated lattice

(4) Since  $n_1(n_1(x, y)) = (3x - 2y + 2, y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 n_1 S((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= n_1 n_1(x_2 + x_1 y_2, y_1 y_2) \\ &= (3x_2 + 3x_1 y_2 - 2y_1 y_2 + 2, y_1 y_2) = S(n_1 n_1(x_1, y_1), n_1 n_1(x_2, y_2)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_5((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= n_2 S(n_1(x_2, y_2), n_1 n_1(x_2, y_2)) \\ &= n_2 S((2 - \frac{3x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1}), (3x_2 - 2y_2 + 2, y_2)) \\ &= n_2(3x_2 - 2y_2 + 2 + (2 - \frac{3x_1}{y_1})y_2, \frac{3y_2}{y_1}) \\ &= (\frac{-x_2y_1}{y_2} + x_1, \frac{y_1}{y_2}) \vee (0, 1) \\ &= M_4((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)). \end{aligned}$$

(5) Since  $n_2(n_2(x, y)) = (\frac{1}{3}(x + 2y - 2), y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} n_2n_2(S(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= n_2n_2(x_2 + x_1y_2, y_1y_2) \\ &= (3x_2 + 3x_1y_2 - 2y_1y_2 + 2, y_1y_2) \\ &= S(n_2n_2(x_1, y_1), n_2n_2(x_2, y_2)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_6((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) &= n_1S(n_2n_2(x_2, y_2), n_2(x_1, y_1)) \\ &= n_1S((\frac{x_2+2y_2-2}{3}, y_2), (\frac{2-x_1}{y_1}, \frac{3}{y_1})) \\ &= n_1(\frac{-x_1+x_2+2y_2}{y_1}, \frac{3y_2}{y_1}) \\ &= (\frac{x_1}{y_2} - \frac{x_2}{y_2}, \frac{y_1}{y_2}) \vee (0, 1) = M_3((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)). \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

1. R. Bělohlávek: Fuzzy Relational Systems. Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York, 2002. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-0633-1>
2. ———: Fuzzy Galois connection. *Math. Log. Quart.* **45** (2000), 497-504. <https://doi.org/10.1002/malq.19990450408>
3. ———: Lattices of fixed points of Galois connections. *Math. Logic Quart.* **47** (2001), 111-116. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3870\(200101\)47-1](https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3870(200101)47-1)
4. P. Flonder, G. Georgescu & A. Iorgulescu: Pseudo-t-norms and pseudo-BL-algebras. *Soft Computing* **5** (2001), 355-371. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s005000100137>
5. G. Georgescu & A. Popescue: Non-commutative Galois connections. *Soft Computing* **7** (2003), 458-467. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00300500-003-0280-4>
6. ———: A. Popescue, Non-commutative fuzzy structures and pairs of weak negations. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **143** (2004), 129-155. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2003.06.004>
7. P. Hájek: *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1998. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5300-3>
8. U. Höhle & S.E. Rodabaugh: *Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology and Measure Theory*. The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-5079-2-6>
9. Q. Junsheng & Hu. Bao Qing: On  $(\odot, \&)$ -fuzzy rough sets based on residuated and co-residuated lattices. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **336** (2018), 54-86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2017.07.010>
10. Y.H. Kim & Ju-Mok Oh: Fuzzy concept lattices induced by doubly distance spaces. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* **473** (2023), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2023.108703>
11. J.M. Ko & Y.C. Kim: Bi-closure systems and bi-closure operators on generalized residuated lattices. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems* **36** (2019), 2631-2643. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-18493>

12. \_\_\_\_\_: Various operations and right completeness in generalized residuated lattices. *Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems* **40** (2021), 149-164. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JIFS-190424>
13. J.M. Oh & Y.C. Kim: Various fuzzy connections and fuzzy concepts in complete co-residuated lattices. *Int. J. Approx. Reasoning* **142** (2022), 451-468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j-ijar.2021.12.018>
14. E. Turunen: *Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic*. A Springer-Verlag Co., 1999. <https://doi.org/10.1108/k.2001.30.2.216.4>
15. M. Ward & R.P. Dilworth: Residuated lattices. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **45** (1939), 335-354. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-3558.8>

PROFESSOR: MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, GANGNEUNG-WONJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, GANGNEUNG, 25457, KOREA

*Email address:* jumokoh@gwnu.ac.kr