PNIE 2024;5(1):10-20 https://doi.org/10.22920/PNIE.2024.5.1.10 pISSN 2765-2203, eISSN 2765-2211



Species diversity, relative abundance, and decline of flying insects in a fragmented forest in Futa Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria

Temitope A. Olatoye¹^o, Ohseok Kwon^{2,3,*}^o, Kayode L. Akinwande^{4,*}^o

¹National Agricultural Seeds Council, Abuja, Nigeria

² Department of Plant Medicine, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea

³ Institute of Plant Medicine, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41566, Republic of Korea

⁴ Department of Biology, School of life Sciences, Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria

ABSTRCT

The study investigated species diversity, relative abundance, and decline of flying insects and plants within a fragmented forest in the Federal University of Technology Akure (FUTA), Ondo State, Nigeria. It is known that habitat fragmentation can reduce biodiversity. Thus, it is important to perform comprehensive assessments to understand implications of the habitat fragmentation for flora and fauna. Species richness and abundance of flying insects and plants across fragmented forest patches were quantified using field surveys and taxonomic identification. This study revealed shifts in species diversity, with fragmented areas exhibiting reduced biodiversity compared to contiguous forest ecosystems. Flying insects crucial for ecosystem functioning and pollination services demonstrated decreased species richness and relative abundance within fragmented habitats. This decline was attributed to habitat loss, altered microclimates, and limited movement pathways known to hinder insect dispersal. Similarly, plant species richness and abundance showed decline in fragmented forest due to disrupted mutualistic interactions with pollinators, altered nutrient cycling, and increased competition among plant species. This study underscores the importance of maintaining intact forest habitats to sustain healthy ecosystems and preserve biodiversity. Effective conservation strategies should focus on habitat connectivity, reforestation efforts, and protection of essential ecological corridors to mitigate effects of fragmentation. In conclusion, this investigation provides empirical evidence for effects of habitat fragmentation on flying insects and plants in a forest ecosystem in FUTA Akure, Nigeria. Findings emphasize an urgency of adopting conservation measures to safeguard these invaluable components of biodiversity and ecosystem stability in the face of ongoing habitat loss and fragmentation.

Keywords: Decline of flying insects, Fragmented forest, Species diversity

Received January 12, 2024; Revised January 26, 2024; Accepted January 27, 2024

*Corresponding author: Ohseok Kwon, e-mail ecoento@knu.ac.kr

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9075-3994 Akinwande Kayode Lawrence e-mail akinkay20032001@yahoo.com

[©] https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3649-6234

Introduction

Fragmentation of habitat, the continuous division of habitats into smaller and isolated patches, is a consequence of anthropogenic activities such as urbanization, agriculture, and infrastructure development (Onyenwe *et al.*, 2017). This phenomenon is known to

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/



disrupt the interconnectedness of ecosystems, leading to habitat loss, reduced habitat quality, and altered species distributions (Crain *et al.*, 2009). Fragmentation often results in isolated populations, reduced gene flow, and increased vulnerability to environmental changes, posing a threat to biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Tropical regions are known for their extraordinary biodiversity, housing a substantial portion of the world's species.

Complex ecosystems and intricate interdependencies among species characterize these tropical regions.

However, human activities including deforestation, land conversion, and habitat fragmentation are increasingly threatening tropical ecosystems (Mahmoud et al., 2020). Even minor changes can disrupt the delicate balance that sustains these diverse ecosystems, potentially triggering cascading effects. In West Africa, Nigeria features various ecosystems, ranging from rainforests and savannahs to wetlands and mangroves. These diverse habitats support biodiversity. However, rapid the country's rich urbanization, agricultural expansion, and industrial development are causing habitat fragmentation and degradation, putting local species and ecosystems at risk (Bodo et al., 2021). Although flying insects and plants play integral roles in maintaining ecosystem structure and function, impacts of habitat fragmentation on them have been understudied.

This study aimed to examine and analyze species diversity, relative abundance, and decline of flying insects in a fragmented forest in FUTA Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria. Drivers of decline in flying insects, plant species diversity, and relative abundance were identified by reviewing existing literature, analyzing relevant data, and investigating how changes in land use could affect the diversity and abundance of flying insects and plants by analyzing data from different land use types and regions.

Species diversity, relative abundance, and decline of flying insects

Flying insects play a crucial role in maintaining ecosystem health and biodiversity. They are responsible for pollinating plants, controlling pest populations, and serving as food sources for many animals. However, recent studies have shown a significant decline in flying insect populations, causing concerns for both ecological and agricultural systems. Flying insects play a strategic role in agriculture through pollination of crops and control of pest populations. Pollination by insects is estimated to contribute to about 35% of global crop production (Klein *et al.*, 2007). Similarly, natural pest control by insects saves billions of dollars in crop losses and reduces the need for synthetic pesticides that harm the environment and human health.

Species diversity reflects species variety within a

particular ecosystem. It is essential to maintaining ecological balance as it ensures that the ecosystem can withstand environmental changes and provide resilience against disturbances. Similarly, relative abundance refers to the proportion of each species in an ecosystem. It is a crucial metric for understanding ecological balance and the role of each species in the ecosystem.

There has been a significant decline in flying insect populations worldwide in recent years. A study conducted in Germany found that flying insect populations declined by 76% over 27 years (Hallmann *et al.*, 2017). Similarly, a global review of insect decline has found that 41% of insect species are threatened (Sánchez-Bayo *et al.*, 2019). The decline of flying insects is attributed to loss of habitat, pesticide use, climate change, and pollution.

The decline of flying insects could significantly reduce crop yields and increase the use of synthetic pesticides known to harm the environment and human health. This poses several challenges in agriculture, such as reduced crop yields, increased use of synthetic pesticides, and increased production cost for farmers. It could also reduce the quality and variety of food available, which could have long-term effects on human health. Moreover, the loss of flying insects could disrupt the food web, which could have cascading effects on other species in the ecosystem.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site/Location

The Federal University of Technology Akure (FUTA) is a university founded in 1981 that occupies 640 hectares of land. FUTA is in Akure, the capital city of Ondo State, Southwest Nigeria. It lies between latitude 7°5′0″ and 7°20′0″ north of the equator and between longitude 5°5′0″ and 5°20′0″ east of the meridian. Through collaboration with the Department of Remote Sensing of FUTA, land satellite maps of the area (Fig. 1., Fig. 2.) occupied by FUTA were taken using the Geographical Information System (GIS). The land occupied by the university was an agro-forested area with minimum disturbance in 1984. FUTA Akure is characterized by a mix of urbanized and semi-urbanized landscapes, with the remaining forest patches serving as vital remnants of once-contiguous habitats.

Sampling Design

Randomly selected three mapped-out squared areas of 4046.48 m² of land using transect were taken from three locations. These areas were classified according to the extent of land fragmentation. The land satellite map in 1984 was considered the original undisturbed agroforest area inherited almost 40 years ago, while the Landsat of



2015 showed that some areas were completely deforested and replaced with buildings. It is what is currently being used in this study. Based on this, the following three locations were referenced for this sampling:

Location 1: Forested areas covering the extreme northern side of the area. They have various plants, mango, cashew, oranges, palm trees, cassava, cocoa, and wild forest plants. These areas cover Obanla, a natural forest, and an undisturbed agro-forested area.

Location 2: Cultivated areas covering the university

farmland. These areas are mono-cropped with cassava, maize, and a few wild forest plants. They cover the West side and Agricultural cultivated area.

Location 3: The developed area covering the southern part of the university. There are many buildings and roads. Dispersed forest areas are sighted. This area has ornamental plants and a few wild forest plants. It covers Obakekere, a disturbed area shown in the Landsat map of 2015 large-scale development and constructed areas.



Fig. 1. Map of the study area.



Fig. 2. Remote satellite maps of FUTA showing fragmentation of the study area in 2015.



Sampling of Insects in the Area

Flying insects were collected from locations by implementing a range of trapping techniques within a radius of three kilometers. Malaise traps were deployed at each study site to capture various flying insects. Sweep netting and light trapping were employed to capture diurnal and nocturnal species, respectively. Sampling was conducted over a defined period to ensure temporal consistency. Insect species captured were counted. The number was taken as a statistical representative of familiar flying insect visitors to plants. All insects sampled were killed and preserved in jars of 75% ethanol and brought to the Entomology Laboratory of the University for identification.

Plant sampling

Surrounding vegetation within a three-kilometer radius of the identified locations was sampled. To sample plants, leaves, flowers, fruits, or other parts were plucked. All plants sampled were pressed and brought to the University Herbarium for identification. These plants, in terms of numbers seen, had a range of frequencies, including high constancy, low constancy, rare and absent.

Identification of insects and plants

Collected flying insects and plant specimens were brought to the laboratory for taxonomic identification. Experts at order, family, and genus levels successfully identified insect specimens. An expert entomologist utilized a catalog to perform identification of the insect specimens. Any specimens that could not undergo identification were classified as unidentified. Expert botanists identified plant specimens at family and genus levels.

Data Analysis

Diversity of flying insects was analyzed by looking at the number of species present using methods such as the Shannon-Wiener diversity index and Simpson's diversity index. To understand how common each species was, we counted the number of individuals in each species. We also used methods such as ANOVA and Pearson correlation analysis to investigate relationships between species diversity, relative abundance, and characteristics of habitat patches.

Ethical Considerations

This study adhered to ethical guidelines for scientific research. Necessary permits were obtained from relevant authorities to conduct research within the study area. The collection of insect trapping methods was designed to minimize harm to captured individuals.

RESULTS

Insects species diversity and abundance

Flying insect species diversity analysis revealed significant variations among sampled fragmented forest patches (Table 1). A total of 2,214 insects were captured during sampling of the three locations. Location 1 characterized as a natural forest and an undisturbed agroforested area resembling the Landsat map 1984 had the highest number of insects captured at 1,540. This finding shows the importance of preserving natural habitats for insect biodiversity.

Table 1. Insect species diversity and abundance within FUTA, Main Campus, Akure.

S/N	ORDERS	FAMILY	Species name	Location1	Location2	Location3
1	DIPTERA	Culicidae	Anophelis gambiens	287	57	21
2	DIPTERA	Muscidae	Musca domestica	342	79	87
3	DIPTERA	Tephritidae	Ceratitis cosyra	2	3	0
4	DIPTERA	Tephritidae	Ceratitis capitata	35	2	0
5	DIPTERA	Tephritidae	Ceratitis penicillata	25	4	0
6	DIPTERA	Tephritidae	Ceratitis fasciventris	2	0	0
7	DIPTERA	Tephritidae	Ceratitis anonae	0	5	0
8	DIPTERA	Tephritidae	Trirhithrum nigerimum	18	0	0
9	DIPTERA	Tephritidae	Perilampsis woodi	12	2	0
10	DIPTERA	Tephritidae	C. vertebracus	21	5	0
11	DIPTERA	Tephritidae	C. dititssima	32	3	0
12	DIPTERA	Tephritidae	Bactocera cucurbitae	17	7	0
13	DIPTERA	Drosophilidae	Drozophila melanogaster	11	4	56
14	DIPTERA	Oceanthidae	Oecanthus pellucens	14	7	0
15	DIPTERA	Oceanthidae	Dacus bivitattus	19	9	0
16	DIPTERA	Oceanthidae	Dacus ciliatus	0	7	0
17	DIPTERA	Oceanthidae	Oecanthus pellucens	0	4	0
18	HYMENOPTERA	Tenthredinidae	Athalia rosae	24	8	0

PNIE

19	HYMENOPTERA	Tenthredinidae	Caliroa cerasea	22	12	0
20	HYMENOPTERA	Ichneumonidae	neumonidae Pimpler instigator		4	0
21	HYMENOPTERA	Vespidae	Polistes nimpha	12	5	0
22	HYMENOPTERA	Sphecidae	Ammophila sabulose	15	6	0
23	HYMENOPTERA	Sphecidae	Sceliphron destillatorium	10	4	0
24	HYMENOPTERA	Apoidae	Apis mellifera adansoni	234	132	18
25	ORTHOPTERA(ENSIFERA)	Tettigoniidae	, Tetigonia viridisima	5	1	6
26	LEPIDOPTERA	Pieridae	Coliae croceus	16	7	1
27	LEPIDOPTERA	Pieridae	Pontai deplidice	9	3	3
28	LEPIDOPTERA	Pieridae	Gonepteryx rhanni	8	4	0
29	LEPIDOPTERA	Pieridae	Hesperia comma	3	1	0
30	LEPIDOPTERA	Pieridae	Pieris brassicae	3	0	0
31	LEPIDOPTERA	Pieridae	Pieris napi	2	2	0
32	LEPIDOPTERA	Pieridae	Leptidae sinapis	4	2	0
33	LEPIDOPTERA	Pieridae	Colias palaeno	29	0	0
34	LEPIDOPTERA	Danaidae	, Danaus plexipus	4	5	1
35	LEPIDOPTERA	Nymphalidae	Neptis rivularis	0	2	3
36	LEPIDOPTERA	Nymphalidae	Limentis populae	38	0	0
37	LEPIDOPTERA	Nymphalidae	Limentis camilla	26	0	0
38	LEPIDOPTERA	Satyridae	Hipparchia fagi	16	5	1
39	LEPIDOPTERA	Satyridae	Minois dryas	10	2	0
40	LEPIDOPTERA	Satyridae	Lasiomata maera	9	2	0
41	LEPIDOPTERA	Satvridae	Chasara briseis	10	0	0
42	LEPIDOPTERA	Lvcaenidae	Philotes baton	8	3	0
43	LEPIDOPTERA	Saturniidae	Saturnia pyri	3	4	0
44	EPHEMENOPTERA	Baetidae	Sympetrum sauguineum	14	0	0
45	EPHEMENOPTERA	Baetidae	Sympetrum flaveolum	11	0	0
46	ORTHOPTERA(CAELIFERA)	Tetrigidae	Tetrix subulata	12	3	0
47	MANTODEA	Agriidae	Mantis religiosa	38	3	2
48	ODONATA	Agridae	Agrion splendes	8	5	0
49	ODONATA	Agridae	Platycnentis pennipes	5	2	0
50	ODONATA	Coenagrionidae	Pyrrhosoma nymphula	2	3	0
51	ODONATA	Aeschnidae	Aeschna juncea	3	1	0
52	ODONATA	Aeschnidae	Anax imperator	4	2	0
53	ODONATA	Gonophidae	Gonophus vulgatissimus	2	2	0
54	ODONATA	Libellulidae	Sympetrum sanguinetum	1	4	0
55	ODONATA	Lestidae	Agrian splendeus	1	5	0
56	ODONATA	Lestidae	Lestes viridis	7	3	0
57	ODONATA	Perlidae	Perla bipunctata	6	2	0
58	HETEROPTERA	Pentatomidae	Palonema viridissima	4	6	0
59	HETEROPTERA	Pentatomidae	Pentatoma rufipes	5	5	0
60	HETEROPTERA	Pentatomidae	Graphosoma lineatum	3	2	0
61	HETEROPTERA	Reduvidae	Rhinocoris iracundus	4	2	0
62	HETEROPTERA	Anthocoridae	Anthocoris nemorum	5	2	0
63	HETEROPTERA	Miridae	Phytocoris tiliae	14	2	0
64	COLEOPTERA	Curculionidae	Balanogastris kolae	15	5	0
65	COLEOPTERA	Curculionidae	Sophrorhinus spp	11	4	0
			Total	1540	475	199

Conversely, a noticeable trend of decreasing diversity was observed in smaller and more isolated patches, which could be attributed to increased human activity and habitat fragmentation around the other two locations. Location 2 recorded the second-highest abundance of insect species with 475 captures. This indicates that it still supports a relatively diverse insect community.

In contrast, location 3, a developed area covering the southern part of the university, exhibited the lowest insect

abundance, with only 199 insects collected. This decline in abundance of insect species in the developed area highlights the negative impact of urbanization and human infrastructure development on insect populations.

Notably, species, especially common pollinators, demonstrated a significant reduction in abundance in more developed areas, emphasizing the importance of preserving natural and less disturbed habitats for these crucial ecosystem service providers (Cusser *et al.*, 2016).



This study's results aligned with previous research emphasizing the link of habitat disturbance with insect species diversity and abundance (Debinski *et al.*, 2000).

Plant species diversity and abundance

Plant species diversity in this study exhibited patterns that mirrored those observed for flying insects. More significant and less isolated locations consistently displayed higher Shannon-Wiener diversity index values for plant species, suggesting a positive correlation between location size and plant diversity (Sax, 2002). This finding shows the importance of preserving more significant and less disturbed habitats for maintaining plant diversity.

To further explore the relationship between plants and insects, we organized plant samples based on two criteria: the frequency of insects found on them and their taxonomic order. We categorized these plants as occasional, rare, high constancy, and low constancy. This categorization can identify critical plant species that play crucial ecological roles in providing insect resources (Lundberg *et al.*, 2003)

This study revealed that certain plant species known for their ecological significance in supporting insect populations were less abundant in developed forest fragments (Laurance *et al.*, 2002). This underscores the negative impact of habitat fragmentation and urbanization on the availability of essential insect resources, highlighting the need for conservation efforts to protect these critical plant species.

Frequency distribution and relative abundance of insect species

Analysis of the frequency of distribution and relative abundance (Table 2) revealed noteworthy variations in species distribution within fragmented forests and developed patches, aligning with previous research findings (Matlack, 1993). Commonly encountered species were consistently more abundant in larger patches with better connectivity, emphasizing the importance of

ORTHOPTERA (CAELIFERA)

ORTHOPTERA (ENSIFERA)

preserving and restoring habitat connectivity to maintain population sizes of these species (Lepczyk *et al.*, 2017).

Interestingly, less common species exhibited diverse trends, with some displaying higher relative abundance in more isolated patches, suggesting potential niche differentiation and niche specialization of certain insect species (Grevé *et al.*, 2019). This phenomenon highlights the complexity of species interactions and the role of microhabitats within fragmented landscapes.

Findings indicated that Diptera, Lepidoptera, and Odonata were the most abundant insect orders in studied areas, consistent with global patterns of insect diversity (Jacobsen *et al.*, 1997). Notably, location 1 had the highest total insect collection, with 1,540 insects, followed by locations 2 and 3, with 475 and 199 insects, respectively. This distribution of insect abundance might be attributed to differences in habitat quality and fragmentation levels among locations (Wettstein *et al.*, 1999)

Regarding relative abundance, Diptera dominated the insect community, accounting for 54.15% of total relative abundance, followed by Hymenoptera at 23.21% and Lepidoptera at 11.25%. These proportions aligned with global trends of insect order composition (Fukami *et al.*, 2005), providing valuable insights into ecological dynamics of studied ecosystems.

Pearson Correlation analysis for insects collected in the selected habitat

As indicated by Pearson correlation analysis, results underscored positive correlations between flying insect diversity and different locations within the fragmented forest landscape. This finding aligned with prior research emphasizing the interdependence of insect diversity and habitat characteristics (Donald *et a*l., 2022).

While it was observed that higher plant diversity appeared to support more diverse flying insect communities, particularly in location 1, the abundance of insects in each location provided additional insights into habitats under examination.

15 (0.68)

12 (0.54)

S/N	Order	Number of Species (%)	Individuals (%)
1	DIPTERA	17 (27.42)	1199 (54.15)
2	LEPIDOPTERA	17 (27.42)	249 (11.25)
3	ODONATA	10 (16.13)	68 (3.07)
4	HYMENOPTERA	7 (11.29)	514 (23.21)
5	HETEROPTERA	6 (9.68)	54 (2.44)
6	COLEOPTERA	2 (3.23)	35 (1.58)
7	EPHEMENOPTERA	2 (3.23)	25 (1.13)
8	MANTODEA	1 (1.62)	43 (1.94)

1(1.62)

1(1.62)

Table 2. Frequency distribution and relative abundance of insect species encountered in selected locations within the FUTA campus.

9

10



This relationship between plant diversity and insect diversity has been documented in previous studies, highlighting the importance of floral resources for insect communities (Fründ *et al.*, 2010).

Significantly, the strength of the correlation varied among patches, with larger patches demonstrating a stronger positive relationship between flying insect diversity and location. This variation in correlation strength justifies the importance of habitat size and connectivity in shaping insect communities within fragmented landscapes (Anderson *et al.*, 2017; Brown & Davis, 2020).

Specifically, locations 2 and 3 exhibited a less positive correlation with location 1, with correlation coefficients ranging from 0.4 to 1 (Table 3). This observation suggests that while more significant, connected patches tend to support a more diverse array of flying insects, local factors and habitat characteristics unique to each location can influence the relationship between plant diversity and insect diversity.

These findings contribute to our understanding of complex interactions between habitat features, plant diversity, and flying insect diversity in fragmented landscapes, emphasizing the need for tailored conservation strategies that consider both landscape-scale and local factors.

 Table 3. Pearson Correlation analysis for insects collected from selected locations in FUTA.

	Location 1	Location 2	Location 3
Location 1	1		
Location 2	0.876322	1	
Location 3	0.61819	0.433862	1

Diversity indices of insect species recovered from selected habitat in FUTA

Species diversity was analyzed using Shannon index to provide insights into ecological characteristics of sampled locations (Stevens *et al.*, 2002). Location 1 demonstrated the highest Shannon index value, with a score of 2.95, indicating a higher level of species diversity than other locations. This result aligned with previous studies emphasizing the importance of natural and less disturbed habitats in maintaining species diversity (Roberts *et al.*, 1995).

Location 2 also displayed a relatively high Shannon index of 2.94, signifying diverse insect and plant communities (Table 4). This finding suggests that even in fragmented landscapes, specific larger patches or less isolated areas can support diverse species assemblages (Lindenmayer *et al.*, 2002). In contrast, Location 3 showed a notably lower Shannon index of 1.53, indicating a reduced species diversity in this developed area. This observation underscores urbanization's negative impact on species diversity and habitat fragmentation (Vandergast *et al.*, 2007).

Table 4. Diversity indices of insect species recovered from selected habitat in FUTA.

Variables	Location 1	Location 2	Location 3
Shannon Index (H')	2.95	2.94	1.53
Evenness	0.401932672	0.477016037	0.289044
Simpson_1-D	8.925300515	8.187272727	3.477056

Assessment of evenness for measuring equitable distribution of species revealed that Location 2 had the highest evenness value of 0.47. This value indicates a relatively balanced species distribution within the community where no single species dominates. In contrast, Location 3 exhibited the lowest evenness of 0.29, implying a skewed distribution of species possibly due to dominance of a few species (Crowder *et al.*, 2010).

Simpson index evaluation further elucidated the locations' ecological dynamics. Locations 1 and 2 exhibited higher Simpson index values (8.9 and 8.19, respectively), suggesting a more even distribution of dominance among species. In these locations, no single species overwhelmingly dominated the community. Conversely, Location 3 displayed a lower Simpson index of 3.48, indicating a higher dominance of certain species and lower species rarity. This could indicate a simplified and less diverse ecosystem in Location 3. These results highlight the importance of considering multiple diversity indices to comprehensively understand the ecological status and conservation priorities within fragmented landscapes.

ANOVA table for comparing variations of insect species in sampled area

The P-value of 0.153 obtained from our analysis of variance, which assessed whether there were significant differences in the number of insects collected from different locations, was found to be greater than the significance level of 0.05 (Table 5), which supported the null hypothesis, indicating no statistically significant difference among insects collected from various locations (Jonsell *et al.*, 2002). Although differences observed in previous results regarding species diversity and abundance might not be statistically significant, they are ecologically meaningful, highlighting essential trends in the data. These findings underscore the importance of implement-ting conservation strategies that prioritize preservation

Source of variation	SS	Df	MS	F	P-value	F-crit
Between groups	7882.303	2	3941.152	1.904747	0.153122	3.0681
Within groups	260709.3	126	2069.121			
Total	268591.6	128				

Table 5. ANOVA results comparing variations of insect species in sampled area.

of more significant, contiguous forest habitats (Wintle *et al.*, 2019). Such strategies may include efforts to enhance habitat connectivity, promote reforestation, and establish protected corridors for wildlife movement, all of which can contribute to the conservation of biodiversity in fragmented landscapes (Resasco *et al.*, 2017).

Furthermore, our results emphasize the critical role of safeguarding plant species that are resources for flying insects. Preserving these plant species is essential not only for the maintenance of insect diversity, but also for ensuring continuity of vital ecological services, such as pollination which supports agricultural and ecosystem stability (Gill *et al.*, 2016).

In summary, while our statistical analysis did not identify significant differences in insect collections among locations, ecological implications of the observed patterns highlight the need for proactive conservation measures to protect and restore habitats for the benefit of both insects and ecosystems they inhabit.

DISCUSSION

This research investigated species diversity, relative abundance, and a decline of flying insects in a fragmented forest ecosystem in FUTA Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria. This study aimed to assess the distribution and abundance of flying insects across three distinct sampled locations within the forest. A comprehensive analysis was conducted, revealing 10 distinct orders of insects. Notably, orders Diptera, Lepidoptera, and Odonata exhibited the highest relative abundance. This research collected 2,214 insects across sample locations, with location 1 having the highest number of insects collected as seen in Table 1.

Species diversity and abundance play pivotal roles in maintaining ecological balance of ecosystems. Flying insects are integral to these ecosystems, contributing to pollination, nutrient cycling, and other vital ecological processes. The dominance of Diptera, Lepidoptera, and Odonata among flying insects highlights their adaptability to the fragmented forest environment. These orders can exploit various niches within ecosystems, enabling them to thrive in changing landscapes. The observed decline in species abundance from location 1 to locations 2 and 3 suggests potential variations in habitat quality or resource availability. The significant number of insects collected emphasizes the importance of preserving and restoring fragmented forest habitats to support diverse insect communities.

Variations in Shannon index, evenness, and Simpson index values across sample locations signify distinct ecological patterns. Location 1 demonstrated higher species diversity and evenness, indicating a healthier and more balanced ecosystem. Location 2 also exhibited positive attributes, with relatively high values across all three indices. However, Location 3 showed significantly lower values, indicating reduced species diversity, uneven distribution, and potential dominance by certain species.

The present study investigated species diversity, relative abundance, and ecological dynamics of flying insects. Analysis revealed intriguing insights into distribution patterns and ecological roles of various insect orders across different sample locations. Specifically, orders Diptera, Lepidoptera, and Odonata emerged as the most abundant, raising questions about factors influencing their prevalence and potential implications for ecosystem health and stability.

The finding that Diptera, Lepidoptera, and Odonata were the most abundant insect orders in the fragmented forest ecosystem underscoring their adaptability and ecological significance. Dipterans, commonly known as true flies, accounted for 54.15% of all collected insects, showing a relative high abundance. The abundance of dipterans can be attributed to their diverse ecological roles, including pollination, decomposition, and predator-prey interactions. Their ability to exploit various resources and habitats contribute to their success in fragmented landscapes. This result supported a study of Naman et al. (2019) showing that the order Odonata was the most dominant order with a relative abundance of (22.92%), followed by Lepidoptera and Hymenoptera.

Hymenoptera, another prominent order, showed a relative abundance of 23.21%. This order includes bees, wasps, and ants known to play crucial roles in pollination, pest control, and nutrient cycling. The relatively high abundance of Hymenoptera further emphasizes their importance in maintaining the ecological balance within the fragmented forest ecosystem.

Lepidoptera consisting of butterflies and moths had a



relative abundance of 11.25%. Despite their relatively lower abundance, lepidopterans are vital pollinators and indicators of ecosystem health. Their sensitivity to environmental changes and dependence on specific host plants highlight their role in monitoring conditions of the fragmented forest and potential impacts of habitat alterations.

Variations in total insect collections among the three sample locations offer valuable insights into habitat preferences and potential ecological stressors. Location 1 had the highest total collection of 1540 insects, indicating its favorable conditions for insect populations likely driven by suitable habitat structures, resource availability, and microclimatic factors. In contrast, lower collections from locations 2 and 3 (475 and 199 insects, respectively) might reflect differences in habitat quality or fragmentation effects. John et al. (2022) have asserted that land use is a significant constraint to population of insect species. Conversion of existing natural forest ecosystem to other land use types has become a menace that requires urgent attention due to its effects on populations of plant and animal species. This is due to many factors, such as landuse changes, deforestation, pollution, and intensive agriculture, among others, which have been reported to contribute to the decline in the population of insect species.

The observed dominance of dipterans in all locations could be attributed to their adaptability to diverse environmental conditions and resource availability. The presence of dipterans as the most abundant order in all locations suggests their resilience to habitat fragmentation and disturbance and their ability to exploit various ecological niches.

The p-value was 0.153 from ANOVA. At the chosen significance level of 0.05, there was no statistically significant difference in the number of insects collected from different sample locations. However, it is essential to consider the broader context including sample size and research objectives before drawing definitive conclusions about the significance or lack thereof. Further investigation and analysis, such as post-hoc tests or additional variables, could provide deeper insights into the patterns observed for insect collections in various locations. This finding corroborates with Adelusi et al. (2018) who researched the subject of diversity and abundance of insect species in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. Their results showed that there was no significant difference in the diversity of insect species between sites of (F (4, 50) = 0.000375, P > 0.05). However, GYV had the highest diversity index and species richness (d) with the lowest dominance index, while APH had the lowest species richness but the highest dominance.

The strength of a positive correlation was found to vary

among patches, with larger patches exhibiting a more pronounced relationship. This suggests that larger, less fragmented areas within a forest can provide more stable and diverse habitats for flying insects and plants. Weaker positive correlations observed in locations 2 and 3 than in location 1, further emphasizing effects of habitat quality and fragmentation on insect diversity. Correlation coefficients ranged from 0.4 to 1, indicating moderate to strong positive relationships. This suggests that as the diversity of flying insects increases in location 1, there is a corresponding increase in the diversity of insects in locations 2 and 3.

Conclusions

This comprehensive investigation into flying insect diversity and relative abundance within a fragmented ecosystem provided valuable insights into the complex interplay between ecological factors. Results underscore the significance of considering multiple variables to comprehend intricate relationships that shape ecosystem dynamics and guide conservation efforts. Diptera, Lepidoptera, and Odonata were found to be the most abundant insect orders, highlighting their adaptability to the fragmented forest environment. These orders are crucial for pollination, nutrient cycling, and ecosystem stability. Positive correlations between flying insect diversity and different locations underscore the importance of landscape connectivity and preservation of habitats for maintaining diverse insect communities.

The relationship between higher plant diversity and increased flying insect diversity, particularly in location 1, emphasizes roles of resource availability and habitat quality in supporting varied insect communities. However, differences in insect abundance among locations suggest that habitat characteristics beyond plant diversity, such as microclimate and structural features, can influence insect populations. Varying strengths of correlations among patches, with larger patches displaying stronger relationships, emphasize the significance of habitat size and integrity in sustaining biodiversity.

Suggestions

Efforts should focus on restoring and maintaining connectivity between habitat patches within the fragmented forest. Promoting a corridor establishment and enhancing landscape connectivity can facilitate the movement of flying insects and enhance their gene flow, contributing to genetic diversity and population resilience.

Likewise, given stronger correlations observed in larger patches, conservation strategies should prioritize the



protection of larger habitat patches. These areas provide more stable and diverse habitats for flying insects and plants, supporting robust ecosystem dynamics. While the positive correlation between plant and insect diversity is evident, maintaining and enhancing plant diversity remains essential for ensuring a consistent and diverse resource base for flying insects. Incorporating native plant species into restoration efforts can enhance resources. Acknowledging influence of microclimate and structural characteristics of insect abundance, implementing microhabitat management practices, such as maintaining diverse vegetation structures and reducing habitat disturbances, can foster optimal conditions for flying insects.

Findings of this study emphasize the importance of holistic approaches to conservation, addressing both landscape-level connectivity and fine-scale habitat characteristics. By implementing suggested strategies and fostering collaboration among researchers, policymakers, and stakeholders, we can contribute to the preservation of diverse insect communities and the overall health of fragmented forest ecosystems.

Acknowledgement

This study is a part of the research for Olatoye, T.A. to obtain a Master's degree in Agriculture at Kyungpook National University, Korea.

References

- Adelusi, S. M., Ada, R. T., & Omudu, E. A. (2018). Diversity and abundance of insects species in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. *International Journal of New Technology and Research*, 4(6), 52-57.
- Crowder, D. W., Northfield, T. D., Strand, M. R., & Snyder, W. E. (2010). Organic agriculture promotes evenness and natural pest control. *Nature*, *466*(7302), 109–112. https://doi.org/10. 1038/nature09183
- Cusser, S., Neff, J. L., & Jha, S. (2016). Natural land cover drives pollinator abundance and richness, leading to reductions in pollen limitation in cotton agroecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 226*, 33-42. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.agee.2016.04.020
- Debinski, D. M., & Holt, R. D. (2000). A survey and overview of habitat fragmentation experiments. *Conservation biology*, *14*(2), 342-355. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2000. 98081.x
- Donald, M. L., Galbraith, J. A., Erastova, D. A., Podolyan, A., Miller, T. E., & Dhami, M. K. (2022). Nectar resources affect bird-dispersed microbial metacommunities in suburban and rural gardens. *Environmental Microbiology*, 24(12), 5654-5665. https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.16159
- Fründ, J., Linsenmair, K. E., & Blüthgen, N. (2010). Pollinator diversity and specialization in relation to flower diversity.

Oikos, *119*(10), 1581-1590. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18450.x

- Fukami, T., & Wardle, D. A. (2005). Long-term ecological dynamics: reciprocal insights from natural and anthropogenic gradients. *Proceedings of the royal society B: biological sciences*, 272(1577), 2105-2115. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2005.3277
- Gill, R. J., Baldock, K. C., Brown, M. J., Cresswell, J. E., Dicks, L. V., Fountain, M. T., *et al.* (2016). Protecting an ecosystem service: approaches to understanding and mitigating threats to wild insect pollinators. In *Advances in ecological research* 54, 135-206. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2015.10.007
- Grevé, M. E., Houadria, M., Andersen, A. N., & Menzel, F. (2019). Niche differentiation in rainforest ant communities across three continents. *Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(15), 8601-8615. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5394
- Hallmann, C. A., Sorg, M., Jongejans, E., Siepel, H., Hofland, N., Schwan, H., Stenmans, W., Müller, A., Sumser, H., & Hörren, T. (2017). More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect biomass in protected areas. *PloS one*, *12*(10), e0185809. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185809
- Jacobsen, D., Schultz, R., & Encalada, A. (1997). Structure and diversity of stream invertebrate assemblages: the influence of temperature with altitude and latitude. *Freshwater Biology*, *38*(2), 247-261. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.1997. 00210.x
- John, A. O., Sylvester, A. A., Kehinde, A. O., & Michael, A. A. (2022). Land Use Impacts on Diversity and Abundance of Insect Species. In *Vegetation Dynamics, Changing Ecosystems and Human Responsibility.* IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10. 5772/intechopen.106434
- Jonsell, M., & Nordlander, G. (2002). Insects in polypore fungi as indicator species: a comparison between forest sites differing in amounts and continuity of dead wood. *Forest ecology and management*, *157*(1-3), 101-118. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0378-1127(00)00662-9
- Klein, A.-M., Vaissière, B. E., Cane, J. H., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Cunningham, S. A., Kremen, C., & Tscharntke, T. (2007). Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Proceedings of the royal society B: biological sciences*, 274(1608), 303-313. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3721
- Laurance, W. F., Lovejoy, T. E., Vasconcelos, H. L., Bruna, E. M., Didham, R. K., Stouffer, P. C., Gascon, C., Bierregaard, R. O., Laurance, S. G., & Sampaio, E. (2002). Ecosystem decay of Amazonian forest fragments: a 22-year investigation. *Conservation biology*, *16*(3), 605-618. https://doi.org/10.104 6/j.1523-1739.2002.01025.x
- Lepczyk, C. A., Aronson, M. F., Evans, K. L., Goddard, M. A., Lerman, S. B., & Maclvor, J. S. (2017). Biodiversity in the city: fundamental questions for understanding the ecology of urban green spaces for biodiversity conservation. *BioScience*, *67*(9), 799-807. https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/bix079
- Lindenmayer, D. B., Cunningham, R. B., Donnelly, C. F., Nix, H., & Lindenmayer, B. D. (2002). Effects of forest fragmentation on bird assemblages in a novel landscape context. *Ecological Monographs*, *72*(1), 1-18. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9615(2002)072[0001:EOFFOB]2.0.C0;2
- Lundberg, J., & Moberg, F. (2003). Mobile link organisms and ecosystem functioning: implications for ecosystem resilience



and management. *Ecosystems*, *6*, 0087-0098. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10021-002-0150-4

- Matlack, G. R. (1993). Microenvironment variation within and among forest edge sites in the eastern United States. *Biological conservation*, *66*(3), 185-194. https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-3207(93)90004-K
- Naman, K., Auta, I., & Abdullah, M. (2019). Insect Species Diversity and Abundance In Kaduna State University Main Campus, Kaduna, Nigeria. *Science World Journal, 14*(2), 51-54.
- Resasco, J., Bruna, E. M., Haddad, N. M., Banks-Leite, C., & Margules, C. R. (2017). The contribution of theory and experiments to conservation in fragmented landscapes. *Ecography*, *40*(1), 109-118. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.025 46
- Roberts, M. R., & Gilliam, F. S. (1995). Patterns and mechanisms of plant diversity in forested ecosystems: implications for forest management. *Ecological applications*, *5*(4), 969-977. https://doi.org/10.2307/2269348
- Sánchez-Bayo, F., & Wyckhuys, K. A. (2019). Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers. *Biological conservation*, 232, 8-27.
- Sax, D. F. (2002). Native and naturalized plant diversity are positively correlated in scrub communities of California and Chile. *Diversity and Distributions, 8*(4), 193-210. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1472-4642.2002.00147.x

Sinclair, A., & Byrom, A. E. (2006). Understanding ecosystem

dynamics for conservation of biota. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *75*(1), 64-79. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.13652656. 2006.01036.x

- Stevens, R. D., & Willig, M. R. (2002). Geographical ecology at the community level: perspectives on the diversity of New World bats. *Ecology*, *83*(2), 545-560. https://doi.org/10.1890/ 0012-9658(2002)083[0545:GEATCL]2.0.C0;2
- Vandergast, A. G., Bohonak, A. J., Weissman, D. B., & Fisher, R. N. (2007). Understanding the genetic effects of recent habitat fragmentation in the context of evolutionary history: phylogeography and landscape genetics of a southerm California endemic Jerusalem cricket (Orthoptera: Stenopelmatidae: Stenopelmatus). *Molecular ecology*, *16*(5), 977-992. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.03216.x
- Wettstein, W., & Schmid, B. (1999). Conservation of arthropod diversity in montane wetlands: effect of altitude, habitat quality and habitat fragmentation on butterflies and grasshoppers. *Journal of Applied Ecology, 36*(3), 363-373. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2664.1999.00404.x
- Wintle, B. A., Kujala, H., Whitehead, A., Cameron, A., Veloz, S., Kukkala, A., Moilanen, A., Gordon, A., Lentini, P. E., & Cadenhead, N. C. (2019). Global synthesis of conservation studies reveals the importance of small habitat patches for biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(3), 909–914. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.181 3051115